

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from ___ to ___.

Commission file number: 1-14323

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

DELAWARE
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

76-0568219
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1100 LOUISIANA STREET, 10th FLOOR, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(713) 381-6500
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:	
<u>Title of Each Class</u>	<u>Name of Each Exchange On Which Registered</u>
Common Units	New York Stock Exchange

Securities to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the partnership's common units held by non-affiliates at June 30, 2016 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) was \$41.16 billion based on a closing price on that date of \$29.26 per common unit on the New York Stock Exchange Composite ticker tape. There were 2,119,600,196 common units outstanding at January 31, 2017.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1 and 2.</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>107</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>110</u>
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>110</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>121</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>132</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>134</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>137</u>
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>139</u>
<u>Item 16.</u>	<u>148</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>149</u>

KEY REFERENCES USED IN THIS REPORT

Unless the context requires otherwise, references to “we,” “us,” “our,” “Enterprise” or “Enterprise Products Partners” are intended to mean the business and operations of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries. References to “EPO” mean Enterprise Products Operating LLC, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Enterprise, and its consolidated subsidiaries, through which Enterprise Products Partners L.P. conducts its business. Enterprise is managed by its general partner, Enterprise Products Holdings LLC (“Enterprise GP”), which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Dan Duncan LLC, a privately held Texas limited liability company.

The membership interests of Dan Duncan LLC are owned by a voting trust, the current trustees (“DD LLC Trustees”) of which are: (i) Randa Duncan Williams, who is also a director and Chairman of the Board of Directors (the “Board”) of Enterprise GP; (ii) Richard H. Bachmann, who is also a director and Vice Chairman of the Board of Enterprise GP; and (iii) Dr. Ralph S. Cunningham. Ms. Duncan Williams and Mr. Bachmann also currently serve as managers of Dan Duncan LLC along with W. Randall Fowler, who is also a director and President of Enterprise GP.

References to “EPCO” mean Enterprise Products Company, a privately held Texas corporation, and its privately held affiliates. A majority of the outstanding voting capital stock of EPCO is owned by a voting trust, the current trustees (“EPCO Trustees”) of which are: (i) Ms. Duncan Williams, who serves as Chairman of EPCO; (ii) Dr. Cunningham, who serves as Vice Chairman of EPCO; and (iii) Mr. Bachmann, who serves as the President and Chief Executive Officer of EPCO. Ms. Duncan Williams and Mr. Bachmann also currently serve as directors of EPCO along with Mr. Fowler, who is also the Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of EPCO. EPCO, together with its privately held affiliates, owned approximately 32% of our limited partner interests at December 31, 2016.

References to “Oiltanking” and “Oiltanking GP” mean Oiltanking Partners, L.P. and OTLP GP, LLC, the general partner of Oiltanking, respectively. In October 2014, we acquired approximately 65.9% of the limited partner interests of Oiltanking, all of the member interests of Oiltanking GP and the incentive distribution rights held by Oiltanking GP from Oiltanking Holding Americas, Inc. as the first step of a two-step acquisition of Oiltanking. In February 2015, we completed the second step of this transaction consisting of the acquisition of the noncontrolling interests in Oiltanking.

As generally used in the energy industry and in this annual report, the acronyms below have the following meanings:

/d	=	per day	MMBbls	=	million barrels
BBtus	=	billion British thermal units	MMBPD	=	million barrels per day
Bcf	=	billion cubic feet	MMBtus	=	million British thermal units
BPD	=	barrels per day	MMcf	=	million cubic feet
MBPD	=	thousand barrels per day	TBtus	=	trillion British thermal units

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (our “annual report”) contains various forward-looking statements and information that are based on our beliefs and those of our general partner, as well as assumptions made by us and information currently available to us. When used in this document, words such as “anticipate,” “project,” “expect,” “plan,” “seek,” “goal,” “estimate,” “forecast,” “intend,” “could,” “should,” “would,” “will,” “believe,” “may,” “potential” and similar expressions and statements regarding our plans and objectives for future operations are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although we and our general partner believe that our expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, neither we nor our general partner can give any assurances that such expectations will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions as described in more detail under Part I, Item 1A of this annual report. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated, projected or expected. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this annual report speak only as of the date hereof. Except as required by federal and state securities laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or any other reason.

PART I

Item 1 and 2. *Business and Properties.*

General

We are a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, the common units of which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the ticker symbol “EPD.” We were formed in April 1998 to own and operate certain natural gas liquids (“NGLs”) related businesses of EPCO and are a leading North American provider of midstream energy services to producers and consumers of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products. Our midstream energy operations currently include: natural gas gathering, treating, processing, transportation and storage; NGL transportation, fractionation, storage, and export and import terminals (including those used to export liquefied petroleum gases, or “LPG,” and ethane); crude oil gathering, transportation, storage, and export and import terminals; petrochemical and refined products transportation, storage, export and import terminals, and related services; and a marine transportation business that operates primarily on the United States (“U.S.”) inland and Intracoastal Waterway systems. Our assets currently include approximately 49,300 miles of pipelines; 260 MMBbls of storage capacity for NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products; and 14 Bcf of natural gas storage capacity.

We conduct substantially all of our business through EPO and are owned 100% by our limited partners from an economic perspective. Enterprise GP manages our partnership and owns a non-economic general partner interest in us. Our principal executive offices are located at 1100 Louisiana Street, 10th Floor, Houston, Texas 77002, our telephone number is (713) 381-6500 and our website address is www.enterpriseproducts.com.

Like many publicly traded partnerships, we have no employees. All of our management, administrative and operating functions are performed by employees of EPCO pursuant to an administrative services agreement (the “ASA”) or by other service providers. As of February 1, 2017, there were approximately 6,800 EPCO personnel who spend all or a substantial portion of their time engaged in our business. For additional information regarding the ASA, see “EPCO ASA” under Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Business Strategy

Our integrated midstream energy asset network links producers of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil from some of the largest supply basins in the U.S., Canada and Gulf of Mexico with domestic consumers and international markets. Our business strategy seeks to leverage this network to:

- § capitalize on expected demand growth, including exports, for natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and petrochemical and refined products;
- § maintain a diversified portfolio of midstream energy assets and expand this asset base through growth capital projects and accretive acquisitions of complementary midstream energy assets;
- § enhance the stability of our cash flows by investing in pipelines and other fee-based businesses; and
- § share capital costs and risks through joint ventures or alliances with strategic partners, including those that provide processing, throughput or feedstock volumes for growth capital projects or the purchase of such projects’ end products.

Commercial and Liquidity Outlook for 2017

For information regarding our commercial and liquidity outlook for the year ending December 31, 2017, see “General Outlook for 2017” included under Part II, Item 7 of this annual report.

Major Customer Information

Substantially all of our consolidated revenues are earned in the U.S. and derived from a wide customer base. Our largest non-affiliated customer for 2016 was Vitol Holding B.V. and its affiliates (collectively, “Vitol”), which accounted for 9.9% of our consolidated revenues. Vitol is a global energy and commodity trading company. See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report for additional information regarding our largest non-affiliated customers for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Business Segments

General

The following sections provide an overview of our business segments, including information regarding principal products produced and/or services rendered and properties owned. Our historical operations are reported under five business segments: (i) NGL Pipelines & Services, (ii) Crude Oil Pipelines & Services, (iii) Natural Gas Pipelines & Services, (iv) Petrochemical & Refined Products Services and (v) Offshore Pipelines & Services. Our business segments are generally organized and managed according to the types of services rendered (or technologies employed) and products produced and/or sold.

On July 24, 2015, we completed the sale of our Gulf of Mexico operations (the “Offshore Business,” which primarily consisted of our Offshore Pipelines & Services segment) to Genesis Energy, L.P. Our Offshore Business served drilling and development regions, including deepwater production fields, in the northern Gulf of Mexico offshore Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas. These operations included approximately 2,350 miles of offshore natural gas and crude oil pipelines and six offshore hub platforms. Our consolidated financial statements reflect ownership of the Offshore Business through July 24, 2015. For additional information regarding sale of the Offshore Business, see Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Each of our remaining business segments benefits from the supporting role of our related marketing activities. The main purpose of our marketing activities is to support the utilization and expansion of assets across our midstream energy asset network by increasing the volumes handled by such assets, which results in additional fee-based earnings for each business segment. In performing these support roles, our marketing activities also seek to participate in supply and demand opportunities as a supplemental source of gross operating margin, a non-generally accepted accounting principle (“non-GAAP”) financial measure, for the partnership. The financial results of our marketing efforts fluctuate due to changes in volumes handled and overall market conditions, which are influenced by current and forward market prices for the products bought and sold.

For detailed financial information regarding our business segments, see Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report. Such financial information is incorporated by reference into this Part I, Item 1 and 2 discussion.

Our results of operations and financial condition are subject to certain significant risks. Factors that can affect the demand for our products and services include domestic and international economic conditions, the market price and demand for energy, the cost to develop natural gas and crude oil reserves in the U.S., federal and state regulation, and the cost and availability of capital to energy companies to invest in upstream exploration and production activities and the credit quality of our customers. For information regarding such risks, see Part I, Item 1A of this annual report. In addition, our business activities are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations governing a wide variety of topics, including commercial, operational, environmental, safety and other matters. For a discussion of the principal effects of such laws and regulations on our business activities, see “Regulatory Matters” within this Part I, Item 1 and 2 discussion.

For management’s discussion and analysis of our results of operations, liquidity and capital resources and capital spending program, see Part II, Item 7 of this annual report.

NGL Pipelines & Services Segment

Our NGL Pipelines & Services business segment includes natural gas processing plants and related NGL marketing activities; approximately 19,670 miles of NGL pipelines; NGL and related product storage facilities; and 15 NGL fractionators. This segment also includes our LPG and ethane export terminals and related operations.

Natural gas processing plants and related NGL marketing activities

At the core of our natural gas processing business are 25 processing plants located in Colorado, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, Texas and Wyoming. In its raw form, natural gas produced at the wellhead (especially in association with crude oil) contains varying amounts of mixed NGLs. Natural gas streams containing NGLs are usually not acceptable for transportation in natural gas pipelines or for commercial use as a fuel; therefore, the streams must be transported to a natural gas processing plant to remove the NGLs and impurities. Once the natural gas is processed and NGLs and impurities are removed, the natural gas meets pipeline and commercial quality specifications. On an energy-equivalent basis, most NGLs generally have greater economic value as feedstock for petrochemical and motor gasoline production than as components of a natural gas stream.

In our natural gas processing business, our contracts are either fee-based, commodity-based or a combination of the two. When a cash fee for natural gas processing services is stipulated by a contract, we record revenue when a producer's natural gas has been processed and redelivered. In recent years, our portfolio of natural gas processing contracts has become increasingly weighted towards those with fee-based terms as producers seek to maximize the value of their production by retaining all or a portion of the NGLs extracted from their natural gas stream. As of December 31, 2016, we estimate that the terms of approximately 48.7% of our current portfolio of natural gas processing contracts (based on natural gas inlet volumes) were entirely fee-based, with an additional 20.4% of this portfolio including a combination of fee-based and commodity-based terms. The terms of the remaining 30.9% of our portfolio of natural gas processing contracts were entirely commodity-based.

Our commodity-based contracts include keepwhole and margin-band contracts, percent-of-liquids contracts, percent-of-proceeds contracts and contracts featuring a combination of commodity and fee-based terms, as described further below:

- § Under keepwhole and margin-band contracts, we take ownership of mixed NGLs extracted from the producer's natural gas stream while replacing the equivalent quantity of energy on a natural gas basis to producers. We recognize revenue when the extracted NGLs are delivered and sold to customers under NGL marketing sales contracts.
- § Under percent-of-liquids contracts, we take ownership of a portion of the mixed NGLs extracted from the producer's natural gas stream (in lieu of a cash processing fee) and recognize revenue when the extracted NGLs are delivered and sold to customers under NGL marketing sales contracts.
- § Under percent-of-proceeds contracts, we share in the proceeds generated from the sale of mixed NGLs we extract on the producer's behalf (in lieu of a cash processing fee).

Generally, our natural gas processing agreements have terms ranging from month-to-month to life of the producing lease. Intermediate terms of one to ten years are also common.

The value of natural gas lost as a result of NGL extraction (i.e., shrinkage) and consumed as plant fuel is referred to as plant thermal reduction, which is a significant cost of natural gas processing. To the extent that we are obligated under keepwhole and margin-band contracts to compensate the producer for shrinkage and plant fuel, we are exposed to fluctuations in the price of natural gas; however, margin-band contracts typically contain terms that limit our exposure to such risks. Under the terms of our other processing arrangements (i.e., those agreements with fee-based, percent-of-liquids and percent-of-proceeds terms), the producer typically bears the cost of plant thermal reduction.

If the operating costs of a natural gas processing plant are higher than the incremental value of the NGL products that would be extracted, then recovery levels of certain NGL products, principally ethane, may be purposefully reduced. This scenario is typically referred to as “ethane rejection” and leads to a reduction in NGL volumes available for subsequent transportation, fractionation, storage and marketing.

Once mixed NGLs are extracted by a natural gas processing plant, the products are typically transported to a centralized fractionation facility for separation into purity NGL products (ethane, propane, normal butane, isobutane and natural gasoline). Purity NGL products are used as feedstocks by the petrochemical industry, as feedstocks by refineries in the production of motor gasoline and as fuel by industrial and residential consumers, as follows:

- § Ethane is primarily used in the petrochemical industry as a feedstock in the production of ethylene, one of the basic building blocks for a wide range of plastics and other chemical products.
- § Propane is used for heating, as an engine and industrial fuel, and as a petrochemical feedstock in the production of ethylene and propylene.
- § Normal butane is used as a petrochemical feedstock in the production of ethylene and butadiene (a key ingredient of synthetic rubber), as a blendstock for motor gasoline, and to produce isobutane through isomerization.
- § Isobutane is fractionated from mixed butane (a mixed stream of normal butane and isobutane) or produced from normal butane through the process of isomerization, and is used in refinery alkylation to enhance the octane content of motor gasoline, in the production of isooctane and other octane additives, and in the production of propylene oxide.
- § Natural gasoline, a mixture of pentanes and heavier hydrocarbons, is primarily used as a blendstock for motor gasoline, diluent in crude oil to aid in transportation, and as a petrochemical feedstock.

Our NGL marketing activities generate revenues from merchant activities such as term and spot sales of NGLs, which we take title to through our natural gas processing activities (i.e., our equity NGL production) and open market and contract purchases. The results of operations for NGL marketing are primarily dependent on the difference between NGL sales prices and the associated purchase and other costs, including those costs attributable to the use of our other assets. In general, sales prices referenced in the underlying contracts are market-based and may include pricing adjustments for factors such as location, timing or NGL product quality. Market prices for NGLs are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply and demand and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. We attempt to mitigate these price risks through the use of commodity derivative instruments. For a discussion of our commodity hedging program, see Part II, Item 7A of this annual report.

Our NGL marketing activities utilize a fleet of approximately 990 railcars, the majority of which are leased from third parties. These railcars are used to deliver feedstocks to our facilities and to distribute NGLs throughout the U.S. and parts of Canada. We have rail loading and unloading capabilities at certain of our terminal facilities in Arizona, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina and Texas. These facilities service both our rail shipments and those of our customers.

Our NGL marketing activities also utilize a fleet of approximately 160 tractor-trailer tank trucks, the majority of which we lease and operate, that are used to transport LPG for us and on behalf of third parties.

The following table presents selected information regarding our natural gas processing facilities at February 1, 2017:

Description of Asset	Location(s)	Our Ownership Interest	Net Gas Processing Capacity (Bcf/d) (1)	Total Gas Processing Capacity (Bcf/d)
Natural gas processing facilities:				
Meeker	Colorado	100.0%	1.80	1.80
Pioneer (two facilities)	Wyoming	100.0%	1.35	1.35
Yoakum	Texas	100.0%	1.05	1.05
Pascagoula	Mississippi	100.0% (2)	1.00	1.00
Chaco	New Mexico	100.0%	0.60	0.60
North Terrebonne	Louisiana	69.1% (3)	0.66	0.95
Neptune	Louisiana	66.0% (3)	0.43	0.65
Sea Robin	Louisiana	53.8% (3)	0.35	0.65
Thompsonville	Texas	100.0%	0.33	0.33
Shoup	Texas	100.0%	0.28	0.28
Gilmore	Texas	100.0%	0.25	0.25
Armstrong	Texas	100.0%	0.25	0.25
Toca	Louisiana	71.9% (3)	0.22	0.30
South Eddy	New Mexico	100.0%	0.20	0.20
San Martin	Texas	100.0%	0.20	0.20
Delmita	Texas	100.0%	0.15	0.15
Carlsbad	New Mexico	100.0%	0.13	0.13
Sonora	Texas	100.0%	0.12	0.12
Shilling	Texas	100.0%	0.11	0.11
Venice	Louisiana	13.1% (4)	0.10	0.75
Indian Springs	Texas	75.0% (3)	0.09	0.12
Burns Point	Louisiana	50.0% (3)	0.08	0.16
Waha	Texas	50.0% (5)	0.08	0.15
Chaparral	New Mexico	100.0%	0.04	0.04
Total			9.87	11.59

(1) The approximate net gas processing capacity does not necessarily correspond to our ownership interest in each facility. The capacity is based on a variety of factors such as the level of volumes an owner processes at the facility and contractual arrangements with joint owners.

(2) In March 2016, we acquired the remaining 60% undivided ownership interest in this facility and assumed operatorship.

(3) We proportionately consolidate our undivided interest in these operating assets.

(4) Our ownership in the Venice plant is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Venice Energy Services Company, L.L.C.

(5) Our ownership in the Waha plant is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Delaware Basin Gas Processing LLC.

We operate all of our natural gas processing facilities except for the Venice plant. On a weighted-average basis, utilization rates for our natural gas processing plants were 50.8%, 56.7% and 59.1% during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

An area of focus for us has been the development of midstream infrastructure serving producers in the Delaware Basin, which is part of the overall Permian Basin, in West Texas and southeast New Mexico. During 2016, we completed and placed into service two natural gas processing facilities (South Eddy and Waha) and announced plans for construction of a third facility (Orla). The following information describes these new facilities:

South Eddy natural gas processing plant

In May 2016, we announced that construction of our cryogenic natural gas processing plant located in Eddy County, New Mexico (the "South Eddy" plant) had been completed and the facility placed into commercial service. The South Eddy plant has a natural gas processing capacity of 200 MMcf/d and is capable of extracting up to 25 MBPD of NGLs. We also completed construction of approximately 90 miles of natural gas gathering pipelines that supply the South Eddy plant and a 71-mile extension of our Mid-America Pipeline System that provides South Eddy customers with NGL takeaway capacity. Residue gas from the South Eddy plant is handled by interconnections with third party pipelines.

Waha natural gas processing plant

In August 2016, we announced that construction of our joint venture-owned Delaware Basin cryogenic natural gas processing plant (referred to as the “Waha” plant) had been completed and placed into service. The new plant is located in Reeves County, Texas. The Waha plant has a natural gas processing capacity of 150 MMcf/d and is capable of extracting in excess of 22 MBPD of NGLs. We own a 50% equity interest in the joint venture that owns the Waha plant, which we operate.

We also completed construction of and own an 82-mile pipeline that connects the Waha plant to our Chaparral NGL Pipeline System. Our Texas Intrastate System provides transportation services for residue gas processed at the Waha plant.

Orla natural gas processing plant

In June 2016, we announced plans to build an additional cryogenic natural gas processing plant and associated pipeline infrastructure in the Delaware Basin. The new processing plant will be located near Orla, Texas in Reeves County and have a natural gas processing capacity of 300 MMcf/d and the capability to extract more than 40 MBPD of NGLs. In addition, the project includes construction of natural gas gathering lines that will supply the plant, a pipeline that will deliver residue gas to markets at the Waha hub, and an extension of our Mid-America Pipeline System that will provide Orla customers with NGL takeaway capacity. The facility and related pipeline infrastructure, all of which we will own and operate, are expected to begin service in the second quarter of 2018.

NGL pipelines

Our NGL pipelines transport mixed NGLs and other hydrocarbons from natural gas processing facilities, refineries and import terminals to fractionation plants and storage facilities; gather and distribute purity NGL products to and from fractionation plants, storage and terminal facilities, petrochemical plants, export facilities and refineries; and deliver propane and ethane to destinations along our various pipeline systems.

The results of operations from our NGL pipelines are primarily dependent upon the volume of NGLs transported and the associated fees we charge for such transportation services. Transportation fees charged to shippers are based on either tariffs regulated by governmental agencies, including the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”), or contractual arrangements. Typically, pipeline transportation revenue is recognized when volumes are transported and delivered. However, under certain NGL pipeline transportation agreements (e.g., those associated with committed shippers on our Texas Express Pipeline, Front Range Pipeline, ATEX and Aegis Ethane Pipeline), customers are required to ship a minimum volume over an agreed-upon period. These arrangements typically entail the shipper paying a transportation fee based on a minimum volume commitment, with a provision that allows the shipper to make-up any volume shortfalls over the agreed-upon period (referred to as shipper “make-up rights”). Revenue attributable to shipper make-up rights is initially deferred and subsequently recognized at the earlier of when the deficiency volume is shipped, when the shipper’s ability to meet the minimum volume commitment has expired (typically a one year contractual period), or when the pipeline is otherwise released from its transportation service performance obligation.

Excluding inventories owned in connection with our marketing activities, we typically do not take title to the products transported by our NGL pipelines; rather, the shipper retains title and the associated commodity price risk.

The following table presents selected information regarding our NGL pipelines at February 1, 2017:

Description of Asset	Location(s)	Our Ownership Interest	Length (Miles)
NGL pipelines:			
Mid-America Pipeline System (1)	Midwest and Western U.S.	100.0%	8,132
South Texas NGL Pipeline System	Texas	100.0%	1,917
Dixie Pipeline (1)	South and Southeastern U.S.	100.0%	1,306
Seminole Pipeline (1)	Texas	100.0%	1,248
ATEX (1)	Texas to Midwest and Northeast U.S.	100.0%	1,192
Chaparral NGL System (1)	Texas, New Mexico	100.0%	1,085
Louisiana Pipeline System (1)	Louisiana	100.0%	956
Texas Express Pipeline (1)	Texas	35.0% (2)	594
Skelly-Belvie Pipeline (1)	Texas, Oklahoma	50.0% (3)	572
Front Range Pipeline (1)	Colorado, Oklahoma, Texas	33.3% (4)	441
Promix NGL Gathering System	Louisiana	50.0% (5)	358
Houston Ship Channel Pipeline System	Texas	100.0%	288
Aegis Ethane Pipeline (1)	Texas, Louisiana	100.0%	280
Rio Grande Pipeline (1)	Texas	70.0% (6)	249
Panola Pipeline (1)	Texas	55.0% (7)	249
Lou-Tex NGL Pipeline (1)	Texas, Louisiana	100.0%	206
Tri-States NGL Pipeline (1)	Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana	83.3% (8)	167
Texas Express Gathering System	Texas	45.0% (9)	116
Others (six systems) (10)	Various	Various (11)	312
Total			19,668

- (1) Interstate and/or intrastate transportation services provided by these liquids pipelines, in whole or part, are regulated by governmental agencies.
- (2) Our ownership interest in the Texas Express Pipeline is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Texas Express Pipeline LLC.
- (3) Our ownership interest in the Skelly-Belvie Pipeline is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Skelly-Belvie Pipeline Company, L.L.C.
- (4) Our ownership interest in the Front Range Pipeline is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Front Range Pipeline LLC.
- (5) Our ownership interest in the Promix NGL Gathering System is held indirectly through our equity method investment in K/D/S Promix, L.L.C. ("Promix").
- (6) We own a 70% consolidated interest in the Rio Grande Pipeline through our majority owned subsidiary, Rio Grande Pipeline Company.
- (7) We own a 55% consolidated interest in the Panola Pipeline through our majority owned subsidiary, Panola Pipeline Company, LLC.
- (8) We own an 83.3% consolidated interest in the Tri-States NGL Pipeline through our majority owned subsidiary, Tri-States NGL Pipeline, L.L.C.
- (9) Our ownership interest in the Texas Express Gathering System is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Texas Express Gathering LLC ("Texas Express Gathering").
- (10) Includes our Belle Rose and Wilprise pipelines located in the coastal regions of Louisiana; two Port Arthur pipelines located in southeast Texas; our San Jacinto pipeline located in East Texas; and a pipeline in Colorado associated with our Meeker facility. Transportation services provided by the Belle Rose and Wilprise pipelines are regulated by governmental agencies.
- (11) We own a 74.7% consolidated interest in the 30-mile Wilprise pipeline through our majority owned subsidiary, Wilprise Pipeline Company, LLC. We proportionately consolidate our 50% undivided interest in a 45-mile segment of the Port Arthur pipelines. The remainder of these NGL pipelines are wholly owned.

As noted previously, certain of our NGL pipelines are subject to regulation. See "Regulatory Matters" within this Part I, Item 1 and 2 discussion for additional information regarding governmental oversight of liquids pipelines, including tariffs charged for transportation services.

The maximum number of barrels per day that our NGL pipelines can transport depends on the operating balance achieved at a given point in time between various segments of each system (e.g., demand levels at each delivery point and the mix of products being transported). As a result, we measure the utilization rates of our NGL pipelines in terms of net throughput, which is based on our ownership interest. Total net throughput volumes for these pipelines were 2,965 MBPD, 2,700 MBPD and 2,634 MBPD during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The following information describes each of our principal NGL pipelines. We operate our NGL pipelines with the exception of the Skelly-Belvieu Pipeline and Texas Express Gathering System.

§ The *Mid-America Pipeline System* is an NGL pipeline system consisting of four primary segments: the 3,145-mile Rocky Mountain pipeline, the 2,138-mile Conway North pipeline, the 632-mile Ethane-Propane Mix pipeline and the 2,217-mile Conway South pipeline. The Mid-America Pipeline System is present in 13 states: Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, Utah, Wisconsin and Wyoming. The Rocky Mountain pipeline transports mixed NGLs from the Rocky Mountain Overthrust and San Juan Basin areas to the Hobbs NGL hub located on the Texas-New Mexico border. The Conway North segment links the NGL hub at Conway, Kansas to refineries, petrochemical plants and propane markets in the upper Midwest. NGL hubs such as those at Hobbs and Conway provide buyers and sellers a centralized location for the storage and pricing of products, while also providing connections to intrastate and/or interstate pipelines. The Ethane-Propane Mix segment transports ethane/propane mix primarily to petrochemical plants in Iowa and Illinois from the NGL hub at Conway. The Conway South pipeline connects the Conway hub with Kansas refineries and provides bi-directional transportation of NGLs between the Conway and Hobbs hubs. At the Hobbs NGL hub, the Mid-America Pipeline System interconnects with our Seminole Pipeline and Hobbs NGL fractionation and storage facility. The Mid-America Pipeline System is also connected to 18 non-regulated NGL terminals that we own and operate.

Volumes transported on the Mid-America Pipeline System primarily originate from natural gas processing plants in the Rocky Mountains and Mid-Continent regions, as well as NGL fractionation and storage facilities in Kansas and Texas.

§ The *South Texas NGL Pipeline System* is a network of NGL gathering and transportation pipelines located in South Texas. This system gathers and transports mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants in South Texas (owned by us or third parties) to our NGL fractionators in South Texas and Mont Belvieu, Texas. In addition, this system transports purity NGL products from our South Texas NGL fractionators to refineries and petrochemical plants located between Corpus Christi, Texas and Houston, Texas and within the Texas City-Houston area, as well as to interconnects with common carrier NGL pipelines. The South Texas NGL Pipeline System connects with our Aegis Ethane Pipeline, which extends our ethane header system from Mont Belvieu, Texas to Corpus Christi, Texas. The South Texas NGL Pipeline System also connects our South Texas NGL fractionators with our storage facility in Mont Belvieu, Texas. The pipeline system includes a 168-mile segment that transports mixed NGLs from our Yoakum natural gas processing plant to our Mont Belvieu NGL fractionation and storage complex. In addition, a 173-mile segment extends from our Yoakum facility to a third party natural gas processing plant located in LaSalle County, Texas, and provides NGL pipeline takeaway capacity for additional third party gas plants.

§ The *Dixie Pipeline* extends from southeast Texas to markets in the southeastern U.S., and transports propane and other NGLs. Propane supplies transported on this system primarily originate from southeast Texas, south Louisiana and Mississippi. This system operates in seven states: Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina and Texas, and is connected to eight non-regulated propane terminals that we own and operate.

§ The *Seminole Pipeline* transports NGLs from the Hobbs hub and the Permian Basin area of West Texas to markets in southeast Texas including our NGL fractionation facility in Mont Belvieu, Texas. NGLs originating on the Mid-America Pipeline System are the primary source of throughput for the Seminole Pipeline.

