

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT
TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of report (Date of earliest event reported): December 31, 2009

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

1-14323
(Commission
File Number)

76-0568219
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1100 Louisiana, 10th Floor, Houston, Texas
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

77002
(Zip Code)

(713) 381-6500
(Registrant's Telephone Number, including Area Code)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
 - Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))
-

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
99.1	Consolidated Balance Sheet of Enterprise Products GP, LLC at December 31, 2009.

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in (i) Registration Statement Nos. 333-36856, 333-82486, 333-115633, 333-115634, 333-150680, 333-162666 of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. on Form S-8; (ii) Registration Statement No. 333-145709 of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and Enterprise Products Operating LLC on Form S-3; and (iii) Registration Statement No. 333-142106 of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. on Form S-3 of our report dated March 1, 2010, relating to the consolidated balance sheet of Enterprise Products GP, LLC and subsidiaries at December 31, 2009, appearing in this Current Report on Form 8-K of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
March 8, 2010

Enterprise Products GP, LLC

*Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009
and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm*

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Balance Sheet</u>	
<u>Note 1 – Company Organization and Basis of Presentation</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Note 3 – Recent Accounting Developments</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Note 4 – Equity-based Awards</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Note 5 – Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities and Fair Value Measurements</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Note 6 – Inventories</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Note 7 – Property, Plant and Equipment</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>Note 8 – Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Note 9 – Business Combinations</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Note 10 – Intangible Assets and Goodwill</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Note 11 – Debt Obligations</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Note 12 – Equity</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Note 13 – Business Segments</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Note 14 – Related Party Transactions</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Note 15 – Income Taxes</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Note 16 – Commitments and Contingencies</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Note 17 – Significant Risks and Uncertainties</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Note 18 – Subsequent Event</u>	<u>54</u>

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of Enterprise Products GP, LLC
Houston, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Enterprise Products GP, LLC and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2009. This consolidated balance sheet is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this consolidated balance sheet based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the balance sheet is free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the balance sheet, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated balance sheet presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated balance sheet presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Enterprise Products GP, LLC and subsidiaries at December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
March 1, 2010

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
AT DECEMBER 31, 2009
(Dollars in millions)

ASSETS

Current assets:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 54.7
Restricted cash	63.6
Accounts and notes receivable – trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$16.8	3,099.0
Accounts receivable – related parties	38.4
Inventories (see Note 6)	711.9
Derivative assets (see Note 5)	113.8
Prepaid and other current assets	165.5
Total current assets	4,246.9

Property, plant and equipment, net 17,689.2

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates 890.6

Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$795.0 1,064.8

Goodwill 2,018.3

Other assets 241.8

Total assets \$ 26,151.6

LIABILITIES AND EQUITY

Current liabilities:

Accounts payable – trade	\$ 410.6
Accounts payable – related parties	69.8
Accrued product payables	3,393.0
Accrued interest	228.0
Other accrued expenses	108.5
Derivative liabilities (see Note 5)	93.0
Other current liabilities	233.1
Total current liabilities	4,536.0

Long-term debt: (see Note 11)

Senior debt obligations – principal	9,764.3
Junior subordinated notes – principal	1,532.7
Other	49.4
Total long-term debt	11,346.4

Deferred tax liabilities 71.7

Other long-term liabilities 155.2

Commitments and contingencies (see Note 16)

Equity: (see Note 12)

Member's interest	593.9
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(0.2)
Total member's equity	593.7
Noncontrolling interest	9,448.6
Total equity	10,042.3
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 26,151.6

See Notes to Consolidated Balance Sheet.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Except as noted within the context of each footnote disclosure, the dollar amounts presented in the tabular data within these footnote disclosures are stated in millions of dollars.

Note 1. Company Organization and Basis of Presentation

Company Organization

Enterprise Products GP, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company that was formed in April 1998 to become the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. The business purpose of Enterprise Products GP, LLC is to manage the affairs and operations of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. At December 31, 2009, Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. owned 100% of the membership interests of Enterprise Products GP, LLC.

Unless the context requires otherwise, references to “we,” “us,” “our” or “Enterprise Products GP, LLC” are intended to mean and include the business and operations of Enterprise Products GP, LLC, as well as its consolidated subsidiaries, which include Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries. References to “EPGP” mean Enterprise Products GP, LLC, individually as the general partner of Enterprise Products Partners, and not on a consolidated basis.

References to “Enterprise Products Partners” mean the business and operations of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries. Enterprise Products Partners is a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, the registered common units of which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the ticker symbol “EPD.” Enterprise Products Partners has no business activities outside those conducted by its operating subsidiary, Enterprise Products Operating LLC (“EPO”). Enterprise Products Partners and EPO were formed to acquire, own and operate certain natural gas liquids (“NGLs”) related businesses of Enterprise Products Company.

References to “Enterprise GP Holdings” mean the business and operations of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries. Enterprise GP Holdings is a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, the registered units of which are listed on the NYSE under the ticker symbol “EPE.” References to “EPE Holdings” mean EPE Holdings, LLC, which is the general partner of Enterprise GP Holdings.

References to “TEPPCO” and “TEPPCO GP” mean TEPPCO Partners, L.P. and Texas Eastern Products Pipeline Company, LLC (which is the general partner of TEPPCO), respectively, prior to their mergers with our subsidiaries. On October 26, 2009, Enterprise Products Partners completed the mergers with TEPPCO and TEPPCO GP (such related mergers referred to herein individually and together as the “TEPPCO Merger”).

References to “Energy Transfer Equity” mean the business and operations of Energy Transfer Equity, L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries, which include Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. (“ETP”). Energy Transfer Equity is a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, the common units of which are listed on the NYSE under the ticker symbol “ETE.” ETP is a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, the common units of which are listed on the NYSE under the ticker symbol “ETP.” The general partner of Energy Transfer Equity is LE GP, LLC.

References to “EPCO” mean Enterprise Products Company (formerly EPCO, Inc.) and its privately held affiliates. We, EPO, Duncan Energy Partners, DEP GP, EPGP, Enterprise GP Holdings and EPE Holdings are affiliates under the common control of Dan L. Duncan, the Group Co-Chairman and controlling shareholder of EPCO.

References to “Employee Partnerships” mean EPE Unit L.P. (“EPE Unit I”), EPE Unit II, L.P. (“EPE Unit II”), EPE Unit III, L.P. (“EPE Unit III”), Enterprise Unit L.P. (“Enterprise Unit”) and EPCO Unit L.P. (“EPCO Unit”), collectively, all of which are privately held affiliates of EPCO.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

For financial reporting purposes, Enterprise Products Partners consolidates the balance sheet of Duncan Energy Partners L.P. (“Duncan Energy Partners”) with that of its own. Enterprise Products Partners controls Duncan Energy Partners through the ownership of its general partner, DEP Holdings, LLC (“DEP GP”). Public ownership of Duncan Energy Partners’ net assets is presented as a component of noncontrolling interest in our Consolidated Balance Sheet. The borrowings of Duncan Energy Partners are presented as part of our consolidated debt; however, neither Enterprise Products Partners nor EPGP have any obligation for the payment of interest or repayment of borrowings incurred by Duncan Energy Partners.

Basis of Presentation

EPGP owns a 2% general partner interest in Enterprise Products Partners, which conducts substantially all of its business. EPGP has no independent operations and no material assets outside those of Enterprise Products Partners. The number of reconciling items between our consolidated balance sheet and that of Enterprise Products Partners are few. The most significant difference is that relating to noncontrolling interest ownership in our net assets by the limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners, and the elimination of our investment in Enterprise Products Partners with our underlying capital account in Enterprise Products Partners.

On October 26, 2009, the related mergers of our wholly owned subsidiaries with TEPPCO and TEPPCO GP were completed. Under terms of the merger agreements, TEPPCO and TEPPCO GP became wholly owned subsidiaries of ours, and each of TEPPCO’s unitholders, except for a privately held affiliate of EPCO, were entitled to receive 1.24 of Enterprise Products Partners’ common units for each TEPPCO unit. In total, we issued an aggregate of 126,932,318 common units and 4,520,431 Class B units (described below) as consideration in the TEPPCO Merger for both TEPPCO units and the TEPPCO GP membership interests. TEPPCO’s units, which had been trading on the NYSE under the ticker symbol “TPP,” have been delisted and are no longer publicly traded. On October 27, 2009, our TEPPCO and TEPPCO GP equity interests were contributed to EPO, and TEPPCO and TEPPCO GP became wholly owned subsidiaries of EPO.

A privately held affiliate of EPCO exchanged a portion of its TEPPCO units, based on the 1.24 exchange rate, for 4,520,431 of Enterprise Products Partners’ Class B units in lieu of common units. The Class B units are not entitled to regular quarterly cash distributions for the first sixteen quarters following the closing date of the merger. The Class B units automatically convert into the same number of common units on the date immediately following the payment date for the sixteenth quarterly distribution following the closing date of the merger. The Class B units are entitled to vote together with the common units as a single class on partnership matters and, except for the payment of distributions, have the same rights and privileges as Enterprise Products Partners’ common units.

Since Enterprise Products Partners, TEPPCO and TEPPCO GP are under common control of EPCO and its affiliates, the TEPPCO Merger was accounted for at historical costs as a reorganization of entities under common control in a manner similar to a pooling of interests.

We revised our business segments and related disclosures to reflect the TEPPCO Merger. Our reorganized business segments reflect the manner in which these businesses are managed and reviewed by the chief executive officer of EPGP. Under our new business segment structure, we have five reportable business segments: (i) NGL Pipelines & Services; (ii) Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services; (iii) Onshore Crude Oil Pipelines & Services; (iv) Offshore Pipelines & Services and (v) Petrochemical & Refined Products Services.

Our balance sheet has been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”).

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies***Allowance for Doubtful Accounts***

Our allowance for doubtful accounts is determined based on specific identification and estimates of future uncollectible accounts. Our procedure for determining the allowance for doubtful accounts is based on: (i) historical experience with customers, (ii) the perceived financial stability of customers based on our research and (iii) the levels of credit we grant to customers. In addition, we may increase the allowance account in response to the specific identification of customers involved in bankruptcy proceedings and similar financial difficulties. On a routine basis, we review estimates associated with the allowance for doubtful accounts to ensure that we have recorded sufficient reserves to cover potential losses. Our allowance also includes estimates for uncollectible natural gas imbalances based on specific identification of accounts.

The following table presents the activity of our allowance for doubtful accounts for the year ended December 31, 2009:

Balance at beginning of period	\$	17.7
Charges to expense		0.1
Payments		(1.0)
Balance at end of period	\$	<u>16.8</u>

See “Credit Risk Due to Industry Concentrations” in Note 17 for additional information.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents represent unrestricted cash on hand and highly liquid investments with original maturities of less than three months from the date of purchase.

Consolidation Policy

Our consolidated balance sheet includes our accounts and those of our majority-owned subsidiaries in which we have a controlling interest, after the elimination of all intercompany accounts and transactions. We also consolidate other entities and ventures in which we possess a controlling financial interest as well as partnership interests where we are the sole general partner of the partnership. We evaluate our financial interests in business enterprises to determine if they represent variable interest entities where we are the primary beneficiary. If such criteria are met, we consolidate the balance sheets of such businesses with those of our own. Third-party or affiliate ownership interests in our controlled subsidiaries are presented as noncontrolling interests. See Note 12 for information regarding noncontrolling interest.

If the entity is organized as a limited partnership or limited liability company and maintains separate ownership accounts, we account for our investment using the equity method if our ownership interest is between 3% and 50% and we exercise significant influence over the entity’s operating and financial policies. For all other types of investments, we apply the equity method of accounting if our ownership interest is between 20% and 50% and we exercise significant influence over the entity’s operating and financial policies. In consolidation, we eliminate our proportionate share of profits and losses from transactions with equity method unconsolidated affiliates to the extent such amounts remain on our Consolidated Balance Sheet (or those of our equity method investments) in inventory or similar accounts.

If our ownership interest in an entity does not provide us with either control or significant influence we account for the investment using the cost method.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Contingencies

Certain conditions may exist as of the date our balance sheet is issued, which may result in a loss to us but which will only be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. Our management and its legal counsel assess such contingent liabilities, and such assessment inherently involves an exercise in judgment. In assessing loss contingencies related to legal proceedings that are pending against us or unasserted claims that may result in proceedings, our management and legal counsel evaluate the perceived merits of any legal proceedings or unasserted claims as well as the perceived merits of the amount of relief sought or expected to be sought therein.

If the assessment of a contingency indicates that it is probable that a material loss has been incurred and the amount of liability can be estimated, then the estimated liability would be accrued on our balance sheet. If the assessment indicates that a potentially material loss contingency is not probable but is reasonably possible, or is probable but cannot be estimated, then the nature of the contingent liability, together with an estimate of the range of possible loss (if determinable and material), is disclosed.

Loss contingencies considered remote are generally not disclosed unless they involve guarantees, in which case the guarantees would be disclosed.

Current Assets and Current Liabilities

We present, as individual captions in our Consolidated Balance Sheet, all components of current assets and current liabilities that exceed 5% of total current assets and liabilities, respectively.

Deferred Revenues

Amounts billed in advance of the period in which the service is rendered or product delivered are recorded as deferred revenue. At December 31, 2009, deferred revenues totaled \$106.8 million and were recorded as a component of other current and long-term liabilities, as appropriate, on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Derivative Instruments

We use derivative instruments such as swaps, forwards and other contracts to manage price risks associated with inventories, firm commitments, interest rates, foreign currency and certain anticipated transactions. To qualify for hedge accounting, the item to be hedged must expose us to risk and the related derivative instrument must reduce that exposure and meet specific documentation requirements. We formally designate a derivative instrument as a hedge and document and assess the effectiveness of the hedge at inception and thereafter on a quarterly basis. We also apply the normal purchases/normal sales exception for certain of our derivative instruments, which precludes the recognition of changes in mark-to-market value for these items on the balance sheet. See Note 5 for additional information regarding our derivative instruments and related hedging activities.

Environmental Costs

Environmental costs for remediation are accrued based on estimates of known remediation requirements. Such accruals are based on management's best estimate of the ultimate cost to remediate a site and are adjusted as further information and circumstances develop. Those estimates may change substantially depending on information about the nature and extent of contamination, appropriate remediation technologies and regulatory approvals. Expenditures to mitigate or prevent future environmental contamination are capitalized. Ongoing environmental compliance costs are charged to expense as incurred. In accruing for environmental remediation liabilities, costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation are not discounted to their present value, unless the amount and timing of the expenditures are fixed or reliably determinable. At December 31, 2009, none of our estimated environmental remediation liabilities were discounted to present value since the ultimate amount and timing of cash payments for such liabilities were not readily determinable.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

The following table presents the activity of our environmental reserves for the year ended December 31, 2009:

Balance at beginning of period	\$	22.3
Charges to expense		1.9
Acquisition-related additions and other		--
Payments		(5.1)
Adjustments		(2.4)
Balance at end of period	\$	<u>16.7</u>

At December 31, 2009, \$6.4 million of our environmental reserves were classified as current liabilities.

Equity Awards

See Note 4 for information regarding our accounting for equity awards.

Estimates

Preparing our Consolidated Balance Sheet in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts presented on the balance sheet (i.e. assets and liabilities) and disclosures about contingent assets and liabilities. Our actual results could differ from these estimates. On an ongoing basis, management reviews its estimates based on currently available information. Changes in facts and circumstances may result in revised estimates.

Exchange Contracts

Exchanges are contractual agreements for the movements of NGLs and certain petrochemical products between parties to satisfy timing and logistical needs of the parties. Net exchange volumes borrowed from us under such agreements are valued at market-based prices and included in accounts receivable. Net exchange volumes loaned to us under such agreements are valued at market-based prices and accrued as a liability in accrued product payables.

Receivables and payables arising from exchange transactions are settled with movements of products rather than with cash. When payment or receipt of monetary consideration is required for product differentials and service costs, such items are recognized in our Consolidated Balance Sheet on a net basis. Exchanges are contractual agreements for the movements of NGLs and certain petrochemical products between parties to satisfy timing and logistical needs of the parties. Net exchange volumes borrowed from us under such agreements are valued at market-based prices and included in accounts receivable. Net exchange volumes loaned to us under such agreements are valued at market-based prices and accrued as a liability in accrued product payables.

Receivables and payables arising from exchange transactions are settled with movements of products rather than with cash. When payment or receipt of monetary consideration is required for product differentials and service costs, such items are recognized in our Consolidated Balance Sheet on a net basis.

Fair Value Information

Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses, and other current liabilities are carried at amounts which reasonably approximate their fair values due to their short-term nature. The estimated fair values of our fixed-rate debt are based on quoted market prices for such debt or debt of similar terms and maturities. The carrying amounts of our variable-rate debt obligations reasonably approximate their fair values due to their variable interest rates. See Note 5 for fair value information associated with our derivative instruments.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

The following table presents the estimated fair values of our financial instruments at December 31, 2009:

Financial Instruments	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Financial assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 118.3	\$ 118.3
Accounts receivable	3,137.4	3,137.4
Financial liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	4,209.9	4,209.9
Other current liabilities	233.1	233.1
Fixed-rate debt (principal amount)	10,586.7	11,056.2
Variable-rate debt	710.3	710.3

Foreign Currency Translation

We own an NGL marketing business located in Canada. The balance sheet of this foreign subsidiary is translated into U.S. dollars from the Canadian dollar, which is the subsidiary's functional currency, using the current rate method. Its assets and liabilities are translated at the rate of exchange in effect at the balance sheet date. Exchange gains and losses arising from foreign currency translation adjustments are reflected as separate components of accumulated other comprehensive loss ("AOCI") in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. Our net cash flows from this Canadian subsidiary may be adversely affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. See Note 5 for information regarding our foreign currency derivative instruments.

Impairment Testing for Goodwill

Our goodwill amounts are assessed for impairment (i) on a routine annual basis or (ii) when impairment indicators are present. If such indicators occur (e.g., the loss of a significant customer, economic obsolescence of plant assets, etc.), the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill is assigned is determined and compared to its book value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its book value including associated goodwill amounts, the goodwill is considered to be unimpaired and no impairment charge is required. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its book value including associated goodwill amounts, a charge to earnings is recorded to reduce the carrying value of the goodwill to its implied fair value. See Note 5 for information regarding impairment charges related to goodwill during 2009.

Impairment Testing for Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets (including intangible assets with finite useful lives and property, plant and equipment) are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable.

Long-lived assets with carrying values that are not expected to be recovered through future cash flows are written-down to their estimated fair values. The carrying value of a long-lived asset is deemed not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. If the asset carrying value exceeds the sum of its undiscounted cash flows, a non-cash asset impairment charge equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its estimated fair value is recorded. Fair value is defined as the amount at which an asset or liability could be bought or settled in an arm's length transaction. We measure fair value using market price indicators or, in the absence of such data, appropriate valuation techniques. See Note 5 for information regarding impairment charges related to long-lived assets during 2009.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Income Taxes

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for temporary differences between the assets and liabilities of our tax paying entities for financial reporting and tax purposes.

Since we are structured as a pass-through entity, we are not subject to federal income taxes. As a result, our partners are individually responsible for paying federal income taxes on their share of our taxable income. Since we do not have access to information regarding each partner's tax basis, we cannot readily determine the total difference in the basis of our net assets for financial and tax reporting purposes.

We must recognize the tax effects of any uncertain tax positions we may adopt, if the position taken by us is more likely than not sustainable. If a tax position meets such criteria, the tax effect to be recognized by us would be the largest amount of benefit with more than a 50% chance of being realized upon settlement. See Note 15 for additional information regarding our income taxes.

Impairment Testing for Unconsolidated Affiliates

We evaluate our equity method investments for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that there is a loss in value of the investment attributable to an other than temporary decline. Examples of such events or changes in circumstances include continuing operating losses of the entity and/or long-term negative changes in the entity's industry. In the event we determine that the loss in value of an investment is other than a temporary decline, we record a charge to equity earnings to adjust the carrying value of the investment to its estimated fair value.

Inventories

Inventories primarily consist of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, refined products, lubrication oils and certain petrochemical products that are valued at the lower of average cost or market ("LCM"). We capitalize, as a cost of inventory, shipping and handling charges associated with such purchase volumes, terminal storage fees, vessel inspection costs, demurrage charges and other related costs. As volumes are sold and delivered out of inventory, the cost of these volumes (including freight-in charges that have been capitalized as part of inventory cost) are charged to operating costs and expenses. Shipping and handling fees associated with products we sell and deliver to customers are charged to operating costs and expenses as incurred. See Note 6 for additional information regarding our inventories.

Natural Gas Imbalances

In the natural gas pipeline transportation business, imbalances frequently result from differences in natural gas volumes received from and delivered to our customers. Such differences occur when a customer delivers more or less gas into our pipelines than is physically redelivered back to them during a particular time period. We have various fee-based agreements with customers to transport their natural gas through our pipelines. Our customers retain ownership of their natural gas shipped through our pipelines. As such, our pipeline transportation activities are not intended to create physical volume differences that would result in significant accounting or economic events for either our customers or us during the course of the arrangement.

We settle pipeline gas imbalances through either (i) physical delivery of in-kind gas or (ii) in cash. These settlements follow contractual guidelines or common industry practices. As imbalances occur, they may be settled: (i) on a monthly basis, (ii) at the end of the agreement or (iii) in accordance with industry practice, including negotiated settlements. Certain of our natural gas pipelines have a regulated tariff rate mechanism requiring customer imbalance settlements each month at current market prices.

However, the vast majority of our settlements are through in-kind arrangements whereby incremental volumes are delivered to or received from a customer. Such in-kind deliveries are ongoing and take place over several periods. In some cases, settlements of imbalances built up over a period of time are ultimately cashed out and are generally negotiated at values which approximate average market prices over

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

a period of time. For those gas imbalances that are ultimately settled over future periods, we estimate the value of such current assets and liabilities using average market prices, which we believe is representative of the value of the imbalances upon final settlement. Changes in natural gas prices may impact our estimates.

The following table presents our natural gas imbalance receivables/payables at December 31, 2009:

Natural gas imbalance receivables (1)	\$	24.1
Natural gas imbalance payables (2)		19.0

(1) Reflected as a component of "Accounts and notes receivable – trade" on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

(2) Reflected as a component of "Accrued product payables" on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment is recorded at cost. Expenditures for additions, improvements and other enhancements to property, plant and equipment are capitalized and minor replacements, maintenance, and repairs that do not extend asset life or add value are charged to expense as incurred. When property, plant and equipment assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the related cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in the results of operations for the respective period.

In general, depreciation is the systematic and rational allocation of an asset's cost, less its residual value (if any), to the periods it benefits. The majority of our property, plant and equipment is depreciated using the straight-line method, which results in depreciation expense being incurred evenly over the life of the assets. Our estimate of depreciation incorporates assumptions regarding the useful economic lives and residual values of our assets. At the time we place our assets in service, we believe such assumptions are reasonable. Under our depreciation policy for midstream energy assets, the remaining economic lives of such assets are limited to the estimated life of the natural resource basins (based on proved reserves at the time of the analysis) from which such assets derive their throughput or processing volumes. Our forecast of the remaining life for the applicable resource basins is based on several factors, including information published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration. Where appropriate, we use other depreciation methods (generally accelerated) for tax purposes.

Leasehold improvements are recorded as a component of property, plant and equipment. The cost of leasehold improvements is charged to earnings using the straight-line method over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful lives of the improvements. We consider renewal terms that are deemed reasonably assured when estimating remaining lease terms.

Our assumptions regarding the useful economic lives and residual values of our assets may change in response to new facts and circumstances, which would change our depreciation amounts prospectively. Examples of such circumstances include, but are not limited to: (i) changes in laws and regulations that limit the estimated economic life of an asset; (ii) changes in technology that render an asset obsolete; (iii) changes in expected salvage values or (iv) significant changes in the forecast life of proved reserves of applicable resource basins, if any. See Note 7 for additional information regarding our property, plant and equipment.

Certain of our plant operations entail periodic planned outages for major maintenance activities. These planned shutdowns typically result in significant expenditures, which are principally comprised of amounts paid to third parties for materials, contract services and related items. We use the expense-as-incurred method for our planned major maintenance activities; however, the cost of annual planned major maintenance projects are deferred and recognized ratably over the remaining portion of the calendar year in which such projects occur.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Asset retirement obligations (“AROs”) are legal obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets that result from their acquisition, construction, development and/or normal operation. When an ARO is incurred, we record a liability for the ARO and capitalize an equal amount as an increase in the carrying value of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is accreted to its present value (accretion expense) and the capitalized amount is depreciated over the remaining useful life of the related long-lived asset. We will incur a gain or loss to the extent that our ARO liabilities are not settled at their recorded amounts.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash represents amounts held in connection with our commodity derivative instruments portfolio and related physical natural gas and NGL purchases. Additional cash may be restricted to maintain this portfolio as commodity prices fluctuate or deposit requirements change. At December 31, 2009, our restricted cash amount was \$63.6 million. See Note 5 for information regarding derivative instruments and hedging activities.

Note 3. Recent Accounting Developments

The accounting standard setting bodies have recently issued the following guidance that will or may affect our future balance sheet:

Fair Value Measurements. In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued new guidance to improve disclosures about fair value measurements. This new guidance requires the following:

- § Effective with the first quarter of 2010, additional disclosures will be required regarding the reporting of transfers of fair value information between the three levels of the fair value hierarchy (i.e., Levels 1, 2 and 3).
- § Effective with the first quarter of 2011, companies will need to present purchases, sales, issuances and settlements whose fair values are based on unobservable inputs on a gross basis.

Other than requiring enhanced fair value disclosures, we do not expect our adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. In June 2009, the FASB amended its consolidation guidance regarding variable interest entities. In general, this new guidance places more emphasis on a qualitative analysis, rather than a purely quantitative approach, in determining which company should consolidate a variable interest entity. Our adoption of this guidance on January 1, 2010 did not have any impact on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Note 4. Equity-based Awards

The fair value of an equity-classified award (e.g., a restricted unit award) is amortized to earnings on a straight-line basis over the requisite service or vesting period. Compensation expense for liability-classified awards (e.g., unit appreciation rights (“UARs”)) is recognized over the requisite service or vesting period of an award based on the fair value of the award remeasured at each reporting period. Liability-classified awards are settled in cash upon vesting.

At December 31, 2009, our active long-term incentive plans are the Enterprise Products 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (“1998 Plan”), the TEPPCO 1999 Phantom Unit Retention Plan (“1999 Plan”), the Enterprise Products 2006 TPP Long-Term Incentive Plan (“2006 Plan”) and the Amended and Restated 2008 Enterprise Products Long-Term Incentive Plan (“2008 Plan”). Two plans were dissolved during

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

2009: TEPPCO 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan (“2000 Plan”) and TEPPCO 2005 Phantom Unit Plan (“2005 Plan”).

The 1998 Plan provides for awards of Enterprise Products Partners’ common units and other rights to our non-employee directors and to employees of EPCO and its affiliates providing services to us. Awards under the 1998 Plan may be granted in the form of unit options, restricted units, phantom units, UARs and distribution equivalent rights (“DERs”). Up to 7,000,000 of Enterprise Products Partners’ common units may be issued as awards under the 1998 Plan. After giving effect to awards granted under the plan through December 31, 2009, a total of 652,543 additional common units could be issued.

The 1999 Plan provided key employees of EPCO who work on our behalf with phantom unit awards. This plan terminated in January 2010.

The 2006 Plan currently provides for awards of Enterprise Products Partners’ common units (formerly of TEPPCO units) and other rights to our non-employee directors and to employees of EPCO and its affiliates providing services to us. Awards under the 2006 Plan may be granted in the form of unit options, restricted units, phantom units, UARs and DERs. Effective upon the consummation of the TEPPCO Merger (see Note 1), Enterprise Products Partners assumed the vested and unvested options, restricted units and UAR awards outstanding on October 26, 2009 under the 2006 Plan and converted them into Enterprise Products Partners’ options, restricted units and UAR awards based on the TEPPCO Merger exchange ratio. The vesting terms of each award and other provisions of the plan remain unchanged.

The 2008 Plan provides for awards of Enterprise Products Partners’ common units and other rights to our non-employee directors and to consultants and employees of EPCO and its affiliates providing services to us. Awards under the 2008 Plan may be granted in the form of unit options, restricted units, phantom units, UARs and DERs. Up to 10,000,000 of Enterprise Products Partners’ common units may be issued as awards under the 2008 Plan. After giving effect to awards granted under the plan through December 31, 2009, a total of 7,865,000 additional common units could be issued.

An allocated portion of the fair value of these long-term incentive plan equity-based awards is charged to us under the administrative services agreement (“ASA”). See Note 14 for a general description of the ASA with EPCO. With the exception of certain amounts recorded in connection with EPCO Unit, as defined later in this note, we are not responsible for reimbursing EPCO for any expenses associated with such awards. We recognize an expense for our allocated share of the grant date fair value of such awards, with an offsetting amount recorded in equity. Beginning in February 2009, the ASA was amended to provide that we and other affiliates of EPCO will reimburse EPCO for our allocated share of distributions of cash or securities made to the Class B limited partners of EPCO Unit.

On December 10, 2009, the board of directors of DEP GP unanimously approved a resolution adopting both the 2010 Duncan Energy Partners L.P. Long-Term Incentive Plan (“2010 Plan”) and the DEP Unit Purchase Plan (“DEP EUPP”). The 2010 Plan provides for awards of options to purchase Duncan Energy Partners’ common units, restricted common units, UARs, phantom units and DERs to employees, directors or consultants providing services to Duncan Energy Partners. The DEP EUPP provides eligible employees the opportunity to purchase common units at a discount through withholdings from eligible compensation. On December 30, 2009, the action taken by the board of directors of DEP GP regarding the plans was approved by written consent of EPO, which held approximately 58.6% of Duncan Energy Partners’ outstanding common units as of that date. Because EPO held a majority of Duncan Energy Partners’ common units as of December 30, 2009, no other votes were necessary to adopt the plans. In February 2010, Duncan Energy Partners filed a registration statement with the SEC authorizing the issuance of up to 500,000 common units in connection with the 2010 Plan and 500,000 common units in connection with the DEP EUPP. The plans became effective on February 11, 2010.

Restricted Unit Awards

Restricted unit awards allow recipients to acquire common units of Enterprise Products Partners (at no cost to the recipient) once a defined vesting period expires, subject to customary forfeiture

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

provisions. The restrictions on such awards generally lapse four years from the date of grant. The fair value of restricted units is based on the market price per unit of the underlying security on the date of grant. As used in the context of our long-term incentive plans, the term “restricted unit” represents a time-vested unit. Such awards are non-vested until the required service period expires.

The following table summarizes information regarding our restricted unit awards for the year ended December 31, 2009:

	Number of Units	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value per Unit (1)
Restricted units at December 31, 2008	2,080,600	\$ 29.09
Granted (2)	1,025,650	\$ 24.89
Vested	(281,500)	\$ 26.70
Forfeited	(411,884)	\$ 28.37
Awards assumed in connection with TEPPCO Merger	308,016	\$ 27.64
Restricted units at December 31, 2009	<u>2,720,882</u>	\$ 27.70

(1) Determined by dividing the aggregate grant date fair value of awards before an allowance for forfeitures by the number of awards issued. With respect to restricted unit awards assumed in connection with the TEPPCO Merger, the weighted-average grant date fair value per unit was determined by dividing the aggregate grant date fair value of the assumed awards before an allowance for forfeitures by the number of awards assumed.

(2) Aggregate grant date fair value of restricted unit awards issued during 2009 was \$25.5 million based on grant date market prices of Enterprise Products Partners’ common units ranging from \$20.08 to \$28.73 per unit. Estimated forfeiture rates ranging between 4.6% and 17% were applied to these awards.

The total fair value of restricted unit awards that vested during the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$7.5 million.

Unit Option Awards

Certain of our long-term incentive plans provide for the issuance of non-qualified incentive options to purchase a fixed number of Enterprise Products Partners’ common units. When issued, the exercise price of each option grant may be no less than the market price of the underlying security on the date of grant. In general, options granted under the EPCO plans have a vesting period of four years and remain exercisable for five to ten years, as applicable, from the date of grant.

The fair value of each unit option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which incorporates various assumptions including expected life of the options, risk-free interest rates, expected distribution yield on Enterprise Products Partners’ common units, and expected unit price volatility of Enterprise Products Partners’ common units. In general, our assumption of expected life of the options represents the period of time that the options are expected to be outstanding based on an analysis of historical option activity. Our selection of the risk-free interest rate is based on published yields for U.S. government securities with comparable terms. The expected distribution yield and unit price volatility is estimated based on several factors, which include an analysis of Enterprise Products Partners’ historical unit price volatility and distribution yield over a period equal to the expected life of the option.

In order to fund its unit option-related obligations, EPCO may purchase common units at fair value either in the open market or directly from Enterprise Products Partners. When employees exercise unit options, Enterprise Products Partners reimburses EPCO for the cash difference between the strike price paid by the employee and the actual purchase price paid by EPCO for the units issued to the employee.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

The following table presents unit option activity under the EPCO plans for the year ended December 31, 2009:

	Number of Units	Weighted- Average Strike Price (dollars/unit)	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (1)
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	2,963,500	27.56		
Granted (2)	1,460,000	23.46		
Exercised	(261,000)	19.61		
Forfeited	(930,540)	26.69		
Awards assumed in connection with TEPPCO Merger	593,960	26.12		
Outstanding at December 31, 2009 (3)	<u>3,825,920</u>	26.52	<u>4.6</u>	<u>\$ 2.8</u>
Options exercisable at:				
December 31, 2009 (3)	<u>447,500</u>	<u>\$ 25.09</u>	<u>4.8</u>	<u>\$ 2.8</u>

- (1) Aggregate intrinsic value reflects fully vested unit options at the date indicated.
- (2) Aggregate grant date fair value of these unit options issued during 2009 was \$8.1 million based on the following assumptions: (i) a weighted-average grant date market price of Enterprise Products Partners' common units of \$23.46 per unit; (ii) weighted-average expected life of options of 4.8 years; (iii) weighted-average risk-free interest rate of 2.1%; (iv) weighted-average expected distribution yield on Enterprise Products Partners' common units of 9.4% and (v) weighted-average expected unit price volatility on Enterprise Products Partners common units of 57.4%. An estimated forfeiture rate of 17% was applied to awards granted during 2009.
- (3) We were committed to issue 3,825,920 of Enterprise Products Partners' common units at December 31, 2009, if all outstanding options awarded (as of this date) were exercised. Of the option awards outstanding at December 31, 2009, an additional 410,000, 712,280, 736,000 and 1,520,140 are exercisable in 2010, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

The following table presents supplemental information regarding Enterprise Products Partners' unit options for the year ended December 31, 2009:

Total intrinsic value of option awards exercised during period	\$ 2.4
Cash received from EPCO in connection with the exercise of unit option awards	1.7
Option-related reimbursements to EPCO	2.4

Profits Interests Awards

As long-term incentive arrangements, EPCO has granted its key employees who perform services on behalf of us, EPCO and other affiliated companies, "profits interests" in several limited partnerships (the "Employee Partnerships"), all of which are private company affiliates of EPCO. At December 31, 2009, the Employee Partnerships are EPE Unit I, EPE Unit II, EPE Unit III, Enterprise Unit and EPCO Unit. TEPPCO Unit L.P. and TEPPCO Unit II L.P. were dissolved during 2009.

Profits interests awards entitle each holder to participate in the expected long-term appreciation in value of the equity securities owned by each Employee Partnership. The Employee Partnerships in which our named executive officers participate own either units of Enterprise GP Holdings or Enterprise Products Partners or a combination of both. The profits interests awards are subject to customary forfeiture provisions.