§ The *ATEX*, or Appalachia-to-Texas Express, pipeline primarily transports ethane in southbound service from four NGL fractionation plants located in Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia to our Mont Belvieu storage complex. The ethane extracted by these fractionation facilities originates from the Marcellus and Utica Shale production areas. ATEX operates in nine states: Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Missouri, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas and West Virginia.

- § The *Chaparral NGL System* transports mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants in West Texas and New Mexico to Mont Belvieu, Texas. This system consists of the 906-mile Chaparral pipeline and the 179-mile Quanah pipeline. Interstate and intrastate transportation services provided by the Chaparral pipeline are regulated; however, transportation services provided by the Quanah pipeline are not.
- § The *Louisiana Pipeline System* is a network of NGL pipelines located in southern Louisiana. This system transports NGLs originating in Louisiana and Texas to refineries and petrochemical plants located along the Mississippi River corridor in southern Louisiana. This system also provides transportation services for our natural gas processing plants, NGL fractionators and other assets located in Louisiana. Originating from a central point in Henry, Louisiana, pipelines extend west to Lake Charles, Louisiana, north to an interconnect with the Dixie Pipeline at Breaux Bridge, Louisiana and east in Louisiana, where our Promix, Norco and Tebone NGL fractionation and related storage facilities are located.
- § The *Texas Express Pipeline* extends from Skellytown, Texas to our NGL fractionation and storage complex at Mont Belvieu, Texas. Mixed NGLs from the Rocky Mountains, Permian Basin and Mid-Continent regions are delivered to the Texas Express Pipeline via an interconnect with our Mid-America Pipeline System near Skellytown. The Texas Express Pipeline also transports mixed NGLs from two gathering systems owned by Texas Express Gathering to Mont Belvieu. In addition, mixed NGLs from the Denver-Julesburg Basin are transported to the Texas Express Pipeline using the Front Range Pipeline.
- § The *Skelly-Belvieu Pipeline* transports mixed NGLs from Skellytown, Texas to Mont Belvieu, Texas. The Skelly-Belvieu Pipeline receives NGLs through pipeline interconnects with our Mid-America Pipeline System in Skellytown.
- § The *Front Range Pipeline* transports mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants located in the Denver-Julesburg Basin in Colorado to an interconnect with our Texas Express Pipeline and Mid-America Pipeline System and other third party facilities at Skellytown, Texas.
- § The *Promix NGL Gathering System* gathers mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants in southern Louisiana for delivery to our Promix NGL fractionator.
- § The *Houston Ship Channel Pipeline System* connects our Mont Belvieu complex to our Houston Ship Channel import/export terminals and various third party petrochemical plants, refineries and other pipelines located along the Houston Ship Channel.
- § The *Aegis Ethane Pipeline* (“Aegis”) was completed in December 2015 and delivers purity ethane to petrochemical facilities along the southeast Texas and Louisiana Gulf Coast. Aegis, when combined with a portion of our South Texas NGL Pipeline System, creates an ethane header system stretching approximately 500 miles between Corpus Christi, Texas and the Mississippi River in Louisiana. Aegis is supported by customer commitments that increase to in excess of 360 MBPD over the next two years.
- § The *Rio Grande Pipeline* transports mixed NGLs from near Odessa, Texas to a pipeline interconnect at the Mexican border south of El Paso, Texas.
- § The *Panola Pipeline* transports mixed NGLs from points near Carthage, Texas to Mont Belvieu and supports the Haynesville and Cotton Valley oil and gas production areas. In January 2015, we announced an expansion project involving the Panola Pipeline consisting of the installation of 60 miles of new pipeline, as well as pumps and other related equipment designed to increase the system’s throughput capacity by 50 MBPD to approximately 100 MBPD. The incremental capacity was placed into service in the second quarter of 2016.
- § The *Lou-Tex NGL Pipeline* system transports mixed NGLs, purity NGL products and refinery grade propylene (“RGP”) between the Louisiana and Texas markets.
- § The *Tri-States NGL Pipeline* transports mixed NGLs from Mobile Bay, Alabama to points near Kenner, Louisiana.

§ The *Texas Express Gathering System* is comprised of two gathering systems that deliver mixed NGLs to the Texas Express Pipeline. The Elk City gathering system is comprised of 55 miles of pipeline and gathers mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants in the Anadarko/Granite Wash production area located in the Texas Panhandle. The North Texas gathering system comprises 61 miles of pipeline and gathers mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants in the Barnett Shale production area in North Texas.

NGL fractionation

We own or have interests in 15 NGL fractionators, located in Texas and Louisiana, which separate mixed NGL streams into purity NGL products for third party customers and also our NGL marketing activities. The primary sources of mixed NGLs fractionated in the U.S. are domestic natural gas processing plants, crude oil refineries and imports of butane and propane mixtures. Mixed NGLs sourced from domestic natural gas processing plants and crude oil refineries are typically transported to NGL fractionation facilities by NGL pipelines and, to a lesser extent, by railcar and truck.

Mixed NGLs extracted by domestic natural gas processing plants represent the largest source of volumes processed by our NGL fractionators. Based upon industry data, we believe that sufficient volumes of mixed NGLs, especially those originating from natural gas processing plants located along the Gulf Coast and in the Rocky Mountains and Mid-Continent regions, will be available for fractionation in commercially viable quantities for the foreseeable future. Significant volumes of mixed NGLs are contractually committed to be processed at our NGL fractionators by joint owners and third party customers.

The results of operations of our NGL fractionation business are generally dependent upon the volume of mixed NGLs fractionated and either the level of fractionation fees charged (under fee-based contracts) or the value of NGLs received (under percent-of-liquids arrangements). Our fee-based fractionation customers retain title to the NGLs that we process for them. To the extent we fractionate volumes for customers under percent-of-liquids contracts, we are exposed to fluctuations in NGL prices (i.e., commodity price risk). We attempt to mitigate these risks through the use of commodity derivative instruments such as forward sales contracts.

The following table presents selected information regarding our NGL fractionation facilities at February 1, 2017:

Description of Asset	Location	Our Ownership Interest	Net Plant Capacity (MBPD) (1)	Total Plant Capacity (MBPD)
NGL fractionation facilities:				
Mont Belvieu	Texas	Various (2)	572	670
Shoup and Armstrong	Texas	100.0%	93	93
Hobbs	Texas	100.0%	75	75
Norco	Louisiana	100.0%	75	75
Promix	Louisiana	50.0% (3)	73	145
Baton Rouge	Louisiana	32.2% (4)	19	60
Tebone	Louisiana	69.1% (5)	21	30
Total			928	1,148

- (1) The approximate net plant capacity does not necessarily correspond to our ownership interest in each facility. The capacity is based on a variety of factors such as the level of volumes an owner processes at the facility and contractual arrangements with joint owners.
- (2) Six of our eight Mont Belvieu NGL fractionators are held jointly with third parties. We proportionately consolidate a 75% undivided interest in three units and substantially all of a fourth unit. We own a 75% consolidated equity interest in NGL fractionators seven and eight through our majority owned subsidiary, Enterprise EF78 LLC. The remaining two units, NGL fractionators five and six, are wholly owned by us.
- (3) Our ownership interest in the Promix fractionator is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Promix.
- (4) Our ownership interest in the Baton Rouge fractionator is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Baton Rouge Fractionators LLC (“BRF”).
- (5) We proportionately consolidate our undivided 69.1% interest in the Tebone fractionator.

On a weighted-average basis, overall utilization rates for our NGL fractionators were 90.2%, 90.1% and 89.4% during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. We operate all of our NGL fractionators. The following information describes each of our principal NGL fractionators:

- § Our *Mont Belvieu* NGL fractionation complex is located at Mont Belvieu, Texas, which is a key hub of the global NGL industry. Our Mont Belvieu NGL fractionation assets process mixed NGLs from several major NGL supply basins in North America, including the Eagle Ford Shale, Rocky Mountains, Mid-Continent, Permian Basin and San Juan Basin. Our Mont Belvieu NGL fractionation complex features connectivity to our network of NGL supply and distribution pipelines, approximately 130 MMBbls of salt dome storage capacity, and access to international markets through our existing LPG export facility and ethane export facility.
- § Our *Shoup* and *Armstrong* NGL fractionators process mixed NGLs supplied by our South Texas natural gas processing plants. Purity NGL products from the Shoup and Armstrong fractionators are transported to local markets in the Corpus Christi area and also to Mont Belvieu, Texas using our South Texas NGL Pipeline System.
- § Our *Hobbs* NGL fractionator serves NGL producers in West Texas, New Mexico and Colorado. The Hobbs fractionator receives mixed NGLs from several major supply basins, including the Mid-Continent, Permian Basin, San Juan Basin and Rocky Mountains. The facility is located at the interconnect of our Mid-America Pipeline System and Seminole Pipeline, thus providing us the operating flexibility to supply both the nation's largest NGL hub at Mont Belvieu as well as access to the second-largest NGL hub at Conway, Kansas.
- § Our *Norco* NGL fractionator receives mixed NGLs via pipeline from refineries and natural gas processing plants located in southern Louisiana and along the Mississippi and Alabama Gulf Coast, including our Pascagoula, Venice and Toca facilities.
- § The *Promix* NGL fractionator receives mixed NGLs via pipeline from natural gas processing plants located in southern Louisiana and along the Mississippi Gulf Coast, including our Neptune and Pascagoula facilities. In addition to the Promix NGL Gathering System, Promix owns three NGL storage caverns and leases a fourth NGL storage cavern. Promix also owns a barge loading facility.
- § The *Baton Rouge* NGL fractionator receives mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants located in Alabama, Mississippi and southern Louisiana. This facility includes a leased NGL storage cavern.
- § The *Tebone* NGL fractionator receives mixed NGLs from our North Terrebonne natural gas processing plant located in southern Louisiana.

NGL and related product storage facilities

We use both underground storage caverns (or wells) and above ground storage tanks to store mixed NGLs and purity NGL, petrochemical and related products owned by us and our customers. We collect storage revenues under our NGL and related product storage contracts based on the number of days a customer has volumes in storage multiplied by a storage fee (as defined in each contract). With respect to capacity reservation agreements, we collect a fee for reserving storage capacity for certain customers in our underground storage wells. Customers pay reservation fees based on the level of storage capacity reserved rather than the actual volumes stored. When a customer exceeds its reserved capacity, we charge that customer excess storage fees. In addition, we generally charge customers throughput fees based on volumes delivered into and subsequently withdrawn from storage. Accordingly, the results of operations from these assets are dependent upon the level of storage capacity reserved by customers, the volume of product delivered into and withdrawn from storage and the level of fees charged.

The following table presents selected information regarding our NGL and related product storage assets at February 1, 2017:

Storage Capacity by State	Net Usable Storage Capacity (MMBbls)
Texas	145.2
Louisiana	15.4
Kansas	5.8
Mississippi	5.1
Others (1)	6.8
Total (2)	178.3

(1) Includes storage capacity at facilities in Alabama, Arizona, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina and Wisconsin.

(2) Our aggregate net usable storage capacity includes 15.2 MMBbls held under long-term operating leases at facilities located in Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana and Texas. Approximately 2.2 MMBbls of our net usable storage capacity in Louisiana is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Promix. The remainder of our NGL underground storage caverns and above ground storage tanks are wholly owned.

We operate these facilities, with the exception of certain Louisiana storage locations, the leased Markham facility in Texas and another leased facility in Kansas. Our largest underground storage facility is located in Mont Belvieu, Texas. This facility consists of 37 underground storage caverns used to store and redeliver mixed NGLs and NGL purity, petrochemical and related products for industrial customers located along the upper Texas Gulf Coast. This facility has an aggregate usable storage capacity of approximately 130 MMBbls, a brine system with approximately 21 MMBbls of above-ground brine storage pit capacity and four wells available for brine production.

LPG and ethane export terminals and related operations

We own and operate LPG and ethane export terminals and an NGL import facility, all of which are located on the Houston Ship Channel. The results of operations of these facilities are primarily dependent upon the volume handled and the associated fees we charge for such services. Revenue from terminaling activities is recorded in the period services are provided. Customers, which include our NGL marketing business, are typically billed a fee per unit of volume loaded or unloaded.

Enterprise Hydrocarbons Terminal

We own and operate a marine terminal complex, the Enterprise Hydrocarbons Terminal (or "EHT"), located on the Houston Ship Channel that provides terminaling services to major integrated oil companies, exporters, marketers, distributors and chemical companies. EHT has extensive waterfront access, consisting of six deep-water ship docks and two barge docks. The terminal can accommodate vessels with up to a 45 foot draft, including Suezmax tankers, which are the largest tankers that can navigate the Houston Ship Channel. We believe that our location on the Houston Ship Channel to the east of the Beltway 8 bridge enables us to handle larger vessels than our competitors who are located to the west of the Beltway 8 bridge because our waterfront has fewer draft and beam (width) restrictions. The size and structure of our waterfront at the Houston facility allows us not only to receive and unload products for our customers, but also to provide third party docking services for which we receive throughput fees.

EHT's facilities include those that can load cargoes of fully refrigerated, low-ethane propane and/or butane (collectively referred to as "LPGs") onto multiple tanker vessels simultaneously. In December 2015, we completed a new refrigeration train that increased EHT's loading rate for LPG (nameplate capacity) from 16,500 barrels per hour to approximately 27,500 barrels per hour. Completion of this expansion project increased overall loading capabilities at EHT from 9.0 MMBbls per month to approximately 16.0 MMBbls per month. Our LPG export services continue to benefit from increased NGL supplies produced from domestic shale plays such as the Eagle Ford Shale and international demand for propane as a feedstock in ethylene plant operations and for power generation and heating purposes. On average, LPG loading volumes at EHT were 420 MBPD, 299 MBPD and 248 MBPD during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The primary customer of EHT is our NGL marketing group, which uses EHT to assist export customers in meeting their volume requirements. NGL marketing transacts with these customers using long-term sales contracts with take-or-pay provisions and/or exchange agreements. In recent years, the U.S. has become the largest exporter of LPG in the world, with shipments originating from EHT playing a key role. Of the LPG cargoes we loaded for export at EHT during the year ended December 31, 2016, the destination markets were as follows: 50% to Asia; 23% to North America and the Caribbean; 14% to Europe and Africa; and 13% to Central and South America. Based on available information, our LPG sales to export customers represented the following percentage of each destination market's approximate total supply: 58% for North America and the Caribbean; 27% for Central and South America; 14% for Asia; and 9% for Europe and Africa.

We also own and operate an NGL import facility at EHT. This facility can offload NGLs from tanker vessels at rates up to 14,000 barrels per hour depending on the product. Our NGL import volumes for the last three years were minimal.

EHT also provides terminaling services involving crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products. EHT's assets and activities involving crude oil terminaling and storage are classified and presented as a component of our Crude Oil Pipelines & Services business segment. EHT's activities involving petrochemical and refined products customers are classified and described within our Petrochemical & Refined Products Services business segment.

Morgan's Point Ethane Export Terminal

In September 2016, we placed our ethane export terminal located at Morgan's Point on the Houston Ship Channel (the "Morgan's Point Ethane Export Terminal") into commercial service. The Morgan's Point Ethane Export Terminal, which is the largest of its kind in the world, has an aggregate loading rate (nameplate capacity) of approximately 10,000 barrels per hour of fully refrigerated ethane. Supply for the Morgan's Point Ethane Export Terminal is sourced from our Mont Belvieu NGL fractionation and storage complex and transported through a new 18-mile, 24-inch diameter pipeline that we completed in February 2016. The new terminal is supported by customer volume commitments that increase to over 180 MBPD within the next three years (depending on customer elections).

The Morgan's Point Ethane Export Terminal supports growing international demand for abundant U.S. ethane from shale plays, which offers the global petrochemical industry a low-cost feedstock option and supply diversification. We estimate that U.S. ethane production capacity currently exceeds U.S. demand by 500 to 600 MBPD and could exceed demand by up to 375 MBPD in 2020, after considering the estimated incremental demand from new third party ethylene facilities that have been announced for the Gulf Coast. By providing producers with access to the export market, the Morgan's Point Ethane Export Terminal supports continued development of U.S. energy reserves.

Crude Oil Pipelines & Services Segment

Our Crude Oil Pipelines & Services business segment includes approximately 5,400 miles of crude oil pipelines and related operations, crude oil storage and marine terminals and our crude oil marketing activities.

Crude oil pipelines and related operations

Our crude oil pipelines and related operations include crude oil gathering and transportation pipelines in Texas, Oklahoma and New Mexico. This business includes the condensate gathering operations owned by EFS Midstream LLC ("EFS Midstream"), which we acquired in July 2015 from affiliates of Pioneer Natural Resources Company ("PXD") and Reliance Industries Limited ("Reliance").

The results of operations from providing crude oil transportation services is primarily dependent upon the volume handled and the level of fees charged (typically on a per barrel basis). Fees charged to shippers are based on either tariffs regulated by governmental agencies, including the FERC, or contractual arrangements. Typically, revenue associated with these arrangements is recognized when volumes have been transported and delivered; however, under certain of our transportation agreements (e.g., certain shippers on Seaway), customers are required to ship a minimum volume over an agreed-upon period, with make-up rights. Revenue attributable to shipper make-up rights is initially deferred and subsequently recognized at the earlier of when the deficiency volume is shipped, when the shipper's ability to meet the minimum volume commitment has expired (typically a one year contractual period), or when the pipeline is otherwise released from its transportation service performance obligation.

The EFS Midstream System provides condensate gathering, processing and stabilization services as well as gathering, treating and compression services for the associated natural gas volumes. In connection with this acquisition, we entered into or amended multiple revenue generating agreements with PXD and Reliance having 20-year primary terms. We also entered into similar agreements with other producers connected to the EFS Midstream System. In general, revenues under these agreements are recognized based upon the higher of actual volumes handled or minimum volume commitments multiplied by predominantly fixed fees charged for the underlying services. With respect to those agreements having minimum volume commitments, the producer pays a deficiency fee when its volumes do not meet contractually defined minimum volume thresholds (there are no make-up rights in connection with these agreements). Under certain of the contracts, if actual volumes handled during a period exceed the respective minimum volume commitment, the excess volume serves to reduce future minimum volume commitments (for periods up to two years in the future), thus reducing any potential deficiency fees that the producer might pay in the future.

The following table presents selected information regarding our crude oil pipelines and related operations at February 1, 2017:

Description of Asset	Location(s)	Our Ownership Interest	Pipeline Length (Miles)
Crude oil pipelines:			
Seaway Pipeline (1)	Texas, Oklahoma	50.0% (2)	1,273
Red River System (1)	Texas, Oklahoma	100.0%	1,148
West Texas System (1)	Texas, New Mexico	100.0%	905
South Texas Crude Oil Pipeline System (1)	Texas	100.0%	709
Basin Pipeline (1)	Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma	13.0% (3)	530
EFS Midstream System	Texas	100.0%	461
Eagle Ford Crude Oil Pipeline System	Texas	50.0% (4)	376
Total			5,402

(1) Transportation services provided by these liquids pipelines are regulated by governmental agencies.

(2) Our ownership interest in the Seaway Pipeline is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Seaway Crude Pipeline Company LLC ("Seaway").

(3) We proportionately consolidate our undivided interest in the Basin Pipeline.

(4) Our ownership interest in the Eagle Ford Crude Oil Pipeline System is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Eagle Ford Pipeline LLC.

The maximum number of barrels per day that our crude oil pipelines can transport depends on the operating balance achieved at a given point in time between various segments of each system (e.g., demand levels at each delivery point and grades of crude oil being transported). As a result, we measure the utilization rates of our crude oil pipelines in terms of net throughput, which is based on our ownership interest. Total net throughput volumes for these pipelines were 1,388 MBPD, 1,474 MBPD and 1,278 MBPD during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

As noted previously, certain of our crude oil pipelines are subject to regulation. See "Regulatory Matters" within this Part I, Item 1 and 2 discussion for additional information.

The following information describes each of our principal crude oil pipelines, all of which we operate with the exception of the Basin Pipeline and Eagle Ford Crude Oil Pipeline System.

§ The *Seaway Pipeline* connects the Cushing, Oklahoma crude oil hub with markets in southeast Texas. The Seaway Pipeline is comprised of the Longhaul System, the Freeport System and the Texas City System. The Cushing hub is a major industry trading hub and price settlement point for West Texas Intermediate (“WTI”) crude oil on the New York Mercantile Exchange (“NYMEX”).

The Longhaul System consists of two 500-mile, 30-inch diameter pipelines that provide north-to-south transportation of crude oil from the Cushing hub to Seaway’s Jones Creek terminal located near Freeport, Texas and a terminal that we own located near Katy, Texas. The second of these two pipelines, which was placed into commercial service in December 2014, is referred to as the “Seaway Loop.” The aggregate transportation capacity of the Longhaul System is approximately 850 MBPD, depending on the type and mix of crude oil being transported and other variables.

The Freeport System consists of a marine import and export dock, three pipelines and other related facilities that transport crude oil to and from Freeport, Texas and the Jones Creek terminal. The Texas City System consists of a marine import and export dock, storage tanks, various pipelines and related facilities that transport crude oil to refineries in the Texas City, Texas area and to and from terminals in the Galena Park, Texas area, our Enterprise Crude Houston (“ECHO”) terminal and locations along the Houston Ship Channel. The Texas City System also receives production from certain offshore Gulf of Mexico developments. The intrastate transportation capacity of the Freeport System and Texas City System is approximately 220 MBPD and 800 MBPD, respectively.

In total, the Seaway Pipeline includes 19 storage tanks located along the Texas Gulf Coast having a combined 8.8 MMBbls of crude oil storage tank capacity (4.4 MMBbls net to our ownership interest). This includes two storage tanks owned by Seaway that are located at our ECHO terminal and one tank that Seaway leases from a third party.

The interstate tariffs charged by Seaway to its committed and uncommitted shippers were the subject of a rate proceeding at the FERC that concluded in 2016. For information regarding this proceeding, see “Regulatory Matters – FERC Regulation – Liquids Pipelines,” within this Part I, Item 1 and 2 discussion.

§ The *Red River System* gathers and transports crude oil from North Texas and southern Oklahoma for delivery to local refineries and pipeline interconnects for further transportation to the Cushing hub. The Red River System includes 1.1 MMBbls of crude oil storage capacity.

§ The *West Texas System* connects crude oil gathering systems in West Texas and southeast New Mexico to our terminal facility in Midland, Texas. The West Texas System includes 0.6 MMBbls of crude oil storage capacity.

§ The *South Texas Crude Oil Pipeline System* transports crude oil and condensate originating in South Texas to refineries in the Greater Houston area. The system includes 3.3 MMBbls of crude oil storage capacity. The South Texas Crude Oil Pipeline System also includes our Rancho II pipeline, which extends 89-miles from Sealy, Texas to our ECHO terminal.

§ The *Basin Pipeline* transports crude oil from the Permian Basin in West Texas and southern New Mexico to the Cushing hub. The Basin Pipeline includes approximately 6 MMBbls of crude oil storage capacity (0.8 MMBbls net to our ownership interest).

§ The *EFS Midstream System* serves producers in the Eagle Ford Shale, providing condensate gathering and processing services as well as gathering, treating and compression services for associated natural gas. The EFS Midstream System includes 461 miles of gathering pipelines, 11 central gathering plants having a combined condensate storage capacity of 0.3 MMBbls, 171 MBPD of condensate stabilization capacity and 1.0 Bcf/d of associated natural gas treating capacity.

We acquired EFS Midstream, which owns the EFS Midstream System, in July 2015 for approximately \$2.1 billion, which was payable in two installments. The initial payment of \$1.1 billion was paid at closing in July 2015. The second and final installment of \$1.0 billion was paid in July 2016. Our primary purpose in acquiring the EFS Midstream System was to secure the underlying production, particularly condensate, for our midstream asset network. Under terms of the associated agreements, PXD and Reliance have dedicated certain of their Eagle Ford Shale acreage to us under 20-year, fixed-fee gathering agreements that include minimum volume requirements for the first seven years. PXD and Reliance have also entered into related 20-year fee-based agreements with us for natural gas transportation and processing, NGL transportation and fractionation, and for condensate and crude oil transportation services.

In connection with the agreements to acquire EFS Midstream, we are obligated to spend up to an aggregate of \$270 million on specified midstream gathering assets for PXD and Reliance, if requested by these producers, over a ten-year period. If constructed, these new assets would be owned by us and be a component of the EFS Midstream System. As of December 31, 2016, we have spent approximately \$133 million of the \$270 million commitment.

For additional information regarding our acquisition of EFS Midstream, see Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

§ The *Eagle Ford Crude Oil Pipeline System* transports crude oil and condensate for producers in South Texas. The system, which is effectively looped and has a capacity to transport over 600 MBPD of light and medium grades of crude oil, consists of 376 miles of crude oil and condensate pipelines originating in Gardendale, Texas and extending to Corpus Christi, Texas. The system also interconnects with our South Texas Crude Oil Pipeline System in Wilson County, Texas. The Eagle Ford Crude Oil Pipeline System includes an aggregate 4.5 MMBbls of storage capacity across its system (2.2 MMBbls net to our ownership interest) and a marine barge terminal in Corpus Christi.

In addition, we are joint owners of a new deep-water marine crude oil terminal that is being constructed in Corpus Christi that is designed to handle a variety of ocean-going vessels. The new terminal is expected to be placed into service in 2018.

Midland-to-ECHO Pipeline System

In April 2015, we announced the execution of long-term agreements that support development of a new 24-inch diameter pipeline (the “Midland-to-Sealy” segment of our Midland-to-ECHO Pipeline System) that would transport increasing volumes of crude oil and condensate from the Permian Basin to markets in southeast Texas. The new pipeline will originate at our Midland, Texas crude oil terminal and extend 416 miles to our Sealy, Texas storage facility. Volumes arriving at Sealy would then be transported to our ECHO terminal using our Rancho II pipeline. Using the ECHO terminal, shippers will have access to every refinery in Houston, Texas City, Beaumont and Port Arthur, as well as our dock facilities. The Midland-to-ECHO Pipeline System is expected to have a transportation capacity of up to 450 MBPD, with full capacity available during the first quarter of 2018. We expect to place the pipeline into commercial service during the fourth quarter of 2017 at initial rates up to 300 MBPD.

Crude oil storage and marine terminals

We own terminals located in Houston, Midland and Beaumont, Texas and Cushing, Oklahoma that are used to store crude oil for us and our customers. Our crude oil terminals, in conjunction with other aspects of our midstream network, provide Gulf Coast refiners with an integrated system featuring supply diversification, significant storage capabilities and a high capacity pipeline distribution system that is connected to customers having an aggregate refining capacity of approximately 3.9 MMBPD.

The results of operations from crude oil terminal services are primarily dependent upon the level of volumes stored and the length of time such storage occurs, including the level of firm storage capacity reserved (if any), pumpover volumes and the fees associated with each activity. Fees associated with firm storage capacity reservation agreements are charged regardless of the volume the customer actually stores at the terminal.

The following table presents selected information regarding our crude oil terminals at February 1, 2017:

Description of Asset	Location(s)	Our Ownership Interest	Storage Capacity (MMBbls)
Crude oil terminals:			
EHT crude oil storage complex	Texas	100.0%	20.9
ECHO terminal	Texas	100.0%	6.4
Beaumont Marine West Crude Oil Terminal	Texas	100.0%	4.1
Cushing terminal	Oklahoma	100.0%	3.5
Midland terminal	Texas	100.0%	2.7
Morgan's Point terminal	Texas	100.0%	0.3
Total			37.9

The following information describes each of our principal crude oil storage and marine terminals, all of which we operate.

- § As discussed previously, we own and operate EHT, a marine terminal complex, located on the Houston Ship Channel that provides terminaling services to major integrated oil companies, exporters, marketers, distributors and chemical companies. The *EHT crude oil storage complex* is one of the largest such facilities on the Gulf Coast with 20.9 MMBbls of aggregate crude oil storage capacity through the use of 74 above-ground storage tanks. We placed 1.9 MMBbls of crude oil storage capacity into service during 2016 and expect to place an additional 1.6 MMBbls of storage capacity into service in 2017.
- § The *ECHO terminal* is located in Houston, Texas and provides storage customers with access to major refineries located in the Houston, Texas City and Beaumont/Port Arthur areas. The ECHO terminal also has connections to marine terminals, including our EHT crude oil terminal, that provide access to any refinery on the U.S. Gulf Coast. Excluding two tanks aggregating 1.0 MMBbls of storage capacity owned by Seaway, the ECHO terminal has 6.4 MMBbls of aggregate storage capacity through the use of 15 above-ground storage tanks.
- § The *Beaumont Marine West Crude Oil Terminal* is a marine terminal complex located on the Neches River near Beaumont, Texas. This complex has an aggregate crude oil storage capacity of 4.1 MMBbls through the use of 12 above-ground storage tanks. All of this storage capacity was completed and placed into service in 2016.
- § The *Cushing terminal* provides crude oil storage, pumpover and trade documentation services. Our terminal in Cushing, Oklahoma has an aggregate storage capacity of 3.5 MMBbls through the use of 20 above-ground storage tanks.
- § The *Midland terminal* provides crude oil storage, pumpover and trade documentation services. The Midland, Texas terminal has an aggregate storage capacity of 2.7 MMBbls through the use of 14 above-ground storage tanks.