Each Employee Partnership has a single Class A limited partner, which is a privately held indirect subsidiary of EPCO, and a varying number of Class B limited partners. At formation, the Class A limited partner either contributes cash or limited partner units it owns to the Employee Partnership. If cash is contributed, the Employee Partnership uses these funds to acquire limited partner units on the open market. In general, the Class A limited partner earns a preferred return (either fixed or variable depending on the partnership agreement) on its investment (or "Capital Base") in the Employee Partnership and residual quarterly cash amounts, if any, are distributed to the Class B limited partners. Upon liquidation, Employee Partnership assets having a fair market value equal to the Class A limited partner's Capital Base, plus any

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

preferred return for the period in which liquidation occurs, will be distributed to the Class A limited partner. Any remaining assets will be distributed to the Class B limited partner(s) as a residual profits interest and are a factor of the appreciation in value of the partnership's assets since its formation date.

The grant date fair value of each Employee Partnership is based on (i) the estimated value of the remaining assets, as determined using a Black-Scholes option pricing model, that would be distributed to the Class B limited partners upon dissolution of the Employee Partnership and (ii) the value, based on a discounted cash flow analysis using appropriate discount rates, of the residual quarterly cash amounts that the Class B limited partners are expected to receive over the life of the Employee Partnership.

The following table summarizes key elements of each Employee Partnership as of December 31, 2009. As used in the table in reference to the description of assets, "EPE" means Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. and "EPD" means Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

Employee Partnership	Description of Assets	Initial Class A Capital Base	Class A Partner Preferred Return	Liquidation Date (1)	Grant Date Fair Value of Awards
EPE Unit I	1,821,428 EPE units	\$51.0 million	4.50% to 5.725%	February 2016	\$21.5 million
EPE Unit II	40,725 EPE units	\$1.5 million	4.50% to 5.725%	February 2016	\$0.4 million
EPE Unit III	4,421,326 EPE units	\$170.0 million	3.80%	February 2016	\$42.8 million
Enterprise Unit	881,836 EPE units 844,552 EPD units	\$51.5 million	5.00%	February 2016	\$6.5 million
EPCO Unit	779,102 EPD units	\$17.0 million	4.87%	February 2016	\$8.1 million

(1) The liquidation date may be accelerated for change of control and other events as described in the underlying partnership agreements.

In December 2009, the expected liquidation date for each Employee Partnership was extended to February 2016. This modification follows a similar set of modifications made in July 2008 for EPE Unit I, EPE Unit II and EPE Unit III that extended liquidation dates as well as reduced the Class A limited partner's preferred return rates. These modifications are intended to align the interests of the employee partners of the Employee Partnerships with the long-term interests of EPCO and other unitholders in the relevant underlying publicly traded partnerships, which also hold indirectly a significant ownership interest in both us and our subsidiaries.

The following table presents the impact of modifications (e.g., extension of liquidation dates) and other changes on the aggregate grant date fair value (on an unallocated basis) of the Employee Partnerships for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Aggregate grant date fair values at beginning of period	\$ 64.6
Award modifications	19.5
Other adjustments, primarily forfeiture and regrant activity (1)	(4.8)
Aggregate grant date fair value at end of period	<u>\$ 79.3</u>

(1) TEPPCO Unit and TEPPCO Unit II were dissolved during 2009.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

The following table summarizes the assumptions we used in deriving that portion of the estimated grant date fair value for each Employee Partnership using a Black-Scholes option pricing model:

Employee Partnership	Expected Life of Award	Risk-Free Interest Rate	Expected Distribution Yield	Expected Unit Price Volatility
EPE Unit I	3 to 6 years	1.2% to 5.0%	3.0% to 6.7%	16.6% to 35.0%
EPE Unit II	4 to 6 years	1.6% to 4.4%	3.8% to 6.4%	18.7% to 31.7%
EPE Unit III	4 to 6 years	1.4% to 4.9%	4.0% to 6.4%	16.6% to 32.2%
Enterprise Unit	4 to 6 years	1.4% to 3.9%	4.5% to 8.4%	15.3% to 31.7%
EPCO Unit	4 to 6 years	1.6% to 2.4%	8.1% to 11.1%	27.0% to 50.0%

Phantom Units

Certain of our long-term incentive plans provide for the issuance of phantom unit awards. These awards are automatically redeemed for cash based on the fair value of the vested portion of phantom units at redemption dates in each award. The fair value of each phantom unit award is equal to the closing market price of the underlying security on the redemption date. Each participant is required to redeem their phantom units as they vest, which typically is three to four years from the date the award is granted. Our phantom units are accounted for as liability awards.

Certain of our long-term incentive plans also provide for the award of DERs in tandem with phantom unit awards. A DER entitles the participant to cash distributions equal to the product of the number of awards outstanding for the participant and the cash distribution rate per unit paid by the issuer to its unitholders. Such amounts are expensed when paid.

The following table presents additional information regarding our phantom unit awards for the year ended December 31, 2009:

	Phantom Unit Awards Issued by		
	TEPPCO	Enterprise Products Partners	Total
Phantom units at December 31, 2008	69,335	4,400	73,735
Granted	124	6,200	6,324
Vested	(61,519)	--	(61,519)
Settled or forfeited	(4,447)	--	(4,447)
Awards assumed in connection with TEPPCO Merger	(3,493)	4,327	834
Phantom units at December 31, 2009	--	14,927	14,927

At December 31, 2009, we had an accrued liability of \$0.2 million related to our phantom unit awards. During the year ended December 31, 2009 we paid liabilities of \$1.2 million related to our phantom unit awards.

At December 31, 2009, only the 2008 Plan and the 1999 Plan had significant phantom units outstanding. These awards will settle as follows: 4,327 in 2010, 4,400 in 2011 and 6,200 in 2012. The 2000 Plan and 2005 Plan also issued phantom units, all of which had vested and settled prior to December 31, 2009. The 3,472 phantom units outstanding under the 1999 Plan were settled in January 2010 and the plan terminated.

Unit Appreciation Rights

UARs entitle a participant to receive a cash payment on the vesting date equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the underlying security (determined as of a future vesting date) over the grant date fair value of the award. UARs are accounted for as liability awards.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

The following table presents additional information regarding our UARs for the year ended December 31, 2009:

	UARs Issued by			
	TEPPCO	Enterprise Products Partners	EPE	Total
UARs at December 31, 2008	431,377	--	90,000	521,377
Settled or forfeited	(166,217)	(186,614)	--	(352,831)
Awards assumed in connection with the TEPPCO Merger	(265,160)	328,810	--	63,650
UARs at December 31, 2009	--	142,196	90,000	232,196

At December 31, 2009, we had an accrued liability of \$0.3 million related to our UARs.

At December 31, 2009, 142,196 UARs had been granted under the 2006 Plan to certain employees of EPCO who work on our behalf. These awards are subject to five year cliff vesting requirements and are expected to settle in 2012. The grant date fair value with respect to these UARs is based on an Enterprise Products Partners' unit price of \$37.00. If the employee resigns prior to vesting, these UAR awards are forfeited.

Prior to the TEPPCO Merger, 95,654 UARs had been granted to the non-employee former directors of TEPPCO under the 2006 Plan. The awards were settled in October 2009 and \$0.1 million in cash was paid to the former directors.

The non-employee directors of DEP GP, the general partner of Duncan Energy Partners, have been granted UARs in the form of letter agreements. These liability awards are not part of any established long-term incentive plan of EPCO, Enterprise GP Holdings, Duncan Energy Partners or Enterprise Products Partners. The compensation expense associated with these awards is recognized by DEP GP, which is our consolidated subsidiary. At December 31, 2009, there were a total of 90,000 outstanding UARs granted to non-employee directors of DEP GP that cliff vest in 2012. If a director resigns prior to vesting, his UAR awards are forfeited. The grant date fair value with respect to these UARs is based on an Enterprise GP Holdings' unit price of \$36.68.

Note 5. Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities and Fair Value Measurements

In the course of our normal business operations, we are exposed to certain risks, including changes in interest rates, commodity prices and, to a limited extent, foreign exchange rates. In order to manage risks associated with certain identifiable and anticipated transactions, we use derivative instruments. Derivatives are instruments whose fair value is determined by changes in a specified benchmark such as interest rates, commodity prices or currency values. Fair value is generally defined as the amount at which a derivative instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, not in a forced sale. Typical derivative instruments include futures, forward contracts, swaps, options and other instruments with similar characteristics. Substantially all of our derivatives are used for non-trading activities.

We are required to recognize derivative instruments at fair value as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet. While all derivatives are required to be reported at fair value on the balance sheet, changes in fair value of the derivative instruments are reported in different ways depending on the nature and effectiveness of the hedging activities to which they are related. After meeting specified conditions, a qualified derivative may be specifically designated as a total or partial hedge of:

- § Changes in the fair value of a recognized asset or liability, or an unrecognized firm commitment.
- § Variable cash flows of a forecasted transaction.
- § Foreign currency exposure.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

An effective hedge relationship is one in which the change in fair value of a derivative instrument can be expected to offset 80% to 125% of changes in the fair value of a hedged item at inception and throughout the life of the hedging relationship. The effective portion of a hedge relationship is the amount by which the derivative instrument exactly offsets the change in fair value of the hedged item during the reporting period. Conversely, ineffectiveness represents the change in the fair value of the derivative instrument that does not exactly offset the change in the fair value of the hedged item. Ineffectiveness can be caused by, among other things, changes in the timing of forecasted transactions or a mismatch of terms between the derivative instrument and the hedged item.

Interest Rate Derivative Instruments

We utilize interest rate swaps, treasury locks and similar derivative instruments to manage our exposure to changes in the interest rates of certain consolidated debt agreements. This strategy is a component in controlling our cost of capital associated with such borrowings.

The following table summarizes our interest rate derivative instruments outstanding at December 31, 2009, all of which were designated as hedging instruments under the FASB's derivative and hedging guidance:

Hedged Transaction	Number and Type of Derivative Employed	Notional Amount	Period of Hedge	Rate Swap	Accounting Treatment
Enterprise Products Partners:					
Senior Notes C	1 fixed-to-floating swap	\$100.0	1/04 to 2/13	6.4% to 2.8%	Fair value hedge
Senior Notes G	3 fixed-to-floating swaps	\$300.0	10/04 to 10/14	5.6% to 1.5%	Fair value hedge
Senior Notes P	7 fixed-to-floating swaps	\$400.0	6/09 to 8/12	4.6% to 2.7%	Fair value hedge
Duncan Energy Partners:					
Variable-interest rate borrowings	3 floating-to-fixed swaps	\$175.0	9/07 to 9/10	0.3% to 4.6%	Cash flow hedge

At times, we may use treasury lock derivative instruments to hedge the underlying U.S. treasury rates related to forecasted issuances of debt.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we entered into four forward starting interest rate swaps to hedge the underlying benchmark interest payments related to the forecasted issuances of debt.

Hedged Transaction	Number and Type of Derivative Employed	Notional Amount	Period of Hedge	Average Rate Locked	Accounting Treatment
Future debt offering	1 forward starting swap	\$50.0	6/10 to 6/20	3.3%	Cash flow hedge
Future debt offering	3 forward starting swaps	\$250.0	2/11 to 2/21	3.6%	Cash flow hedge

Forward starting interest rate swaps are used to hedge the underlying benchmark interest payments related to the forecasted issuances of debt. The fair market value of the forward starting swaps was \$21.0 million at December 31, 2009. During January and February 2010, we entered into five additional forward starting swaps with a notional amount of \$50.0 million each. The period hedged by these five forward starting swaps is February 2012 through February 2022.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Commodity Derivative Instruments

The prices of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, refined products and certain petrochemical products are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply and demand, market conditions and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. In order to manage the price risk associated with certain exposures, we enter into commodity derivative instruments such as forwards, basis swaps, futures and options contracts. The following table summarizes our commodity derivative instruments outstanding at December 31, 2009:

Derivative Purpose	Volume (1)		Accounting Treatment
	Current	Long-Term (2)	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Enterprise Products Partners:			
Natural gas processing:			
Forecasted natural gas purchases for plant thermal reduction ("PTR") (3)	17.8 Bcf	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted NGL sales (4)	2.4 MMBbbls	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Octane enhancement:			
Forecasted purchases of NGLs	2.0 MMBbbls	n/a	Cash flow hedge
NGLs inventory management	0.1 MMBbbls	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of octane enhancement products	3.4 MMBbbls	0.4 MMBbbls	Cash flow hedge
Natural gas marketing:			
Natural gas storage inventory management activities	3.5 Bcf	n/a	Fair value hedge
NGL marketing:			
Forecasted purchases of NGLs and related hydrocarbon products	7.5 MMBbbls	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of NGLs and related hydrocarbon products	8.0 MMBbbls	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Enterprise Products Partners:			
Natural gas risk management activities (5) (6)	359.2 Bcf	33.9 Bcf	Mark-to-market
NGL risk management activities (6)	0.4 MMBbbls	n/a	Mark-to-market
Crude oil risk management activities (6)	3.5 MMBbbls	n/a	Mark-to-market
Duncan Energy Partners:			
Natural gas risk management activities (6)	2.2 Bcf	n/a	Mark-to-market

(1) Volume for derivatives designated as hedging instruments reflects the total amount of volumes hedged whereas volume for derivatives not designated as hedging instruments reflects the absolute value of derivative notional volumes.

(2) The maximum term for derivatives included in the long-term column is December 2012.

(3) PTR represents the British thermal unit equivalent of the NGLs extracted from natural gas by a processing plant, and includes the natural gas used as plant fuel to extract those liquids, plant flare and other shortages. See the discussion below for the primary objective of this strategy.

(4) Excludes 5.4 MMBbbls of additional hedges executed under contracts that have been designated as normal sales agreements under the FASB's derivative and hedging guidance. The combination of these volumes with the 2.4 MMBbbls reflected as derivatives in the table above results in a total of 7.8 MMBbbls of hedged forecasted NGL sales volumes, which corresponds to the 17.8 Bcf of forecasted natural gas purchase volumes for PTR.

(5) Current and long-term volumes include approximately 109.5 and 12.6 billion cubic feet ("Bcf"), respectively, of physical derivative instruments that are predominantly priced at an index plus a premium or minus a discount.

(6) Reflects the use of derivative instruments to manage risks associated with transportation, processing and storage assets.

Certain of our derivative instruments do not meet hedge accounting requirements; therefore, they are accounted for using mark-to-market accounting.

Our three predominant hedging strategies are hedging natural gas processing margins, hedging anticipated future sales of NGLs, refined products and crude oil associated with volumes held in inventory and hedging the fair value of natural gas in inventory. The objective of our natural gas processing strategy is to hedge an amount of gross margin associated with the gas processing activities. We achieve this by using physical and financial instruments to lock in the prices of natural gas purchases used for PTR and NGL sales. This program consists of (i) the forward sale of a portion of our expected equity NGL

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

production at fixed prices through December 2010, achieved through the use of forward physical sales and commodity derivative instruments and (ii) the purchase of commodity derivative instruments with a notional amount determined by the amount of natural gas expected to be consumed as PTR in the production of such equity NGL production. The objective of our NGL, refined products and crude oil sales hedging program is to hedge anticipated future sales of inventory by locking in the sales price through the use of forward physical sales and commodity derivative instruments. The objective of our natural gas inventory hedging program is to hedge the fair value of natural gas currently held in inventory by locking in the sales price of the inventory through the use of commodity derivative instruments.

Foreign Currency Derivative Instruments

We are exposed to a nominal amount of foreign currency exchange risk in connection with our NGL and natural gas marketing activities in Canada. As a result, we could be adversely affected by fluctuations in currency rates between the U.S. dollar and Canadian dollar. In order to manage this risk, we may enter into foreign exchange purchase contracts to lock in the exchange rate. These long-term transactions (more than two months) are accounted for as cash flow hedges. Shorter term transactions are accounted for using mark-to-market accounting.

In 2009 we were exposed to foreign currency exchange risk in connection with a term loan denominated in Japanese yen. We entered into this loan agreement in November 2008 and the loan matured in March 2009. The derivative instrument used to hedge this risk was accounted for as a cash flow hedge and was settled upon repayment of the loan.

At December 31, 2009, we had foreign currency derivative instruments outstanding with a notional amount of \$4.1 million Canadian dollars. The fair market value of these instruments was an asset of \$0.2 million at December 31, 2009.

Credit-Risk Related Contingent Features in Derivative Instruments

A limited number of our commodity derivative instruments include provisions related to credit ratings and/or adequate assurance clauses. A credit rating provision provides for a counterparty to demand immediate full or partial payment to cover a net liability position upon the loss of a stipulated credit rating. An adequate assurance clause provides for a counterparty to demand immediate full or partial payment to cover a net liability position should reasonable grounds for insecurity arise with respect to contractual performance by either party. At December 31, 2009, the aggregate fair value of our over-the-counter derivative instruments in a net liability position was \$7.7 million, approximately \$6.1 million of which was subject to a credit rating contingent feature. If our credit ratings were downgraded to Ba2/BB, approximately \$1.1 million would be payable as a margin deposit to the counterparties, and if our credit ratings were downgraded to Ba3/BB- or below, approximately \$6.1 million would be payable as a margin deposit to the counterparties. Currently, no margin is required to be deposited. The potential for derivatives with contingent features to enter a net liability position may change in the future as positions and prices fluctuate.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Tabular Presentation of Fair Value Amounts of Derivative Instruments and Related Hedged Items

The following table provides a balance sheet overview of our derivative assets and liabilities at December 31, 2009:

	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
<u>Derivatives designated as hedging instruments</u>				
Interest rate derivatives	Derivative assets	\$ 32.7	Derivative liabilities	\$ 5.5
Interest rate derivatives	Other assets	31.8	Other liabilities	2.2
Total interest rate derivatives		64.5		7.7
Commodity derivatives	Derivative assets	52.0	Derivative liabilities	62.6
Commodity derivatives	Other assets	0.5	Other liabilities	1.8
Total commodity derivatives (1)		52.5		64.4
Foreign currency derivatives (2)	Derivative assets	0.2	Derivative liabilities	--
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 117.2		\$ 72.1
<u>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</u>				
Commodity derivatives	Derivative assets	\$ 28.9	Derivative liabilities	\$ 24.9
Commodity derivatives	Other assets	2.0	Other liabilities	2.7
Total commodity derivatives		30.9		27.6
Foreign currency derivatives	Derivative assets	--	Derivative liabilities	--
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 30.9		\$ 27.6

(1) Represents commodity derivative transactions that either have not settled or have settled and not been invoiced. Settled and invoiced transactions are reflected in either accounts receivable or accounts payable depending on the outcome of the transaction.

(2) Relates to the hedging of our exposure to fluctuations in the foreign currency exchange rate related to our Canadian NGL marketing subsidiary.

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at a specified measurement date. Our fair value estimates are based on either (i) actual market data or (ii) assumptions that other market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, including estimates of risk. Recognized valuation techniques employ inputs such as product prices, operating costs, discount factors and business growth rates. These inputs may be either readily observable, corroborated by market data or generally unobservable. In developing our estimates of fair value, we endeavor to utilize the best information available and apply market-based data to the extent possible. Accordingly, we utilize valuation techniques (such as the market approach) that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

A three-tier hierarchy has been established that classifies fair value amounts recognized or disclosed on the balance sheet based on the observability of inputs used to estimate such fair values. The hierarchy considers fair value amounts based on observable inputs (Levels 1 and 2) to be more reliable and predictable than those based primarily on unobservable inputs (Level 3). At each balance sheet reporting date, we categorize our financial assets and liabilities using this hierarchy.

The characteristics of fair value amounts classified within each level of the hierarchy are described as follows:

§ Level 1 fair values are based on quoted prices, which are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the measurement date. Active markets are defined as those in which transactions for identical assets or liabilities occur with sufficient frequency so as to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis (e.g., the New York Mercantile Exchange). Our Level 1 fair values primarily consist of financial assets and liabilities such as exchange-traded commodity derivative instruments.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

§ Level 2 fair values are based on pricing inputs other than quoted prices in active markets (as reflected in Level 1 fair values) and are either directly or indirectly observable as of the measurement date. Level 2 fair values include instruments that are valued using financial models or other appropriate valuation methodologies. Such financial models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, the time value of money, volatility factors, current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments and other relevant economic measures. Substantially all of these assumptions are: (i) observable in the marketplace throughout the full term of the instrument, (ii) can be derived from observable data or (iii) are validated by inputs other than quoted prices (e.g., interest rate and yield curves at commonly quoted intervals). Our Level 2 fair values primarily consist of commodity derivative instruments such as forwards, swaps and other instruments transacted on an exchange or over the counter. The fair values of these derivatives are based on observable price quotes for similar products and locations. The value of our interest rate derivatives are valued by using appropriate financial models with the implied forward London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) yield curve for the same period as the future interest swap settlements.

§ Level 3 fair values are based on unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs are used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity’s own ideas about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). Unobservable inputs are based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include the reporting entity’s internally developed data. The reporting entity must not ignore information about market participant assumptions that is reasonably available without undue cost and effort. Level 3 inputs are typically used in connection with internally developed valuation methodologies where management makes its best estimate of an instrument’s fair value. Our Level 3 fair values largely consist of ethane, normal butane and natural gasoline-based contracts with a range of two to 12 months in term. We rely on price quotes from reputable brokers in the marketplace who publish price quotes on certain products. Whenever possible, we compare these prices to other reputable brokers for the same product in the same market. These prices, combined with our forward transactions, are used in our model to determine the fair value of such instruments.

The following table set forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy, our financial assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis at December 31, 2009. These financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of the fair value assets and liabilities, in addition to their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	<u>Total</u>
Financial assets:				
Interest rate derivative instruments	\$ --	\$ 64.5	\$ --	\$ 64.5
Commodity derivative instruments	14.6	34.4	34.4	83.4
Foreign currency derivative instruments	--	0.2	--	0.2
Total	<u>\$ 14.6</u>	<u>\$ 99.1</u>	<u>\$ 34.4</u>	<u>\$ 148.1</u>
Financial liabilities:				
Interest rate derivative instruments	\$ --	\$ 7.7	\$ --	\$ 7.7
Commodity derivative instruments	17.1	46.2	28.7	92.0
Total	<u>\$ 17.1</u>	<u>\$ 53.9</u>	<u>\$ 28.7</u>	<u>\$ 99.7</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of our Level 3 financial assets and liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2009:

Balance, January 1	\$	32.4
Total gains (losses) included in:		
Net income		27.0
Other comprehensive income (loss)		(21.8)
Purchases, issuances, settlements		(26.8)
Transfer out of Level 3		(5.1)
Balance, December 31	\$	5.7

Nonfinancial Assets and Liabilities

Certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (e.g., when there is evidence of impairment). The following table presents the estimated fair value of certain assets carried on our Consolidated Balance Sheet by caption for which a nonrecurring change in fair value has been recorded during the year ended December 31, 2009:

	Level 3	Impairment Charges
Property, plant and equipment (see Note 7)	\$ 29.6	\$ 29.4
Intangible assets (see Note 10)	0.6	0.6
Goodwill (see Note 10)	--	1.3
Other current assets	1.2	2.2
Total	\$ 31.4	\$ 33.5

Using appropriate valuation techniques, we adjusted the carrying value of certain assets to \$31.4 million and recorded non-cash impairment charges of \$33.5 million during 2009. These charges have been allocated to property, plant and equipment, intangible assets, goodwill and other current assets. During 2009, impairments primarily resulted from (i) reduced levels of throughput volumes at certain river terminals and the indefinite suspension of three new proposed river terminals, (ii) reduced throughput levels at a natural gas processing plant, (iii) the cancellation of a compressor station project and (iv) the determination that a storage cavern and certain marine barges were obsolete. Our fair value estimates were based primarily on an evaluation of the future cash flows associated with each asset.

Note 6. Inventories

Our inventory amounts were as follows at December 31, 2009:

Working inventory (1)	\$	466.4
Forward sales inventory (2)		245.5
Total inventory	\$	711.9

(1) Working inventory is comprised of inventories of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, refined products, lubrication oils and certain petrochemical products that are either available-for-sale or used in the provision for services.

(2) Forward sales inventory consists of identified natural gas, NGL, refined product and crude oil volumes dedicated to the fulfillment of forward sales contracts. In general, the increase in volumes dedicated to forward physical sales contracts improves the overall utilization and profitability of our fee-based assets. The cash invested in forward sales NGL inventories is expected to be recovered within the next twelve months as physical delivery from inventory occurs.

In those instances where we take ownership of inventory volumes through percent-of-liquids contracts and similar arrangements (as opposed to actually purchasing volumes for cash from third parties), these volumes are valued at market-based prices during the month in which they are acquired.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Due to fluctuating commodity prices, we recognize LCM adjustments when the carrying value of our inventories exceeds their net realizable value.

To the extent our commodity hedging strategies address inventory-related risks and are successful, these inventory valuation adjustments are mitigated or offset. See Note 5 for a description of our commodity hedging activities.

Note 7. Property, Plant and Equipment

Our property, plant and equipment values and accumulated depreciation balance were as follows at December 31, 2009:

	Estimated Useful Life in Years	
Plants and pipelines (1)	3-45 (5)	\$ 17,681.9
Underground and other storage facilities (2)	5-40 (6)	1,280.5
Platforms and facilities (3)	20-31	637.6
Transportation equipment (4)	3-10	60.1
Marine vessels	20-30	559.4
Land		82.9
Construction in progress		1,207.2
Total		21,509.6
Less accumulated depreciation		3,820.4
Property, plant and equipment, net		<u>\$ 17,689.2</u>

(1) Plants and pipelines include processing plants; NGL, petrochemical, crude oil and natural gas pipelines; terminal loading and unloading facilities; office furniture and equipment; buildings; laboratory and shop equipment and related assets.

(2) Underground and other storage facilities include underground product storage caverns; above ground storage tanks; water wells and related assets.

(3) Platforms and facilities include offshore platforms and related facilities and other associated assets.

(4) Transportation equipment includes vehicles and similar assets used in our operations.

(5) In general, the estimated useful lives of major components of this category are as follows: processing plants, 20-35 years; pipelines and related equipment, 5-45 years; terminal facilities, 10-35 years; delivery facilities, 20-40 years; office furniture and equipment, 3-20 years; buildings, 20-40 years; and laboratory and shop equipment, 5-35 years.

(6) In general, the estimated useful lives of major components of this category are as follows: underground storage facilities, 5-35 years; storage tanks, 10-40 years; and water wells, 5-35 years.

In August 2008, our wholly owned subsidiaries, together with Oiltanking Holding Americas, Inc. ("Oiltanking") formed the Texas Offshore Port System partnership ("TOPS"). Effective April 16, 2009, our wholly owned subsidiaries dissociated from TOPS.

TOPS was a consolidated subsidiary of ours prior to the dissociation. The effect of deconsolidation was to remove the accounts of TOPS, including Oiltanking's noncontrolling interest of \$33.4 million, from our books and records, after reflecting the \$68.4 million aggregate write-off of the investment.

We recorded \$53.1 million in capitalized interest during the year ended December 31, 2009.

In addition, we recorded \$21.0 million, \$4.3 million and \$4.1 million of non-cash asset impairment charges within our Petrochemical & Refined Products Services segment, Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services segment and NGL Pipelines & Services segment, respectively, related to plant, property and equipment during the year ended December 31, 2009. See Note 5 for additional information regarding impairment charges.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Asset Retirement Obligations

We have recorded AROs related to legal requirements to perform retirement activities as specified in contractual arrangements and/or governmental regulations. In general, our AROs primarily result from (i) right-of-way agreements associated with our pipeline operations, (ii) leases of plant sites and (iii) regulatory requirements triggered by the abandonment or retirement of certain underground storage assets and offshore facilities. In addition, our AROs may result from the renovation or demolition of certain assets containing hazardous substances such as asbestos.

The following table presents information regarding our AROs since December 31, 2008:

ARO liability balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 42.2
Liabilities incurred	0.5
Liabilities settled	(17.1)
Revisions in estimated cash flows	26.1
Accretion expense	3.1
ARO liability balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 54.8

The increase in our ARO liability balance during 2009 primarily reflects revised estimates of the cost to comply with regulatory abandonment obligations associated with our offshore facilities in the Gulf of Mexico.

Property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2009 includes \$26.7 million of asset retirement costs capitalized as an increase in the associated long-lived asset.

Certain of our unconsolidated affiliates have AROs recorded at December 31, 2009 relating to contractual agreements and regulatory requirements. These amounts are immaterial to our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Note 8. Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

We own interests in a number of related businesses that are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. We group our investments in unconsolidated affiliates according to the business segment to which they relate (see Note 13 for a general discussion of our business segments). The following table shows our investments in unconsolidated affiliates by business segment at December 31, 2009:

	Ownership Percentage	
NGL Pipelines & Services:		
Venice Energy Service Company, L.L.C.	13.1%	\$ 32.6
K/D/S Promix, L.L.C.	50%	48.9
Baton Rouge Fractionators LLC	32.2%	22.2
Skelly-Belvieu Pipeline Company, L.L.C.	49%	37.9
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services:		
Evangeline (1)	49.5%	5.6
White River Hub, LLC	50%	26.4
Onshore Crude Oil Pipelines & Services:		
Seaway Crude Pipeline Company	50%	178.5
Offshore Pipelines & Services:		
Poseidon Oil Pipeline, L.L.C.	36%	61.7
Cameron Highway Oil Pipeline Company ("Cameron Highway")	50%	239.6
Deepwater Gateway, L.L.C.	50%	101.8
Neptune Pipeline Company, L.L.C.	25.7%	53.8
Nemo Gas Gathering Company, LLC ("Nemo")	33.9%	--
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services:		
Baton Rouge Propylene Concentrator, LLC	30%	11.1
Centennial Pipeline LLC ("Centennial")	50%	66.7
Other (2)	Varies	3.8
Total		<u>\$ 890.6</u>

(1) Evangeline refers to our ownership interests in Evangeline Gas Pipeline Company, L.P. and Evangeline Gas Corp., collectively.

(2) Other unconsolidated affiliates include a 50% interest in a propylene pipeline extending from Mont Belvieu, Texas to La Porte, Texas and a 25% interest in a company that provides logistics communications solutions between petroleum pipelines and their customers.

On occasion, the price we pay to acquire an ownership interest in a company exceeds the underlying book value of the capital accounts we acquire. Such excess cost amounts are included within the carrying values of our investments in unconsolidated affiliates. The following table summarizes the unamortized excess cost amounts by business segment at December 31, 2009:

NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 27.1
Onshore Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	20.4
Offshore Pipelines & Service	17.3
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	4.0
Total	<u>\$ 68.8</u>

NGL Pipelines & Services

At December 31, 2009, our investees included in our NGL Pipelines & Services segment own: (i) a natural gas processing facility and related assets located in south Louisiana, (ii) an NGL fractionation facility and related storage and pipeline assets located in south Louisiana, (iii) an NGL fractionation facility located in south Louisiana and (iv) a 572-mile pipeline that transports mixed NGLs to markets in southeast Texas.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services

At December 31, 2009, our investees included in our Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services segment own: (i) a natural gas pipeline located in south Louisiana and (ii) a natural gas hub located in northwest Colorado that commenced operations in December 2008.

Onshore Crude Oil Pipelines & Services

At December 31, 2009, our investee included in our Onshore Crude Oil Pipelines & Services segment owns a pipeline that transports crude oil from a marine terminal located in Freeport, Texas, to Cushing, Oklahoma, and from a marine terminal located in Texas City, Texas, to refineries in the Texas City and Houston, Texas areas.

Offshore Pipelines & Services

At December 31, 2009, our investees included in our Offshore Pipelines & Services segment own: (i) a crude oil pipeline that gathers production from the outer continental shelf and deepwater areas of the Gulf of Mexico for delivery to onshore locations in south Louisiana, (ii) a crude oil pipeline that gathers production from deepwater areas of the Gulf of Mexico, primarily the South Green Canyon area, for delivery to refineries and terminals in southeast Texas, (iii) a crude oil and natural gas platform that processes production from the Marco Polo, K2, K2 North and Genghis Khan fields located in the South Green Canyon area of the Gulf of Mexico and (iv) natural gas pipeline systems located in the Gulf of Mexico.

Petrochemical & Refined Products Services

At December 31, 2009, the investees included in our Petrochemical & Refined Products Services segment own: (i) a propylene fractionation facility located in south Louisiana, (ii) a propylene pipeline extending from Mont Belvieu, Texas to La Porte, Texas and (iii) an interstate refined products pipeline extending from the upper Texas Gulf Coast to central Illinois that effectively loops our refined products pipeline system providing incremental transportation capacity into Mid-continent markets.

Summarized Combined Financial Information of Unconsolidated Affiliates

The combined balance sheet information at December 31, 2009 is summarized below:

Current assets	\$	201.0
Property, plant and equipment, net		1,997.2
Other assets		36.4
Total assets	\$	<u>2,234.6</u>
Current liabilities	\$	118.6
Other liabilities		255.4
Combined equity		1,860.6
Total liabilities and combined equity	\$	<u>2,234.6</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Note 9. Business Combinations

The following table presents our cash used for business combinations by segment for the year ended December 31, 2009:

NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 33.3
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	0.8
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	73.2
Total cash used for business combinations	<u>\$ 107.3</u>

The following table depicts the fair value allocation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed for our business combinations for the year ended December 31, 2009:

Assets acquired in business combination:	
Current assets	\$ 1.4
Property, plant and equipment, net	115.9
Intangible assets	0.3
Other assets	(0.3)
Total assets acquired	<u>117.3</u>
Liabilities assumed in business combination:	
Current liabilities	0.3
Total liabilities assumed	<u>0.3</u>
Total assets acquired plus liabilities assumed	117.6
Noncontrolling interest acquired	10.3
Total cash used for business combinations	<u>107.3</u>
Goodwill	<u>\$ --</u>

Our business combinations primarily consisted of:

- § the acquisition of certain rail and truck terminal facilities located in Mont Belvieu, Texas from Martin Midstream Partners LP for \$23.7 million in cash;
- § the acquisition of tow boats and tank barges primarily based in Miami, Florida, with additional assets located in Mobile, Alabama and Houston, Texas from TransMontaigne Product Services Inc. for \$50.0 million in cash; and
- § the acquisition of a majority interest in the Rio Grande Pipeline Company ("Rio Grande") purchased from HEP Navajo Southern L.P. for \$32.8 million in cash. Rio Grande owns an NGL pipeline system in Texas.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Note 10. Intangible Assets and Goodwill
Identifiable Intangible Assets

The following table summarizes our intangible assets by segment at December 31, 2009:

	December 31, 2009		
	Gross Value	Accum. Amort.	Carrying Value
NGL Pipelines & Services:			
Customer relationship intangibles	\$ 237.4	\$ (86.5)	\$ 150.9
Contract-based intangibles	321.4	(156.7)	164.7
Segment total	<u>558.8</u>	<u>(243.2)</u>	<u>315.6</u>
Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services:			
Customer relationship intangibles	372.0	(124.3)	247.7
Contract-based intangibles	565.3	(285.8)	279.5
Segment total	<u>937.3</u>	<u>(410.1)</u>	<u>527.2</u>
Onshore Crude Oil Pipelines & Services:			
Contract-based intangibles	10.0	(3.5)	6.5
Segment total	<u>10.0</u>	<u>(3.5)</u>	<u>6.5</u>
Offshore Pipelines & Services:			
Customer relationship intangibles	205.8	(105.3)	100.5
Contract-based intangibles	1.2	(0.2)	1.0
Segment total	<u>207.0</u>	<u>(105.5)</u>	<u>101.5</u>
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services: (1)			
Customer relationship intangibles	104.6	(18.8)	85.8
Contract-based intangibles	42.1	(13.9)	28.2
Segment total	<u>146.7</u>	<u>(32.7)</u>	<u>114.0</u>
Total all segments	<u>\$ 1,859.8</u>	<u>\$ (795.0)</u>	<u>\$ 1,064.8</u>

(1) Amount includes a non-cash impairment charge of \$0.6 million in 2009 related to certain intangible assets, see Note 5 for additional information.