Certain of our crude oil terminals include waterfront access that allows us to load and unload crude oil from ships and barges. As noted previously, EHT has extensive waterfront access, consisting of six deep-water ship docks and two barge docks. EHT can accommodate vessels with up to a 45 foot draft, including Suezmax tankers, which are the largest tankers that can navigate the Houston Ship Channel. In addition, our Beaumont Marine West Crude Oil Terminal includes two deep-water docks and a finger pier that are configured to load and unload crude oil and related products. Also, the Freeport and Texas City Systems, both of which are components of the Seaway Pipeline, include marine docks used to load and unload crude oil.

The results of operations of these marine facilities are primarily dependent upon the volume handled and the associated fees we charge for such services. Revenue from such operations is recorded in the period services are provided. Customers, which include our crude oil marketing business, are typically billed a fee per unit of volume loaded or unloaded.

Since the 1970s, U.S. federal law generally prohibited the export of crude oil, except for crude oil sales to Canada and processed condensate (a type of ultralight crude oil that has been processed through a distillation facility). This prohibition was lifted in December 2015. We believe that lifting of the crude oil export ban supports domestic production efforts and creates additional business opportunities for us through the provision of export-related services. In 2016, we loaded 34.1 MMBbls of crude oil at our dock facilities for export customers. Prior to lifting the crude oil export ban, the U.S. Department of Commerce allowed us to begin selling processed condensate to international customers at our dock facilities for export. We loaded 17.1 MMBbls, 15.9 MMBbls and 3.7 MMBbls of processed condensate for export in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Crude oil marketing activities

Our crude oil marketing activities generate revenues from the sale and delivery of crude oil purchased either directly from producers or from others on the open market. The results of operations from our crude oil marketing activities are primarily dependent upon the difference, or spread, between crude oil sales prices and the associated purchase and other costs, including those costs attributable to the use of our pipeline, storage and other midstream assets by the crude oil marketing group. In general, sales prices referenced in the underlying contracts are market-based and include pricing differentials for factors such as delivery location or crude oil quality. We also use derivative instruments to mitigate our exposure to commodity price risks associated with our crude oil marketing activities. For a discussion of our commodity hedging program, see Part II, Item 7A of this annual report.

Our Crude Oil Pipelines & Services segment also includes a fleet of approximately 440 tractor-trailer tank trucks, the majority of which we lease and operate, that are used to transport crude oil.

Natural Gas Pipelines & Services Segment

Our Natural Gas Pipelines & Services business segment includes 19,120 miles of natural gas pipeline systems that provide for the gathering and transportation of natural gas in Colorado, Louisiana, New Mexico, Texas and Wyoming. We lease underground salt dome natural gas storage facilities located in Texas and Louisiana and own an underground salt dome storage cavern in Texas, all of which are important to our natural gas pipeline operations. This segment also includes our related natural gas marketing activities.

Natural gas pipelines and related storage assets

Our natural gas pipeline systems gather and transport natural gas from producing regions such as the Eagle Ford Shale, Haynesville Shale, Barnett Shale, Permian, Piceance, San Juan and Greater Green River supply basins. In addition, certain of these pipeline systems receive natural gas production from Gulf of Mexico developments through coastal pipeline interconnects with offshore pipelines. Our natural gas pipelines receive natural gas from producers, other pipelines or shippers at the wellhead or through system interconnects and redeliver the natural gas to processing facilities, electric generation plants, local gas distribution companies, industrial or municipal customers, storage facilities or other onshore pipelines.

The results of operations from our natural gas pipelines and related storage assets are primarily dependent upon the volume of natural gas transported or stored, the level of firm capacity reservations made by shippers, and the associated fees we charge for such activities. Transportation fees charged to shippers (typically per MMBtu of natural gas) are based on either tariffs regulated by governmental agencies, including the FERC, or contractual arrangements. Certain of our natural gas pipelines offer firm capacity reservation services whereby the shipper pays a contractual fee based on the level of throughput capacity reserved (whether or not the shipper actually utilizes such capacity). Under our natural gas storage revenue contracts, there are typically two components: (i) monthly demand payments, which are associated with a customer's storage capacity reservation and paid regardless of actual usage, and (ii) storage fees per unit of volume stored at our facilities.

The following table presents selected information regarding our natural gas pipelines and related storage assets at February 1, 2017:

Description of Asset	Location(s)	Our Ownership Interest	Length (Miles)	Approximate Net Capacity	
				Pipelines (MMcf/d)	Usable Storage (Bcf)
Natural gas pipelines and storage:					
Texas Intrastate System (1)	Texas	Various (2)	7,391	6,295	12.9
Acadian Gas System (1)	Louisiana	100.0% (3)	1,326	3,100	1.3
Jonah Gathering System	Wyoming	100.0%	760	2,360	--
Piceance Basin Gathering System	Colorado	100.0%	190	1,800	--
San Juan Gathering System	New Mexico, Colorado	100.0%	6,118	1,750	--
White River Hub (4)	Colorado	50.0% (5)	10	1,500	--
Haynesville Gathering System	Louisiana, Texas	100.0%	359	1,300	--
Permian Basin Gathering System	Texas, New Mexico	100.0%	1,551	505	--
Fairplay Gathering System (1)	Texas	100.0% (6)	273	285	--
Indian Springs Gathering System (1)	Texas	80.0% (7)	172	160	--
Delmita Gathering System	Texas	100.0%	206	145	--
South Texas Gathering System	Texas	100.0%	518	143	--
Big Thicket Gathering System	Texas	100.0%	246	60	--
Total			19,120		14.2

(1) Transportation services provided by these systems, in whole or part, are regulated by governmental agencies.

(2) Of the 7,391 miles comprising the Texas Intrastate System, we lease 240 miles from a third party. We proportionately consolidate our undivided interests, which range from 22% to 80%, in 1,471 miles of pipeline. Our Wilson natural gas storage facility consists of five underground salt dome natural gas storage caverns with 12.9 Bcf of usable storage capacity, four of which (comprising 6.9 Bcf of usable capacity) are held under an operating lease that expires in January 2028. The remainder of our Texas Intrastate System is wholly owned.

(3) The Acadian Gas System is wholly owned except for an underground salt dome natural gas storage facility that we hold under an operating lease that expires in December 2018.

(4) Interstate transportation service provided by this facility is regulated by governmental agencies.

(5) Our ownership interest in the White River Hub facility is held indirectly through our equity method investment in White River Hub, LLC ("White River Hub").

(6) The Fairplay Gathering System includes approximately 52 miles of pipeline held under an operating lease.

(7) We proportionately consolidate our 80% undivided interest in the Indian Springs Gathering System.

As noted previously, certain of our natural gas pipelines are subject to regulation. See "Regulatory Matters" within this Part I, Item 1 and 2 discussion for additional information regarding governmental oversight of natural gas pipelines, including tariffs charged for transportation services.

On a weighted-average basis, overall utilization rates for our natural gas pipelines were approximately 57.4%, 59.3% and 60.5% during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. These utilization rates represent actual natural gas volumes delivered as a percentage of our nominal delivery capacity and do not reflect firm capacity reservation agreements where capacity fees are earned whether or not the shipper actually utilizes such capacity.

The following information describes each of our principal natural gas pipelines. With the exception of the White River Hub and certain segments of the Texas Intrastate System, we operate our natural gas pipelines and storage facilities.

§ The *Texas Intrastate System* is comprised of the 6,761-mile Enterprise Texas pipeline system and the 630-mile Channel pipeline system. The Texas Intrastate System gathers, transports and stores natural gas from supply basins in Texas such as the Permian Basin and Eagle Ford and Barnett Shales for redelivery to local gas distribution companies and electric generation, industrial and municipal consumers as well as to connections with other intrastate and interstate pipelines. The Texas Intrastate System serves various commercial markets in Texas, including Corpus Christi, San Antonio/Austin, Beaumont/Orange and Houston, including the Houston Ship Channel industrial market. The Wilson natural gas storage facility, which is an important part of the Texas Intrastate System, is comprised of a network of leased underground salt dome storage caverns located in Wharton County, Texas.

- § The *Acadian Gas System* transports, stores and markets natural gas in Louisiana. The Acadian Gas System is comprised of the 589-mile Cypress pipeline, 437-mile Acadian pipeline, 274-mile Haynesville Extension pipeline and 26-mile Enterprise Pelican pipeline. The Acadian Gas System includes a leased underground salt dome natural gas storage cavern located at Napoleonville, Louisiana. The Acadian Gas System links natural gas supplies from Louisiana (e.g., from Haynesville Shale supply basin) and offshore Gulf of Mexico developments with local gas distribution companies, electric generation plants and industrial customers located primarily in the Baton Rouge/New Orleans/Mississippi River corridor.
- § The *Jonah Gathering System* is located in the Greater Green River Basin of southwest Wyoming. This system gathers natural gas from the Jonah and Pinedale supply fields for delivery to regional natural gas processing plants, including our Pioneer facilities, for ultimate delivery into major interstate pipelines.
- § The *Piceance Basin Gathering System* consists of a network of gathering pipelines located in the Piceance Basin of northwestern Colorado. The Piceance Basin Gathering System gathers natural gas throughout the Piceance Basin to our Meeker natural gas processing complex for ultimate delivery into the White River Hub and other major interstate pipelines.
- § The *San Juan Gathering System* serves producers in the San Juan Basin of northern New Mexico and southern Colorado. This system gathers natural gas from production wells located in the San Juan Basin and delivers the natural gas either directly into major interstate pipelines or to regional processing and treating plants, including our Chaco processing facility and Val Verde treating plant located in New Mexico, for ultimate delivery into major interstate pipelines.
- § The *White River Hub* is a natural gas hub facility serving producers in the Piceance Basin of northwest Colorado. The facility enables producers to access six interstate natural gas pipelines and has a gross throughput capacity of 3 Bcf/d of natural gas (1.5 Bcf/d net to our interest).
- § The *Haynesville Gathering System* consists of the 216-mile State Line gathering system, the 73-mile Southeast Mansfield gathering system, the 70-mile Southeast Stanley gathering system and three natural gas treating plants. The Haynesville Gathering System gathers natural gas produced from the Haynesville and Bossier Shale supply basins and the Cotton Valley and Taylor Sand formations in Louisiana and eastern Texas for delivery to regional markets, including (through an interconnect with the Haynesville Extension pipeline) markets served by our Acadian Gas System.
- § The *Permian Basin Gathering System* is comprised of the 971-mile Carlsbad pipeline system and 580-mile Waha pipeline system. The Permian Basin Gathering System gathers natural gas from the Permian Basin region of Texas and New Mexico for delivery to natural gas processing plants, including our Chaparral, Carlsbad, South Eddy and Waha plants, and delivers processed natural gas into the El Paso Natural Gas and Transwestern pipelines and our Texas Intrastate System.
- § The *Fairplay Gathering System* gathers natural gas produced from the Cotton Valley formation within Panola and Rusk Counties in East Texas for delivery to regional markets.

In addition to our natural gas pipelines, we own and operate a natural gas treating facility (the “Central Treating Facility”) located in Rio Blanco County, Colorado. This facility can treat up to 200 MMcf/d of natural gas and serves Exxon Mobil Corporation’s (“ExxonMobil”) producing properties in the Piceance Basin. Natural gas delivered to the Central Treating Facility by ExxonMobil is treated to remove impurities and transported to our Meeker gas plant for further processing.

Certain of our natural gas pipelines are subject to regulation. See “Regulatory Matters” within this Part I, Item 1 and 2 discussion for additional information regarding governmental oversight of natural gas pipelines, including tariffs charged for transportation services.

Natural gas marketing activities

Our natural gas marketing activities generate revenues from the sale and delivery to local gas distribution companies, electric generation plants and other customers of natural gas purchased from producers, regional natural gas processing plants and the open market. The results of operations from our natural gas marketing activities are primarily dependent upon the difference, or spread, between natural gas sales prices and the associated purchase and other costs, including those costs attributable to the use of our other assets. In general, sales prices referenced in the underlying contracts are market-based and may include pricing differentials for factors such as delivery location.

We are exposed to commodity price risk to the extent that we take title to natural gas volumes in connection with our natural gas marketing activities and certain intrastate natural gas transportation contracts. In addition, we purchase and resell natural gas for certain producers that use our San Juan, Carlsbad and Jonah Gathering Systems and certain segments of our Acadian Gas and Texas Intrastate Systems. Also, several of our natural gas gathering systems, while not providing marketing services, have some exposure to risks related to fluctuations in commodity prices through transportation arrangements with shippers. For example, nearly all of the transportation revenues generated by our San Juan Gathering System are based on a percentage of a regional natural gas price index. This index may fluctuate based on a variety of factors, including changes in natural gas supply and consumer demand. We attempt to mitigate these price risks through the use of commodity derivative instruments. For a discussion of our commodity hedging program, see Part II, Item 7A of this annual report.

Petrochemical & Refined Products Services Segment

Our Petrochemical & Refined Products Services business segment includes (i) propylene fractionation and related operations, including 686 miles of pipeline; (ii) a butane isomerization complex, associated deisobutanizer units and related pipeline assets; (iii) octane enhancement and high purity isobutylene production facilities; (iv) refined products pipelines aggregating approximately 4,250 miles, terminals and related marketing activities; and (v) marine transportation.

Propylene fractionation and related operations

Our propylene fractionation and related operations consist of seven propylene fractionation plants, including pipeline systems aggregating 686 miles, and related petrochemical marketing activities. We operate all of our propylene fractionation and related assets except for the Lake Charles PGP Pipeline in Louisiana.

In general, propylene fractionation plants separate refinery grade propylene ("RGP"), which is a mixture of propane and propylene, into either polymer grade propylene ("PGP") or chemical grade propylene ("CGP") along with by-products of propane and mixed butane. PGP and CGP can also be produced as a by-product of ethylene production. The demand for PGP primarily relates to the manufacture of polypropylene, which has a variety of end uses including packaging film, fiber for carpets and upholstery and molded plastic parts for appliances and automotive, houseware and medical products. CGP is a basic petrochemical used in the manufacturing of plastics, synthetic fibers and foams.

The overall results of operations from propylene fractionation are generally dependent upon toll processing arrangements with customers and our petrochemical marketing activities. Toll processing arrangements typically include a base processing fee per gallon (or other unit of measurement) subject to adjustment for changes in power, fuel and labor costs, all of which are the primary costs of propylene fractionation activities. The results of operations from our petrochemical pipelines are primarily dependent upon the volume of products transported and the level of fees charged to shippers. Transportation fees are based on contractual arrangements and may include provisions whereby the customer pays us a fee if certain volume thresholds are not met over a contractual term.

In our petrochemical marketing activities, we purchase RGP on the open market for fractionation at our facilities and sell the resulting products at market-based prices. The sales price of these products may include pricing differentials for factors such as delivery location. The results of operations from our petrochemical marketing activities are primarily dependent upon the difference, or spread, between the sales prices of the products and associated purchase and other costs, including those costs attributable to the use of our other assets by the petrochemical marketing group. In order to meet the demand of international customers, this business also includes export assets located at our EHT complex that are capable of loading up to 5,000 metric tons per day of refrigerated PGP.

In order to limit the exposure of our petrochemical marketing activities to commodity price risk, we attempt to match the timing and price of our feedstock purchases with those of the sales of end products.

The following table presents selected information regarding our propylene fractionation facilities at February 1, 2017:

Description of Asset	Location(s)	Our Ownership Interest	Net Plant Capacity (MBPD)	Total Plant Capacity (MBPD)
Propylene fractionation facilities:				
Mont Belvieu (six units)	Texas	Various (1)	81	95
BRPC (one unit)	Louisiana	30.0% (2)	7	23
Total			88	118

(1) We proportionately consolidate a 66.7% undivided interest in three of the propylene fractionation units, which have an aggregate 41 MBPD of total plant capacity. The remaining three propylene fractionation units are wholly owned.

(2) Our ownership interest in the BRPC facility is held indirectly through our equity method investment in Baton Rouge Propylene Concentrator LLC ("BRPC").

We produce PGP at our Mont Belvieu, Texas propylene fractionation facility and CGP at our BRPC facility located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. On a weighted-average basis, overall utilization rates of our propylene fractionation facilities were approximately 81.9%, 80.5% and 84.7% during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The following table presents selected information regarding our petrochemical pipelines at February 1, 2017:

Description of Asset	Location(s)	Ownership Interest	Length (Miles)
Petrochemical pipelines:			
Lou-Tex Propylene Pipeline	Texas, Louisiana	100.0%	263
Texas City RGP Gathering System	Texas	100.0%	168
North Dean Pipeline System	Texas	100.0%	156
Propylene Splitter PGP Distribution System	Texas	100.0%	37
Lake Charles PGP Pipeline	Texas, Louisiana	50.0% (1)	27
La Porte PGP Pipeline	Texas	80.0% (2)	20
Sabine Pipeline	Texas, Louisiana	100.0%	15
Total			686

(1) We proportionately consolidate our undivided interest in the Lake Charles PGP Pipeline.

(2) We own an 80% consolidated interest in the La Porte PGP Pipeline through our majority owned subsidiaries, La Porte Pipeline Company, L.P. and La Porte Pipeline GP, L.L.C.

The maximum number of barrels per day that our petrochemical pipelines can transport depends on the operating balance achieved at a given point in time between various segments of each system (e.g., demand levels at each delivery point and the mix of products being transported). As a result, we measure the utilization rates of our petrochemical pipelines in terms of net throughput, which is based on our ownership interest. Total net throughput volumes were 121 MBPD, 126 MBPD and 124 MBPD during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Lou-Tex Propylene pipeline is used to transport CGP from Sorrento, Louisiana to Mont Belvieu, Texas. In June 2015, we announced plans to convert the Lou-Tex Propylene pipeline from CGP to PGP service. This conversion is scheduled for completion in 2020.

The North Dean Pipeline System transports PGP from Mont Belvieu, Texas, to Point Comfort, Texas.

In June 2015, we also announced plans for the construction of a new 65-mile, 10-inch diameter pipeline, which will transport RGP between Sorrento and Breaux Bridge, Louisiana. This pipeline is scheduled for completion in the third quarter of 2017.

In addition, our rail receiving facilities at Mont Belvieu are being expanded to provide us the capability to unload up to 80 RGP rail cars per day. This expansion project is scheduled for completion during the first quarter of 2017.

Propane Dehydrogenation Facility

We are currently constructing a propane dehydrogenation (“PDH”) facility, with the capacity to produce up to 1.65 billion pounds per year (or approximately 750 thousand metric tons per year or 25 MBPD) of PGP. The PDH facility is expected to consume approximately 35 MBPD of propane as feedstock and be located adjacent to our Mont Belvieu complex. The new facility will be integrated with our existing propylene fractionation facilities, which will provide operational reliability and flexibility for both the PDH facility and the fractionation facilities. The PDH facility, which is underwritten by long-term fee-based, minimum volume commitments, will also be integrated with our PGP storage facilities, pipeline systems and export terminal. We expect construction of the PDH facility to be completed in the first quarter of 2017 with commercial operations expected to begin in mid-2017.

We have initiated legal proceedings involving the former general contractor for the PDH facility. For a summary of this litigation, see Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Isomerization and related operations

We own and operate three isomerization units, which have an aggregate processing capacity of 116 MBPD, located in Mont Belvieu, Texas that comprise the largest commercial isomerization facility in the U.S. These operations also include a 70-mile pipeline system used to transport high-purity isobutane from Mont Belvieu, Texas to Port Neches, Texas. Isomerization units convert normal butane feedstock into mixed butane, which is a stream of isobutane and normal butane. Deisobutanizer (“DIB”) units, of which we own and operate nine located at our Mont Belvieu complex, then separate the isobutane from the normal butane. Any remaining unconverted (or residual) normal butane generated by the DIB process is then recirculated through the isomerization process until it has been converted into varying grades of isobutane, including high-purity isobutane. The isomerization process also produces natural gasoline as a by-product. The primary uses of isobutane are for the production of propylene oxide, isooctane, isobutylene and alkylate for motor gasoline.

The results of operations from our isomerization business are generally dependent on the volume of normal and mixed butanes processed, the level of toll processing fees charged to customers and prices received for by-products. These processing arrangements typically include a base-processing fee per gallon (or other unit of measurement) subject to adjustment for changes in power, fuel and labor costs, all of which are the primary costs of isomerization. These assets provide processing services to meet the needs of third party customers and our other businesses, including our NGL marketing activities and octane enhancement production facility. Our isomerization business also generates revenues from the sale of natural gasoline created as a by-product of the underlying processes.

The demand for commercial isomerization services depends upon the industry’s requirements for isobutane and high-purity isobutane in excess of the isobutane produced through the process of NGL fractionation and refinery operations. On a weighted-average basis, the utilization rates of our isomerization facility were approximately 93.1%, 82.8% and 80.2% during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. We own and operate our butane isomerization facility and related pipeline assets.

We also use certain of our DIB units to fractionate mixed butanes produced from NGL fractionation activities, from imports and from other sources into isobutane and normal butane. The operating flexibility provided by our multiple standalone DIBs enables us to take advantage of fluctuations in demand and prices for different types of butane. We measure the utilization of our standalone DIB units in terms of processing volumes, which averaged 89 MBPD, 79 MBPD and 82 MBPD for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Isobutane Dehydrogenation Unit

In January 2017, we announced plans to construct a new isobutane dehydrogenation (“iBDH”) unit at our Mont Belvieu complex that is expected to have the capability of producing 425,000 tons per year of isobutylene. The project, which is underwritten by long-term contracts with investment-grade customers, is expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2019. Isobutylene produced by the new plant will provide additional feedstocks for our downstream octane enhancement and petrochemical facilities.

Historically, steam crackers and refineries have been the major source of propane and butane olefins for downstream use. However, with the increased use of light-end feedstocks, specifically ethane, the need for on-purpose olefins production has increased. Like our PDH facility, the iBDH plant will help meet market demand where traditional supplies have been reduced. The new iBDH plant will increase our production of both high purity and low purity isobutylene, both of which are used primarily as feedstock to manufacture lubricants, rubber products and alkylate for gasoline blendstock, as well as methyl tertiary butyl ether (“MTBE”) for export.

Octane enhancement and related operations

We own and operate an octane enhancement production facility located in Mont Belvieu, Texas that is designed to produce isobutylene and either iso-octane or MTBE. The products produced by this facility are used by refiners in the production of reformulated motor gasoline blends to increase octane values. The high-purity isobutane feedstocks consumed in the production of these products are supplied by our isomerization units.

We sell our octane enhancement products at market-based prices. We attempt to mitigate the price risk associated with these products by entering into commodity derivative instruments. To the extent that we produce MTBE, it is sold exclusively into the export market. We measure the utilization of our octane enhancement facility in terms of combined iso-octane, isobutylene and MTBE production volumes, which averaged 19 MBPD, 15 MBPD and 15 MBPD for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Octane enhancement production volumes for 2015 and 2014 were adversely impacted by extended maintenance outages in each of these years.

We also own and operate a facility located on the Houston Ship Channel that produces up to 4 MBPD of high purity isobutylene (“HPIB”) and includes an associated storage facility with 0.6 MMBbls of storage capacity. The primary feedstock for this plant, an isobutane/isobutylene mix, is produced by our Mont Belvieu octane enhancement facility. HPIB is used in the formulation of polyisobutylene, which is used in the manufacture of lubricants and rubber. In general, we sell HPIB at market-based prices with a cost-based floor. On a weighted-average basis, utilization rates for this facility were 58.4%, 54.8% and 47.2% for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Refined products pipelines

We own and operate the TE Products Pipeline, which is a 3,423-mile pipeline system comprised of 3,098 miles of regulated interstate pipelines and 325 miles of unregulated intrastate Texas pipelines. The system primarily transports refined products from the upper Texas Gulf Coast to Seymour, Indiana. From Seymour, segments of the TE Products Pipeline extend to Chicago, Illinois; Lima, Ohio; Selkirk, New York; and near Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. East of Seymour, Indiana, the TE Products Pipeline is primarily dedicated to NGL transportation service. The refined products transported by the TE Products Pipeline are produced by refineries and include motor gasoline and distillates. The results of operations for this pipeline system are dependent upon the volume of products transported and the level of fees charged to shippers. The tariffs charged for such services are either contractual or regulated by governmental agencies, including the FERC.

The maximum number of barrels per day that our TE Products Pipeline can transport depends on the operating balance achieved at a given point in time between various segments of the system (e.g., demand levels at each delivery point and the mix of products being transported). As a result, we measure the utilization rate of this pipeline in terms of throughput. Aggregate throughput volumes by product type for the TE Products Pipeline were as follows for the periods presented:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Refined products transportation (MBPD)	474	444	412
Petrochemical transportation (MBPD)	164	144	137
NGL transportation (MBPD)	55	55	65

The TE Products Pipeline system includes five refined products truck terminals and 19.1 MMBbls of refined products storage capacity. In addition, the system includes 3.7 MMBbls of NGL storage capacity, the assets of which are accounted for under our NGL Pipelines & Services business segment.

The TE Products Pipeline is subject to regulation. See “Regulatory Matters” within this Part I, Item 1 and 2 discussion for additional information regarding governmental oversight of liquids pipelines, including tariffs charged for transportation services.

Centennial

We also own a 50% equity interest in the Centennial Pipeline L.L.C. joint venture. The Centennial Pipeline is a 795-mile refined products pipeline that extends from an origination facility located on our TE Products Pipeline in Beaumont, Texas to Bourbon, Illinois. The Centennial Pipeline includes a refined products storage terminal located near Creal Springs, Illinois with a gross storage capacity of 2.3 MMBbls (1.2 MMBbls net to our ownership interest). The Centennial Pipeline is idle; however, we and our joint venture partner are evaluating a project that would repurpose it as part of an NGL pipeline system that would transport NGLs from third party-owned fractionation facilities located in the Marcellus and Utica Shale production areas to Gulf Coast markets.

Refined products marine terminals

The principal assets of our refined products marine terminal business are the Beaumont Marine East and Beaumont Marine West Refined Products Terminals. Both facilities are located on the Neches River near Beaumont, Texas, with the East terminal located in Orange County, Texas and the West terminal located in Jefferson County, Texas. On a combined basis, these refined products terminals include five deep-water ship docks and three barge docks and 5.3 MMBbls of aggregate refined products storage capacity through the use of 64 above-ground storage tanks. In addition, these terminals have access to 11.7 MMBbls of refined products storage capacity at locations along our TE Products Pipeline between Beaumont and Houston, Texas.

This business also includes refined products marine terminal assets at EHT, which is located on the Houston Ship Channel. In addition to providing vessel loading and unloading services for refined products, EHT’s refined products operations include 2.4 MMBbls of aggregate storage capacity through the use of 27 above-ground storage tanks.

The results of operations for these marine terminals are primarily dependent upon the volume handled and the associated storage and other fees we charge. With respect to vessel loading and unloading services, customers are typically billed a fee per unit of volume handled and revenue is recognized in the periods the services are provided. Revenues from export-related services may also include deficiency fees charged to customers that reserve exporting capacity and later fail to use such capacity. Deficiency fee revenue is recognized when the customer fails to utilize the specified export capacity as required by contract. Revenues from storage activities at each terminal are primarily dependent upon the level of volumes a customer stores at each terminal and the length of time such storage occurs, including the level of firm storage capacity reserved (if any), pumpover volumes and the fees associated with each activity. Fees associated with firm storage capacity reservation agreements are charged regardless of the volume the customer actually stores at the terminal.

Refined products marketing activities

Our refined products marketing activities generate revenues from the sale and delivery of refined products obtained on the open market. The results of operations from our refined products marketing activities are primarily dependent upon the difference, or spread, between product sales prices and the associated purchase and other costs, including those costs attributable to the use of our other assets. In general, we sell our refined products at market-based prices, which may include pricing differentials for factors such as delivery location. We use derivative instruments to mitigate our exposure to commodity price risks associated with our refined products marketing activities. For a discussion of our commodity hedging program, see Part II, Item 7A of this annual report.

Marine transportation

Our marine transportation business consists of 60 tow boats and 138 tank barges that are used to transport refined products, crude oil, asphalt, condensate, heavy fuel oil, LPG and other petroleum products along key U.S. inland and Intracoastal Waterway systems. The marine transportation industry uses tow boats as power sources and tank barges for freight capacity.