In general, our intangible assets fall within two categories – customer relationship and contract-based intangible assets. The values assigned to such intangible assets are amortized to earnings using either (i) a straight-line approach or (ii) other methods that closely resemble the pattern in which the economic benefits of associated resource bases are estimated to be consumed or otherwise used, as appropriate.

Customer relationship intangible assets. Customer relationship intangible assets represent the estimated economic value assigned to certain relationships acquired in connection with business combinations and asset purchases whereby (i) we acquired information about or access to customers and now have regular contact with them and (ii) the customers now have the ability to make direct contact with us. Customer relationships may arise from contractual arrangements (such as supplier contracts and service contracts) and through means other than contracts, such as through regular contact by sales or service representatives.

At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our customer relationship intangible assets was \$584.9 million. The following information summarizes the significant components of this category of intangible assets:

§ San Juan Gathering System customer relationships – We acquired these customer relationships in connection with the GulfTerra Merger, which was completed on September 30, 2004. At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of this group of intangible assets was \$220.8 million. These intangible assets are being amortized to earnings over their estimated economic life of 35 years through 2039. Amortization expense is recorded using a method that closely resembles the pattern in which the economic benefits of the underlying natural gas resource bases are expected to be consumed or otherwise used.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

§ Offshore Pipeline & Platform customer relationships – We acquired these customer relationships in connection with the GulfTerra Merger. At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of this group of intangible assets was \$100.5 million. These intangible assets are being amortized to earnings over their estimated economic lives, which range from 18 to 33 years (i.e., through 2022 to 2037). Amortization expense is recorded using a method that closely resembles the pattern in which the economic benefits of the underlying crude oil and natural gas resource bases are expected to be consumed or otherwise used.

§ Encinal natural gas processing customer relationship – We acquired this customer relationship in connection with our Encinal acquisition in 2006. At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of this intangible asset was \$89.3 million. This intangible asset is being amortized to earnings over its estimated economic life of 20 years through 2026. Amortization expense is recorded using a method that closely resembles the pattern in which the economic benefit of the underlying natural gas resource bases are expected to be consumed or otherwise used.

Contract-based intangible assets. Contract-based intangible assets represent specific commercial rights we acquired in connection with business combinations or asset purchases. At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our contract-based intangible assets was \$479.9 million. The following information summarizes the significant components of this category of intangible assets:

§ Jonah Gas Gathering Company (“Jonah”) natural gas gathering agreements – These intangible assets represent the value attributed to certain of Jonah’s natural gas gathering contracts that were originally acquired by TEPPCO in 2001. At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of this group of intangible assets was \$125.0 million. These intangible assets are being amortized to earnings using a units-of-production method based on throughput volumes on the Jonah system, which is estimated to extend through 2041.

§ Val Verde natural gas gathering agreements – These intangible assets represent the value attributed to certain natural gas gathering agreements associated with our Val Verde Gathering System that was originally acquired by TEPPCO in 2002. At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of these intangible assets was \$98.4 million. These intangible assets are being amortized to earnings using a units-of-production method based on throughput volumes on the Val Verde Gathering System, which is estimated to extend through 2032.

§ Shell Processing Agreement – This margin-band/keepwhole processing agreement grants us the right to process Shell Oil Company’s (or its assignee’s) current and future natural gas production within the state and federal waters of the Gulf of Mexico. We acquired the Shell Processing Agreement in connection with our 1999 purchase of certain of Shell’s midstream energy assets located along the U.S. Gulf Coast. At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of this intangible asset was \$105.9 million. This intangible asset is being amortized to earnings on a straight-line basis over its estimated economic life of 20 years through 2019.

§ Mississippi natural gas storage contracts – These intangible assets represent the value assigned by us to certain natural gas storage contracts associated with our Petal and Hattiesburg, Mississippi storage facilities. These facilities were acquired in connection with the GulfTerra Merger. At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of these intangible assets was \$55.4 million. These intangible assets are being amortized to earnings on a straight-line basis over the remainder of their respective contract terms, which range from eight to 18 years (i.e. 2012 through 2022).

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price of an acquired business over the amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction. Goodwill is not amortized; however, it is subject to annual impairment testing at the beginning of each fiscal year. The following table presents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2009:

	<u>NGL Pipelines & Services</u>	<u>Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services</u>	<u>Onshore Crude Oil Pipelines & Services</u>	<u>Offshore Pipelines & Services</u>	<u>Petrochemical & Refined Products Services</u>	<u>Consolidated Totals</u>
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 341.2	\$ 284.9	\$ 303.0	\$ 82.1	\$ 1,008.4	\$ 2,019.6
Impairment charges (1)	--	--	--	--	(1.3)	(1.3)
Balance at December 31, 2009 (2)	<u>\$ 341.2</u>	<u>\$ 284.9</u>	<u>\$ 303.0</u>	<u>\$ 82.1</u>	<u>\$ 1,007.1</u>	<u>\$ 2,018.3</u>

(1) See Note 5 for additional information regarding impairment charges recorded during year ended December 31, 2009.

(2) The total carrying amount of goodwill at December 31, 2009 is reflected net of \$1.3 million of accumulated impairment charges.

Our goodwill impairment testing involves the determination of a reporting unit's fair value, which is predicated based on our assumptions regarding the future economic prospects of the reporting unit. Such assumptions include (i) discrete financial forecasts for the assets contained within the reporting unit, which rely on management's estimates of operating margins and transportation volumes; (ii) long-term growth rates for cash flows beyond the discrete forecast period and (iii) appropriate discount rates. Based on our most recent goodwill impairment testing, each reporting unit's fair value was substantially in excess (a minimum of 10%) of its carrying value.

The following table summarizes components of our goodwill amounts by segment at December 31, 2009:

NGL Pipelines & Services

Acquisition of ownership interests in TEPPCO	\$ 72.2
GulfTerra Merger	23.8
Acquisition of Encinal	95.3
Acquisition of interest in Dixie	80.3
Acquisition of Great Divide	44.9
Acquisition of Indian Springs natural gas processing business	13.2
Other	11.5

Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services

GulfTerra Merger	279.9
Other	5.0

Onshore Crude Oil Pipeline & Services

Acquisition of ownership interests in TEPPCO	288.8
Acquisition of crude oil pipeline and services business	14.2

Offshore Pipelines & Services

GulfTerra Merger	82.1
------------------	------

Petrochemical & Refined Products Services

Acquisition of ownership interests in TEPPCO	842.3
Acquisition of marine services businesses	90.4
Acquisition of Mont Belvieu propylene fractionation business	73.7
Other (1)	0.7

Total	<u>\$ 2,018.3</u>
-------	-------------------

(1) Includes a non-cash impairment charge of \$1.3 million, see Note 5 for additional information.

Goodwill attributable to the acquisition of ownership interests in TEPPCO. As a result of our ownership of 100% of the limited and general partner interests of TEPPCO following the recently completed TEPPCO Merger, we applied push down accounting to the \$1.2 billion of goodwill recorded by affiliates of EPCO (which are under common control with us) when they acquired 100% of the membership interests of TEPPCO GP and 4,400,000 TEPPCO limited partner units from a third-party in February 2005.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

The \$1.2 billion in push down goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price paid by such affiliates to acquire ownership interests in TEPPCO in February 2005 over the respective fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the February 2005 transaction. Management attributes the \$1.2 billion of goodwill to the future economic benefits we may realize from our ownership of TEPPCO, including anticipated commercial synergies and cost savings.

TEPPCO owns and operates an extensive network of assets that facilitate the movement, marketing, gathering and storage services of various commodities and energy-related products. TEPPCO's pipeline network is comprised of approximately 12,500 miles of pipelines that gather and transport refined products, crude oil, natural gas and NGLs, including one of the largest common carrier pipelines for refined products in the United States. TEPPCO also owns a marine services business that transports refined products, crude oil, asphalt, condensate, heavy fuel oil and other heated oil products via tow boats and tank barges. In addition, TEPPCO owns interests in the Seaway and Centennial pipeline systems.

Goodwill attributable to GulfTerra Merger. Goodwill recorded in connection with the GulfTerra Merger can be attributed to our belief (at the time the merger was consummated) that the combined partnerships would benefit from the strategic location of each partnership's assets and the industry relationships that each possessed. In addition, we expected that various operating synergies could develop (such as reduced general and administrative costs and interest savings) that would result in improved financial results for the merged entity. Based on miles of pipelines, GulfTerra was one of the largest natural gas gathering and transportation companies in the United States, serving producers in the central and western Gulf of Mexico and onshore in Texas and New Mexico. These regions offer us significant growth potential through the acquisition and construction of additional pipelines, platforms, processing and storage facilities and other midstream energy infrastructure.

Acquisition of Encinal. Management attributes goodwill recorded in connection with the Encinal acquisition to potential future benefits we may realize from our other south Texas processing and NGL businesses as a result of acquiring the Encinal business. Specifically, our acquisition of the long-term dedication rights associated with the Encinal business is expected to add value to our south Texas processing facilities and related NGL businesses due to increased volumes. The Encinal goodwill is recorded as part of the NGL Pipelines & Services business segment due to management's belief that such future benefits will accrue to businesses classified within this segment.

Acquisition of Dixie and Great Divide. In 2008, we recorded goodwill in connection with our acquisition of the remaining third-party interest in Dixie and with the acquisition of Great Divide. The remaining ownership interests in Dixie were acquired from Amoco Pipeline Holding Company in August 2008. Management attributes the goodwill to future earnings growth on the Dixie Pipeline. Specifically, a 100% ownership interest in the Dixie Pipeline will increase our flexibility to pursue future opportunities. Great Divide was acquired from EnCana in December 2008. The Great Divide goodwill is attributable to management's expectations of future economic benefits derived from incremental natural gas processing margins and other downstream activities.

The Dixie and Great Divide goodwill amounts are recorded as part of the NGL Pipelines & Services business segment due to management's belief that such future benefits will accrue to businesses classified within this segment.

Acquisition of Cenac and Horizon. Also in 2008, we recorded goodwill in connection with our acquisition of marine services businesses, which are recorded as a part of the Petrochemical & Refined Products Services business segment due to management's belief of potential future economic benefits we expect to realize as a result of acquiring these assets.

Other goodwill amounts. The remainder of our goodwill amounts are associated with prior acquisitions, principally that of our crude oil pipeline and services business originally purchased by TEPPCO in 2001, our purchase of a propylene fractionation business in February 2002 and our acquisition of indirect ownership interests in the Indian Springs natural gas gathering and processing business in January 2005.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Note 11. Debt Obligations

Our consolidated debt obligations consisted of the following at December 31, 2009:

EPO senior debt obligations:

Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility, variable-rate, due November 2012	\$ 195.5
Pascagoula MBFC Loan, 8.70% fixed-rate, due March 2010 (1)	54.0
Petal GO Zone Bonds, variable-rate, due August 2037	57.5
Senior Notes B, 7.50% fixed-rate, due February 2011	450.0
Senior Notes C, 6.375% fixed-rate, due February 2013	350.0
Senior Notes D, 6.875% fixed-rate, due March 2033	500.0
Senior Notes G, 5.60% fixed-rate, due October 2014	650.0
Senior Notes H, 6.65% fixed-rate, due October 2034	350.0
Senior Notes I, 5.00% fixed-rate, due March 2015	250.0
Senior Notes J, 5.75% fixed-rate, due March 2035	250.0
Senior Notes K, 4.95% fixed-rate, due June 2010 (1)	500.0
Senior Notes L, 6.30% fixed-rate, due September 2017	800.0
Senior Notes M, 5.65% fixed-rate, due April 2013	400.0
Senior Notes N, 6.50% fixed-rate, due January 2019	700.0
Senior Notes O, 9.75% fixed-rate, due January 2014	500.0
Senior Notes P, 4.60% fixed-rate, due August 2012	500.0
Senior Notes Q, 5.25% fixed-rate, due January 2020	500.0
Senior Notes R, 6.125% fixed-rate, due October 2039	600.0
Senior Notes S, 7.625% fixed-rate, due February 2012 (2)	490.5
Senior Notes T, 6.125% fixed-rate, due February 2013 (2)	182.5
Senior Notes U, 5.90% fixed-rate, due April 2013 (2)	237.6
Senior Notes V, 6.65% fixed-rate, due April 2018 (2)	349.7
Senior Notes W, 7.55% fixed-rate, due April 2038 (2)	399.6

TEPPCO senior debt obligations:

TEPPCO Senior Notes (2)	40.1
-------------------------	------

Duncan Energy Partners' debt obligations:

DEP Revolving Credit Facility, variable-rate, due February 2011	175.0
DEP Term Loan, variable-rate, due December 2011	282.3
Total principal amount of senior debt obligations	9,764.3

EPO Junior Subordinated Notes A, fixed/variable-rate, due August 2066	550.0
EPO Junior Subordinated Notes B, fixed/variable-rate, due January 2068	682.7
EPO Junior Subordinated Notes C, fixed/variable-rate, due June 2067 (2)	285.8
TEPPCO Junior Subordinated Notes, fixed/variable-rate, due June 2067 (2)	14.2
Total principal amount of senior and junior debt obligations	11,297.0

Other, non-principal amounts:

Change in fair value of debt-related derivative instruments (see Note 5)	44.4
Unamortized discounts, net of premiums	(18.7)
Unamortized deferred net gains related to terminated interest rate swaps (see Note 5)	23.7
Total other, non-principal amounts	49.4
Total long-term debt	\$ 11,346.4

(1) Long-term and current maturities of debt reflect the classification of such obligations at December 31, 2009 after taking into consideration EPO's ability to use available borrowing capacity under its Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility.

(2) Substantially all of TEPPCO debt obligations were exchanged for a corresponding series of new EPO notes in October 2009 in connection with the TEPPCO Merger.

Letters of Credit

At December 31, 2009, EPO had outstanding a \$50.0 million letter of credit related to its commodity derivative instruments and a \$58.3 million letter of credit related to its Petal GO Zone Bonds. These letter of credit facilities do not reduce the amount available for borrowing under EPO's credit facilities.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Parent-Subsidiary Guarantor Relationships

Enterprise Products Partners acts as guarantor of the consolidated debt obligations of EPO with the exception of the DEP Revolving Credit Facility and the DEP Term Loan Agreement. If EPO were to default on any of its guaranteed debt, Enterprise Products Partners L.P. would be responsible for full repayment of that obligation. Additionally, TEPPCO's remaining debt obligations are non-recourse to Enterprise Products Partners.

EPO's Debt Obligations

Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility. We have in place a \$1.75 billion unsecured revolving credit facility, including the issuance of letters of credit ("Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility"), which matures in November 2012. Our credit facility has a term-out option that allows for us on the maturity date to convert the principal balance of all revolving loans then outstanding into a non-revolving one-year term loan. The credit facility allows us to request unlimited one-year extensions of the maturity date, subject to lender approval. The total amount of the bank commitments may be increased, without the consent of the lenders, by an amount not exceeding \$500.0 million by adding one or more lenders to the facility and/or requesting that the commitments of existing lenders be increased.

As defined by the credit agreement, variable interest rates charged under this facility bear interest at a Eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin. In addition, EPO is required to pay a quarterly facility fee on each lender's commitment irrespective of commitment usage. The applicable margins will be increased by 0.1% per annum for each day that the total outstanding loans and letter of credit obligations under the facility exceeds 50% of the total lender commitments. Also, if we exercise our term-out option at the maturity date, the applicable margin will increase by 0.125% per annum and, if immediately prior to such election, the total amount of outstanding loans and letter of credit obligations under the facility exceeds 50% of the total lender commitments, the applicable margin with respect to the term loan will increase by an additional 0.1% per annum.

The Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility contains certain financial and other customary affirmative and negative covenants. The credit agreement also restricts EPO's ability to pay cash distributions to us if a default or an event of default (as defined in the credit agreement) has occurred and is continuing at the time such distribution is scheduled to be paid.

EPO's borrowings under this agreement are unsecured general obligations that are non-recourse to EPGP. We have guaranteed repayment of amounts due under this revolving credit agreement through an unsecured guarantee.

Pascagoula MBFC Loan. This loan, from the Mississippi Business Finance Corporation ("MBFC"), matured on March 1, 2010 and was repaid.

Petal GO Zone Bonds. In August 2007, Petal Gas Storage, L.L.C. ("Petal"), a wholly owned subsidiary of EPO, borrowed \$57.5 million from the MBFC pursuant to a loan agreement and promissory note between Petal and the MBFC. The promissory note between Petal and MBFC is guaranteed by EPO and supported by a letter of credit issued by a bank that expires in August 2014. On the same date, the MBFC issued \$57.5 million in Gulf Opportunity Zone Tax-Exempt ("GO Zone") bonds to various third parties. The promissory note and the GO Zone bonds have identical terms including floating interest rates and maturities of 30 years.

Petal MBFC Loan. In August 2007, Petal entered into a loan agreement and a promissory note with the MBFC under which Petal may borrow up to \$29.5 million. On the same date, the MBFC issued taxable bonds to EPO in the maximum amount of \$29.5 million. At December 31, 2009, there was \$8.9 million outstanding under the loan and the bonds. The promissory note and the taxable bonds have identical terms. The loan and bonds are netted in preparing our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Japanese Yen Term Loan. In November 2008, EPO executed the Yen Term Loan in the amount of approximately 20.7 billion yen (approximately \$217.6 million U.S. Dollar equivalent on the closing date). EPO entered into foreign exchange currency swaps that effectively converted the loan into a U.S. Dollar loan with a fixed interest rate of approximately 4.93%. The Yen Term Loan matured on March 30, 2009. Additionally, EPO executed a forward purchase exchange (yen principal and interest due) at an exchange rate of 94.515 to eliminate foreign exchange risk, resulting in a payment of US\$221.6 million on March 30, 2009.

364-Day Revolving Credit Facility. From November 2008 through June 2009, we had a \$375.0 million standby credit facility. The facility was never utilized and was terminated in June 2009 under its terms as a result of issuing senior notes.

Senior Notes. EPO's senior fixed-rate notes are unsecured obligations and rank equally with its existing and future unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. They are senior to any future subordinated indebtedness. EPO's borrowings under these notes are non-recourse to EPGP. Enterprise Products Partners has guaranteed repayment of amounts due under these notes through an unsecured and unsubordinated guarantee. Enterprise Products Partners guarantee of such notes is non-recourse to EPGP. Our senior notes are subject to make-whole redemption rights and were issued under indentures containing certain covenants, which generally restrict EPO's ability, with certain exceptions, to incur debt secured by liens and engage in sale and leaseback transactions.

In June 2009, EPO issued \$500.0 million in principal amount of 3-year senior unsecured notes (Senior Notes P) at 99.95% of their principal amount. In October 2009, EPO issued: (i) \$500.0 million in principal amount of 10-year unsecured notes (Senior Notes Q) at 99.355% of their principal amount and (ii) \$600.0 million in principal amount of 30-year unsecured notes (Senior Notes R) at 99.386% of their principal amount. Net proceeds from the issuance of these senior notes were used (i) to repay amounts borrowed under a \$200.0 million term loan that EPO entered into during April 2009, (ii) to repay \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes F that matured in October 2009, (iii) to temporarily reduce borrowings outstanding under EPO's Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility and (iv) for general partnership purposes.

In connection with the TEPPCO Merger, EPO offered to exchange all of TEPPCO's outstanding senior notes for a corresponding series of new EPO senior notes. The exchanges were completed on October 27, 2009 as follows:

TEPPCO Notes Exchanged	Corresponding Series of New EPO Notes	Aggregate Principal Amount	Principal Amount Exchanged	Principal Amount Remaining
TEPPCO Senior Notes, 7.625% fixed-rate, due February 2012	Senior Notes S, 7.625% fixed-rate, due February 2012	\$ 500.0	\$ 490.5	\$ 9.5
TEPPCO Senior Notes, 6.125% fixed-rate, due February 2013	Senior Notes T, 6.125% fixed-rate, due February 2013	200.0	182.5	17.5
TEPPCO Senior Notes, 5.90% fixed-rate, due April 2013	Senior Notes U, 5.90% fixed-rate, due April 2013	250.0	237.6	12.4
TEPPCO Senior Notes, 6.65% fixed-rate, due April 2018	Senior Notes V, 6.65% fixed-rate, due April 2018	350.0	349.7	0.3
TEPPCO Senior Notes, 7.55% fixed-rate, due April 2038	Senior Notes W, 7.55% fixed-rate, due April 2038	400.0	399.6	0.4
		<u>\$ 1,700.0</u>	<u>\$ 1,659.9</u>	<u>\$ 40.1</u>

Junior Subordinated Notes. EPO's payment obligations under its junior notes are subordinated to all of its current and future senior indebtedness (as defined in the related indenture agreement). Enterprise Products Partners has guaranteed repayment of amounts due under these notes through an unsecured and subordinated guarantee. The indenture agreement governing these notes allows EPO to defer interest payments on one or more occasions for up to ten consecutive years subject to certain conditions. During any period in which interest payments are deferred and subject to certain exceptions, neither we nor EPO can declare or make any distributions to any of our respective equity securities or make any payments on indebtedness or other obligations that rank pari passu with or are subordinate to our junior notes. Each

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

series of our subordinated junior notes are ranked equally with each other. Generally, each series of junior subordinated notes are not redeemable by EPO without payment of a make-whole premium while the notes bear interest at a fixed annual rate.

In connection with the issuance of each series of junior subordinated notes, EPO entered into separate Replacement Capital Covenants in favor of covered debt holders (as defined in the underlying documents) pursuant to which EPO agreed for the benefit of such debt holders that it would not redeem or repurchase such junior notes unless such redemption or repurchase is made using proceeds from the issuance of certain securities.

In connection with the TEPPCO Merger, EPO offered to exchange TEPPCO's outstanding junior subordinated notes for a corresponding series of new EPO junior subordinated notes. The exchange was completed on October 27, 2009:

TEPPCO Notes Exchanged	Corresponding Series of New EPO Notes	Aggregate Principal Amount	Principal Amount Exchanged	Principal Amount Remaining
TEPPCO Junior Subordinated Notes, fixed/variable-rate, due June 2067	EPO Junior Subordinated Notes C, fixed/variable-rate, due June 2067	\$ 300.0	\$ 285.8	\$ 14.2

The following table summarizes the interest rate terms of our junior subordinated notes:

Series	Fixed Annual Interest Rate	Variable Annual Interest Rate Thereafter
Junior Subordinated Notes A	8.375% through August 2016 (1)	3-month LIBOR rate + 3.708% (4)
Junior Subordinated Notes B	7.034% through January 2018 (2)	Greater of: (i) 3-month LIBOR rate + 2.68% or (ii) 7.034% (5)
Junior Subordinated Notes C	7.00% through June 2017 (3)	3-month LIBOR rate + 2.778% (6)

- (1) Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears in February and August of each year, which commenced in February 2007.
- (2) Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears in January and July of each year, which commenced in January 2008.
- (3) Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears in June and December of each year, which commenced in December 2009.
- (4) Interest is payable quarterly in arrears in February, May, August and November of each year commencing in November 2016.
- (5) Interest is payable quarterly in arrears in January, April, July and October of each year commencing in April 2018.
- (6) Interest is payable quarterly in arrears in March, June, September and December of each year commencing in June 2017.

TEPPCO's Debt Obligations

TEPPCO Revolving Credit Facility. Upon consummation of the TEPPCO Merger, EPO repaid and terminated all of the outstanding indebtedness under the TEPPCO Revolving Credit Facility.

TEPPCO Senior Notes. As previously discussed, on October 27, 2009, \$1.66 billion of the TEPPCO Senior Notes were exchanged for an equal amount of new EPO Senior Notes. In addition to the debt exchange, substantially all of the restrictive covenants and reporting requirements associated with the remaining TEPPCO Senior Notes were eliminated through amendments that became effective on October 27, 2009.

TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, LLC and Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P. (collectively, the "Subsidiary Guarantors") acted as guarantors of TEPPCO's outstanding senior notes through November 2009. The subsidiary guarantees were terminated in November 2009.

TEPPCO Junior Subordinated Notes. As discussed above, on October 27, 2009, \$285.8 million of the TEPPCO Junior Subordinated Notes were exchanged for an equal amount of new EPO Junior Subordinated Notes. In addition to the debt exchange, substantially all of the restrictive covenants and reporting requirements associated with the remaining TEPPCO Junior Subordinated Notes were eliminated through amendments that became effective on October 27, 2009.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

The Subsidiary Guarantors also acted as guarantors, on a junior subordinated basis, of TEPPCO's outstanding junior subordinated notes through November 2009. These subsidiary guarantees were terminated in November 2009.

The terms and provisions of the TEPPCO's Junior Subordinated Notes are similar to each series of EPO's junior subordinated notes. For example, they: (i) are general unsecured subordinated obligations, (ii) allow interest payments to be deferred for multiple periods of up to ten consecutive years and (iii) are subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future senior indebtedness. The maturity date, the interest rate and the interest payment due dates are the identical to EPO's Junior Subordinated Notes C as discussed above.

In connection with the issuance of the TEPPCO Junior Subordinated Notes, TEPPCO and its Subsidiary Guarantors entered into a Replacement Capital Covenant in favor of the covered debt holders (as defined in the underlying documents) pursuant to which TEPPCO agreed for the benefit of such debt holders that it would not redeem or repurchase such junior notes unless such redemption or repurchase is made using proceeds from the issuance of certain securities. The Replacement Capital Covenant is not a term of the governing indenture or the junior subordinated notes.

Duncan Energy Partners' Debt Obligations

We consolidate the debt of Duncan Energy Partners with that of our own; however, we do not have the obligation to make interest payments or debt payments with respect to the debt of Duncan Energy Partners.

DEP Revolving Credit Facility. Duncan Energy Partners has in place a \$300.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility, all of which may be used for letters of credit, with a \$30.0 million sublimit for Swingline loans. This credit facility will be used by Duncan Energy Partners in the future to fund working capital and other capital requirements and for general partnership purposes. Duncan Energy Partners may make up to two requests for one-year extensions of the maturity date, which is February 2011 (subject to certain restrictions). The revolving credit facility is available to pay distributions to its partners, fund working capital, make acquisitions and provide payment for general purposes. Duncan Energy Partners can increase the revolving credit facility, without consent of the lenders, by an amount not to exceed \$150.0 million, by adding to the facility one or more new lenders and/or requesting that the commitments of existing lenders be increased.

This revolving credit facility offers the following unsecured loans, each having different interest requirements: (i) a Eurodollar rate, plus the applicable Eurodollar margin (as defined in the credit agreement), (ii) Base Rate loans bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the higher of (a) the rate of interest publicly announced by the administrative agent, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as its Base Rate and (b) 0.5% per annum above the Federal Funds Rate in effect on such date and (iii) Swingline loans bear interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus an applicable LIBOR margin.

The Duncan Energy Partners' credit facility contains certain financial and other customary affirmative and negative covenants. Also, if an event of default exists under the credit agreement, the lenders will be able to accelerate the maturity date of amounts borrowed under the credit agreement and exercise other rights and remedies.

DEP Term Loan. In April 2008, Duncan Energy Partners entered into a standby term loan agreement consisting of commitments for up to a \$300.0 million senior unsecured term loan. Subsequently, commitments under this agreement decreased to \$282.3 million due to bankruptcy of one of the lenders. Duncan Energy Partners borrowed the full amount of \$282.3 million on December 8, 2008 in connection with the acquisition of equity interests in midstream energy businesses.

Duncan Energy Partners may prepay loans under the term loan agreement at any time, subject to prior notice in accordance with the credit agreement. Loans may also be payable earlier in connection with an event of default.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Loans under the term loan agreement bear interest of the type specified in the applicable borrowing request, and consist of either Alternate Base Rate loans or Eurodollar loans. The term loan agreement contains certain financial and other customary affirmative and negative covenants.

Dixie Revolving Credit Facility

Dixie's debt obligation consisted of a senior, unsecured revolving credit facility having a borrowing capacity of \$28.0 million. This credit facility was terminated in January 2009.

Canadian Debt Obligation

In May 2007, Canadian Enterprise Gas Products, Ltd., a wholly owned subsidiary of EPO, entered into a \$30.0 million Canadian revolving credit facility with The Bank of Nova Scotia. The credit facility, which includes the issuance of letters of credit, matures in October 2011. Letters of credit outstanding under this facility reduce the amount available for borrowings. The credit facility contains customary covenants and events of default. The obligations under the credit facility are guaranteed by EPO. As of December 31, 2009, there were no debt obligations outstanding under this credit facility.

Covenants

We were in compliance with the financial covenants of our consolidated debt agreements at December 31, 2009.

Information Regarding Variable Interest Rates Paid

The following table presents the range of interest rates and weighted-average interest rates paid on our consolidated variable-rate debt obligations during the year ended December 31, 2009:

	Range of Interest Rates Paid	Weighted-Average Interest Rate Paid
EPO Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility	0.73% to 3.25%	0.95%
TEPPCO Revolving Credit Facility	0.75% to 3.25%	0.88%
DEP Revolving Credit Facility	0.81% to 2.74%	1.48%
DEP Term Loan	0.93% to 2.93%	1.15%
Petal GO Zone Bonds	0.21% to 2.75%	0.60%

Consolidated Debt Maturity Table

The following table presents contractually scheduled maturities of our consolidated debt obligations for the next five years, and in total thereafter.

	Scheduled Maturities of Debt						
	Total	2010 (1)	2011	2012	2013	2014	After 2014
Revolving Credit Facilities	\$ 370.5	\$ --	\$ 175.0	\$ 195.5	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Senior Notes	9,000.0	500.0	450.0	1,000.0	1,200.0	1,150.0	4,700.0
Term Loans	282.3	--	282.3	--	--	--	--
Junior Subordinated Notes	1,532.7	--	--	--	--	--	1,532.7
Other	111.5	54.0	--	--	--	--	57.5
Total	<u>\$ 11,297.0</u>	<u>\$ 554.0</u>	<u>\$ 907.3</u>	<u>\$ 1,195.5</u>	<u>\$ 1,200.0</u>	<u>\$ 1,150.0</u>	<u>\$ 6,290.2</u>

(1) Long-term and current maturities of debt reflect the classification of such obligations on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2009 after taking into consideration EPO's ability to use available borrowing capacity under its Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Debt Obligations of Unconsolidated Affiliates

We have three unconsolidated affiliates with long-term debt obligations. The following table shows (i) the ownership interest in each entity at December 31, 2009, (ii) total debt of each unconsolidated affiliate at December 31, 2009 (on a 100% basis to the unconsolidated affiliate) and (iii) the corresponding scheduled maturities of such debt.

	Ownership Interest	Total	Scheduled Maturities of Debt					
			2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	After 2014
Poseidon	36%	\$ 92.0	\$ --	\$ 92.0	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Evangeline	49.5%	10.7	3.2	7.5	--	--	--	--
Centennial	50%	120.0	9.1	9.0	8.9	8.6	8.6	75.8
Total		\$ 222.7	\$ 12.3	\$ 108.5	\$ 8.9	\$ 8.6	\$ 8.6	\$ 75.8

The credit agreements of these unconsolidated affiliates include customary covenants, including financial covenants. These businesses were in compliance with such financial covenants at December 31, 2009. The credit agreements of these unconsolidated affiliates restrict their ability to pay cash dividends or distributions if a default or an event of default (as defined in each credit agreement) has occurred and is continuing at the time such dividend or distribution is scheduled to be paid.

The following information summarizes the significant terms of the debt obligations of these unconsolidated affiliates at December 31, 2009:

Poseidon. At December 31, 2009, Poseidon's debt obligations consisted of \$92.0 million outstanding under its \$150.0 million variable-rate revolving credit facility. Amounts borrowed under this facility mature in May 2011 and are secured by substantially all of Poseidon's assets. The weighted-average variable interest rate charged on this debt at December 31, 2009 was 1.88%.

Evangeline. At December 31, 2009, Evangeline's debt obligations consisted of: (i) \$3.2 million in principal amount of 9.90% fixed-rate Series B senior secured notes due December 2010 and (ii) a \$7.5 million subordinated note payable due in 2011. The Series B senior secured notes are collateralized by Evangeline's property, plant and equipment; proceeds from a gas sales contract and by a debt service reserve requirement.

Evangeline incurred the subordinated note payable as a result of its acquisition of a contract-based intangible asset in the early 1990s. This note is subject to a subordination agreement which prevents the repayment of principal and accrued interest on the subordinated note until such time as the Series B noteholders are either fully cash secured through debt service accounts or have been completely repaid.

Variable-rate interest accrues on the subordinated note at LIBOR plus 0.5%. The weighted-average variable interest rate charged on this note at December 31, 2009 was 1.59%. Accrued interest payable related to the subordinated note was \$10.2 million at December 31, 2009.

Centennial. At December 31, 2009, Centennial's debt obligations consisted of \$120.0 million borrowed under a master shelf loan agreement through two private placements, with interest rates ranging from 7.99% to 8.09%. Borrowings under the master shelf agreement mature in May 2024 and are collateralized by substantially all of Centennial's assets and severally guaranteed by Centennial's owners.

We and our joint venture partner in Centennial have each guaranteed one-half of Centennial's debt obligations. If Centennial were to default on its debt obligations, the estimated payment obligation would be \$60.0 million based on amounts outstanding at December 31, 2009. We recognized a liability of \$8.4 million for our share of the Centennial debt guaranty at December 31, 2009.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Note 12. Equity

At December 31, 2009, equity consisted of our member's capital account, consolidated accumulated other comprehensive loss amounts, and noncontrolling interest.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following table summarizes transactions affecting our AOCI during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ (2.0)
Net commodity derivative gains during period	2.3
Net interest rate derivative gains during period	0.3
Transfer of AOCI balance to noncontrolling interest	(0.8)
Balance, December 31, 2009	<u>\$ (0.2)</u>

Noncontrolling Interest

The following table shows the components of noncontrolling interest at December 31, 2009:

Limited partners of Enterprise Products Partners:	
Third-party owners of Enterprise Products Partners (1)	\$ 7,002.4
Related party owners of Enterprise Products Partners (2)	1,924.2
Limited partners of Duncan Energy Partners:	
Third-party owners of Duncan Energy Partners (3)	414.3
Related party owners of Duncan Energy Partners	1.7
Joint venture partners (4)	117.5
Accumulated other comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(11.5)
Total noncontrolling interest on Consolidated Balance Sheet	<u>\$ 9,448.6</u>

(1) Consists of non-affiliate public unitholders of Enterprise Products Partners.

(2) Consists of unitholders of Enterprise Products Partners that are related party affiliates. This group is primarily comprised of EPCO and certain of its private company consolidated subsidiaries.

(3) Consists of non-affiliate public unitholders of Duncan Energy Partners.

(4) Represents third-party ownership interests in joint ventures that we consolidate, including Rio Grande Pipeline, LLC, Seminole Pipeline Company, Tri-States Pipeline, L.L.C., Independence Hub, LLC and Wilprise Pipeline Company, L.L.C.

Note 13. Business Segments

We have five reportable business segments: NGL Pipelines & Services, Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services, Onshore Crude Oil Pipelines & Services, Offshore Pipelines & Services and Petrochemical & Refined Products Services. Our business segments are generally organized and managed according to the type of services rendered (or technologies employed) and products produced and/or sold.

Our integrated midstream energy asset system (including the midstream energy assets of our equity method investees) provides services to producers and consumers of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, refined products and certain petrochemicals. In general, hydrocarbons enter our asset system in a number of ways, such as an offshore natural gas or crude oil pipeline, an offshore platform, a natural gas processing plant, an onshore natural gas gathering pipeline, an NGL fractionator, an NGL storage facility or an NGL transportation or distribution pipeline.

Many of our equity investees are included within our integrated midstream asset system. For example, we have ownership interests in several offshore natural gas, refined products and crude oil pipelines. Other examples include our use of the Promix NGL fractionator to process mixed NGLs

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

extracted by our gas plants. The fractionated NGLs we receive from Promix can then be sold in our NGL marketing activities. Additionally, our use of the Centennial pipeline, which loops the refined products pipeline system between Beaumont, Texas and southern Illinois, permits effective supply of product to points south of Illinois as well as incremental product supply capacity to mid-continent markets downstream of southern Illinois.