Our marine transportation assets service refinery and storage terminal customers along the Mississippi River, the intracoastal waterway between Texas and Florida and the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway system. We own and operate a shipyard and repair facility located in Houma, Louisiana and marine fleeting facilities located in Bourg, Louisiana and Channelview, Texas. The results of operations of our marine transportation business are generally dependent upon the level of fees charged to transport cargo. These transportation services are typically provided under term contracts, which are agreements with specific customers to transport cargo from within designated operating areas at either set day rates or a set fee per cargo movement.

Our fleet of marine vessels operated at an average utilization rate of 85.2%, 87.9% and 93.1% during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Our marine transportation business is subject to regulation, including by the U.S. Department of Transportation (“DOT”), Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Department of Commerce and the U.S. Coast Guard (“USCG”). For information regarding these regulations, see “Regulatory Matters – Federal Regulation of Marine Operations,” within this Part I, Item 1 and 2 discussion.

Regulatory Matters

The following information describes the principal effects of regulation on our business activities, including those regulations involving safety and environmental matters and the rates we charge customers for transportation services.

Safety Matters

The safe operation of our pipelines and other assets is a top priority of our partnership. We are committed to protecting the environment and the health and safety of the public and those working on our behalf by conducting our business activities in a safe and environmentally responsible manner.

Occupational Safety and Health

Certain of our facilities are subject to the general industry requirements of the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Act, as amended (“OSHA”), and comparable state statutes. We believe we are in material compliance with OSHA and the similar state requirements, including general industry standards, record keeping requirements and monitoring of occupational exposures of employees.

Certain of our facilities are subject to OSHA Process Safety Management (“PSM”) regulations, which are designed to prevent or minimize the consequences of catastrophic releases of toxic, reactive, flammable or explosive chemicals. These regulations apply to any process involving a chemical at or above a specified threshold (as defined in the regulations) or any process which involves certain flammable gases or liquids. In addition, we are subject to the Risk Management Plan regulations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) at certain facilities. These regulations are intended to complement the OSHA PSM regulations. These EPA regulations require us to develop and implement a risk management program that includes a five-year accident history report, an offsite consequence analysis process, a prevention program and an emergency response program. We believe we are operating in material compliance with the OSHA PSM regulations and the EPA’s Risk Management Plan requirements.

The OSHA hazard communication standard, the community right-to-know regulations under Title III of the federal Superfund Amendment and Reauthorization Act, and comparable state statutes require us to organize and disclose information about the hazardous materials used in our operations. Certain parts of this information must be reported to federal, state and local governmental authorities and local citizens upon request. These laws and provisions of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”) require us to report spills and releases of hazardous chemicals in certain situations.

Pipeline Safety

We are subject to extensive regulation by the DOT authorized under various provisions of Title 49 of the United States Code and comparable state statutes relating to the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of our pipeline facilities. These statutes require companies that own or operate pipelines to (i) comply with such regulations, (ii) permit access to and copying of pertinent records, (iii) file certain reports and (iv) provide information as required by the U.S. Secretary of Transportation. We believe we are in material compliance with these DOT regulations.

We are subject to DOT pipeline integrity management regulations that specify how companies should assess, evaluate, validate and maintain the integrity of pipeline segments that, in the event of a release, could impact High Consequence Areas (“HCAs”). HCAs include populated areas, unusually sensitive areas and commercially navigable waterways. The regulation requires the development and implementation of an integrity management program that utilizes internal pipeline inspection techniques, pressure testing or other equally effective means to assess the integrity of pipeline segments in HCAs. These regulations also require periodic review of HCA pipeline segments to ensure that adequate preventative and mitigative measures exist and that companies take prompt action to address integrity issues raised in the assessment and analysis process. We have identified our pipeline segments in HCAs and developed an appropriate integrity management program for such assets.

The Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011 (the “Pipeline Safety Act”) provides for regulatory oversight of the nation’s pipelines, penalties for violations of pipeline safety rules, and other DOT matters. The Pipeline Safety Act increases penalties for non-compliance with its regulations for a single violation from \$100,000 to \$200,000 and imposes a maximum fine for the most serious pipeline safety violations involving deaths, injuries or major environmental harm of \$2 million per incident. In addition, the act includes additional safety requirements for newly constructed pipelines.

DOT regulations have incorporated by reference the American Petroleum Institute Standard 653 (“API 653”) as the industry standard for the inspection, repair, alteration and reconstruction of storage tanks. API 653 requires regularly scheduled inspection and repair of such tanks. These periodic tank maintenance requirements may result in significant and unanticipated capital and operating expenditures for repairs or upgrades deemed necessary to ensure the continued safe and reliable operation of our storage tanks.

In January 2015, former President Obama announced plans to regulate methane emissions attributable to the upstream oil and gas industry, including activities related to gathering and compression, as a greenhouse gas. See “Climate Change Debate” within this Regulatory Matters section. This announcement indicated that the DOT through its Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”), would be issuing new natural gas regulations with the intent to improve safety as well as to reduce methane emissions.

In October 2015, the PHMSA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking proposing new or revised regulations of hazardous liquid pipelines in light of the lessons learned from significant hazardous liquid pipeline accidents, including proposals to: extend reporting requirements to all hazardous liquid gravity and gathering lines; require inspections of pipelines in areas affected by extreme weather; require periodic inline integrity assessments of hazardous liquid pipelines in all locations; modify the provisions for making pipeline repairs; require all pipelines subject to the integrity management requirements be capable of accommodating inline inspection tools within 20 years, with certain exceptions; and clarification of other regulations to improve certainty and compliance.

In March 2016, the PHMSA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking recommending new safety regulations for natural gas transmission pipelines that broaden the scope of safety coverage in several ways, including but not limited to: modifying the regulation of gathering lines by eliminating the exemption from reporting requirements for gas gathering line operators and revising the definition for gathering lines; adding new assessment and revising repair criteria for pipeline segments in HCAs and establishing repair criteria for pipelines that are outside of HCAs; expanding the scope of the regulations to include pipelines located in areas of Medium Consequence Areas (“MCAs”); adding a requirement to test pipelines built before 1970, which are currently exempt from certain pipeline safety requirements; modifying the way that pipeline operators secure and inspect transmission pipeline infrastructure following extreme weather events; clarifying requirements for conducting risk assessment for integrity management; expanding mandatory data collection and integration requirements for integrity management, including data validation; requiring new safety features for launchers and receivers; and requiring a systematic approach to verify a pipeline’s maximum allowable operating pressure and requiring operators to report maximum allowable operating pressure exceedances.

In June 2016, former President Obama signed new pipeline safety legislation, the “Securing America’s Future Energy: Protecting our Infrastructure of Pipelines and Enhancing Safety Act of 2016” (the “SAFE PIPES Act”). Extending the PHMSA’s statutory mandate through 2019, the SAFE PIPES Act establishes or continues the development of requirements affecting pipeline safety including, but not limited to, the following: (i) providing the PHMSA with additional authority to address imminent hazards by imposing emergency restrictions, prohibitions and safety measures on owners and operators of gas or hazardous liquid pipeline facilities without prior notice or an opportunity for a hearing; (ii) obligating the PHMSA to develop safety standards for natural gas storage facilities by June 22, 2018; and (iii) requiring the PHMSA to complete certain of the outstanding mandates under existing legislation and to report to Congress on the status of overdue rulemakings. The development and/or implementation of more stringent requirements pursuant to regulations implementing all of the requirements of the Pipeline Safety Act or the SAFE PIPES Act could cause us to incur increased capital costs and costs of operation as necessary to comply with such standards, which costs could be significant. These new regulations could increase our operating costs which could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Until the proposed regulations are finalized, the impact on our operations, if any, is not known.

Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to various environmental and safety requirements and potential liabilities under extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations. These include, without limitation: the CERCLA; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”); the Federal Clean Air Act (“CAA”); the Clean Water Act (“CWA”); the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (“OPA”); the OSHA; the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act; and comparable or analogous state and local laws and regulations. Such laws and regulations affect many aspects of our present and future operations, and generally require us to obtain and comply with a wide variety of environmental registrations, licenses, permits, inspections and other approvals with respect to air emissions, water quality, wastewater discharges and solid and hazardous waste management. Failure to comply with these requirements may expose us to fines, penalties and/or interruptions in our operations that could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

If a leak, spill or release of hazardous substances occurs at any facilities that we own, operate or otherwise use, or where we send materials for treatment or disposal, we could be held liable for all resulting liabilities, including investigation, remedial and clean-up costs. Likewise, we could be required to remove previously disposed waste products or remediate contaminated property, including situations where groundwater has been impacted. Any or all of these developments could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

We believe our operations are in material compliance with applicable environmental and safety laws and regulations. In addition, we expect that compliance with existing environmental and safety laws and regulations will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. However, environmental and safety laws and regulations are subject to change. The trend in environmental regulation has been to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may be perceived to impact the environment, and thus there can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of future expenditures for environmental regulation compliance or remediation, and actual future expenditures may be different from the amounts we currently anticipate. New or revised regulations that result in increased compliance costs or additional operating restrictions, particularly if those costs are not fully recoverable from our customers, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

On occasion, we are assessed monetary sanctions by governmental authorities related to administrative or judicial proceedings involving environmental matters. See Part I, Item 3 of this annual report for additional information.

Air Quality

Our operations are associated with regulatory permitted emissions of air pollutants. As a result, we are subject to the CAA and comparable state laws and regulations including state air quality implementation plans. These laws and regulations regulate emissions of air pollutants from various industrial sources, including certain of our facilities, and also impose various monitoring and reporting requirements. Such laws and regulations may require that we obtain pre-approval for the construction or modification of certain projects or facilities expected to produce air emissions or result in an increase in existing air emissions, obtain and strictly comply with the requirements of air permits containing various emission and operational limitations, or utilize specific emission control technologies to limit emissions. Our failure to comply with these requirements could subject us to monetary penalties, injunctions, conditions or restrictions on operations, and enforcement actions. We may be required to incur certain capital expenditures for air pollution control equipment in connection with obtaining and maintaining operating permits and approvals for air emissions.

Water Quality

The CWA and comparable state laws impose strict controls on the discharge of crude oil and its derivatives into regulated waters. The CWA provides penalties for any discharge of petroleum products in reportable quantities and imposes substantial potential liability for the costs of removing petroleum or other hazardous substances. State laws for the control of water pollution also provide varying civil and criminal penalties and liabilities in the case of a release of petroleum or its derivatives in navigable waters or into groundwater. Spill prevention control and countermeasure requirements of federal laws require appropriate containment berms and similar structures to help prevent a petroleum tank release from impacting regulated waters. The EPA has also adopted regulations that require us to have permits in order to discharge certain storm water run-off. Storm water discharge permits may also be required by certain states in which we operate and may impose certain monitoring and other requirements. The CWA further prohibits discharges of dredged and fill material in wetlands and other waters of the U.S. unless authorized by an appropriately issued permit. We believe that our costs of compliance with these CWA requirements will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The primary federal law for crude oil spill liability is the OPA, which addresses three principal areas of crude oil pollution: prevention, containment and clean-up and liability. The OPA applies to vessels, offshore platforms and onshore facilities, including terminals, pipelines and transfer facilities. In order to handle, store or transport crude oil above certain thresholds, onshore facilities are required to file oil spill response plans with the USCG, the DOT's OPS or the EPA, as appropriate. Numerous states have enacted laws similar to the OPA. Under the OPA and similar state laws, responsible parties for a regulated facility from which crude oil is discharged may be liable for remediation costs, including damage to surrounding natural resources. Any unpermitted release of petroleum or other pollutants from our pipelines or facilities could result in fines or penalties as well as significant remediation costs.

Contamination resulting from spills or releases of petroleum products is an inherent risk within the pipeline industry. To the extent that groundwater contamination requiring remediation exists along our pipeline systems or other facilities as a result of past operations, we believe any such contamination could be controlled or remedied without having a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows, but such costs are site specific and there is no assurance that the impact will not be material in the aggregate.

Environmental groups have instituted lawsuits regarding certain nationwide permits issued by the Army Corps of Engineers. These permits allow for streamlined permitting of pipeline projects. If these lawsuits are successful, timelines for future pipeline construction projects could be adversely impacted.

Disposal of Hazardous and Non-Hazardous Wastes

In our normal operations, we generate hazardous and non-hazardous solid wastes that are subject to requirements of the federal RCRA and comparable state statutes, which impose detailed requirements for the handling, storage, treatment and disposal of solid waste. We also utilize waste minimization and recycling processes to reduce the volumes of our solid wastes.

CERCLA, also known as "Superfund," imposes liability, often without regard to fault or the legality of the original act, on certain classes of persons who contributed to the release of a "hazardous substance" into the environment. These persons include the owner or operator of a facility where a release occurred and companies that disposed or arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances found at a facility. Under CERCLA, responsible parties may be subject to joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources and for the costs of certain health studies. CERCLA and RCRA also authorize the EPA and, in some instances, third parties to take actions in response to threats to the public health or the environment and to seek to recover the costs they incur from the responsible parties. It is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by hazardous substances or other pollutants released into the environment. In the course of our ordinary operations, our pipeline systems and other facilities generate wastes that may fall within CERCLA's definition of a "hazardous substance" or be subject to CERCLA and RCRA remediation requirements. It is possible that we could incur liability for remediation or reimbursement of remediation costs under CERCLA or RCRA for remediation at sites we currently own or operate, whether as a result of our or our predecessors' operations, at sites that we previously owned or operated, or at disposal facilities previously used by us, even if such disposal was legal at the time it was undertaken.

Endangered Species

The federal Endangered Species Act, as amended, and comparable state laws, may restrict commercial or other activities that affect endangered and threatened species or their habitats. Some of our current or future planned facilities may be located in areas that are designated as a habitat for endangered or threatened species and, if so, may limit or impose increased costs on facility construction or operation. In addition, the designation of previously unidentified endangered or threatened species could cause us to incur additional costs or become subject to operating restrictions or bans in the affected areas.

FERC Regulation – Liquids Pipelines

Certain of our NGL, petroleum products and crude oil pipeline systems are interstate common carriers subject to regulation by the FERC under the Interstate Commerce Act (“ICA”). These pipelines (referred to as “interstate liquids pipelines”) include, but are not limited to, the following: ATEX, Aegis, Dixie Pipeline, TE Products Pipeline, Front Range Pipeline, Mid-America Pipeline System, Seaway Pipeline, Seminole Pipeline and Texas Express Pipeline. These pipelines are owned by legal entities that are subject to FERC regulation, including periodic reporting requirements. For example, ATEX, Aegis and the TE Products Pipeline are owned by Enterprise TE Products Pipeline Company LLC (“Enterprise TE”), which is a FERC-regulated entity.

The ICA prescribes that the interstate rates we charge for transportation on these interstate liquids pipelines must be just and reasonable, and that the rules applied to our services not unduly discriminate against or confer any undue preference upon any shipper. The FERC regulations implementing the ICA further require that interstate liquids pipeline transportation rates and rules be filed with the FERC. The ICA permits interested persons to challenge proposed new or changed rates or rules, and authorizes the FERC to investigate such changes and to suspend their effectiveness for a period of up to seven months. Upon completion of such an investigation, the FERC may require refunds of amounts collected above what it finds to be a just and reasonable level, together with interest. The FERC may also investigate, upon complaint or on its own motion, rates and related rules that are already in effect, and may order a carrier to change them prospectively. Upon an appropriate showing, a shipper may obtain reparations (including interest) for damages sustained for a period of up to two years prior to the filing of its complaint.

The rates charged for our interstate liquids pipeline services are generally based on a FERC-approved indexing methodology, which allows a pipeline to charge rates up to a prescribed ceiling that changes annually based on the year-to-year change in the U.S. Producer Price Index for Finished Goods (“PPI”). A rate increase within the indexed rate ceiling is presumed to be just and reasonable unless a protesting party can demonstrate that the rate increase is substantially in excess of the pipeline’s operating costs. For the five-year period commencing July 1, 2011 and ending June 30, 2016, we were permitted by the FERC to adjust the indexed rate ceilings annually by the PPI plus 2.65%. For the five-year period commencing July 1, 2016, the FERC established PPI plus 1.23% as the index. In any year in which the index is negative due to a decline in the PPI, a pipeline must file to lower its rates if its rates would be above the indexed rate ceiling. This situation occurred for the year beginning on July 1, 2016 and resulted in the FERC index applicable to our rates reflecting an approximate 2.01% decline. As an alternative to this indexing methodology, we may also choose to support changes in our rates based on a cost-of-service methodology, by obtaining advance approval to charge “market-based rates,” or by charging “settlement rates” agreed to by all affected shippers.

In June 2013, certain parties filed a complaint at the FERC against Enterprise TE alleging that Enterprise TE’s cancellation of certain distillate and jet fuel transportation services violated a provision of a settlement agreement and requested reinstatement of the transportation services and damages. In October 2013, the FERC issued an order holding that Enterprise TE violated the provision in the settlement agreement. While the FERC found that it did not have authority to require Enterprise TE to reinstate the cancelled services, it set the case for an evidentiary hearing to determine if any monetary damages were appropriate. Certain parties requested rehearing of the FERC’s finding that it lacked authority to reinstate the cancelled services. In December 2013, Enterprise TE filed a petition for review of the FERC’s October 2013 order with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (the “D.C. Circuit”). Certain parties requested rehearing of the October 2013 order. In May 2016, the FERC issued an order denying those rehearing requests. Two of the parties that sought rehearing have filed a petition for review of both the October 2013 order and the May 2016 rehearing order. Enterprise TE has negotiated settlements that have resolved the complaints and has intervened in the pending third party petition for review. Since Enterprise TE has intervened on behalf of the FERC in the third party petition for review, Enterprise TE voluntarily dismissed its own petition for review at the D.C. Circuit. We are unable to predict the outcome of the pending third party petition for review.

Seaway is charging “committed shipper” rates to shippers who voluntarily agreed under long term contracts to commit to the transportation of, or nevertheless to pay for (to the extent not transported) the transportation of, a minimum volume of crude oil from Cushing, Oklahoma to the Gulf Coast. Seaway is also charging “uncommitted shipper” rates to shippers who have not made any long term contractual commitment to the Seaway Pipeline and instead receive service month-to-month. In March 2013, the FERC issued a declaratory order stating that the charging by a pipeline of voluntarily agreed-to committed shipper rates is consistent with the FERC’s policy of honoring contracts (the “March 2013 Order”). In light of the March 2013 Order, we believed that Seaway’s committed shipper rates were not at issue in the ongoing rate proceeding, which began in 2012. However, in September 2013, an administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued an initial decision in the rate proceeding (the “2013 Initial Decision”) distinguishing the March 2013 Order and recommending that the FERC find, among other things, that Seaway’s committed shipper rates are not just and reasonable and should be re-determined on a cost of service basis along with the uncommitted shipper rates.

In October 2013, Seaway and certain committed rate shippers filed briefs on exceptions objecting to this committed shipper rate aspect of the ALJ’s 2013 Initial Decision, and also challenging various aspects of the cost of service determinations in the 2013 Initial Decision. In February 2014, the FERC issued an order reversing the 2013 Initial Decision with respect to the committed rate issue, reiterating its policy of honoring contracts executed between pipelines and committed shippers and remanding the remaining issues to the ALJ for further review. In May 2014, the ALJ issued an initial decision on remand, which largely repeated its prior findings, including as to the committed shipper rates. In February 2016, the FERC again reversed the ALJ decision with respect to the committed rate issue and upheld Seaway’s committed rates. The FERC’s February 2016 order also ruled for and against Seaway on various issues related to the uncommitted rates and required Seaway to submit, by March 17, 2016, a compliance filing calculating new uncommitted rates consistent with the FERC’s order. Seaway submitted its compliance filing on March 17, 2016 and it was accepted by the FERC in August 2016. In September 2016, certain parties filed protests alleging that Seaway had not reduced the uncommitted rates by reflecting the FERC’s published index for 2016 and therefore the rates were not just and reasonable. In September 2016, the FERC accepted Seaway’s filing, subject to the condition that Seaway refile its uncommitted rates to reflect the 2016 index adjustment and refund the difference between the rates charged and the rates reflecting the adjustment. In addition, the FERC directed Seaway to file a refund report. In September 2016, Seaway paid refunds and filed revised rates reflecting the 2016 index adjustment that became effective in October 2016. In November 2016, Seaway filed its refund report.

In December 2014, Seaway submitted an application requesting market-based rate setting authority. Certain parties filed protests to the application. In September 2015, the FERC issued an order setting the matter for hearing. In December 2016, an ALJ issued an initial decision in the market-based rate proceeding (“2016 Initial Decision”) finding that the FERC should grant Seaway’s application for market-based rates. Parties are entitled to file briefs in support of and in opposition to the 2016 Initial Decision, and the 2016 Initial Decision is subject to review by the FERC. We are unable to predict the ultimate outcome on the rates Seaway charges its shippers.

In October 2016, the FERC issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comment regarding potential modifications to its policies for evaluating oil pipeline indexed rate changes and to the reporting requirements. The FERC observed that some pipelines continue to obtain additional index rate increases despite reporting on Form No. 6 that their revenues exceed their costs. The FERC is proposing a new policy that would deny proposed index increases if a pipeline’s Form No. 6 reflects revenues exceeding total cost of service by fifteen percent for both of the prior two years or the proposed index increases exceed by five percent the annual cost changes reported by the pipeline. The FERC also is considering requiring pipelines to file additional information for crude and product pipelines, non-contiguous systems and major pipeline systems. Initial comments were due in January 2017 and further comments are due in March 2017.

In December 2016, the FERC issued a Notice of Inquiry (“NOI”), following the recent holding by the D. C. Circuit that the FERC failed to demonstrate that there is no double recovery of taxes for a partnership pipeline as a result of the inclusion of the income tax allowance in a pipeline’s cost of service. Historically, claims of double recovery have arisen when the FERC has considered permitting master limited partnerships (“MLPs”) to recover an income tax allowance under the FERC’s current income tax allowance policy. Although the issue of income tax allowance arose in the context of an oil pipeline formed as an MLP, the NOI is not limited in its scope to MLPs. Initial and reply comments in response to the NOI are due in March 2017 and April 2017, respectively. We are unable to predict the outcome of this proceeding.

Changes in the FERC’s methodologies for approving rates could adversely affect Enterprise. In addition, challenges to our regulated rates could be filed with the FERC and future decisions by the FERC regarding our regulated rates could adversely affect our cash flows. We believe the transportation rates currently charged by our interstate liquids pipelines are in accordance with the ICA and applicable FERC regulations. However, we cannot predict the rates we will be allowed to charge in the future for transportation services by such pipelines.

FERC Regulation – Natural Gas Pipelines and Related Matters

Certain of our intrastate natural gas pipelines, including our Texas Intrastate System and our Acadian Gas System, are subject to regulation by the FERC under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (“NGPA”), in connection with the transportation and storage services they provide pursuant to Section 311 of the NGPA. Under Section 311 of the NGPA, and the FERC’s implementing regulations, an intrastate pipeline may transport gas “on behalf of” an interstate pipeline company or any local distribution company served by an interstate pipeline, without becoming subject to the FERC’s broader regulatory authority under Natural Gas Act of 1938 (“NGA”). These services must be provided on an open and nondiscriminatory basis, and the rates charged for these services may not exceed a “fair and equitable” level as determined by the FERC in periodic rate proceedings.

We believe that the transportation rates currently charged and the services performed by our natural gas pipelines are all in accordance with the applicable requirements of the NGPA and FERC regulations. However, we cannot predict the rates we will be allowed to charge in the future for transportation services by our pipelines.

The resale of natural gas in interstate commerce is subject to FERC oversight. In order to increase transparency in natural gas markets, the FERC has established rules requiring the annual reporting of data regarding natural gas sales. The FERC has also established regulations that prohibit energy market manipulation. The Federal Trade Commission and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”) have also issued rules and regulations prohibiting energy market manipulation. We believe that our gas sales activities are in compliance with all applicable regulatory requirements.

A violation of the FERC’s regulations may subject us to civil penalties, suspension or loss of authorization to perform services or make sales of natural gas, disgorgement of unjust profits or other appropriate non-monetary remedies imposed by the FERC. Pursuant to the Energy Policy Act of 2005, the potential civil and criminal penalties for any violation of the NGPA, or any rules, regulations or orders of the FERC, were increased to up to \$1 million per day per violation.

State Regulation of Pipeline Transportation Services

Transportation services rendered by our intrastate liquids and natural gas pipelines are subject to regulation in many states, including Alabama, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas and Wyoming. Although the applicable state statutes and regulations vary widely, they generally require that intrastate pipelines publish tariffs setting forth all rates, rules and regulations applying to intrastate service, and generally require that pipeline rates and practices be reasonable and nondiscriminatory.

Federal Regulation of Marine Operations

The operation of tow boats, barges and marine equipment create maritime obligations involving property, personnel and cargo under General Maritime Law. These obligations create a variety of risks including, among other things, the risk of collision and allision, which may precipitate claims for personal injury, cargo, contract, pollution, third party claims and property damages to vessels and facilities.

We are subject to the Jones Act and other federal laws that restrict maritime transportation between U.S. departure and destination points to vessels built and registered in the U.S. and owned and manned by U.S. citizens. As a result of this ownership requirement, we are responsible for monitoring the foreign ownership of our common units and other partnership interests. If we do not comply with such requirements, we would be prohibited from operating our vessels in U.S. coastwise trade, and under certain circumstances we would be deemed to have undertaken an unapproved foreign transfer, resulting in severe penalties, including permanent loss of U.S. coastwise trading rights for our vessels, fines or forfeiture of the vessels. In addition, the USCG and American Bureau of Shipping maintain the most stringent regime of vessel inspection in the world, which tends to result in higher regulatory compliance costs for U.S.-flagged operators than for owners of vessels registered under foreign flags of convenience. Our marine operations are also subject to the Merchant Marine Act of 1936, which under certain conditions would allow the U.S. government to requisition our marine assets in the event of a national emergency.

Climate Change Debate

There is considerable debate over global warming and the environmental effects of greenhouse gas emissions and associated consequences affecting global climate, oceans and ecosystems. As a commercial enterprise, we are not in a position to validate or repudiate the existence of global warming or various aspects of the scientific debate. However, if global warming is occurring, it could have an impact on our operations. For example, our facilities that are located in low lying areas such as the coastal regions of Louisiana and Texas may be at increased risk due to flooding, rising sea levels, or disruption of operations from more frequent and severe weather events. Facilities in areas with limited water availability may be impacted if droughts become more frequent or severe. Changes in climate or weather may hinder exploration and production activities or increase the cost of production of oil and gas resources and consequently affect the volume of hydrocarbon products entering our system. Changes in climate or weather may also affect consumer demand for energy or alter the overall energy mix. However, we are not in a position to predict the precise effects of global climate change. We are providing this disclosure based on publicly available information on the matter.

In response to scientific studies suggesting that emissions of certain gases, commonly referred to as greenhouse gases, including gases associated with oil and gas production such as carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide among others, may be contributing to a warming of the earth's atmosphere and other adverse environmental effects, various governmental authorities have considered or taken actions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. For example, the EPA has taken action under the CAA to regulate greenhouse gas emissions. In addition, certain states (individually or in regional cooperation), including states in which some of our facilities or operations are located, have taken or proposed measures to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. Also, the U.S. Congress has proposed legislative measures for imposing restrictions or requiring emissions fees for greenhouse gases.

Actions have also taken place at the international level and the U.S. has been actively involved. Various policies and approaches, including establishing a cap on emissions, requiring efficiency measures, or providing incentives for pollution reduction, use of renewable energy, or use of fuels with lower carbon content are under discussion and have and may continue to result in additional actions involving greenhouse gases.

These federal, regional and state measures generally apply to industrial sources, including facilities in the oil and gas sector, and could increase the operating and compliance costs of our pipelines, natural gas processing plants, fractionation plants and other facilities. These regulations could also adversely affect market demand or pricing for our products or products served by our midstream infrastructure, by affecting the price of, or reducing the demand for, fossil fuels or providing competitive advantages to competing fuels and energy sources. The potential increase in the costs of our operations could include costs to operate and maintain our facilities, install new emission controls on our facilities, acquire allowances to authorize our greenhouse gas emissions, pay taxes related to our greenhouse gas emissions, or administer and manage a greenhouse gas emissions program. While we may be able to include some or all of such increased costs in the rates charged by our pipelines or other facilities, such recovery of costs is uncertain and may depend on events beyond our control, including the outcome of future rate proceedings before the FERC and the provisions of any final regulations. In addition, changes in regulatory policies that result in a reduction in the demand for hydrocarbon products that are deemed to contribute to greenhouse gases, or restrictions on their use, may reduce volumes available to us for processing, transportation, marketing and storage.

Competition

NGL Pipelines & Services

Within their respective market areas, our natural gas processing business activities and related NGL marketing activities encounter competition primarily from fully integrated oil companies, intrastate pipeline companies, major interstate pipeline companies and their non-rate regulated affiliates, financial institutions with commodity trading platforms and independent processors. Each of our marketing competitors has varying levels of financial and personnel resources, and competition generally revolves around price, quality of customer service and proximity to customers and other market hubs. In the markets served by our NGL pipelines, we compete with a number of intrastate and interstate pipeline companies (including those affiliated with major oil, petrochemical and natural gas companies) and barge, rail and truck fleet operations. In general, our NGL pipelines compete with these entities in terms of transportation fees, reliability and quality of customer service.

Our primary competitors in the NGL and related product storage businesses are integrated major oil companies, chemical companies and other storage and pipeline companies. We compete with other storage service providers primarily in terms of the fees charged, number of pipeline connections provided and operational dependability. Our import and export operations compete with those operated by major oil and chemical companies and other midstream service providers primarily in terms of loading and offloading throughput capacity.

We compete with a number of NGL fractionators in Kansas, Louisiana, New Mexico and Texas. Competition for such services is primarily based on the fractionation fee charged. However, the ability of an NGL fractionator to receive a customer's mixed NGLs and store and distribute the resulting purity NGL products is also an important competitive factor and is a function of having the necessary pipeline and storage infrastructure.

Crude Oil Pipelines & Services

Within their respective market areas, our crude oil pipelines, storage terminals and related marketing activities compete with other crude oil pipeline companies, rail carriers, major integrated oil companies and their marketing affiliates, financial institutions with commodity trading platforms and independent crude oil gathering and marketing companies. The crude oil business can be characterized by strong competition for crude oil volumes. Competition is based primarily on quality of customer service, competitive pricing and proximity to customers and market hubs.

Natural Gas Pipelines & Services

Within their market areas, our natural gas pipelines compete with other natural gas pipelines on the basis of price (in terms of transportation fees), quality of customer service and operational flexibility. Our natural gas marketing activities compete primarily with other natural gas pipeline companies and their marketing affiliates as well as standalone natural gas marketing and trading firms. Competition in the natural gas marketing business is based primarily on competitive pricing, proximity to customers and market hubs, and quality of customer service.

Petrochemical & Refined Products Services

We compete with numerous producers of PGP, which include many of the major refiners and petrochemical companies located along the Gulf Coast. Generally, our propylene fractionation business competes in terms of the level of toll processing fees charged and access to pipeline and storage infrastructure. Our petrochemical marketing activities encounter competition from fully integrated oil companies and various petrochemical companies. Our petrochemical marketing competitors have varying levels of financial and personnel resources and competition generally revolves around price, quality of customer service, logistics and location.

With respect to our isomerization operations, we compete primarily with facilities located in Kansas, Louisiana and New Mexico. Competitive factors affecting this business include the level of toll processing fees charged, the quality of isobutane that can be produced and access to supporting pipeline and storage infrastructure. We compete with other octane additive manufacturing companies primarily on the basis of price.

With respect to our TE Products Pipeline, the pipeline's most significant competitors are third party pipelines in the areas where it delivers products. Competition among common carrier pipelines is based primarily on transportation fees, quality of customer service and proximity to end users. Trucks, barges and railroads competitively deliver products into some of the markets served by our TE Products Pipeline and river terminals. The TE Products Pipeline also faces competition from rail and pipeline movements of NGLs from Canada and waterborne imports into terminals located along the upper East Coast.

Our marine transportation business competes with other inland marine transportation companies as well as providers of other modes of transportation, such as rail tank cars, tractor-trailer tank trucks and, to a limited extent, pipelines. Competition within the marine transportation business is largely based on performance and price.

Seasonality

Although the majority of our businesses are not materially affected by seasonality, certain aspects of our operations are impacted by seasonal changes such as tropical weather events, energy demand in connection with heating and cooling requirements and for the summer driving season. Examples include:

- § Our operations along the Gulf Coast, including our Mont Belvieu facility, may be affected by weather events such as hurricanes and tropical storms, which generally arise during the summer and fall months.
- § Residential demand for natural gas typically peaks during the winter months in connection with heating needs and during the summer months for power generation for air conditioning. These seasonal trends affect throughput volumes on our natural gas pipelines and associated natural gas storage levels and marketing results.
- § Due to increased demand for fuel additives used in the production of motor gasoline, our isomerization and octane enhancement businesses experience higher levels of demand during the summer driving season, which typically occurs in the spring and summer months. Likewise, shipments of refined products and normal butane experience similar changes in demand due to their use in motor fuels.
- § Extreme temperatures and ice during the winter months can negatively affect our inland marine operations on the upper Mississippi and Illinois rivers.

Title to Properties

Our real property holdings fall into two basic categories: (i) parcels that we and our unconsolidated affiliates own in fee (e.g., we own the land upon which our Mont Belvieu NGL fractionators are constructed) and (ii) parcels in which our interests and those of our affiliates are derived from leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits or licenses from landowners or governmental authorities permitting the use of such land for our operations. The fee sites upon which our significant facilities are located have been owned by us or our predecessors in title for many years without any material challenge known to us relating to title to the land upon which the assets are located, and we believe that we have satisfactory title to such fee sites. We and our affiliates have no knowledge of any material challenge to the underlying fee title of any material lease, easement, right-of-way, permit or license held by us or to our rights pursuant to any material lease, easement, right-of-way, permit or license, and we believe that we have satisfactory rights pursuant to all of our material leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits and licenses.

Available Information

As a publicly traded partnership, we electronically file certain documents with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). We file annual reports on Form 10-K; quarterly reports on Form 10-Q; and current reports on Form 8-K (as appropriate); along with any related amendments and supplements thereto. Occasionally, we may also file registration statements and related documents in connection with equity or debt offerings. You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information regarding the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at (800) SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the SEC.

We provide free electronic access to our periodic and current reports on our website, www.enterpriseproducts.com. These reports are available as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such materials with, or furnish such materials to, the SEC. You may also contact our Investor Relations department at (866) 230-0745 for paper copies of these reports free of charge. The information found on our website is not incorporated into this annual report.

Disclosure Under Section 13(r) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Under Section 13(r) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended by the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012, issuers are required to include certain disclosures in their periodic reports if they or any of their “affiliates” (as defined in Rule 12b-2 thereunder) have knowingly engaged in certain specified activities relating to Iran. Disclosure is required even where the activities are conducted outside the U.S. by non-U.S. affiliates in compliance with applicable law, and even if the activities are not covered or prohibited by U.S. law.

Dr. F. Christian Flach was named a director of our general partner in October 2014 in connection with the acquisition of Oiltanking. Dr. Flach is also Chief Executive Officer of Marquard & Bahls (“M&B”), and a member of the M&B executive board. M&B owns and controls Oiltanking GmbH, which maintains a joint venture interest in Oiltanking Odfjell GmbH, which in turn owns a joint venture interest in the Exir Chemical Terminal (“ECT”) in Iran. This interest results from an investment dating back to 2002. Oiltanking GmbH currently has the contractual right to vote for the appointment of one member of ECT’s three-member board. Oiltanking GmbH provides no goods, services, technology, information or support to ECT and plays no role in the management or day-to-day operations of ECT.

Among other activities, ECT provides transit storage for naphtha originating in Iraq en route to Oman for a customer in the United Arab Emirates. ECT does not import or handle any products originated from Iran that are regulated under U.S., European Union or United Nations sanctions laws. ECT pays routine and standard charges (i) to the Petrochemical Special Economic Zone Organization (“Petzone”) for the use of pipelines and (ii) to Terminals and Tanks Petrochemical Co. (“TTPC”), which operates the berth. Petzone and TTPC are subsidiaries of the National Petrochemical Company, which is owned and controlled by the Government of Iran. As Oiltanking GmbH has no direct involvement in the day-to-day operations of ECT, we have no information regarding ECT’s intent to continue or not continue making the payments described above.

Oiltanking GmbH maintains an internal compliance program to ensure compliance with all applicable sanctions regimes, including sanctions laws maintained by the U.S., European Union and United Nations. Although the existence of the routine payments described above may be reportable under Section 13(r), Oiltanking GmbH has informed us that neither it, nor any of its subsidiaries or affiliates, has engaged in any conduct that would be sanctionable under any of these legal regimes.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

An investment in our common units or debt securities involves certain risks. If any of the following key risks were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows, as well as our ability to maintain or increase distribution levels. In any such circumstance and others described below, the trading price of our securities could decline and you could lose part or all of your investment.

Risks Relating to Our Business

Changes in demand for and prices and production of hydrocarbon products could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

We operate predominantly in the midstream energy industry, which includes gathering, transporting, processing, fractionating and storing natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemical and refined products. As such, changes in the prices of hydrocarbon products and in the relative price levels among hydrocarbon products could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Changes in prices may impact demand for hydrocarbon products, which in turn may impact production, demand and the volumes of products for which we provide services. In addition, decreases in demand may be caused by other factors, including prevailing economic conditions, reduced demand by consumers for the end products made with hydrocarbon products, increased competition, adverse weather conditions and government regulations affecting prices and production levels. We may also incur credit and price risk to the extent customers do not fulfill their obligations to us in connection with our marketing of natural gas, NGLs, propylene, refined products and/or crude oil and long-term take-or-pay agreements.

Crude oil and natural gas prices have been extremely volatile in recent years, and we expect that volatility to continue. For example, crude oil prices (based on WTI as measured by the NYMEX) ranged from a high of \$54.06 per barrel to a low of \$26.21 per barrel in 2016. For January 2017, WTI crude oil prices ranged from a high of \$53.99 per barrel to a low of \$50.82 per barrel. Likewise, natural gas prices (based on Henry Hub Inside FERC index prices) ranged from a high of \$3.93 per MMBtu to a low of \$1.64 per MMBtu in 2016. Using the same index, natural gas prices for January 2017 ranged from a high of \$3.42 per MMBtu to a low of \$3.10 per MMBtu.

Generally, prices of hydrocarbon products are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply, demand, market uncertainty and a variety of other uncontrollable factors, such as: (i) the level of domestic production and consumer product demand; (ii) the availability of imported oil and natural gas and actions taken by foreign oil and natural gas producing nations; (iii) the availability of transportation systems with adequate capacity; (iv) the availability of competitive fuels; (v) fluctuating and seasonal demand for oil, natural gas, NGLs and other hydrocarbon products, including demand for NGL products by the petrochemical, refining and heating industries; (vi) the impact of conservation efforts; (vii) governmental regulation and taxation of production; and (viii) prevailing economic conditions.

We are exposed to natural gas and NGL commodity price risks under certain of our natural gas processing and gathering and NGL fractionation contracts that provide for fees to be calculated based on a regional natural gas or NGL price index or to be paid in-kind by taking title to natural gas or NGLs. A decrease in natural gas and NGL prices can result in lower margins from these contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Volatility in the prices of natural gas and NGLs can lead to ethane rejection, which results in lower pipeline and fractionation volumes for our assets. Volatility in these commodity prices may also have an impact on many of our customers, which in turn could have a negative impact on their ability to fulfill their obligations to us.

The crude oil, natural gas and NGLs currently transported, gathered or processed at our facilities originate primarily from existing domestic resource basins, which naturally deplete over time. To offset this natural decline, our facilities need access to production from newly discovered properties. Many economic and business factors beyond our control can adversely affect the decision by producers to explore for and develop new reserves. These factors could include relatively low oil and natural gas prices, cost and availability of equipment and labor, regulatory changes, capital budget limitations, the lack of available capital or the probability of success in finding hydrocarbons. A decrease in exploration and development activities in the regions where our facilities and other energy logistics assets are located could result in a decrease in volumes handled by our assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

For a discussion regarding our current commercial outlook for 2017, please read “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – General Outlook for 2017” included under Part II, Item 7 of this annual report.

We face competition from third parties in our midstream energy businesses.

Even if crude oil and natural gas reserves exist in the areas served by our assets, we may not be chosen by producers in these areas to gather, transport, process, fractionate, store or otherwise handle the hydrocarbons extracted. We compete with other companies, including producers of crude oil and natural gas, for any such production on the basis of many factors, including but not limited to geographic proximity to the production, costs of connection, available capacity, rates and access to markets.

Our refined products, NGL and marine transportation businesses may compete with other pipelines and marine transportation companies in the areas they serve. We also compete with railroads and third party trucking operations in certain of the areas we serve. Competitive pressures may adversely affect our tariff rates or volumes shipped. Also, substantial new construction of inland marine vessels could create an oversupply and intensify competition for our marine transportation business.

The crude oil gathering and marketing business can be characterized by thin operating margins and intense competition for supplies of crude oil at the wellhead. A decline in domestic crude oil production could intensify this competition among gatherers and marketers. Our crude oil transportation business competes with common carriers and proprietary pipelines owned and operated by major oil companies, large independent pipeline companies, financial institutions with commodity trading platforms and other companies in the areas where such pipeline systems deliver crude oil.

In our natural gas gathering business, we encounter competition in obtaining contracts to gather natural gas supplies, particularly new supplies. Competition in natural gas gathering is based in large part on reputation, efficiency, system reliability, gathering system capacity and pricing arrangements. Our key competitors in the natural gas gathering business include independent gas gatherers and major integrated energy companies. Alternate gathering facilities are available to producers we serve, and those producers may also elect to construct proprietary gas gathering systems.

A significant increase in competition in the midstream energy industry could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our debt level may limit our future financial and operating flexibility.

As of December 31, 2016, we had \$20.65 billion in principal amount of consolidated senior long-term debt outstanding, \$1.47 billion in principal amount of junior subordinated debt outstanding and \$1.78 billion in short-term commercial paper notes outstanding. The amount of our future debt could have significant effects on our operations, including, among other things:

§ a substantial portion of our cash flow could be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our future debt and may not be available for other purposes, including the payment of distributions on our common units and capital expenditures;

- § credit rating agencies may take a negative view of our consolidated debt level;
- § covenants contained in our existing and future credit and debt agreements will require us to continue to meet financial tests that may adversely affect our flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in our business, including possible acquisition opportunities;
- § our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;
- § we may be at a competitive disadvantage relative to similar companies that have less debt; and
- § we may be more vulnerable to adverse economic and industry conditions as a result of our significant debt level.

Our public debt indentures currently do not limit the amount of future indebtedness that we can incur, assume or guarantee. Although our credit agreements restrict our ability to incur additional debt above certain levels, any debt we may incur in compliance with these restrictions may still be substantial. For information regarding our long-term debt, see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Our credit agreements and each of the indentures related to our public debt instruments include traditional financial covenants and other restrictions. For example, we are prohibited from making distributions to our partners if such distributions would cause an event of default or otherwise violate a covenant under our credit agreements. A breach of any of these restrictions by us could permit our lenders or noteholders, as applicable, to declare all amounts outstanding under these debt agreements to be immediately due and payable and, in the case of our credit agreements, to terminate all commitments to extend further credit.

Our ability to access capital markets to raise capital on favorable terms could be affected by our debt level, when such debt matures, and by prevailing market conditions. Moreover, if the rating agencies were to downgrade our credit ratings, we could experience an increase in our borrowing costs, difficulty assessing capital markets and/or a reduction in the market price of our securities. Such a development could adversely affect our ability to obtain financing for working capital, capital expenditures or acquisitions, or to refinance existing indebtedness. If we are unable to access the capital markets on favorable terms in the future, we might be forced to seek extensions for some of our short-term debt obligations or to refinance some of our debt obligations through bank credit, as opposed to long-term public debt securities or equity securities. The price and terms upon which we might receive such extensions or additional bank credit, if at all, could be more onerous than those contained in existing debt agreements. Any such arrangements could, in turn, increase the risk that our leverage may adversely affect our future financial and operating flexibility and thereby impact our ability to pay cash distributions at expected levels.

We may not be able to fully execute our growth strategy if we encounter illiquid capital markets or increased competition for investment opportunities.

Our growth strategy contemplates the development and acquisition of a wide range of midstream and other energy infrastructure assets while maintaining a strong balance sheet. This strategy includes constructing and acquiring additional assets and businesses that enhance our ability to compete effectively and to diversify our asset portfolio, thereby providing us with more stable cash flows. We consider and pursue potential joint ventures, standalone projects and other transactions that we believe may present opportunities to expand our business, increase our market position and realize operational synergies.

We will require substantial new capital to finance the future development and acquisition of assets and businesses. For example, our capital spending for 2016 reflected approximately \$4.12 billion of cash payments for capital projects and other investments, which includes the \$1.0 billion final installment payable in connection with the EFS Midstream acquisition. Based on information currently available, we expect our total capital spending for 2017 to approximate \$2.3 billion to \$2.7 billion, which includes approximately \$250 million for sustaining capital expenditures. Any limitations on our access to capital may impair our ability to execute this growth strategy. If our cost of debt or equity capital becomes too expensive, our ability to develop or acquire accretive assets will be limited. We also may not be able to raise the necessary funds on satisfactory terms, if at all.

Any sustained tightening of the credit markets may have a material adverse effect on us by, among other things, decreasing our ability to finance growth capital projects or business acquisitions on favorable terms and by the imposition of increasingly restrictive borrowing covenants. In addition, the distribution yields of any new equity we may issue may be higher than historical levels, making additional equity issuances more expensive. Accordingly, increased costs of equity and debt will make returns on capital expenditures with proceeds from such capital less accretive on a per unit basis.

We also may compete with third parties in the acquisition of energy infrastructure assets that complement our existing asset base. Increased competition for a limited pool of assets could result in our losing to other bidders more often than in the past or acquiring assets at less attractive prices. Either occurrence could limit our ability to fully execute our growth strategy. Our inability to execute our growth strategy may materially adversely affect our ability to maintain or pay higher cash distributions in the future.

Our growth strategy may adversely affect our results of operations if we do not successfully integrate and manage the businesses that we acquire or if we substantially increase our indebtedness and contingent liabilities to make acquisitions.

Our growth strategy includes making accretive acquisitions. From time to time, we evaluate and acquire additional assets and businesses that we believe complement our existing operations. We may be unable to successfully integrate and manage the businesses we acquire in the future. We may incur substantial expenses or encounter delays or other problems in connection with our growth strategy that could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Moreover, acquisitions and business expansions involve numerous risks, such as:

- § difficulties in the assimilation of the operations, technologies, services and products of the acquired assets or businesses;
- § establishing the internal controls and procedures we are required to maintain under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002;
- § managing relationships with new joint venture partners with whom we have not previously partnered;
- § experiencing unforeseen operational interruptions or the loss of key employees, customers or suppliers;
- § inefficiencies and complexities that can arise because of unfamiliarity with new assets and the businesses associated with them, including with their markets; and
- § diversion of the attention of management and other personnel from day-to-day business to the development or acquisition of new businesses and other business opportunities.

If consummated, any acquisition or investment would also likely result in the incurrence of indebtedness and contingent liabilities and an increase in interest expense and depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses. As a result, our capitalization and results of operations may change significantly following a material acquisition. A substantial increase in our indebtedness and contingent liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, any anticipated benefits of a material acquisition, such as expected cost savings or other synergies, may not be fully realized, if at all.

Acquisitions that appear to increase our operating cash flows may nevertheless reduce our operating cash flows on a per unit basis.

Even if we make acquisitions that we believe will increase our operating cash flows, these acquisitions may ultimately result in a reduction of operating cash flow on a per unit basis, such as if our assumptions regarding a newly acquired asset or business did not materialize or unforeseen risks occurred. As a result, an acquisition initially deemed accretive based on information available at the time could turn out not to be. Examples of risks that could cause an acquisition to ultimately not be accretive include our inability to achieve anticipated operating and financial projections or to integrate an acquired business successfully, the assumption of unknown liabilities for which we become liable, and the loss of key employees or key customers. If we consummate any future acquisitions, our capitalization and results of operations may change significantly, and our unitholders will not have the opportunity to evaluate the economic, financial and other relevant information that we will in making such decisions. As a result of the risks noted above, we may not realize the full benefits we expect from a material acquisition, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our actual construction, development and acquisition costs could materially exceed forecasted amounts.

We have announced and are engaged in multiple significant construction projects involving existing and new assets for which we have expended or will expend significant capital. These projects entail significant logistical, technological and staffing challenges. We may not be able to complete our projects at the costs we estimated at the time of each project's initiation or that we currently estimate. Similarly, force majeure events such as hurricanes along the U.S. Gulf Coast may cause delays, shortages of skilled labor and additional expenses for these construction and development projects.

If capital expenditures materially exceed expected amounts, then our future cash flows could be reduced, which, in turn, could reduce the amount of cash we expect to have available for distribution. In addition, a material increase in project costs could result in decreased overall profitability of the newly constructed asset once it is placed into commercial service.

Our construction of new assets is subject to operational, regulatory, environmental, political, legal and economic risks, which may result in delays, increased costs or decreased cash flows.

One of the ways we intend to grow our business is through the construction of new midstream energy infrastructure assets. The construction of new assets involves numerous operational, regulatory, environmental, political, legal and economic risks beyond our control and may require the expenditure of significant amounts of capital. These potential risks include, among other things, the following:

- § we may be unable to complete construction projects on schedule or at the budgeted cost due to the unavailability of required construction personnel or materials, accidents, weather conditions or an inability to obtain necessary permits;
- § we will not receive any material increase in operating cash flows until the project is completed, even though we may have expended considerable funds during the construction phase, which may be prolonged;
- § we may construct facilities to capture anticipated future production growth in a region in which such growth does not materialize;
- § since we are not engaged in the exploration for and development of natural gas reserves, we may not have access to third party estimates of reserves in an area prior to our constructing facilities in the area. As a result, we may construct facilities in an area where the reserves are materially lower than we anticipate;
- § in those situations where we do rely on third party reserve estimates in making a decision to construct assets, these estimates may prove inaccurate;

§ the completion or success of our construction project may depend on the completion of a third party construction project (e.g., a downstream crude oil refinery expansion or construction of a new petrochemical facility) that we do not control and that may be subject to numerous of its own potential risks, delays and complexities; and

§ we may be unable to obtain rights-of-way to construct additional pipelines or the cost to do so may be uneconomical.

A materialization of any of these risks could adversely affect our ability to achieve growth in the level of our cash flows or realize benefits from expansion opportunities or construction projects, which could impact the level of cash distributions we pay to partners.

Several of our assets have been in service for many years and require significant expenditures to maintain them. As a result, our maintenance or repair costs may increase in the future.

Our pipelines, terminals and storage assets are generally long-lived assets, and many of them have been in service for many years. The age and condition of our assets could result in increased maintenance or repair expenditures in the future. Any significant increase in these expenditures could adversely affect our results of operations, financial position or cash flows, as well as our ability to make cash distributions to our unitholders.

The inability to continue to access lands owned by third parties, including Native American tribes, could adversely affect our operations and have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our ability to operate our pipeline systems on certain lands owned by third parties will depend on our maintaining existing rights-of-way and obtaining new rights-of-way on those lands. We are parties to rights-of-way agreements, permits and licenses authorizing land use with numerous parties, including private land owners, governmental entities, Native American tribes, rail carriers, public utilities and others. Our ability to secure extensions of existing agreements, permits and licenses is essential to our continuing business operations, and securing additional rights-of-way will be critical to our ability to pursue expansion projects. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to maintain access to all existing rights-of-way upon the expiration of the current grants, that all of the rights-of-way will be obtained in a timely fashion or that we will acquire new rights-of-way as needed.

In particular, various federal agencies within the U.S. Department of the Interior, particularly the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, and the Office of Natural Resources Revenue, along with each Native American tribe, promulgate and enforce regulations pertaining to natural gas and oil operations on Native American tribal lands. These regulations and approval requirements relate to such matters as drilling and production requirements and environmental standards. In addition, each Native American tribe is a sovereign nation having the right to enforce laws and regulations and to grant approvals independent from federal, state and local statutes and regulations. These tribal laws and regulations include various taxes, fees, requirements to employ Native American tribal members and other conditions that apply to operators and contractors conducting operations on Native American tribal lands. One or more of these factors may increase our cost of doing business on Native American tribal lands and impact the viability of, or prevent or delay our ability to conduct our operations on such lands.

Furthermore, whether we have the power of eminent domain for our pipelines varies from state to state, depending upon the type of pipeline and the laws of the particular state and the ownership of the land to which we seek access. When we exercise eminent domain rights or negotiate private agreements, we must compensate landowners for the use of their property and, in eminent domain actions, such compensation may be determined by a court. The inability to exercise the power of eminent domain could negatively affect our business if we were to lose the right to use or occupy the property on which our pipelines are located.

A natural disaster, catastrophe, terrorist or cyber-attack or other event could result in severe personal injury, property damage and environmental damage, which could curtail our operations and have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Some of our operations involve risks of personal injury, property damage and environmental damage, which could curtail our operations and otherwise materially adversely affect our cash flow. For example, natural gas facilities operate at high pressures, sometimes in excess of 1,100 pounds per square inch. In addition, our marine transportation business is subject to additional risks, including the possibility of marine accidents and spill events. From time to time, our octane enhancement facility may produce MTBE for export, which could expose us to additional risks from spill events. Virtually all of our operations are exposed to potential natural disasters, including hurricanes, tornadoes, storms, floods and/or earthquakes. The location of our assets and our customers' assets in the U.S. Gulf Coast region makes them particularly vulnerable to hurricane or tropical storm risk. In addition, terrorists may target our physical facilities and computer hackers may attack our electronic systems.

If one or more facilities or electronic systems that we own or that deliver products to us or that supply our facilities are damaged by severe weather or any other disaster, accident, catastrophe, terrorist or cyber-attack or event, our operations could be significantly interrupted. These interruptions could involve significant damage to people, property or the environment, and repairs could take from a week or less for a minor incident to six months or more for a major interruption. Additionally, some of the storage contracts that we are a party to obligate us to indemnify our customers for any damage or injury occurring during the period in which the customers' product is in our possession. Any event that interrupts the revenues generated by our operations, or which causes us to make significant expenditures not covered by insurance, could reduce our cash available for paying distributions and, accordingly, adversely affect the market price of our common units.

We believe that EPCO maintains adequate insurance coverage on our behalf, although insurance will not cover many types of interruptions that might occur, will not cover amounts up to applicable deductibles and will not cover all risks associated with certain of our products. As a result of market conditions, premiums and deductibles for certain insurance policies can increase substantially, and in some instances, certain insurance may become unavailable or available only for reduced amounts of coverage.

In the future, circumstances may arise whereby EPCO may not be able to renew existing insurance policies on our behalf or procure other desirable insurance on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. If we were to incur a significant liability for which we were not fully insured, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, the proceeds of any such insurance may not be paid in a timely manner and may be insufficient if such an event were to occur.

The use of derivative financial instruments could result in material financial losses by us.

Historically, we have sought to limit a portion of the adverse effects resulting from changes in energy commodity prices and interest rates by using derivative instruments. Derivative instruments typically include futures, forward contracts, swaps, options and other instruments with similar characteristics. Substantially all of our derivatives are used for non-trading activities.

To the extent that we hedge our commodity price and interest rate exposures, we will forego the benefits we would otherwise experience if commodity prices or interest rates were to change in our favor. In addition, hedging activities can result in losses that might be material to our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Such losses could occur under various circumstances, including those situations where a counterparty does not perform its obligations under a hedge arrangement, the hedge is not effective in mitigating the underlying risk, or our risk management policies and procedures are not followed. Adverse economic conditions (e.g., a significant decline in energy commodity prices that negatively impact the cash flows of oil and gas producers) increase the risk of nonpayment or performance by our hedging counterparties.

See Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report for a discussion of our derivative instruments and related hedging activities.

Our business requires extensive credit risk management that may not be adequate to protect against customer nonpayment.

We may incur credit risk to the extent customers do not fulfill their obligations to us in connection with our marketing of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products and long-term contracts with minimum volume commitments or fixed demand charges. Risks of nonpayment and nonperformance by customers are a major consideration in our businesses, and our credit procedures and policies may not be adequate to sufficiently eliminate customer credit risk. Further, adverse economic conditions in our industry, such as those experienced throughout 2015 and 2016 and that we continue to experience at the beginning of 2017, increase the risk of nonpayment and nonperformance by customers, particularly customers that have sub-investment grade credit ratings. We manage our exposure to credit risk through credit analysis, credit approvals, credit limits and monitoring procedures, and for certain transactions may utilize letters of credit, prepayments, net out agreements and guarantees. However, these procedures and policies do not fully eliminate customer credit risk.

Our primary market areas are located in the Gulf Coast, Southwest, Rocky Mountain, Northeast and Midwest regions of the U.S. We have a concentration of trade receivable balances due from domestic and international major integrated oil companies, independent oil companies and other pipelines and wholesalers. These concentrations of market areas may affect our overall credit risk in that the customers may be similarly affected by changes in economic, regulatory or other factors.

See Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report for information regarding our allowance for doubtful accounts.

Our risk management policies cannot eliminate all commodity price risks. In addition, any non-compliance with our risk management policies could result in significant financial losses.

When engaged in marketing activities, it is our policy to maintain physical commodity positions that are substantially balanced with respect to price risks between purchases, on the one hand, and sales or future delivery obligations, on the other hand. Through these transactions, we seek to earn a margin for the commodity purchased by selling the commodity for physical delivery to third party users, such as producers, wholesalers, local distributors, independent refiners, marketing companies or major oil companies. These policies and practices cannot, however, eliminate all price risks. For example, any event that disrupts our anticipated physical supply could expose us to risk of loss resulting from price changes if we are required to obtain alternative supplies to cover our sales transactions. We are also exposed to basis risks when a commodity is purchased against one pricing index and sold against a different index. Moreover, we are exposed to some risks that are not hedged, including price risks on product we own, such as pipeline linefill, which must be maintained in order to facilitate transportation of the commodity in our pipelines. In addition, our marketing operations involve the risk of non-compliance with our risk management policies. We cannot assure you that our processes and procedures will detect and prevent all violations of our risk management policies, particularly if deception or other intentional misconduct is involved. If we were to incur a material loss related to commodity price risks, including non-compliance with our risk management policies, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our variable-rate debt, including those fixed-rate debt obligations that may be converted to variable-rate through the use of interest rate swaps, make us vulnerable to increases in interest rates, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operation and cash flows.

At December 31, 2016, we had \$20.85 billion in principal amount of consolidated fixed-rate debt outstanding, including current maturities thereof. We also had \$1.78 billion of commercial paper notes outstanding at December 31, 2016. Due to the short term nature of commercial paper notes, we view the interest rates charged in connection with these instruments as variable.

Should interest rates increase significantly, the amount of cash required to service our debt (including any future refinancing of our fixed-rate debt instruments) would increase. Additionally, from time to time, we may enter into interest rate swap arrangements, which could increase our exposure to variable interest rates. As a result, significant increases in interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

An increase in interest rates may also cause a corresponding decline in demand for equity securities in general, and in particular, for yield-based equity securities such as our common units. A reduction in demand for our common units may cause their trading price to decline.

Our pipeline integrity program as well as compliance with pipeline safety laws and regulations may impose significant costs and liabilities on us.

If we were to incur material costs in connection with our pipeline integrity program or pipeline safety laws and regulations, those costs could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The DOT requires pipeline operators to develop integrity management programs to comprehensively evaluate their pipelines, and take measures to protect pipeline segments located in HCAs. The majority of the costs to comply with this integrity management rule are associated with pipeline integrity testing and any repairs found to be necessary as a result of such testing. Changes such as advances of in-line inspection tools, identification of additional threats to a pipeline's integrity and changes to the amount of pipe determined to be located in HCAs can have a significant impact on the costs to perform integrity testing and repairs. We will continue our pipeline integrity testing programs to assess and maintain the integrity of our pipelines. The results of these tests could cause us to incur significant and unanticipated capital and operating expenditures for repairs or upgrades deemed necessary to ensure the continued safe and reliable operation of our pipelines.

In total, our pipeline integrity costs for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 were \$103.7 million, \$92.7 million and \$99.0 million, respectively. Of these annual totals, we charged \$55.8 million, \$54.7 million and \$59.7 million to operating costs and expenses during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The remaining annual pipeline integrity costs were capitalized and treated as sustaining capital projects. We expect the cost of our pipeline integrity program, regardless of whether such costs are capitalized or expensed, to approximate \$133 million for 2017.

For additional information regarding the pipeline safety regulations, the Pipeline Safety Act and the SAFE PIPES Act, see "Regulatory Matters—Safety Matters—Pipeline Safety" included under Part I, Item 1 and 2 of this annual report.

Environmental, health and safety costs and liabilities, and changing environmental, health and safety regulation, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our operations are subject to various environmental, health and safety requirements and potential liabilities under extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations. Further, we cannot ensure that existing environmental, health and safety regulations will not be revised or that new regulations will not be adopted or become applicable to us. Governmental authorities have the power to enforce compliance with applicable regulations and permits and to subject violators to civil and criminal penalties, including substantial fines, injunctions or both. Certain environmental laws, including the CERCLA and analogous state laws and regulations, may impose strict, joint and several liability for costs required to clean-up and restore sites where hazardous substances or hydrocarbons have been disposed or otherwise released. Moreover, third parties, including neighboring landowners, may also have the right to pursue legal actions to enforce compliance or to recover for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the release of hazardous substances, hydrocarbons or other waste products into the environment. Failure to comply with these requirements may expose us to fines, penalties and/or interruptions in our operations that could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In addition, future environmental, health and safety law developments, such as stricter laws, regulations, permits or enforcement policies, could significantly increase some costs of our operations. Areas of potential future environmental, health and safety law development include the following items.

Greenhouse Gases/Climate Change

Responding to scientific reports regarding threats posed by global climate change, the U.S. Congress has considered legislation to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. In addition, some states, including states in which our facilities or operations are located, have individually or in regional cooperation, imposed restrictions on greenhouse gas emissions under various policies and approaches, including establishing a cap on emissions, requiring efficiency measures, or providing incentives for pollution reduction, use of renewable energy sources, or use of replacement fuels with lower carbon content.

The adoption and implementation of any federal, state or local regulations imposing reporting obligations on, or limiting emissions of greenhouse gases from, our equipment and operations could require us to incur significant costs to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases associated with our operations or could adversely affect demand for the crude oil, natural gas or other hydrocarbon products that we transport, store or otherwise handle in connection with our midstream services. The potential increase in our operating costs could include costs to operate and maintain our facilities, install new emission controls on our facilities, acquire allowances to authorize our greenhouse gas emissions, pay taxes related to our greenhouse gas emissions, and administer and manage a greenhouse gas emissions program. We may not be able to recover such increased costs through customer prices or rates. In addition, changes in regulatory policies that result in a reduction in the demand for hydrocarbon products that are deemed to contribute to greenhouse gases, or restrictions on their use, may reduce volumes available to us for processing, transportation, marketing and storage. These developments could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In addition, due to concerns over climate change, numerous countries around the world have adopted or are considering adopting laws or regulations to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. It is not possible to know how quickly renewable energy technologies may advance, but if significant additional legislation and regulation were enacted, the increased use of renewable energy could ultimately reduce future demand for hydrocarbons. These developments could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Hydraulic Fracturing

Certain of our customers employ hydraulic fracturing techniques to stimulate natural gas and crude oil production from unconventional geological formations (including shale formations), which entails the injection of pressurized fracturing fluids (consisting of water, sand and certain chemicals) into a well bore. The U.S. federal government, and some states and localities, have adopted, and others are considering adopting, regulations or ordinances that could restrict hydraulic fracturing in certain circumstances, or that would impose higher taxes, fees or royalties on natural gas production. Increased regulation and attention given to the hydraulic fracturing process could lead to greater opposition to crude oil and natural gas drilling activities using hydraulic fracturing techniques, including increased litigation. Additional legislation or regulation could also lead to operational delays and/or increased operating costs in the production of crude oil and natural gas (including natural gas produced from shale plays like the Eagle Ford, Haynesville, Barnett, Marcellus and Utica Shales) incurred by our customers or could make it more difficult to perform hydraulic fracturing. If these legislative and regulatory initiatives cause a material decrease in the drilling of new wells and related servicing activities, it may affect the volume of hydrocarbon projects available to our midstream businesses and have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

See “Regulatory Matters” under Part I, Item 1 and 2 of this annual report for more information and specific disclosures relating to environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, and costs and liabilities.

Federal, state or local regulatory measures could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The FERC regulates our interstate liquids pipelines under the ICA. State regulatory agencies regulate our intrastate natural gas and NGL pipelines, intrastate storage facilities and gathering lines.

Our intrastate NGL and natural gas pipelines are subject to regulation in many states, including Colorado, Kansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Texas and Wyoming. To the extent our intrastate natural gas pipelines engage in interstate transportation, they are also subject to regulation by the FERC pursuant to Section 311 of the NGPA. We also have natural gas underground storage facilities in Louisiana and Texas. Although state regulation is typically less comprehensive in scope than regulation by the FERC, our services are typically required to be provided on a nondiscriminatory basis and are also subject to challenge by protest and complaint.

Although our natural gas gathering systems are generally exempt from FERC regulation under the NGA, our natural gas gathering operations could be adversely affected should they become subject to federal regulation of rates and services, or, if the states in which we operate adopt policies imposing more onerous regulation on gas gathering operations. Additional rules and legislation pertaining to these matters are considered and adopted from time to time at both state and federal levels. We cannot predict what effect, if any, such regulatory changes and legislation might have on our operations, but we could be required to incur additional capital expenditures.

For a general overview of federal, state and local regulation applicable to our assets, see “Regulatory Matters” included within Part I, Item 1 and 2 of this annual report. This regulatory oversight can affect certain aspects of our business and the market for our products and could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The rates of our regulated assets are subject to review and possible adjustment by federal and state regulators, which could adversely affect our revenues.

The FERC, pursuant to the ICA (as amended), the Energy Policy Act and rules and orders promulgated thereunder, regulates the tariff rates for our interstate common carrier liquids pipeline operations. To be lawful under the ICA, interstate tariff rates, terms and conditions of service must be just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory, and must be on file with the FERC. In addition, pipelines may not confer any undue preference upon any shipper. Shippers may protest (and the FERC may investigate) the lawfulness of new or changed tariff rates. The FERC can suspend those tariff rates for up to seven months. It can also require refunds of amounts collected pursuant to rates that are ultimately found to be unlawful and prescribe new rates prospectively. The FERC and interested parties can also challenge tariff rates that have become final and effective. The FERC can also order new rates to take effect prospectively and order reparations for past rates that exceed the just and reasonable level up to two years prior to the date of a complaint. Due to the complexity of rate making, the lawfulness of any rate is never assured. A successful challenge of our rates could adversely affect our revenues.

The FERC uses prescribed rate methodologies for approving regulated tariff rate changes for interstate liquids pipelines. The FERC’s indexing methodology currently allows a pipeline to increase its rates by a percentage linked to the PPI. However, in any year in which the index is negative, a pipeline must file to lower its rates if its rates would be above the indexed rate ceiling. As an alternative to this indexing methodology, we may also choose to support our rates based on a cost-of-service methodology, or by obtaining advance approval to charge “market-based rates,” or by charging “settlement rates” agreed to by all affected shippers. These methodologies may limit our ability to set rates based on our actual costs or may delay the use of rates reflecting increased costs.

The FERC issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comment regarding potential modifications to its policies for evaluating oil pipeline indexed rate changes and to the reporting requirements. The FERC observed that some pipelines continue to obtain additional index rate increases despite reporting on Form No. 6 that their revenues exceed their costs. The FERC is proposing a new policy that would deny proposed index increases if a pipeline’s Form No. 6 reflects revenues exceeding total cost of service by fifteen percent for both of the prior two years or the proposed index increases exceed by five percent the annual cost changes reported by the pipeline. In addition, the FERC issued an NOI, to develop a mechanism to demonstrate there is no double recovery of partnership income tax costs. Changes in the FERC’s approved methodology for approving rates, or challenges to our application of that methodology, could adversely affect us. Adverse decisions by the FERC in approving our regulated rates could adversely affect our cash flow.

The intrastate liquids pipeline transportation services we provide are subject to various state laws and regulations that apply to the rates we charge and the terms and conditions of the services we offer. Although state regulation typically is less onerous than FERC regulation, the rates we charge and the provision of our services may be subject to challenge.

The adoption and implementation of new statutory and regulatory requirements for derivative transactions could have an adverse impact on our ability to hedge risks associated with our business and increase the working capital requirements to conduct these activities.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act enacted in 2010 (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) provides for statutory and regulatory requirements for swaps and other derivative transactions, including financial and certain physical oil and gas hedging transactions. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the CFTC has adopted regulations requiring registration of swap dealers and major swap participants, mandatory clearing of swaps, election of the end-user exception for any uncleared swaps by certain qualified companies, recordkeeping and reporting requirements, business conduct standards and position limits among other requirements. Several of these requirements, including position limits rules, allow the CFTC to impose controls that could have an adverse impact on our ability to hedge risks associated with our business and could increase our working capital requirements to conduct these activities.

Based on an assessment of final rules promulgated by the CFTC, we have determined that we are not a swap dealer, major swap participant or a financial entity, and therefore have determined that we currently qualify as an end-user. In addition, the vast majority of our derivative transactions are currently transacted through a Derivatives Clearing Organization, and we believe our use of the end-user exception will likely not be necessary on a routine basis. We will also seek to retain our status as an end-user by taking reasonable measures necessary to avoid becoming a swap dealer, major swap participant or financial entity and other measures to preserve our ability to elect the end-user exception should it become necessary. However, derivative transactions that are not clearable, and transactions that are clearable but for which we choose to elect the end-user exception, are subject to recordkeeping and reporting requirements and potentially additional credit support arrangements including cash margin or collateral. Posting of additional cash margin or collateral could affect our liquidity and reduce our ability to use cash for capital expenditures or other company purposes.

In September 2012, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia vacated and remanded the position limits rules adopted by the CFTC based on a necessity finding. In December 2013, the CFTC responded by proposing amended rules in an effort to better conform to the Dodd-Frank Act and in December 2016, the CFTC further refined and repropounded rules on position limits. Under the repropounded rules, the CFTC would place volumetric limitations on certain positions in 25 core physical commodity futures contracts and their economically equivalent futures, options and swaps. While we believe that the majority of our hedging transactions would meet one or more of the enumerated categories for Bona Fide Hedges, the rules could have an adverse impact on our ability to hedge certain risks associated with our business and could potentially affect our profitability. The CFTC has announced a 60-day period for public comment on the repropounded rules. As of the filing of this annual report, the CFTC has yet to provide final rules.

Our standalone operating cash flow is derived primarily from cash distributions we receive from EPO.

On a standalone basis, Enterprise Products Partners L.P. is a holding company with no business operations and conducts all of its business through its wholly owned subsidiary, EPO. As a result, we depend upon the earnings and cash flows of EPO and its subsidiaries and joint ventures, and the distribution of their cash flows to us in order to meet our obligations and to allow us to make cash distributions to our limited partners.

The amount of cash EPO and its subsidiaries and joint ventures can distribute to us depends primarily on cash flows generated from their operations. These operating cash flows fluctuate based on, among other things, the: (i) volume of hydrocarbon products transported on their gathering and transmission pipelines; (ii) throughput volumes in their processing and treating operations; (iii) fees charged and the margins realized for their various storage, terminaling, processing and transportation services; (iv) price of natural gas, crude oil and NGLs; (v) relationships among natural gas, crude oil and NGL prices, including differentials between regional markets; (vi) fluctuations in their working capital needs; (vii) level of their operating costs; (viii) prevailing economic conditions; and (ix) level of competition encountered by their businesses. In addition, the actual amount of cash EPO and its subsidiaries and joint ventures will have available for distribution will depend on factors such as: (i) the level of sustaining capital expenditures incurred; (ii) their cash outlays for expansion (or growth) capital projects and acquisitions; and (iii) their debt service requirements and restrictions included in the provisions of existing and future indebtedness, organizational documents, applicable state business organization laws and other applicable laws and regulations. Because of these factors, we may not have sufficient available cash each quarter to continue paying distributions at our current levels.

Risks Relating to Our Partnership Structure

We may issue additional securities without the approval of our common unitholders.

At any time, we may issue an unlimited number of limited partner interests of any type (to parties other than our affiliates) without the approval of our unitholders. Our partnership agreement does not give our common unitholders the right to approve the issuance of equity securities, including equity securities ranking senior to our common units. The issuance of additional common units or other equity securities of equal or senior rank will have the following effects: (i) the ownership interest of a unitholder immediately prior to the issuance will decrease; (ii) the amount of cash available for distribution on each common unit may decrease; (iii) the ratio of taxable income to distributions may increase; (iv) the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding common unit may be diminished; and (v) the market price of our common units may decline.

We may not have sufficient operating cash flows to pay cash distributions at the current level following establishment of cash reserves and payments of fees and expenses.

Because cash distributions on our common units are dependent on the amount of cash we generate, distributions may fluctuate based on our performance and capital needs. We cannot guarantee that we will continue to pay distributions at the current level each quarter. The actual amount of cash that is available to be distributed each quarter will depend upon numerous factors, some of which are beyond our control and the control of our general partner. These factors include, but are not limited to: (i) the volume of the products that we handle and the prices we receive for our services; (ii) the level of our operating costs; (iii) the level of competition in our business; (iv) prevailing economic conditions, including the price of and demand for oil, natural gas and other products we transport, store and market; (v) the level of capital expenditures we make; (vi) the amount and cost of capital we can raise compared to the amount of our capital expenditures and debt service requirements; (vii) restrictions contained in our debt agreements; (viii) fluctuations in our working capital needs; (ix) weather volatility; (x) cash outlays for acquisitions, if any; and (xi) the amount, if any, of cash reserves required by our general partner in its sole discretion.

Furthermore, the amount of cash that we have available for distribution is not solely a function of profitability, which will be affected by non-cash items such as depreciation, amortization and provisions for asset impairments. Our cash flows are also impacted by borrowings under credit agreements and similar arrangements. As a result, we may be able to make cash distributions during periods when we record losses and may not be able to make cash distributions during periods when we record net income. An inability on our part to pay cash distributions to partners could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

We do not have the same flexibility as other types of organizations to accumulate cash and equity to protect against illiquidity in the future.

Unlike a corporation, our partnership agreement requires us to make quarterly distributions to our unitholders of all available cash, after taking into account reserves for commitments and contingencies, including capital and operating costs and debt service requirements. The value of our common units and other limited partner interests may decrease in correlation with any reduction in our cash distributions per unit. Accordingly, if we experience a liquidity problem in the future, we may not be able to issue more equity to recapitalize.

Our general partner and its affiliates have limited fiduciary responsibilities to, and conflicts of interest with respect to, our partnership, which may permit it to favor its own interests to your detriment.

The directors and officers of our general partner and its affiliates have duties to manage our general partner in a manner that is beneficial to its members. At the same time, our general partner has duties to manage our partnership in a manner that is beneficial to us. Therefore, our general partner's duties to us may conflict with the duties of its officers and directors to its members. Such conflicts may include, among others, the following:

- § neither our partnership agreement nor any other agreement requires our general partner or EPCO to pursue a business strategy that favors us;
- § decisions of our general partner regarding the amount and timing of asset purchases and sales, cash expenditures, borrowings, issuances of additional units, and the establishment of additional reserves in any quarter may affect the level of cash available to pay quarterly distributions to our unitholders;
- § under our partnership agreement, our general partner determines which costs incurred by it and its affiliates are reimbursable by us;
- § our general partner is allowed to resolve any conflicts of interest involving us and our general partner and its affiliates, and may take into account the interests of parties other than us, such as EPCO, in resolving conflicts of interest, which has the effect of limiting its fiduciary duty to our unitholders;
- § any resolution of a conflict of interest by our general partner not made in bad faith and that is fair and reasonable to us is binding on the partners and is not a breach of our partnership agreement;
- § affiliates of our general partner may compete with us in certain circumstances;
- § our general partner has limited its liability and reduced its fiduciary duties and has also restricted the remedies available to our unitholders for actions that might, without the limitations, constitute breaches of fiduciary duty. As a result of purchasing our units, you are deemed to consent to some actions and conflicts of interest that might otherwise constitute a breach of fiduciary or other duties under applicable law;
- § we do not have any employees and we rely solely on employees of EPCO and its affiliates;
- § in some instances, our general partner may cause us to borrow funds in order to permit the payment of distributions;
- § our general partner may cause us to pay it or its affiliates for any services rendered to us or entering into additional contractual arrangements with any of these entities on our behalf;
- § our general partner intends to limit its liability regarding our contractual and other obligations and, in some circumstances, may be entitled to be indemnified by us;
- § our general partner controls the enforcement of obligations owed to us by our general partner and its affiliates; and
- § our general partner decides whether to retain separate counsel, accountants or others to perform services for us.

We have significant business relationships with entities controlled by EPCO and Dan Duncan LLC. For information regarding these relationships and related party transactions with EPCO and its affiliates, see Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report. Additional information regarding our relationship with EPCO and its affiliates can also be found under Part III, Item 13 of this annual report.

The NYSE does not require a publicly traded limited partnership like us to comply with certain of its corporate governance requirements.

We currently list our common units on the NYSE under the symbol “EPD.” Because we are a publicly traded limited partnership, the NYSE does not require us to have a majority of independent directors on our general partner’s Board or to establish a compensation committee or a nominating and corporate governance committee. Additionally, any future issuance of additional common units or other securities, including to affiliates, will not be subject to the NYSE’s shareholder approval rules that apply to a corporation. Accordingly, unitholders do not have the same protections afforded to certain corporations that are subject to all of the NYSE corporate governance requirements. See Part III, Item 10 of this annual report for additional information.

Unitholders have limited voting rights and are not entitled to elect our general partner or its directors. In addition, even if unitholders are dissatisfied, they cannot easily remove our general partner.

Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, unitholders have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business and, therefore, limited ability to influence management’s decisions regarding our business. Unitholders did not elect our general partner or its directors and will have no right to elect our general partner or its directors on an annual or other continuing basis. The owners of our general partner choose the directors of our general partner.

Furthermore, if unitholders are dissatisfied with the performance of our general partner, they currently have no practical ability to remove our general partner or its officers or directors. Our general partner may not be removed except upon the vote of the holders of at least 60% of our outstanding units voting together as a single class. Since affiliates of our general partner currently own approximately 32% of our outstanding common units, the removal of Enterprise GP as our general partner is highly unlikely without the consent of both our general partner and its affiliates. As a result of this provision, the trading price of our common units may be lower than other forms of equity ownership because of the absence of a takeover premium in the trading price.

Our partnership agreement restricts the voting rights of unitholders owning 20% or more of our common units.

Unitholders’ voting rights are further restricted by a provision in our partnership agreement stating that any units held by a person that owns 20% or more of any class of our common units then outstanding, other than our general partner and its affiliates, cannot be voted on any matter. In addition, our partnership agreement contains provisions limiting the ability of unitholders to call meetings or to acquire information about our operations, as well as other provisions limiting our unitholders’ ability to influence our management. As a result of this provision, the trading price of our common units may be lower than other forms of equity ownership because of the absence of a takeover premium in the trading price.

Our general partner has a limited call right that may require common unitholders to sell their common units at an undesirable time or price.

If at any time our general partner and its affiliates own 85% or more of the common units then outstanding, our general partner will have the right, but not the obligation, which it may assign to any of its affiliates or to us, to acquire all, but not less than all, of the remaining common units held by unaffiliated persons at a price not less than the then current market price. As a result, common unitholders may be required to sell their common units at an undesirable time or price and may therefore not receive any return on their investment. Unitholders may also incur a tax liability upon the sale of their common units.

Our common unitholders may not have limited liability if a court finds that limited partner actions constitute control of our business.

Under Delaware law, common unitholders could be held liable for our obligations to the same extent as a general partner if a court determined that the right of limited partners to remove our general partner or to take other action under our partnership agreement constituted participation in the “control” of our business. Under Delaware law, our general partner generally has unlimited liability for our obligations, such as our debts and environmental liabilities, except for those of our contractual obligations that are expressly made without recourse to our general partner.

The limitations on the liability of holders of limited partner interests for the obligations of a limited partnership have not been clearly established in some of the states in which we do business. You could have unlimited liability for our obligations if a court or government agency determined that (i) we were conducting business in a state, but had not complied with that particular state’s partnership statute; or (ii) your right to act with other unitholders to remove or replace our general partner, to approve some amendments to our partnership agreement or to take other actions under our partnership agreement constituted “control” of our business.

Unitholders may have liability to repay distributions.

Under certain circumstances, our unitholders may have to repay amounts wrongfully distributed to them. Under Section 17-607 of the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, we may not make a distribution to our unitholders if the distribution would cause our liabilities to exceed the fair value of our assets. Liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interests and liabilities that are non-recourse to the partnership are not counted for purposes of determining whether a distribution is permitted. Delaware law provides that for a period of three years from the date of an impermissible distribution, limited partners who received the distribution and who knew at the time of the distribution that it violated Delaware law will be liable to the limited partnership for the distribution amount. A purchaser of common units who becomes a limited partner is liable for the obligations of the transferring limited partner to make contributions to the partnership that are known to such purchaser of common units at the time it became a limited partner and for unknown obligations if the liabilities could be determined from our partnership agreement.

Our general partner’s interest in us and the control of our general partner may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent.

Our general partner, in accordance with our partnership agreement, may transfer its general partner interest without the consent of unitholders. In addition, our general partner may transfer its general partner interest to a third party in a merger or consolidation or in a sale of all or substantially all of its assets without the consent of our unitholders. Furthermore, there is no restriction in our partnership agreement on the ability of the sole member of our general partner, currently Dan Duncan LLC, to transfer its equity interests in our general partner to a third party. The new equity owner of our general partner would then be in a position to replace the Board and officers of our general partner with their own choices and to influence the decisions taken by the Board and officers of our general partner.

Tax Risks to Common Unitholders

Our tax treatment depends on our status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, as well as our not being subject to a material amount of entity-level taxation by individual states. If the Internal Revenue Service were to treat us as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or if we were otherwise subject to a material amount of entity-level taxation, then cash available for distribution to our unitholders would be reduced.

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of an investment in our common units depends largely on our being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. Despite the fact that we are organized as a limited partnership under Delaware law, we will be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes unless we satisfy a “qualifying income” requirement. Based on our current operations, we believe we satisfy the qualifying income requirement. Failing to meet the qualifying income requirement or a change in current law could cause us to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or otherwise subject us to taxation as an entity. We have not requested, and do not plan to request, a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) with respect to our classification as a partnership for federal income tax purposes.

If we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would pay federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate tax rate (which is currently a maximum of 35%) and we would also likely pay additional state and local income taxes at varying rates. Distributions to our unitholders would generally be taxed again as corporate dividends, and no income, gains, losses or deductions would flow through to our unitholders. Because a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation, the cash available for distribution to our unitholders would be reduced. Thus, treatment of us as a corporation could result in a reduction in the anticipated cash-flow and after-tax return to our unitholders, likely causing a reduction in the value of our common units.

At the state level, several states have been evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity-level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise and other forms of taxation. Specifically, we are subject to an entity-level franchise tax on the portion of our income apportioned to Texas. Imposition of any of these taxes in other jurisdictions in which we own assets or conduct business or an increase in the existing tax rates would substantially reduce the cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our partnership agreement provides that, if a law is enacted that subjects us to taxation as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, the minimum quarterly distribution amount and the target distribution levels will be adjusted to reflect the impact of that law on us.

The tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships or an investment in our common units could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.

The present federal income tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships, including us, or an investment in our common units, may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation. For example, from time to time, the U.S. President and members of the U.S. Congress propose and consider substantive changes to the existing federal income tax laws including those that affect the tax treatment of certain publicly traded partnerships.

Further, final Treasury Regulations under Section 7704(d)(1)(E) of the Internal Revenue Code recently published in the Federal Register interpret the scope of qualifying income requirements for publicly traded partnerships by providing industry-specific guidance.

Any modification to federal income tax laws and interpretations thereof may or may not be applied retroactively and could make it more difficult or impossible for us to be treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes (i.e., not taxable as a corporation). We are unable to predict whether any of these changes or any other proposals will ultimately be enacted, including as a result of fundamental tax reform. Any such changes could negatively impact the value of an investment in our common units.

We prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our common units each month based upon the ownership of our common units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular common unit is transferred.

We generally prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our common units each month based upon the ownership of the units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The U.S. Treasury Department and the IRS recently issued final Treasury Regulations pursuant to which a publicly traded partnership may use a similar monthly simplifying convention to allocate tax items among transferor and transferee unitholders although such tax items must be prorated on a daily basis and the regulations do not specifically authorize all aspects of the proration method we have adopted. If the IRS were to successfully challenge our proration method, we may be required to change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

A successful IRS contest of the federal income tax positions we take may adversely impact the market for our common units and the cost of any IRS contest will reduce our cash available for distribution to unitholders.

The IRS has made no determination as to our status as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The IRS may adopt positions that differ from the positions we take, even positions taken with advice of counsel. It may be necessary to resort to administrative or court proceedings to sustain some or all of the positions we take and such positions may not ultimately be sustained. A court may not agree with some or all of the positions we take. As a result, any such contest with the IRS may materially and adversely impact the market for our common units and the price at which our common units trade. In addition, our costs of any contest with the IRS, principally legal, accounting and related fees, will be indirectly borne by our unitholders because the costs will reduce our cash available for distribution.