The majority of our plant-based operations are located in Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, Colorado and Wyoming. Our natural gas, NGL, refined products and crude oil pipelines are located in a number of regions of the United States including (i) the Gulf of Mexico offshore Texas, Louisiana, and onshore in Colorado; (ii) the south and southeastern United States (primarily in Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama); (iii) the Midwestern and northeastern United States and (iv) certain regions of the central and western United States, including the Rocky Mountains. Our marketing activities are headquartered in Houston, Texas and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and serve customers in a number of regions of the United States including the Gulf Coast, West Coast and Mid-Continent areas.

Segment assets consist of property, plant and equipment, investments in unconsolidated affiliates, intangible assets and goodwill. The carrying values of such amounts are assigned to each segment based on each asset's or investment's principal operations and contribution to the gross operating margin (a non-GAAP performance measure) of that particular segment. Since construction-in-progress amounts (which are a component of property, plant and equipment) generally do not contribute to segment gross operating margin, such amounts are excluded from segment asset totals until they are placed in service. Consolidated intangible assets and goodwill are assigned to each segment based on the classification of the assets to which they relate.

We consolidate the balance sheet of Enterprise Products Partners with that of our own.

Information by segment, together with reconciliations to our consolidated totals, is presented in the following table at December 31, 2009:

	Reportable Segments					Adjustments and Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
	NGL Pipelines & Services	Onshore Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	Onshore Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	Offshore Pipelines & Services	Petrochemical & Refined Products Services		
Segment assets:	\$ 7,191.2	\$ 6,918.7	\$ 865.3	\$ 2,121.4	\$ 3,359.0	\$ 1,207.3	\$ 21,662.9
Property, plant and equipment, net (see Note 7):	6,392.8	6,074.6	377.3	1,480.9	2,156.3	1,207.3	17,689.2
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates (see Note 8):	141.6	32.0	178.5	456.9	81.6	--	890.6
Intangible assets, net (see Note 10):	315.6	527.2	6.5	101.5	114.0	--	1,064.8
Goodwill (see Note 10):	341.2	284.9	303.0	82.1	1,007.1	--	2,018.3

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Note 14. Related Party Transactions

The following table summarizes our related party receivable and payable amounts at December 31, 2009:

Accounts receivable - related parties:

EPCO and affiliates	\$	--
Energy Transfer Equity and subsidiaries		28.2
Other		10.2
Total accounts receivable – related parties	\$	<u>38.4</u>

Accounts payable - related parties:

EPCO and affiliates	\$	26.8
Energy Transfer Equity and subsidiaries		33.4
Other		9.6
Total accounts payable – related parties	\$	<u>69.8</u>

We believe that the terms and provisions of our related party agreements are fair to us; however, such agreements and transactions may not be as favorable to us as we could have obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

Relationship with EPCO and Affiliates

We have an extensive and ongoing relationship with EPCO and its affiliates, which include the following significant entities that are not a part of our consolidated group of companies:

- § EPCO and its privately held affiliates;
- § Enterprise GP Holdings, which owns and controls our general partner; and
- § the Employee Partnerships (see Note 4).

EPCO is a privately held company controlled by Dan L. Duncan, who is also a Director and Chairman of EPGP. At December 31, 2009, EPCO and its affiliates beneficially owned interests in the following entities:

	Number of Units	Percentage of Outstanding Units
Enterprise Products Partners (1) (2)	191,363,613	31.3%
Enterprise GP Holdings (3)	108,503,133	78.0%

- (1) Includes 4,520,431 Class B units and 21,167,783 common units owned by Enterprise GP Holdings.
- (2) Enterprise GP Holdings owns 100% of EPGP.
- (3) An affiliate of EPCO also owns 100% of the general partner of Enterprise GP Holdings, EPE Holdings.

The principal business activity of EPGP is to act as the sole managing partner of Enterprise Products Partners. The executive officers and certain of the directors of EPGP and EPE Holdings are employees of EPCO. The following table presents cash distributions received by EPGP for the year ended December 31, 2009:

General partner distributions	\$	21.8
Incentive distributions		161.3
Total distributions	\$	<u>183.1</u>

We and Enterprise Products Partners are both separate legal entities apart from each other and apart from EPCO, Enterprise GP Holdings and their respective other affiliates, with assets and liabilities that are separate from those of EPCO, Enterprise GP Holdings and their respective other affiliates. EPCO and its privately held subsidiaries depend on the cash distributions they receive from Enterprise Products Partners, Enterprise GP Holdings and other investments to fund their other operations and to meet their

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

debt obligations. The following table presents cash distributions received by EPCO and its privately held affiliates from Enterprise products Partners and Enterprise GP Holdings for the year ended December 31, 2009:

Enterprise Products Partners	\$	314.5
Enterprise GP Holdings		205.2
Total distributions	\$	<u>519.7</u>

Substantially all of the ownership interests in Enterprise Products Partners that are owned or controlled by Enterprise GP Holdings are pledged as security under its credit facility. In addition, substantially all of the ownership interests in Enterprise Products Partners that are owned or controlled by EPCO and its affiliates, other than those interests owned by Enterprise GP Holdings, Dan Duncan LLC and certain trusts affiliated with Dan L. Duncan, are pledged as security under the credit facility of a privately held affiliate of EPCO. This credit facility contains customary and other events of default relating to EPCO and certain affiliates, including Enterprise GP Holdings and Enterprise Products Partners.

We have entered into an agreement with an affiliate of EPCO to provide trucking services to us for the transportation of NGLs and other products. We also lease office space in various buildings from affiliates of EPCO. The rental rates in these lease agreements approximate market rates.

EPCO ASA

We have no employees. All of our operating functions and general and administrative support services are provided by employees of EPCO pursuant to the ASA or by other service providers. We, Duncan Energy Partners, Enterprise GP Holdings and our respective general partners are parties to the ASA. The significant terms of the ASA are as follows:

- § EPCO will provide selling, general and administrative services, and management and operating services, as may be necessary to manage and operate our businesses, properties and assets (all in accordance with prudent industry practices). EPCO will employ or otherwise retain the services of such personnel as may be necessary to provide such services.
- § We are required to reimburse EPCO for its services in an amount equal to the sum of all costs and expenses incurred by EPCO which are directly or indirectly related to our business or activities (including expenses reasonably allocated to us by EPCO). In addition, we have agreed to pay all sales, use, excise, value added or similar taxes, if any, that may be applicable from time to time in respect of the services provided to us by EPCO.
- § EPCO will allow us to participate as a named insured in its overall insurance program, with the associated premiums and other costs being allocated to us.

Under the ASA, EPCO subleases to us (for \$1 per year) certain equipment which it holds pursuant to operating leases and has assigned to Enterprise Products Partners its purchase option under such leases (the "retained leases"). EPCO remains liable for the actual cash lease payments associated with these agreements. Enterprise Products Partners records the full value of these payments made by EPCO on our behalf as a non-cash related party operating lease expense, with the offset to equity accounted for as a general contribution to our partnership.

The ASA also addresses potential conflicts that may arise among Enterprise Products Partners (including EPGP), Enterprise GP Holdings (including EPE Holdings), Duncan Energy Partners (including DEP GP), and the EPCO Group with respect to business opportunities (as defined within the ASA) with third parties. The EPCO Group includes EPCO and its other affiliates, but excludes Enterprise Products Partners, Enterprise GP Holdings, Duncan Energy Partners and their respective general partners.

The ASA was amended on January 30, 2009 to provide for the cash reimbursement by Enterprise Products Partners and Enterprise GP Holdings to EPCO of distributions of cash or securities, if any, made

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

by EPCO Unit to its Class B limited partners. The ASA amendment also extended the term under which EPCO provides services to the partnership entities from December 2010 to December 2013 and made other updating and conforming changes.

Relationship with Energy Transfer Equity

Enterprise GP Holdings acquired equity method investments in Energy Transfer Equity and its general partner in May 2007. As a result, Energy Transfer Equity and its consolidated subsidiaries became related parties to our consolidated businesses.

We have a long-term revenue generating contract with Titan Energy Partners, L.P. (“Titan”), a consolidated subsidiary of ETP. Titan purchases substantially all of its propane requirements from us. The contract continues until March 31, 2010 and contains renewal and extension options. We and Energy Transfer Company (“ETC OLP”) transport natural gas on each other’s systems and share operating expenses on certain pipelines. ETC OLP also sells natural gas to us.

Relationships with Unconsolidated Affiliates

Many of our unconsolidated affiliates perform supporting or complementary roles to our other business operations. Since we and our affiliates hold ownership interests in these entities and directly or indirectly benefit from our related party transactions with such entities, they are presented here. The following information summarizes significant related party transactions with our current unconsolidated affiliates:

- § We sell natural gas to Evangeline, which, in turn, uses the natural gas to satisfy supply commitments it has with a major Louisiana utility.
- § We pay Promix for the transportation, storage and fractionation of NGLs. In addition, we sell natural gas to Promix for its plant fuel requirements.
- § We lease from Centennial pipeline capacity and pay for pipeline transportation services.
- § We pay Seaway for transportation and tank rentals in connection with our crude oil marketing activities.
- § We perform management services for certain of our unconsolidated affiliates.

Relationship with Duncan Energy Partners

Duncan Energy Partners was formed in September 2006 and did not acquire any assets prior to February 5, 2007, which was the date it completed its initial public offering and acquired controlling interests in five midstream energy businesses from EPO in a drop down transaction. On December 8, 2008, through a second drop down transaction, Duncan Energy Partners acquired controlling interests in three additional midstream energy businesses from EPO. The business purpose of Duncan Energy Partners is to acquire, own and operate a diversified portfolio of midstream energy assets and to support the growth objectives of EPO and other affiliates under common control. Duncan Energy Partners is engaged in (i) the gathering, transportation and storage of natural gas; (ii) NGL transportation and fractionation; (iii) the storage of NGL and petrochemical products; (iv) the transportation of petrochemical products and (v) the marketing of NGLs and natural gas.

At December 31, 2009, Duncan Energy Partners is owned 99.3% by its limited partners and 0.7% by its general partner, DEP GP, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of EPO. DEP GP is responsible for managing the business and operations of Duncan Energy Partners. DEP Operating Partnership L.P., a wholly owned subsidiary of Duncan Energy Partners, conducts substantially all of Duncan Energy Partners’ business. At December 31, 2009, EPO owned 58.6% of Duncan Energy Partners’ limited partner interests and 100% of its general partner. Due to our control of Duncan Energy Partners, its balance sheet

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

is consolidated with those of our own and our transactions with Duncan Energy Partners are eliminated in consolidation.

Relationship with Cenac

In connection with our marine services acquisition in February 2008, Cenac and affiliates became a related party of ours. We entered into a transitional operating agreement with Cenac in which our fleet of tow boats and tank barges (which were primarily acquired from Cenac) continued to be operated by employees of Cenac for a period of up to two years following the acquisition. Under this agreement, we paid Cenac a monthly operating fee and reimbursed Cenac for personnel salaries and related employee benefit expenses, certain repairs and maintenance expenses and insurance premiums on the equipment. Effective August 1, 2009, the transitional operating agreement was terminated. Personnel providing services pursuant to the agreement became employees of EPCO and will continue to provide services under the ASA. Concurrently with the termination of the transitional operating agreement, we entered into a two-year consulting agreement with Mr. Cenac and Cenac Marine Services, L.L.C. under which Mr. Cenac has agreed to supervise the day-to-day operations of our marine services business and, at our request, provide related management and transitional services.

Note 15. Income Taxes

Significant components of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 2009 were as follows:

Deferred tax assets:

Net operating loss carryovers (1)	\$ 24.6
Employee benefit plans	2.8
Deferred revenue	1.1
Equity investment in partnerships	1.0
AROs	0.1
Accruals	1.3
Total deferred tax assets	30.9
Valuation allowance (2)	2.2
Net deferred tax assets	28.7
Deferred tax liabilities:	
Property, plant and equipment	97.4
Total deferred tax liabilities	97.4
Total net deferred tax liabilities	\$ (68.7)

Current portion of total net deferred tax assets	\$ 1.9
Long-term portion of total net deferred tax liabilities	\$ (70.6)

(1) These losses expire in various years between 2010 and 2028 and are subject to limitations on their utilization.

(2) We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount of future benefit that is more likely than not to be realized.

On May 18, 2006, the State of Texas enacted House Bill 3 which revised the pre-existing state franchise tax. In general, legal entities that conduct business in Texas are subject to the Revised Texas Franchise Tax (i.e., the Texas Margin Tax), including previously non-taxable entities such as limited liability companies, limited partnerships and limited liability partnerships. The tax is assessed on Texas sourced taxable margin which is defined as the lesser of (i) 70% of total revenue or (ii) total revenue less (a) cost of goods sold or (b) compensation and benefits.

Although the bill states that the Texas Margin Tax is not an income tax, it has the characteristics of an income tax since it is determined by applying a tax rate to a base that considers both revenues and expenses. Due to the enactment of the Texas Margin Tax, we recorded a net deferred tax asset of \$0.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2009.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Note 16. Commitments and Contingencies***Litigation***

On occasion, we or our unconsolidated affiliates are named as defendants in litigation and legal proceedings relating to our normal business activities, including regulatory and environmental matters. Although we are insured against various risks to the extent we believe it is prudent, there is no assurance that the nature and amount of such insurance will be adequate, in every case, to indemnify us against liabilities arising from future legal proceedings. We are not aware of any litigation, pending or threatened, that we believe is reasonably likely to have a significant adverse effect on our financial position.

We evaluate our ongoing litigation based upon a combination of litigation and settlement alternatives. These reviews are updated as the facts and combinations of the cases develop or change. Assessing and predicting the outcome of these matters involves substantial uncertainties. In the event that the assumptions we used to evaluate these matters change in future periods or new information becomes available, we may be required to record a liability for an adverse outcome. In an effort to mitigate potential adverse consequences of litigation, we could also seek to settle legal proceedings brought against us. We have not recorded any significant reserves for any litigation on our balance sheet.

On September 18, 2006, Peter Brinckerhoff, a purported unitholder of TEPPCO, filed a complaint in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (the "Delaware Court"), in his individual capacity, as a putative class action on behalf of other unitholders of TEPPCO and derivatively on behalf of TEPPCO, concerning, among other things, certain transactions involving TEPPCO and Enterprise Products Partners or its affiliates. Mr. Brinckerhoff filed an amended complaint on July 12, 2007. The amended complaint names as defendants (i) TEPPCO, certain of its current and former directors, and certain of its affiliates, (ii) Enterprise Products Partners and certain of its affiliates, (iii) EPCO and (iv) Dan L. Duncan.

The amended complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants caused TEPPCO to enter into specified transactions that were unfair to TEPPCO or otherwise unfairly favored Enterprise Products Partners or its affiliates over TEPPCO. These transactions are alleged to include: (i) the joint venture to further expand the Jonah system entered into by TEPPCO and Enterprise Products Partners in August 2006 (the plaintiff alleges that TEPPCO did not receive fair value for allowing Enterprise Products Partners to participate in the joint venture); (ii) the sale by TEPPCO of its Pioneer natural gas processing plant and certain gas processing rights to Enterprise Products Partners in March 2006 (the plaintiff alleges that the purchase price we paid did not provide fair value to TEPPCO) and (iii) certain amendments to TEPPCO's partnership agreement, including a reduction in the maximum tier of TEPPCO's incentive distribution rights in exchange for TEPPCO units. The amended complaint seeks (i) rescission of the amendments to TEPPCO's partnership agreement, (ii) damages for profits and special benefits allegedly obtained by defendants as a result of the alleged wrongdoings in the amended complaint and (iii) an award to plaintiff of the costs of the action, including fees and expenses of his attorneys and experts. By its Opinion and Order dated November 25, 2008, the Delaware Court dismissed Mr. Brinckerhoff's individual and putative class action claims with respect to the amendments to TEPPCO's partnership agreement. We refer to this action and the remaining claims in this action as the "Derivative Action."

On April 29, 2009, Peter Brinckerhoff and Renee Horowitz, as Attorney in Fact for Rae Kenrow, purported unitholders of TEPPCO, filed separate complaints in the Delaware Court as putative class actions on behalf of other unitholders of TEPPCO, concerning the TEPPCO Merger. On May 11, 2009, these actions were consolidated under the caption Texas Eastern Products Pipeline Company, LLC Merger Litigation, C.A. No. 4548-VCL ("Merger Action"). The complaints name as defendants Enterprise Products Partners, EPGP, TEPPCO GP, the directors of TEPPCO GP, EPCO and Dan L. Duncan.

The Merger Action complaints allege, among other things, that the terms of the merger (as proposed as of the time the Merger Action complaints were filed) are grossly unfair to TEPPCO's unitholders and that the TEPPCO Merger is an attempt to extinguish the Derivative Action without consideration. The complaints further allege that the process through which the Special Committee of the

**ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET**

ACG Committee of TEPPCO GP was appointed to consider the TEPPCO Merger is contrary to the spirit and intent of TEPPCO's partnership agreement and constitutes a breach of the implied covenant of fair dealing.

The complaints seek relief (i) enjoining the defendants and all persons acting in concert with them from pursuing the TEPPCO Merger, (ii) rescinding the TEPPCO Merger to the extent it is consummated, or awarding rescissory damages in respect thereof, (iii) directing the defendants to account for all damages suffered or to be suffered by the plaintiffs and the purported class as a result of the defendants' alleged wrongful conduct, and (iv) awarding plaintiffs' costs of the actions, including fees and expenses of their attorneys and experts.

On August 5, 2009, the parties entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Compromise, Settlement and Release (the "Settlement Agreement"). Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the board of directors of TEPPCO GP recommended to TEPPCO's unitholders that they approve the adoption of the merger agreement and took all necessary steps to seek unitholder approval for the merger.

The Delaware Court approved the Settlement Agreement on January 15, 2010, dismissing with prejudice the Merger Action and the Derivative Action.