Legislation applicable to us for taxable years beginning after 2017 alters the procedures for auditing large partnerships and also alters the procedures for assessing and collecting taxes due (including penalties and interest) as a result of an audit. Under these rules, unless we are eligible to, and do, elect to issue revised Schedules K-1 to our partners with respect to an audited and adjusted partnership tax return, the IRS may assess and collect taxes (including any applicable penalties and interest) directly from us in the year in which the audit is completed. If we are required to pay taxes, penalties and interest as a result of audit adjustments, cash available for distribution to our unitholders may be substantially reduced. In addition, because payment would be due for the taxable year in which the audit is completed, unitholders during that taxable year would bear the expense of the adjustment even if they were not unitholders during the audited tax year.

Our unitholders may be required to pay taxes on their share of our income even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.

Because our unitholders will be treated as partners to whom we will allocate taxable income which could be different in amount from the cash that we distribute, our unitholders will be required to pay federal income taxes and, in some cases, state and local income taxes on their share of our taxable income, whether or not they receive any cash distributions from us. Our common unitholders may not receive cash distributions from us equal to their share of our taxable income or even equal to the actual tax liability resulting from their share of our taxable income.

Tax gains or losses on the disposition of our common units could be more or less than expected.

If our unitholders sell their common units, they will recognize a gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and their tax basis in those common units. Because distributions in excess of a unitholder's allocable share of our net taxable income decrease the unitholder's tax basis in the unitholder's common units, the amount, if any, of such prior excess distributions with respect to the common units a unitholder sells will, in effect, become taxable income to the unitholder if the unitholder sells such common units at a price greater than the unitholder's tax basis in those common units, even if the price received is less than the unitholder's original cost. A substantial portion of the amount realized, whether or not representing gain, may be taxed as ordinary income due to potential recapture items, including depreciation recapture. In addition, because the amount realized may include a unitholder's share of our nonrecourse liabilities, a unitholder that sells common units may incur a tax liability in excess of the amount of the cash received from the sale.

Tax-exempt entities and non-U.S. persons face unique tax issues from owning our common units that may result in adverse tax consequences to them.

Investments in our common units by tax-exempt entities, such as individual retirement accounts (“IRAs”) or other retirement plans, and non-U.S. persons raise issues unique to them. For example, virtually all of our income allocated to unitholders who are organizations exempt from federal income tax, including IRAs and other retirement plans, will be unrelated business taxable income and will be taxable to them. Distributions to non-U.S. persons will be reduced by withholding taxes at the highest applicable effective tax rate, and non-U.S. persons will be required to file U.S. federal income tax returns and pay tax on their share of our taxable income. A unitholder that is a tax-exempt entity or a non-U.S. person should consult a tax advisor before investing in our common units.

We treat each purchaser of our common units as having the same tax benefits without regard to the common units purchased. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of our common units.

Because we cannot match transferors and transferees of common units, we adopt depreciation and amortization positions that may not conform to all aspects of existing Treasury Regulations. A successful IRS challenge to those positions could adversely affect the amount of tax benefits available to a common unitholder. It also could affect the timing of these tax benefits or the amount of gain from a sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of our common units or result in audit adjustments to the unitholder’s tax returns.

Our common unitholders will likely be subject to state and local taxes and return filing requirements in states where they do not live as a result of an investment in our common units.

In addition to federal income taxes, our common unitholders will likely be subject to other taxes, such as state and local income taxes, unincorporated business taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes imposed by the various jurisdictions in which we do business or own property even if the unitholder does not live in any of those jurisdictions. Our common unitholders will likely be required to file state and local income tax returns and pay state and local income taxes in some or all of these various jurisdictions. Further, our unitholders may be subject to penalties for failure to comply with those requirements. We currently own property or conduct business in many states, most of which impose an income tax on individuals, corporations and other entities. As we make acquisitions or expand our business, we may control assets or conduct business in additional states that impose a personal or corporate income tax. It is the responsibility of each unitholder to file its own federal, state and local tax returns, as applicable.

The sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within any twelve-month period will result in the termination of our partnership for federal income tax purposes.

We will be considered to have technically terminated our existing partnership for federal income tax purposes if there is a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within a twelve-month period. For purposes of determining whether the 50% threshold has been met, multiple sales of the same interest will be counted only once. Our technical termination would, among other things, result in the closing of our taxable year for all unitholders, which could result in us filing two tax returns (and our unitholders could receive two Schedules K-1 if certain relief were unavailable, as described below) for one fiscal year and could result in the deferral of depreciation deductions allowable in computing our taxable income. In the case of a unitholder reporting on a taxable year other than a fiscal year ending December 31, the closing of our taxable year may also result in more than twelve months of our taxable income or loss being includable in the unitholder’s taxable income for the year of termination. Our termination currently would not affect our classification as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, but it would result in our being treated as a new partnership for tax purposes. If treated as a new partnership, we must make new tax elections and could be subject to penalties if we are unable to determine that a termination occurred. The IRS has announced a relief procedure whereby, if a publicly traded partnership that technically terminated requests publicly traded partnership technical termination relief and such relief is granted by the IRS, among other things, the partnership will only have to provide one Schedule K-1 to each unitholder for the year notwithstanding two partnership tax years.

A unitholder whose common units are loaned to a “short seller” to cover a short sale of common units may be considered as having disposed of those common units. If so, the unitholder would no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan and may recognize gain or loss from the disposition.

Because a common unitholder whose common units are loaned to a “short seller” to cover a short sale of common units may be considered as having disposed of the loaned units, the unitholder may no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan to the short seller and the unitholder may recognize gain or loss from such disposition. Moreover, during the period of the loan to the short seller, any of our income, gain, loss or deduction with respect to those common units may not be reportable by the unitholder and any cash distributions received by the unitholder as to those common units could be fully taxable as ordinary income. Unitholders desiring to assure their status as partners and avoid the risk of gain recognition from a loan to a short seller are urged to modify any applicable brokerage account agreements to prohibit their brokers from borrowing their common units.

We have adopted certain valuation methodologies in determining a unitholder’s allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction. The IRS may challenge these methods or the resulting allocations and such a challenge could adversely affect the value of our common units.

In determining the items of income, gain, loss and deduction allocable to our unitholders, we must routinely determine the fair market value of our respective assets. Although we may from time to time consult with professional appraisers regarding valuation matters, we make fair market value estimates using a methodology based on the market value of our common units as a means to measure the fair market value of our respective assets. The IRS may challenge these valuation methods and the resulting allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction.

A successful IRS challenge to these methods or allocations could adversely affect the amount, character, and timing of taxable income or loss being allocated to our unitholders. It also could affect the amount of gain from our unitholders’ sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of the common units or result in audit adjustments to our unitholders’ tax returns without the benefit of additional deductions.

Item 1B. Unresolved SEC Staff Comments.

None.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

As part of our normal business activities, we may be named as defendants in legal proceedings, including those arising from regulatory and environmental matters. Although we are insured against various risks to the extent we believe it is prudent, there is no assurance that the nature and amount of such insurance will be adequate, in every case, to fully indemnify us against losses arising from future legal proceedings. We will vigorously defend the partnership in litigation matters. Except as set forth below, we are not aware of any material pending legal proceedings as of the filing date of this annual report to which we are a party, other than routine litigation incidental to our business.

ETP Matter

In connection with a proposed pipeline project, we and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. (“ETP”) signed a non-binding letter of intent in April 2011 that disclaimed any partnership or joint venture related to such project absent executed definitive documents and board approvals of the respective companies. Definitive agreements were never executed and board approval was never obtained for the potential pipeline project. In August 2011, the proposed pipeline project was cancelled due to a lack of customer support.

In September 2011, ETP filed suit against us and a third party in connection with the cancelled project alleging, among other things, that we and ETP had formed a “partnership.” The case was tried in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas, 298th Judicial District. While we firmly believe, and argued during our defense, that no agreement was ever executed forming a legal joint venture or partnership between the parties, the jury found that the actions of the two companies, nevertheless, constituted a legal partnership. As a result, the jury found that ETP was wrongfully excluded from a subsequent pipeline project involving a third party, and awarded ETP \$319.4 million in actual damages on March 4, 2014. On July 29, 2014, the court entered judgment against us in an aggregate amount of \$535.8 million, which includes (i) \$319.4 million as the amount of actual damages awarded by the jury, (ii) an additional \$150.0 million in disgorgement for the alleged benefit we received due to a breach of fiduciary duties by us against ETP and (iii) prejudgment interest in the amount of \$66.4 million. The court also awarded post-judgment interest on such aggregate amount, to accrue at a rate of 5%, compounded annually.

We do not believe that the verdict or the judgment entered against us is supported by the evidence or the law. We filed our Brief of the Appellant in the Court of Appeals for the Fifth District of Dallas, Texas on March 30, 2015 and ETP filed its Brief of Appellees on June 29, 2015. We filed our Reply Brief of Appellant on September 18, 2015. Oral argument was conducted on April 20, 2016, and the case has now been submitted to the Court of Appeals for its consideration. We intend to vigorously oppose the judgment through the appeals process. As of December 31, 2016, we have not recorded a provision for this matter as management believes payment of damages in this case is not probable.

PDH Litigation

In July 2013, we executed a contract with Foster Wheeler USA Corporation (“Foster Wheeler”) pursuant to which Foster Wheeler was to serve as the general contractor responsible for the engineering, procurement, construction and installation of our PDH facility. In November 2014, Foster Wheeler was acquired by an affiliate of AMEC plc to form Amec Foster Wheeler plc, and Foster Wheeler is now known as Amec Foster Wheeler USA Corporation (“AFW”). In December 2015, Enterprise and AFW entered into a transition services agreement under which AFW was partially terminated from the PDH project. In December 2015, Enterprise engaged a second contractor, Optimized Process Designs LLC (“OPD”), to complete the construction and installation of the PDH facility.

On September 2, 2016, we terminated AFW for cause and filed a lawsuit in the 151st Judicial Civil District Court of Harris County, Texas against AFW and its parent company, Amec Foster Wheeler plc, asserting claims for breach of contract, breach of warranty, fraudulent inducement, string-along fraud, gross negligence, professional negligence, negligent misrepresentation and attorneys’ fees. We intend to diligently prosecute these claims and seek all direct, consequential, and exemplary damages to which we may be entitled.

Environmental Matters

On occasion, we are assessed monetary sanctions by governmental authorities related to administrative or judicial proceedings involving environmental matters. We do not expect such expenditures to be material to our consolidated financial statements.

§ In January 2015, the Attorney General of Texas filed litigation against us for Clean Air Act violations resulting from the February 2011 NGL release and fire at the West Storage location of our Mont Belvieu, Texas underground storage facility. The eventual resolution of these matters may result in monetary sanctions in excess of \$0.1 million.

§ In September 2016, we received a Notice of Violation from the New Mexico Environment Department in connection with air emissions at our Chaparral natural gas processing facility. The eventual resolution of these matters may result in monetary sanctions in excess of \$0.2 million.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Unitholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Our common units are listed on the NYSE under the ticker symbol “EPD.” As of January 31, 2017, there were approximately 3,030 unitholders of record of our common units. The following table presents high and low sales prices for our common units for the periods presented (as reported by the NYSE Composite ticker tape) and the amount, record date and payment date of the quarterly cash distributions we paid on each of our common units with respect to such periods.

	Price Ranges		Cash Distribution History		
			Per Unit	Record Date	Payment Date
	High	Low			
2014					
1st Quarter	\$ 35.50	\$ 31.51	\$ 0.3550	04/30/14	05/07/14
2nd Quarter	\$ 39.26	\$ 34.52	\$ 0.3600	07/31/14	08/07/14
3rd Quarter	\$ 41.38	\$ 35.55	\$ 0.3650	10/31/14	11/07/14
4th Quarter	\$ 40.95	\$ 30.71	\$ 0.3700	01/30/15	02/06/15
2015					
1st Quarter	\$ 36.98	\$ 30.71	\$ 0.3750	04/30/15	05/07/15
2nd Quarter	\$ 34.73	\$ 29.53	\$ 0.3800	07/31/15	08/07/15
3rd Quarter	\$ 31.17	\$ 22.01	\$ 0.3850	10/30/15	11/06/15
4th Quarter	\$ 29.02	\$ 20.76	\$ 0.3900	01/29/16	02/05/16
2016					
1st Quarter	\$ 26.70	\$ 19.00	\$ 0.3950	04/29/16	05/06/16
2nd Quarter	\$ 29.43	\$ 23.56	\$ 0.4000	07/29/16	08/05/16
3rd Quarter	\$ 30.11	\$ 25.76	\$ 0.4050	10/31/16	11/07/16
4th Quarter	\$ 27.80	\$ 24.01	\$ 0.4100	01/31/17	02/07/17

Actual cash distributions are paid by us within 45 days after the end of each fiscal quarter. We expect that our cash distributions will be funded primarily through cash provided by operating activities. Although the payment of cash distributions is not guaranteed, we believe that our operations will continue to generate cash sufficient to pay distributions in the foreseeable future at levels comparable to those presented in the preceding table.

For additional information regarding our cash distributions to partners, see Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Recent Issuance of Unregistered Securities

On November 8, 2016, we agreed to issue 44,000 common units to an unaffiliated third party in a private placement exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) thereof), in exchange for the contribution, assignment, transfer and conveyance of all counterparty rights and interests under a commission agreement between such third party and one of our subsidiaries. The agreement pursuant to which we issued these common units contained customary representations, warranties and covenants, including the certification of facts relating to the availability of the exemption described above.

Other than as described above, there were no sales of unregistered equity securities during 2016.

Common Units Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plan

See “Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans” included under Part III, Item 12 of this annual report, which is incorporated by reference into this Item 5.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

A total of 2,995,957 unit-based awards (restricted common unit and phantom unit awards granted to key employees of EPCO) vested and were converted to common units during 2016. Of this amount, 409,764 were sold back to us by employees to meet their tax withholding requirements in connection with the vesting of restricted common unit awards. In addition, 590,855 were sold back to us by employees to meet their tax withholding requirements in connection with the vesting of phantom unit awards. The total cost of these repurchased units was \$23.2 million. We cancelled such treasury units immediately upon acquisition.

The following table summarizes our repurchase activity during 2016 in connection with these vesting transactions:

Period	Total Number of Units Purchased	Average Price Paid per Unit	Total Number of Units Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Maximum Number of Units That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans
Vesting of restricted unit awards:				
February 2016 (1)	388,396	\$ 22.96	--	--
May 2016 (2)	6,633	\$ 25.79	--	--
August 2016 (3)	4,739	\$ 27.06	--	--
September 2016 (4)	3,461	\$ 26.04	--	--
November 2016 (5)	6,535	\$ 24.93	--	--
Vesting of phantom unit awards:				
January 2016 (6)	2,553	\$ 26.21	--	--
February 2016 (7)	530,823	\$ 22.88	--	--
May 2016 (8)	5,971	\$ 26.14	--	--
August 2016 (9)	10,260	\$ 27.17	--	--
September 2016 (10)	29,331	\$ 26.34	--	--
November 2016 (11)	11,917	\$ 25.36	--	--

- (1) Of the 1,167,578 restricted common unit awards that vested in February 2016 and converted to common units, 388,396 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.
- (2) Of the 20,850 restricted common unit awards that vested in May 2016 and converted to common units, 6,633 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.
- (3) Of the 17,500 restricted common unit awards that vested in August 2016 and converted to common units, 4,739 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.
- (4) Of the 8,250 restricted common unit awards that vested in September 2016 and converted to common units, 3,461 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.
- (5) Of the 20,324 restricted common unit awards that vested in November 2016 and converted to common units, 6,535 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.
- (6) Of the 14,250 phantom unit awards that vested in January 2016 and converted to common units, 2,553 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.
- (7) Of the 1,572,243 phantom unit awards that vested in February 2016 and converted to common units, 530,823 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.
- (8) Of the 30,075 phantom unit awards that vested in May 2016 and converted to common units, 5,971 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.
- (9) Of the 41,695 phantom unit awards that vested in August 2016 and converted to common units, 10,260 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.
- (10) Of the 70,125 phantom unit awards that vested in September 2016 and converted to common units, 29,331 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.
- (11) Of the 33,067 phantom unit awards that vested in November 2016 and converted to common units, 11,917 units were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements.

In December 1998, we announced a common unit repurchase program whereby we, together with certain affiliates, could repurchase up to 4,000,000 of our common units on the open market. A total of 2,763,200 common units were repurchased under this program; however, no repurchases have been made since 2002. As of December 31, 2016, we and our affiliates could repurchase up to 1,236,800 additional common units under this program.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table presents selected historical consolidated financial data of our partnership. This information has been derived from and should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report, which presents our audited balance sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 and related statements of consolidated operations, comprehensive income, cash flows and equity for the three years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As presented in the table, amounts are in millions (except per unit data).

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Statements of operations data:					
Total revenues	\$ 23,022.3	\$ 27,027.9	\$ 47,951.2	\$ 47,727.0	\$ 42,583.1
Cost of sales	15,710.9	19,612.9	40,464.1	40,770.2	36,015.5
Other costs and expenses	4,092.7	4,248.4	3,970.9	3,656.8	3,522.7
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	362.0	373.6	259.5	167.3	64.3
Operating income	3,580.7	3,540.2	3,775.7	3,467.3	3,109.2
Interest expense	982.6	961.8	921.0	802.5	771.8
Net income	2,553.0	2,558.4	2,833.5	2,607.1	2,428.0
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	39.9	37.2	46.1	10.2	8.1
Net income attributable to limited partners	2,513.1	2,521.2	2,787.4	2,596.9	2,419.9
Earnings per unit:					
Basic (\$/unit)	1.20	1.28	1.51	1.45	1.40
Diluted (\$/unit)	1.20	1.26	1.47	1.41	1.35
Cash distributions paid with respect to period (\$/unit)	1.6100	1.5300	1.4500	1.3700	1.2863
	As of December 31,				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Balance sheet data:					
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 33,292.5	\$ 32,034.7	\$ 29,881.6	\$ 26,946.6	\$ 24,846.4
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	2,677.3	2,628.5	3,042.0	2,437.1	1,394.6
Total assets	52,194.0	48,802.2	47,057.7	40,025.5	35,830.9
Long-term debt, including current maturities	23,697.7	22,540.8	21,220.5	17,238.3	16,098.3
Total liabilities	29,928.0	28,301.1	27,365.5	24,585.1	22,534.9
Equity:					
Partners' equity	\$ 22,047.0	\$ 20,295.1	\$ 18,063.2	\$ 15,214.8	\$ 13,187.7
Noncontrolling interests	219.0	206.0	1,629.0	225.6	108.3
Total equity	<u>\$ 22,266.0</u>	<u>\$ 20,501.1</u>	<u>\$ 19,692.2</u>	<u>\$ 15,440.4</u>	<u>\$ 13,296.0</u>
Limited partner units outstanding (millions)	2,117.6	2,012.6	1,937.3	1,871.4	1,797.6

Fluctuations in our consolidated revenues and cost of sales amounts are explained in large part by changes in energy commodity prices. Energy commodity prices fluctuate for a variety of reasons, including supply and demand imbalances and geopolitical tensions. For additional information regarding energy commodity prices, see "Selected Energy Commodity Price Data" included under Part II, Item 7 of this annual report. General information regarding our results of operations can be found under Part II, Item 7 of this annual report.

Property, plant and equipment balances increased in each of the years presented due to our ongoing capital expenditure program, which includes business combinations such as EFS Midstream and Oiltanking. For information regarding our capital spending program, see "Capital Spending" included under Part II, Item 7 of this annual report. For information regarding our acquisition of EFS Midstream in 2015 and Oiltanking in 2014, see Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates decreased in 2015 primarily due to the sale of our Offshore Business, which included a number of pipeline and platform joint ventures operating in the Gulf of Mexico. See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report for additional information regarding the sale of this business.

Our debt balances, including related interest expense, increased in each of the years presented primarily due to the funding of a portion of our capital spending program using borrowings under bank credit agreements and the issuance of short- and long-term notes. For information regarding our debt balances, see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Our equity balances, along with the number of common units outstanding, increased in each of the years presented due to the issuance of units in connection with business combinations and the sale of units under our at-the-market program, distribution reinvestment plan and employee unit purchase plan. Net proceeds generated from the sale of common units were primarily used to fund a portion of our capital spending program.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**For the Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014**

The following information should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report. Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") in the United States ("U.S.").

Key References Used in this Management's Discussion and Analysis

Unless the context requires otherwise, references to "we," "us," "our," "Enterprise" or "Enterprise Products Partners" are intended to mean the business and operations of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries. References to "EPO" mean Enterprise Products Operating LLC, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Enterprise, and its consolidated subsidiaries, through which Enterprise Products Partners L.P. conducts its business. Enterprise is managed by its general partner, Enterprise Products Holdings LLC ("Enterprise GP"), which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Dan Duncan LLC, a privately held Texas limited liability company.

The membership interests of Dan Duncan LLC are owned by a voting trust, the current trustees ("DD LLC Trustees") of which are: (i) Randa Duncan Williams, who is also a director and Chairman of the Board of Directors (the "Board") of Enterprise GP; (ii) Richard H. Bachmann, who is also a director and Vice Chairman of the Board of Enterprise GP; and (iii) Dr. Ralph S. Cunningham. Ms. Duncan Williams and Mr. Bachmann also currently serve as managers of Dan Duncan LLC along with W. Randall Fowler, who is also a director and President of Enterprise GP.

References to "EPCO" mean Enterprise Products Company, a privately held Texas corporation, and its privately held affiliates. A majority of the outstanding voting capital stock of EPCO is owned by a voting trust, the current trustees ("EPCO Trustees") of which are: (i) Ms. Duncan Williams, who serves as Chairman of EPCO; (ii) Dr. Cunningham, who serves as Vice Chairman of EPCO; and (iii) Mr. Bachmann, who serves as the President and Chief Executive Officer of EPCO. Ms. Duncan Williams and Mr. Bachmann also currently serve as directors of EPCO along with Mr. Fowler, who is also the Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of EPCO. EPCO, together with its privately held affiliates, owned approximately 32% of our limited partner interests at December 31, 2016.

References to "Oiltanking" and "Oiltanking GP" mean Oiltanking Partners, L.P. and OTLP GP, LLC, the general partner of Oiltanking, respectively. In October 2014, we acquired approximately 65.9% of the limited partner interests of Oiltanking, all of the member interests of Oiltanking GP and the incentive distribution rights ("IDRs") held by Oiltanking GP from Oiltanking Holding Americas, Inc. ("OTA") as the first step of a two-step acquisition of Oiltanking. In February 2015, we completed the second step of this transaction consisting of the acquisition of the noncontrolling interests in Oiltanking.

As generally used in the energy industry and in this annual report, the acronyms below have the following meanings:

/d	= per day	MMBbls	= million barrels
BBtus	= billion British thermal units	MMBPD	= million barrels per day
Bcf	= billion cubic feet	MMBtus	= million British thermal units
BPD	= barrels per day	MMcf	= million cubic feet
MBPD	= thousand barrels per day	TBtus	= trillion British thermal units

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

This annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (our “annual report”) contains various forward-looking statements and information that are based on our beliefs and those of our general partner, as well as assumptions made by us and information currently available to us. When used in this document, words such as “anticipate,” “project,” “expect,” “plan,” “seek,” “goal,” “estimate,” “forecast,” “intend,” “could,” “should,” “would,” “will,” “believe,” “may,” “potential” and similar expressions and statements regarding our plans and objectives for future operations are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although we and our general partner believe that our expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, neither we nor our general partner can give any assurances that such expectations will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions as described in more detail under Part I, Item 1A of this annual report. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated, projected or expected. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this annual report speak only as of the date hereof. Except as required by federal and state securities laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or any other reason.

Overview of Business

We are a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, the common units of which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the ticker symbol “EPD.” We were formed in April 1998 to own and operate certain natural gas liquids (“NGLs”) related businesses of EPCO and are a leading North American provider of midstream energy services to producers and consumers of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products. Our midstream energy operations currently include: natural gas gathering, treating, processing, transportation and storage; NGL transportation, fractionation, storage, and export and import terminals (including those used to export liquefied petroleum gases, or “LPG,” and ethane); crude oil gathering, transportation, storage, and export and import terminals; petrochemical and refined products transportation, storage, export and import terminals, and related services; and a marine transportation business that operates primarily on the U.S. inland and Intracoastal Waterway systems. Our assets currently include approximately 49,300 miles of pipelines; 260 MMBbbls of storage capacity for NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products; and 14 Bcf of natural gas storage capacity.

We conduct substantially all of our business through EPO and are owned 100% by our limited partners from an economic perspective. Enterprise GP manages our partnership and owns a non-economic general partner interest in us. Like many publicly traded partnerships, we have no employees. All of our management, administrative and operating functions are performed by employees of EPCO pursuant to an administrative services agreement (the “ASA”) or by other service providers.

Our historical operations are reported under five business segments: (i) NGL Pipelines & Services, (ii) Crude Oil Pipelines & Services, (iii) Natural Gas Pipelines & Services, (iv) Petrochemical & Refined Products Services and (v) Offshore Pipelines & Services. Our business segments are generally organized and managed according to the types of services rendered (or technologies employed) and products produced and/or sold.

On July 24, 2015, we completed the sale of our Gulf of Mexico operations (the “Offshore Business,” which primarily consisted of our Offshore Pipelines & Services segment) to Genesis Energy, L.P. (“Genesis”). Our Offshore Business served drilling and development regions, including deepwater production fields, in the northern Gulf of Mexico offshore Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas. These operations included approximately 2,350 miles of offshore natural gas and crude oil pipelines and six offshore hub platforms. Our consolidated financial statements reflect ownership of the Offshore Business through July 24, 2015. For additional information regarding sale of the Offshore Business, see Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Each of our remaining business segments benefits from the supporting role of our related marketing activities. The main purpose of our marketing activities is to support the utilization and expansion of assets across our midstream energy asset network by increasing the volumes handled by such assets, which results in additional fee-based earnings for each business segment. In performing these support roles, our marketing activities also seek to participate in supply and demand opportunities as a supplemental source of gross operating margin, a non-generally accepted accounting principle (“non-GAAP”) financial measure, for the partnership. The financial results of our marketing efforts fluctuate due to changes in volumes handled and overall market conditions, which are influenced by current and forward market prices for the products bought and sold.

As a result of our acquisition of the member interests of EFS Midstream LLC (“EFS Midstream”) effective July 1, 2015, we began consolidating the financial statements of EFS Midstream as of that date.

Significant Recent Developments

Plans to Construct Isobutane Dehydrogenation Unit at Mont Belvieu

In January 2017, we announced plans to construct a new isobutane dehydrogenation (“iBDH”) unit at our Mont Belvieu complex that is expected to have the capability to produce 425,000 tons per year of isobutylene. The project, which is underwritten by long-term contracts with investment-grade customers, is expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2019. Isobutylene produced by the new plant will provide additional feedstocks for our downstream octane enhancement and petrochemical facilities.

Historically, steam crackers and refineries have been the major source of propane and butane olefins for downstream use. However, with the increased use of light-end feedstocks, specifically ethane, the need for on-purpose olefins production has increased. Like our propane dehydrogenation (“PDH”) facility, the iBDH plant will help meet market demand where traditional supplies have been reduced. The new iBDH plant will increase our production of both high purity and low purity isobutylene, both of which are used primarily as feedstock to manufacture lubricants, rubber products and alkylate for gasoline blendstock, as well as methyl tertiary butyl ether for export.

Completion of Ethane Export Terminal on the Houston Ship Channel

In September 2016, we placed our ethane export terminal located at Morgan’s Point on the Houston Ship Channel (the “Morgan’s Point Ethane Export Terminal”) into commercial service, and the terminal loaded its first vessel bound for Europe with 265,000 barrels of ethane. The Morgan’s Point Ethane Export Terminal, which is the largest of its kind in the world, has an aggregate loading rate (nameplate capacity) of approximately 10,000 barrels per hour of fully refrigerated ethane. Supply for the Morgan’s Point Ethane Export Terminal is sourced from our Mont Belvieu NGL fractionation and storage complex and transported through a new 18-mile, 24-inch diameter pipeline that we completed in February 2016.

The Morgan’s Point Ethane Export Terminal supports growing international demand for abundant U.S. ethane from shale plays, which offers the global petrochemical industry a low-cost feedstock option and supply diversification. By providing producers with access to the export market, the Morgan’s Point Ethane Export Terminal is also facilitating continued development of U.S. energy reserves.

Start-Up of Delaware Basin Gas Processing Plant

In August 2016, construction of our joint venture-owned Delaware Basin cryogenic natural gas processing plant (referred to as the “Waha” plant) was completed, and the facility was placed into service. The Waha plant, the construction of which is supported by long-term contracts, has a natural gas processing capacity of 150 MMcf/d and is able to extract in excess of 22 MBPD of NGLs. The plant is located in Reeves County, Texas and was designed to accommodate the growing production of NGL-rich natural gas from the Delaware Basin. We own a 50% equity interest in the joint venture that owns the Waha plant, which we operate.