Additionally, on June 29 and 30, 2009, respectively, M. Lee Arnold and Sharon Olesky, purported unitholders of TEPPCO, filed separate complaints in the District Courts of Harris County, Texas, as putative class actions on behalf of other unitholders of TEPPCO, concerning the TEPPCO Merger (the "Texas Actions"). The complaints name as defendants Enterprise Products Partners, TEPPCO, TEPPCO GP, EPGP, EPCO, Dan L. Duncan, Jerry Thompson, and the board of directors of TEPPCO GP. The allegations in the complaints are similar to the complaints filed in Delaware on April 29, 2009 and seek similar relief. The named plaintiffs in the two Texas Actions (the "Texas Plaintiffs/Objectors") also appeared in the Delaware proceedings as objectors to the settlement of those cases which were then awaiting court approval. On October 7, 2009, the Texas Plaintiffs/Objectors and the parties to the Settlement Agreement entered into a Stipulation to Withdraw Objection (the "Stipulation"). In accordance with the Stipulation, and upon the receipt of Final Court Approval (as defined in the Settlement Agreement), the Texas Plaintiffs/Objectors agreed to dismiss the Texas Actions with prejudice. As of March 1, 2010, the Texas Actions have been dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.

In February 2007, EPO received a letter from the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the U.S. Department of Justice related to an ammonia release in Kingman County, Kansas on October 27, 2004 from a pressurized anhydrous ammonia pipeline owned by a third-party, Magellan Ammonia Pipeline, L.P. ("Magellan"), and a previous release of ammonia on September 27, 2004 from the same pipeline. EPO was the operator of this pipeline until July 1, 2008. This matter was settled in September 2009, and Magellan has agreed to pay all assessed penalties.

The Attorney General of Colorado on behalf of the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment ("CDPHE") filed suit against Enterprise Products Partners and others on April 15, 2008 in connection with the construction of a pipeline near Parachute, Colorado. The State sought a temporary restraining order and an injunction to halt construction activities since it alleged that the defendants failed to install measures to minimize damage to the environment and to follow requirements for the pipeline's storm water permit and appropriate storm water plan. We have entered into a settlement agreement with the State that dismisses the suit and assesses a fine of approximately \$0.2 million.

The CDPHE, through its Air Pollution Control Division, has proposed a Compliance Order on Consent with Enterprise Gas Processing, L.L.C for alleged violations of the Colorado Air Pollution and Prevention and Control Act ("Colorado Act") with respect to operations of the Meeker Gas Processing Plant. The Compliance Order proposes an administrative fine of approximately \$0.3 million and would require the Meeker Gas Processing Plant to be operated in compliance with the Colorado Act. Enterprise Products Partners has entered into discussions regarding the terms of the Compliance Order.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

In January 2009, the State of New Mexico filed suit in District Court in Santa Fe County, New Mexico, under the New Mexico Air Quality Control Act. The lawsuit arose out of a February 27, 2008 Notice Of Violation issued to Marathon Oil Corp. (“Marathon”) as operator of the Indian Basin natural gas processing facility located in Eddy County, New Mexico. We own a 42.4% undivided interest in the assets comprising the Indian Basin facility. The State alleges violations of its air laws. Marathon agreed to a Consent Decree with the State which was approved by the District Court on December 21, 2009. Under the Decree, Marathon paid the State approximately \$0.6 million, agreed to \$4.5 million of additional environmental projects in New Mexico and agreed to two projects for “corrective measures” at the facility. We are in discussions with Marathon regarding the responsibility for these payments. We believe that any potential payment we make will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position.

In connection with our dissociation from TOPS (see Note 7), Oiltanking filed an original petition against Enterprise Offshore Port System, LLC, EPO, TEPPCO O/S Port System, LLC, TEPPCO and TEPPCO GP in the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 61st Judicial District (Cause No. 2009-31367), asserting, among other things, that the dissociation was wrongful and in breach of the TOPS partnership agreement, citing provisions of the agreement that, if applicable, would continue to obligate us and TEPPCO to make capital contributions to fund the project and impose liabilities on us and TEPPCO. On September 17, 2009, we and TEPPCO entered into a settlement agreement with certain affiliates of Oiltanking and TOPS that resolved all disputes between the parties related to the business and affairs of the TOPS project (including the litigation described above). We recognized approximately \$66.9 million of expense (as reflected in equity) during 2009 in connection with this settlement. This charge is classified within our Offshore Pipelines & Services business segment.

Regulatory Matters

Certain recent scientific studies have suggested that emissions of certain gases, commonly referred to as “greenhouse gases” and including carbon dioxide and methane, may be contributing to climate change. On June 26, 2009, the U.S. House of Representatives passed the “American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009,” or “ACESA,” which would establish an economy-wide cap-and-trade program intended to reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases in the United States and would require most sources of greenhouse gas emissions to obtain greenhouse gas emission “allowances” corresponding to their annual emissions of greenhouse gases. The U.S. Senate has also begun work on its own legislation for controlling and reducing emissions of greenhouse gases in the United States. In addition, on December 7, 2009, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) announced its finding that emissions of greenhouse gases presented an endangerment to human health and the environment. These findings by the EPA allow the agency to proceed with the adoption and implementation of regulations that would restrict emissions of greenhouse gases under existing provisions of the federal Clean Air Act. Although it may take the EPA several years to adopt and impose regulations limiting emissions of greenhouse gases, any such regulation could require us to incur costs to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases associated with our operations. Any laws or regulations that may be adopted to restrict or reduce emissions of greenhouse gases may have an adverse effect on our business, financial position and demand for our operations.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our various contractual obligations at December 31, 2009. A description of each type of contractual obligation follows:

Contractual Obligations	Payment or Settlement due by Period						
	Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Thereafter
Scheduled maturities of long-term debt	\$ 11,297.0	\$ 554.0	\$ 907.3	\$ 1,195.5	\$ 1,200.0	\$ 1,150.0	\$ 6,290.2
Estimated cash interest payments	\$ 12,372.2	\$ 667.4	\$ 618.3	\$ 571.9	\$ 502.9	\$ 436.5	\$ 9,575.2
Operating lease obligations	\$ 343.9	\$ 37.6	\$ 35.3	\$ 32.7	\$ 27.3	\$ 21.5	\$ 189.5
Purchase obligations:							
Product purchase commitments:							
Estimated payment obligations:							
Natural gas	\$ 5,697.6	\$ 1,308.9	\$ 685.5	\$ 696.3	\$ 487.5	\$ 471.8	\$ 2,047.6
NGLs	\$ 2,943.0	\$ 997.0	\$ 339.3	\$ 329.8	\$ 329.7	\$ 329.7	\$ 617.5
Crude oil	\$ 237.3	\$ 237.3	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Petrochemicals & refined products	\$ 2,642.2	\$ 1,486.6	\$ 586.0	\$ 238.5	\$ 113.9	\$ 72.4	\$ 144.8
Other	\$ 114.1	\$ 21.2	\$ 12.2	\$ 11.9	\$ 11.8	\$ 11.0	\$ 46.0
Underlying major volume commitments:							
Natural gas (in BBtus) (1)	969,180	221,530	114,304	116,146	83,854	81,154	352,192
NGLs (in MBbls) (2)	49,300	19,048	5,337	5,159	5,158	5,158	9,440
Crude oil (in MBbls) (2)	2,985	2,985	--	--	--	--	--
Petrochemicals & refined products (in MBbls)	35,034	19,523	7,856	3,266	1,509	960	1,920
Service payment commitments	\$ 575.6	\$ 72.0	\$ 57.0	\$ 56.7	\$ 55.1	\$ 55.0	\$ 279.8
Capital expenditure commitments	\$ 497.5	\$ 497.5	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --

(1) Volume is measured in billion British thermal units ("BBtus").

(2) Volume is measured in thousands of barrels ("MBbls").

Scheduled Maturities of Long-Term Debt. We have long-term and short-term payment obligations under debt agreements. Amounts shown in the preceding table represent our scheduled future maturities of debt principal for the periods indicated. See Note 11 for additional information regarding our consolidated debt obligations.

Operating Lease Obligations. We lease certain property, plant and equipment under noncancelable and cancelable operating leases. Amounts shown in the preceding table represent minimum cash lease payment obligations under our operating leases with terms in excess of one year.

Our significant lease agreements involve (i) the lease of underground caverns for the storage of natural gas and NGLs, (ii) leased office space with affiliates of EPCO and (iii) land held pursuant to right-of-way agreements. In general, our material lease agreements have current terms that range from 14 to 20 years. The agreements for leased office space with affiliates of EPCO and underground NGL storage caverns we lease from a third party include renewal options that could extend these contracts for up to an additional 20 years. The remainder of our material lease agreements do not provide for additional renewal terms.

We are generally required to perform routine maintenance on the underlying leased assets. In addition, certain leases give us the option to make leasehold improvements. We did not make any significant leasehold improvements during the year ended December 31, 2009.

The operating lease commitments shown in the preceding table exclude the non-cash, related party expense associated with retained leases contributed to us by EPCO in 1998. EPCO remains liable for the actual cash lease payments associated with these agreements, which it accounts for as operating leases. At December 31, 2009, the retained leases were for approximately 100 railcars. EPCO's minimum future rental payments under these leases are \$0.7 million for each of the years 2010 through 2015 and \$0.3 million for 2016. We record the full value of these payments made by EPCO on our behalf as a non-cash related party operating lease expense, with the offset to equity accounted for as a general contribution to our partnership.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

The retained lease agreements contain lessee purchase options, which are at prices that approximate fair value of the underlying leased assets. EPCO has assigned these purchase options to us. Should we decide to exercise the purchase option associated with the agreement, we would pay the original lessor \$3.1 million in June 2016.

Purchase Obligations. We define a purchase obligation as an agreement to purchase goods or services that is enforceable and legally binding (unconditional) on us that specifies all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transactions. We have classified our unconditional purchase obligations into the following categories:

§ We have long and short-term product purchase obligations for natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, refined products and certain petrochemicals with third-party suppliers. The prices that we are obligated to pay under these contracts approximate market prices at the time we take delivery of the volumes. The preceding table shows our volume commitments and estimated payment obligations under these contracts for the periods indicated. Our estimated future payment obligations are based on the contractual price under each contract for purchases made at December 31, 2009 applied to all future volume commitments. Actual future payment obligations may vary depending on prices at the time of delivery. At December 31, 2009, we do not have any significant product purchase commitments with fixed or minimum pricing provisions with remaining terms in excess of one year.

§ We have long and short-term commitments to pay third-party providers for services. Our contractual service payment commitments primarily represent our obligations under firm pipeline transportation contracts on pipelines owned by third parties. Payment obligations vary by contract, but generally represent a price per unit of volume multiplied by a firm transportation volume commitment. The preceding table shows our estimated future payment obligations under these service contracts.

§ We have short-term payment obligations relating to our capital projects and those of our unconsolidated affiliates. These commitments represent unconditional payment obligations to vendors for services rendered or products purchased. The preceding table presents our share of such commitments for the periods indicated.

Commitments Under Equity Compensation Plans of EPCO

In accordance with our agreements with EPCO, we reimburse EPCO for our share of its compensation expense associated with certain employees who perform management, administrative and operating functions for us (see Note 14). See Note 4 for additional information regarding our accounting for equity awards.

Other Claims

As part of our normal business activities with joint venture partners, customers and suppliers, we occasionally have claims made against us as a result of disputes related to contractual agreements. As of December 31, 2009, claims against us totaled approximately \$21.1 million. These matters are in various stages of assessment and the ultimate outcome of such disputes cannot be reasonably estimated. However, in our opinion, the likelihood of a material adverse outcome related to disputes against us is remote. Accordingly, accruals for loss contingencies related to these matters that might result from the resolution of such disputes have not been reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Other Commitments

We transport and store natural gas, NGLs and petrochemicals for third parties under various processing, storage, transportation and similar agreements. These volumes are either accrued as product payables, in transit for delivery to our customers or held at our storage facilities for redelivery to our

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

customers. Under terms of our storage agreements, we are generally required to redeliver volumes to the owner on demand. At December 31, 2009, NGL and petrochemical products aggregating 29.8 million barrels were due to be redelivered to their owners along with 17,112 BBtus of natural gas. See Note 2 for more information regarding accrued product payables.

Centennial Guarantees

We have certain guarantee obligations in connection with our ownership interest in Centennial. We have guaranteed one-half of Centennial's debt obligations, which obligates us to an estimated payment of \$60.0 million in the event of a default by Centennial. At December 31, 2009, we had a liability of \$8.4 million representing the estimated fair value of our share of the Centennial debt guaranty. See Note 11 for information regarding Centennial's debt obligations.

In lieu of Centennial procuring insurance to satisfy third-party liabilities arising from a catastrophic event, we and Centennial's other joint venture partner have entered a limited cash call agreement. We are obligated to contribute up to a maximum of \$50.0 million (in proportion to our ownership interest in Centennial) in the event of a catastrophic event. At December 31, 2009, we had a liability of \$3.6 million representing the estimated fair value of our cash call guaranty. Cash contributions to Centennial under the limited cash call agreement may be covered by our insurance depending on the nature of the catastrophic event.

Note 17. Significant Risks and Uncertainties

Nature of Operations in Midstream Energy Industry

Our operations are within the midstream energy industry, which includes gathering, transporting, processing, fractionating and storing natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, refined products and certain petrochemicals. We also market natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and other hydrocarbon products. As such, our financial position may be affected by changes in the commodity prices of these hydrocarbon products, including changes in the relative price levels among these products (e.g., natural gas processing margins are influenced by the ratio of natural gas prices to crude oil prices). The prices of hydrocarbon products are subject to fluctuation in response to changes in supply, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control.

Our profitability could be impacted by a decline in the volume of hydrocarbon products gathered, transported, processed, fractionated or stored at our facilities. A material decrease in natural gas or crude oil production or crude oil refining, for reasons such as depressed commodity prices or a decrease in exploration and development activities, could result in a decline in the volume of natural gas, NGLs, refined products and crude oil handled by our facilities.

A reduction in demand for natural gas, crude oil, NGL and other hydrocarbon products by the petrochemical, refining or heating industries, whether because of: (i) general economic conditions, (ii) reduced demand by consumers for the end products made using such products, (iii) increased competition from other products due to pricing differences, (iv) adverse weather conditions, (v) government regulations affecting energy commodity prices, production levels of hydrocarbons or the content of motor gasoline or (vi) other reasons, could adversely affect our financial position.

Credit Risk Due to Industry Concentrations

A substantial portion of our revenues are derived from companies in the domestic natural gas, NGL and petrochemical industries. This concentration could affect our overall exposure to credit risk since these customers may be affected by similar economic or other conditions. We generally do not require collateral for our accounts receivable; however, we do attempt to negotiate offset, prepayment, or automatic debit agreements with customers that are deemed to be credit risks in order to minimize our potential exposure to any defaults.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Counterparty Risk with Respect to Derivative Instruments

In those situations where we are exposed to credit risk in our derivative instrument transactions, we analyze the counterparty's financial condition prior to entering into an agreement, establish credit and/or margin limits and monitor the appropriateness of these limits on an ongoing basis. Generally, we do not require collateral nor do we anticipate nonperformance by our counterparties.

Insurance-Related Risks

We participate as a named insured in EPCO's insurance program, which provides us with property damage, business interruption and other coverages, the scope and amounts of which are customary and sufficient for the nature and extent of our operations. While we believe EPCO maintains adequate insurance coverage on our behalf, insurance will not cover every type of damage or interruption that might occur. If we were to incur a significant liability for which we were not fully insured, it could have a material impact on our consolidated financial position. In addition, the proceeds of any such insurance may not be paid in a timely manner and may be insufficient to reimburse us for our repair costs or lost income. Any event that interrupts the revenues generated by our consolidated operations, or which causes us to make significant expenditures not covered by insurance, could reduce Enterprise Products Partners ability to pay distributions to our partners and, accordingly, adversely affect the market price of its common units.

EPCO's deductible for onshore physical damage from windstorms is currently \$25.0 million per storm. EPCO's onshore program currently provides \$150.0 million per occurrence for named windstorm events. With respect to offshore assets, the windstorm deductible is \$75.0 million per storm. EPCO's offshore program currently provides \$100.0 million in the aggregate. For non-windstorm events, EPCO's deductible for both onshore and offshore physical damage is \$5.0 million per occurrence. For certain of our major offshore assets, our producer customers have agreed to provide a specified level of physical damage insurance for named windstorms. For example, the producers associated with our Independence Hub and Marco Polo platforms have agreed to cover windstorm generated physical damage costs up to \$250.0 million for each platform.

Business interruption coverage in connection with a windstorm event remains in place for onshore assets, but was eliminated for offshore assets. Onshore assets covered by business interruption insurance must be out-of-service in excess of 60 days before any losses from business interruption will be covered. Furthermore, pursuant to the current policy, we will now absorb 50% of the first \$50.0 million of any loss in excess of deductible amounts for our onshore assets.

The following table summarizes proceeds we received from weather-related business interruption and property damage insurance claims during the year ended December 31, 2009:

Business interruption proceeds:

Hurricanes Gustav and Ike in 2008	\$ 33.2
Total proceeds	33.2

Property damage proceeds:

Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005	38.6
Hurricanes Gustav and Ike in 2008	15.1
Other	0.7
Total proceeds	54.4

Total	\$ 87.6
--------------	----------------

At December 31, 2009, we have \$37.6 million of estimated property damage claims outstanding related to these storms that we believe are probable of collection through 2010. To the extent we estimate the dollar value of such damages, please be aware that a change in our estimates may occur as additional information becomes available.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

Note 18. Subsequent Event

Enterprise Products Partners Issues \$343.1 Million of Common Units

In January 2010, Enterprise Products Partners issued 10,925,000 common units (including an over-allotment of 1,425,000 common units) to the public at an offering price of \$32.42 per unit. Enterprise Products Partners used the net cash proceeds of \$343.1 million to temporarily reduce borrowings outstanding under EPO's Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility, which may be reborrowed to fund capital expenditures and other growth projects, and for general partnership purposes.