In conjunction with the completion of the construction of the Waha plant, we completed construction of an 82-mile, 12-inch diameter pipeline that connects the Waha plant to our Chaparral Pipeline system, which transports mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants in West Texas and New Mexico to our NGL fractionation and storage complex in Mont Belvieu, Texas. Our Texas Intrastate System provides transportation services for natural gas processed at the Waha plant.

Addition of Propylene Export Capability at our Houston Ship Channel terminal

In July 2016, we initiated polymer grade propylene (“PGP”) loading services at our Enterprise Hydrocarbons Terminal (“EHT,” formerly known as our “Houston Ship Channel LPG export terminal”), which is located on the Houston Ship Channel. We are expecting an increase in the number of PGP export cargoes in response to international demand. We now have the capability to load 5,000 metric tons per day of refrigerated PGP at the EHT dock facilities, which are directly supplied by propylene fractionators and storage wells at our Mont Belvieu, Texas complex.

Final Payment for EFS Midstream Acquisition

In July 2015, we purchased EFS Midstream from affiliates of Pioneer Natural Resources Company (“PXD”) and Reliance Industries Limited (“Reliance”) for approximately \$2.1 billion, which was payable in two installments. The initial payment of \$1.1 billion was paid at closing on July 8, 2015. The second and final installment of \$1.0 billion was paid on July 11, 2016 using a combination of cash on hand and proceeds from the issuance of short-term notes under EPO’s commercial paper program.

Expansion of Delaware Basin Network with New Natural Gas Processing Plant

In June 2016, we announced plans to build an additional cryogenic natural gas processing plant and associated pipeline infrastructure in the Delaware Basin. The new processing plant will be located near Orla, Texas in Reeves County and have a natural gas processing capacity of 300 MMcf/d and the capability to extract more than 40 MBPD of NGLs. In addition, the project includes construction of natural gas gathering lines that will supply the plant, a pipeline that will deliver residue gas to markets at the Waha hub, and an extension of our Mid-America Pipeline System that will provide Orla customers with NGL takeaway capacity. The facility and related pipeline infrastructure, all of which we will own and operate, are expected to begin service in the second quarter of 2018. The project is anchored by long-term commitments from a major producer.

Start-Up of South Eddy Natural Gas Processing Plant

In May 2016, we announced that our new cryogenic natural gas processing plant located in Eddy County, New Mexico (the “South Eddy” plant) had been placed into service. We constructed the South Eddy plant to serve producers in the Delaware Basin region. The South Eddy plant has a nameplate natural gas processing capacity of 200 MMcf/d and is capable of extracting up to 25 MBPD of NGLs. We also completed construction of approximately 90 miles of natural gas gathering pipelines to supply the new plant.

In addition to the South Eddy plant and its related natural gas gathering infrastructure, we also completed a 71-mile extension of our Mid-America Pipeline System. This extension provides producers in the Delaware Basin with NGL takeaway capacity and direct access to our integrated network of NGL assets.

General Outlook for 2017

Commercial Outlook

General Commodity Price Observations

As a result of significant advances in non-conventional drilling and production technology, North American reserves and production of hydrocarbons, primarily from shale resource basins such as the Eagle Ford in South Texas, the Permian Basin in West Texas and the Appalachia Basin in the Northeast U.S., increased substantially in recent years. The increase in U.S. hydrocarbon supplies led to a reduction in imports of crude oil, NGLs, refined products and natural gas into the U.S. Conversely, this trend has resulted in significant increases in hydrocarbon exports from the U.S., particularly of refined products and LPGs. In light of weaker global economic growth (especially in Europe and China) and in the face of increasing production from North America and certain countries in the Middle East and Africa, global production and inventories of hydrocarbons (particularly crude oil and refined products) began to exceed demand in 2014.

In response to the growing supplies, beginning in November 2014, the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (“OPEC”), opted to defend its market share by maintaining (and in some cases increasing) its crude oil production levels rather than cutting production to balance global markets. The result was a dramatic decline in global crude oil prices from an average of \$93 per barrel in 2014, to \$49 per barrel in 2015 and further to \$43 per barrel in 2016, as measured by the price of West Texas Intermediate (“WTI”). Prices reached a low of approximately \$26 per barrel in February 2016, but have since recovered to over \$50 per barrel beginning in December 2016 and into early 2017.

Likewise, as a result of excess domestic supplies and a warm winter in 2015-2016, natural gas prices have also experienced significant weakness in recent years. As measured at Henry Hub, natural gas prices declined from an average of \$4.43 per MMBtu in 2014, to \$2.67 per MMBtu in 2015 and further to \$2.46 per MMBtu in 2016. Natural gas prices at Henry Hub fell to a low of \$1.64 per MMBtu in March 2016, but have since rebounded to approximately \$3.00 per MMBtu in February 2017. In response to lower energy commodity prices, domestic producers significantly reduced both their drilling and completion activities in most production basins starting in early 2015. As a result of reduced drilling, domestic crude oil production at the end of 2016 was 840 MBPD lower than at its peak in June 2015; however, production of natural gas and NGLs has been relatively stable during this volatile price environment.

While market sentiment on crude oil prices had generally been negative since the winter of 2014, OPEC members discussed an output “freeze” in September 2016 to try to balance the market and reduce excess crude oil inventories. These discussions caused crude oil prices to stabilize and start increasing. In November 2016, OPEC members formally agreed to production cuts, starting January 1, 2017, that will be in effect until at least the next OPEC meeting scheduled in May 2017. In addition to OPEC members, certain non-OPEC producers such as Russia agreed to participate in the production cuts, which has further strengthened crude oil and related energy commodity prices. In addition to the OPEC-led production cuts, many industry experts expect that strong consumer demand for energy and related products due to the lower prices (combined with routine supply disruptions) will accelerate rebalancing of the global oil market, with daily demand exceeding supply as early as the second half of 2017. With the announced cuts and positive supply and demand trends, the forward markets and most industry experts currently forecast that WTI will trade in the \$50 to \$60 per barrel range between now and 2020.

Supply Side Observations

Due to lower global energy commodity prices, plans for longer lead time, capital intensive projects continue to be delayed (or in some instances cancelled) by exploration and production companies (“E&P”) as they continue to shift their focus to shorter lead time and less risky projects. We believe that projects in U.S. shale resource basins exhibit this low risk, short lead time production profile, and that U.S. shale resources will continue to play an increasing role in both domestic and global markets. The ongoing impact of U.S. shale production is evident globally as both OPEC members and non-OPEC producers such as Russia adjust their national budgets to deal with the new realities of lower revenues from energy exports and heightened competition from non-conventional resources in the U.S. and Canada. Many energy economists also believe that outside of some limited excess production capacity within OPEC, U.S. shale production is now the world’s swing crude oil supply.

Since this energy cycle began, the effects of lower energy prices have been widespread throughout the energy industry resulting in lower investment to drill and complete wells, personnel layoffs and a total of 240 E&P, oilfield service and midstream companies filing for bankruptcy. To preserve capital, certain E&P companies deferred well completions known as drilled but uncompleted wells (“DUCs”). Also during this period, oilfield service and E&P companies developed better technologies to drill and complete non-conventional wells more efficiently. Some of these improvements include reductions in days to drill wells, longer horizontal laterals, and fracturing formations at higher pressures. Improved technology has enabled E&P companies to realize higher returns on capital from developing non-conventional resources at lower energy prices.

While changes in drilling rig counts (as reported by Baker Hughes) have historically been a reliable leading indicator of future production growth or decline, recent developments in technology, high grading of drilling locations and the large inventory of DUCs have reduced the correlation between changes in rig counts and changes in production. We believe this to be especially true when comparing current rig counts to those prior to 2015. Rig counts continue, however, to be a good indicator of overall E&P activity and investment.

U.S. E&P company sentiment has improved over the last few months and indications are that their production budgets for 2017 reflect increased activity when compared to the lows experienced in 2016. Since May 2016, total U.S. drilling rig counts have increased over 330 rigs, or 83%, to 741 rigs as of February 2017. The crude oil rig count increased by 275 to 591 rigs, an increase of approximately 87% from the low in May 2016. Likewise, the natural gas rig count increased by 68 rigs, or approximately 84%, from the low in August 2016. Not all regions have reacted equally to the recovery in rig counts.

Permian outlook

The Permian Basin in West Texas and southeastern New Mexico has experienced the largest increase in drilling activity, with the number of active rigs increasing to 301 in February 2017 from 134 in April 2016. The Permian Basin is an oil prone basin with many attributes, including stacked pay zones, light sweet crude and a long history of support for the oil and gas industry. The current level of producer activity provides support for the construction of incremental midstream infrastructure in the basin. An area of focus for us has been the development of midstream infrastructure serving producers in the Delaware Basin, which is part of the overall Permian Basin. During 2016, we completed and placed into service two natural gas processing facilities (South Eddy and Waha) and announced plans for construction of a third facility (Orla) in the Delaware Basin. In addition, we are developing a major crude oil pipeline system, the Midland-to-ECHO Pipeline System, that would serve producers in the Permian Basin and originate at our Midland, Texas crude oil terminal and extend to our Sealy terminal and on to our ECHO terminal near Houston, Texas. We are also evaluating several other natural gas and crude oil projects in the Permian Basin.

Eagle Ford outlook

Rig counts in the Eagle Ford shale region have been significantly impacted by the downturn in oil prices. While the number of active drilling rigs in the Eagle Ford shale has increased by 29 rigs, or 103%, to 57 rigs in February 2017 from the low recorded in May 2016, we do not believe this level of activity is high enough to offset natural production declines in the region. The historical peak for Eagle Ford crude oil and natural gas production occurred in March 2015 and was 1.6 million barrels per day and 6.0 Bcf/d, respectively. The most recent data for Eagle Ford production was 1.0 million barrels per day of crude oil and 4.9 Bcf/d of natural gas. Until volumes for the Eagle Ford return to near historical peak production, there is excess capacity of midstream infrastructure available in the region. We still believe that the Eagle Ford offers producers some of the best returns on capital of any region in the country and is also favorably situated near growing consumption and export markets on the U.S. Gulf Coast. We also believe that a significant amount of production and acreage in the Eagle Ford could change ownership in the near future and that such a change in ownership would be supportive of an increase in rig activity and production.

Haynesville outlook

The rig count in the Haynesville shale region has increased recently as ownership of large parcels of producing acreage has changed hands. The rig count for February 2017 is 30, which represents a 19 rig increase from the low of 11 rigs recorded in February 2016. Drilling in the Haynesville has benefitted from technological advances, which have improved natural gas recoveries per well and resulted in better returns on capital. With natural gas futures prices currently at approximately \$3.00 per MMBtu, we believe Haynesville natural gas production has reached its low point, and that volumes will begin to gradually increase in 2017. Haynesville production peaked in November 2011 at approximately 7.8 Bcf/d and is currently 3.9 Bcf/d. Until Haynesville volumes return to near historical peak production, there is excess capacity of midstream infrastructure available in the region.

Rockies outlook

Rig counts have increased in both the Piceance basin and the Jonah and Pinedale fields, although rig counts are still at depressed levels. Drilling activity in the Piceance basin increased from 2 rigs in May 2016 to 5 rigs in February 2017, and in the Jonah and Pinedale fields increased from a combined 4 rigs in November 2016 to 8 rigs in February 2017. Furthermore, drilling activity in the San Juan basin declined from 2 rigs in November 2015 to 1 rig in February 2017. Current rig counts in these producing regions will not be enough to offset natural production declines; however, since the natural gas produced from these areas is often rich in NGL content, the recent increase in NGL prices may spur increased drilling activity in these regions. In addition, drilling economics in these regions should benefit as enhanced completion technologies now being employed in the Gulf Coast and Midcontinent areas become more widespread in the Western Rockies, thus increasing returns on incremental capital invested. The Rockies benefit from adequate natural gas and NGL pipeline infrastructure, resulting in favorable netback prices, which help the region compete with other North American plays where takeaway capacity may be constrained. Like in other areas, higher energy prices could encourage changes in ownership by producers, which could also improve rig counts.

As a result of higher energy commodity prices in the past few months and continued positive sentiment towards price stability, we expect an increase in producer investment and drilling activity in and around our assets in the Permian, Eagle Ford, Haynesville and Rockies regions. Furthermore, we expect that our assets in these areas are going to be very competitive in supplying services for the resulting new production. We also believe that basins located closest to prime markets on the U.S. Gulf Coast will be preferred by producers due to more favorable economics as compared to other more distant areas (mostly due to lower transportation costs).

Demand Side Observations

Overall demand for petroleum products continues to increase at rates that have generally exceeded economists' expectations. We believe the U.S. is very well situated to meet growing global petroleum demand. With the recent improvement in crude oil prices, the U.S. Energy Information Administration reports that U.S. crude oil production has started to increase. As U.S. crude oil production recovers, we expect that some of these new domestic barrels will supplant more of the country's crude oil imports. We also expect U.S. refineries to operate at higher utilization rates as a result of strong U.S. motor fuels demand and growing global demand. In addition, as U.S. crude oil supplies increase, we also expect crude oil exports to grow, with likely markets being Central and South America, Asia and Western Europe where the lighter U.S. crudes make good feedstocks for their refining facilities.

In December 2015, the U.S. government lifted its ban on exporting domestically produced crude oil. We believe that this should be beneficial to the domestic energy industry in general and to Enterprise in particular. Our marine terminal assets and related infrastructure are strategically located on the U.S. Gulf Coast. These assets could benefit from concurrent imports and exports of various grades of crude oil as (i) refineries optimize their crude oil input slate, (ii) trading companies import and export different grades of crude oil depending on global and regional supply-demand factors, and (iii) producers optimize their production depending on market price signals. With significant crude oil export capabilities at Freeport, Texas City, on the Houston Ship Channel and at Beaumont, Texas, lifting of the export ban should have a beneficial impact on our crude oil pipeline, storage and marine terminal assets (without any significant capital expenditure). However, this outlook could be muted if there is a prolonged decline in domestic crude oil drilling and production, or if overseas crude markets become oversupplied for an extended period and thus discounted from a price standpoint when compared to the U.S. Gulf Coast.

Furthermore, as several new world scale ethylene plants begin operations in the U.S. through the early 2020s, we expect growing domestic demand for ethane, which could in turn drive upstream NGL production increases supported by producer investment and higher rig counts. We also expect that global demand for heavier NGLs (butanes and natural gasoline) will continue to increase supported by rising global economic activity. With respect to natural gas demand, we expect it to continue to increase in the form of U.S. power generation demand, growing industrial demand, exports to Mexico and as liquefied natural gas, or LNG. We believe U.S. producers can provide ample supplies of natural gas at very competitive prices to meet growing global demand.

In recent years, U.S. natural gas and NGLs developed a feedstock price advantage over more costly crude oil derivatives (such as naphtha and Gasoil). In general, we expect this trend to continue due to: (i) competitive domestic production from low risk, short lead time shale resource plays; (ii) the ability of U.S. producers and their suppliers to harness technology to keep costs down; (iii) anticipated long-term increases in demand for crude oil by developing economies; and (iv) ongoing geopolitical risks and poor rule of law in many areas of the world that are major exporters of crude oil, which may cause unexpected disruptions, and crude oil price increases. These advantages lend themselves to a variety of long-term demand-side opportunities, including higher demand from the U.S. petrochemical industry and increased exports of various hydrocarbons (e.g., LNG, LPG, ethane and crude oil).

We also believe the trend in the feedstock price advantage of domestically-produced NGLs and their abundance has led to a long-term fundamental change in feedstock selection by the U.S. petrochemical industry, which is the largest consumer of domestic NGLs. In order to capitalize on this cost advantage, U.S. petrochemical companies have maximized their consumption of domestic NGLs. Many of these companies are investing billions of dollars to construct world-scale ethylene plants on the Gulf Coast that are designed to consume NGLs (particularly ethane) as feedstock. U.S. ethylene production capacity is expected to increase by over 40% over the next 3 years. Below is a list of ethylene plants under construction that are scheduled to begin operations over the next few years based on publicly available information.

Company	Ethylene Production Capacity	Potential Ethane Consumption	Estimated Completion Date
	(Billion lbs/yr)	(MBPD)	
Occidental Chemical/Mexichem	1.2	33	2017
Chevron Phillips Chemical	3.3	90	2017
ExxonMobil Chemical	3.3	90	2017
Dow Chemical	3.3	90	2017
Indorama	1.1	30	2017
Shintech	1.1	30	2018
Sasol	3.3	90	2018
Formosa Plastics	3.5	95	2019
Axiall/Lotte	2.2	60	2019
Total Petrochemicals & Refining	2.2	60	2019
Shell	3.5	95	Early 2020s
Total	28.0	763	

Additionally, several other petrochemical companies have announced significant expansions and/or conversions at existing facilities that will use ethane as a feedstock. Almost all of these ethylene plants and the ethylene industry's major expansions are in close proximity to our existing or planned assets, including our recently completed Aegis Ethane Pipeline.

Based on industry publications, domestic production of ethylene in 2015 and 2016 averaged 155 million pounds per day compared to an average of 145 million pounds per day for the five-year period ending in 2014. Ethane is the most widely used feedstock by the U.S. petrochemical industry in the production of ethylene. As a result, ethane consumption by domestic petrochemical companies has, at times, been in excess of 1.2 MMBPD. We believe the U.S. ethylene industry could consume approximately 200 MBPD of additional ethane feedstocks over the next few years through modifications, debottlenecking and expansions at existing facilities. In addition, we believe that publicly announced new petrochemical plant construction projects, including those noted in the preceding table could consume well over 700 MBPD of additional ethane feedstocks when completed. However, until these new ethylene plants are completed, ethane production capacity continues to exceed demand, resulting in significant volumes of ethane not being extracted from the natural gas stream by producers and natural gas processors in an effort to balance ethane supply with demand.

We also expect exports of domestically produced ethane will increase in the coming years. Our Morgan's Point Ethane Export Terminal, which we placed into service in September 2016, supports growing international demand for abundant U.S. ethane from shale plays and offers the global petrochemical industry a low-cost feedstock option and supply diversification. The Morgan's Point Ethane Export Terminal, which is located on the Houston Ship Channel and is the largest of its kind in the world, has an aggregate loading rate (nameplate capacity) of approximately 10,000 barrels per hour of fully refrigerated ethane and is integrated with our Mont Belvieu NGL fractionation and storage complex.

U.S. exports of fully refrigerated LPG continue to increase as a result of ample domestic production, increased export capacity and competitive, transparent pricing when compared to international markets. Overall, U.S. propane waterborne exports increased from approximately 423 MBPD in 2014 to approximately 875 MBPD in 2016. Markets in Asia and Central and South America have been the major sources of new demand for U.S. LPG exports; however, growing volumes are also being transported to Northwest Europe. LPG exports from the U.S. Gulf Coast to Central and South America are expected to increase in the future as these economies continue to grow. Furthermore, we expect that increased volumes of Gulf Coast-sourced LPGs will be exported in the coming years to Asian markets due to faster growth of these economies and the widening of the Panama Canal, which was completed in 2016. In anticipation of the aforementioned growth in LPG exports, we completed the final phase of the expansion of our LPG export terminal located on the Houston Ship Channel at EHT in December 2015. This expansion increased our loading rate for LPG at the terminal to approximately 27,500 barrels per hour (nameplate capacity).

Lastly, we believe that natural gas prices will stabilize at around \$3.00/MMBtu as U.S. supply and demand fundamentals for this commodity become more balanced through exports and incremental demand from industrial customers and for power generation. Supply basins with dry natural gas, such as the Haynesville/Bossier, Barnett, Fayetteville, Piceance and Jonah/Pinedale shales, could experience an increase in drilling activity due to their low development costs. The Haynesville resource basin is an excellent example of a dry gas production area that we believe could experience a substantial increase in drilling activity since it is ideally located to serve future demand from LNG exports and industrial customers on the U.S. Gulf Coast.

Summary

While this period of very low prices has been very difficult for producers, the lower energy commodity prices have led to an increase in energy consumption by individual consumers, particularly for motor fuels, and by energy intensive industries (e.g., steel manufacturing and petrochemicals) as lower energy and feedstock costs reduce the operating costs for such businesses and in some instances make them more competitive globally. We believe that an increase in demand for crude oil, natural gas and NGLs from these types of industries, along with other positive consumer-driven demand responses to lower energy prices, will continue to balance crude oil supply and demand fundamentals to the extent that current excess inventories begin to decline in 2017. Regardless of such market dynamics, almost all of the major assets we have under construction or have recently completed, whether supply or demand oriented, are supported by long-term fee-based commitments from producers, shippers and/or end-use customers. We also believe that as a result of the price downturn which has slowed and/or caused many higher-risk, long-lead time projects to be cancelled, U.S. unconventional resources have gained substantial long-term significance worldwide.

Liquidity Outlook

Debt and equity capital markets for the energy sector were turbulent throughout 2016 as continued volatility and weakness in commodity prices created market uncertainty. This has generally impacted both the cost of capital and access to debt and equity capital markets. While there were challenges in the capital markets during 2016, we were able to access both the debt and equity capital markets to support our growth and balance sheet objectives at acceptable costs. At December 31, 2016, we had \$3.78 billion of consolidated liquidity, which was comprised of \$3.72 billion of available borrowing capacity under EPO's revolving credit facilities and \$63.1 million of unrestricted cash on hand. Based on current market conditions (as of the filing date of this annual report), we believe we will have sufficient liquidity, cash flow from operations, access to capital markets and access to bank capital to fund our capital expenditures and working capital needs for the reasonably foreseeable future.

We have \$800 million in principal amount of senior notes maturing in September 2017. We expect to refinance this series of senior notes obligation at or near to its maturity. Our next maturing series of senior notes are due in April and May of 2018 in the aggregate principal amount of \$1.1 billion.

The U.S. government is expected to continue to run substantial annual budget deficits in the coming years that will require a corresponding issuance of debt by the U.S. Treasury. The interest rate on U.S. Treasury debt has a direct impact on the cost of our debt. At this time, we are uncertain what impact the expected large issuances of U.S. Treasury debt and the prevailing economic and capital market conditions during these future periods will have on the cost and availability of capital. To that end, we have entered into \$275 million of notional forward-starting interest rate swaps to hedge a portion of our expected future debt issuances in connection with the refinancing of debt in 2018. We continue to monitor and evaluate the condition of the capital markets and our interest rate risk with respect to funding our capital spending program and refinancing upcoming maturities.

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes the key components of our results of operations for the years indicated (dollars in millions):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Revenues	\$ 23,022.3	\$ 27,027.9	\$ 47,951.2
Costs and expenses:			
Operating costs and expenses:			
Cost of sales	15,710.9	19,612.9	40,464.1
Other operating costs and expenses	2,425.6	2,449.4	2,541.8
Depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses	1,456.7	1,428.2	1,282.7
Net losses (gains) attributable to asset sales and insurance recoveries	(2.5)	15.6	(102.1)
Asset impairment and related charges	52.8	162.6	34.0
Total operating costs and expenses	<u>19,643.5</u>	<u>23,668.7</u>	<u>44,220.5</u>
General and administrative costs	160.1	192.6	214.5
Total costs and expenses	<u>19,803.6</u>	<u>23,861.3</u>	<u>44,435.0</u>
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	362.0	373.6	259.5
Operating income	3,580.7	3,540.2	3,775.7
Interest expense	(982.6)	(961.8)	(921.0)
Change in fair market value of Liquidity Option Agreement	(24.5)	(25.4)	--
Other, net	2.8	2.9	1.9
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes	(23.4)	2.5	(23.1)
Net income	2,553.0	2,558.4	2,833.5
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(39.9)	(37.2)	(46.1)
Net income attributable to limited partners	<u>\$ 2,513.1</u>	<u>\$ 2,521.2</u>	<u>\$ 2,787.4</u>

The following table presents each business segment's contribution to consolidated revenues (net of eliminations) for the years indicated (dollars in millions):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
NGL Pipelines & Services:			
Sales of NGLs and related products	\$ 8,380.5	\$ 8,044.8	\$ 15,460.1
Midstream services	1,862.0	1,743.2	1,629.7
Total	<u>10,242.5</u>	<u>9,788.0</u>	<u>17,089.8</u>
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services:			
Sales of crude oil	5,802.5	9,732.9	19,783.9
Midstream services	712.5	573.0	400.4
Total	<u>6,515.0</u>	<u>10,305.9</u>	<u>20,184.3</u>
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services:			
Sales of natural gas	1,591.9	1,722.6	3,181.7
Midstream services	951.1	1,020.7	1,022.1
Total	<u>2,543.0</u>	<u>2,743.3</u>	<u>4,203.8</u>
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services:			
Sales of petrochemicals and refined products	2,921.9	3,333.5	5,575.5
Midstream services	799.9	778.4	741.0
Total	<u>3,721.8</u>	<u>4,111.9</u>	<u>6,316.5</u>
Offshore Pipelines & Services:			
Sales of natural gas	--	--	0.3
Sales of crude oil	--	3.2	8.6
Midstream services	--	75.6	147.9
Total	<u>--</u>	<u>78.8</u>	<u>156.8</u>
Total consolidated revenues	<u>\$ 23,022.3</u>	<u>\$ 27,027.9</u>	<u>\$ 47,951.2</u>

Substantially all of our consolidated revenues are earned in the U.S. and derived from a wide customer base. Our largest non-affiliated customer for 2016 was Vitol Holding B.V. and its affiliates (collectively, “Vitol”), which accounted for 9.9% of our consolidated revenues. Vitol is a global energy and commodity trading company. The following table presents our consolidated revenues from Vitol by business segment for the year ended December 31, 2016 (dollars in millions):

NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 1,830.1
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	171.9
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	7.8
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	270.3
Total	\$ 2,280.1

The following table presents selected index prices for natural gas, crude oil and selected NGL and petrochemical products for the periods indicated:

	Natural Gas, \$/MMBtu (1)	Ethane, \$/gallon (2)	Propane, \$/gallon (2)	Normal Butane, \$/gallon (2)	Isobutane, \$/gallon (2)	Natural Gasoline, \$/gallon (2)	PGP, \$/pound (3)	Refinery Grade Propylene, \$/pound (3)	WTI Crude Oil, \$/barrel (4)	LLS Crude Oil, \$/barrel (4)
2014 Averages	<u>\$ 4.43</u>	<u>\$ 0.27</u>	<u>\$ 1.04</u>	<u>\$ 1.22</u>	<u>\$ 1.25</u>	<u>\$ 1.98</u>	<u>\$ 0.71</u>	<u>\$ 0.57</u>	<u>\$ 93.01</u>	<u>\$ 96.75</u>
2015 by quarter:										
1st Quarter	\$ 2.99	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.68	\$ 1.10	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.37	\$ 48.63	\$ 52.83
2nd Quarter	\$ 2.65	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.26	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.29	\$ 57.94	\$ 62.97
3rd Quarter	\$ 2.77	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.21	\$ 46.43	\$ 50.17
4th Quarter	<u>\$ 2.27</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>	<u>\$ 0.42</u>	<u>\$ 0.60</u>	<u>\$ 0.61</u>	<u>\$ 0.97</u>	<u>\$ 0.31</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>	<u>\$ 42.18</u>	<u>\$ 43.54</u>
2015 Averages	<u>\$ 2.67</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>	<u>\$ 0.45</u>	<u>\$ 0.61</u>	<u>\$ 0.61</u>	<u>\$ 1.08</u>	<u>\$ 0.39</u>	<u>\$ 0.26</u>	<u>\$ 48.80</u>	<u>\$ 52.38</u>
2016 by quarter:										
1st Quarter	\$ 2.09	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.18	\$ 33.45	\$ 35.11
2nd Quarter	\$ 1.95	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.19	\$ 45.59	\$ 47.35
3rd Quarter	\$ 2.81	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.24	\$ 44.94	\$ 46.52
4th Quarter	<u>\$ 2.98</u>	<u>\$ 0.24</u>	<u>\$ 0.58</u>	<u>\$ 0.83</u>	<u>\$ 0.90</u>	<u>\$ 1.08</u>	<u>\$ 0.36</u>	<u>\$ 0.24</u>	<u>\$ 49.29</u>	<u>\$ 50.53</u>
2016 Averages	<u>\$ 2.46</u>	<u>\$ 0.20</u>	<u>\$ 0.48</u>	<u>\$ 0.65</u>	<u>\$ 0.68</u>	<u>\$ 0.94</u>	<u>\$ 0.34</u>	<u>\$ 0.21</u>	<u>\$ 43.32</u>	<u>\$ 44.88</u>

(1) Natural gas prices are based on Henry-Hub Inside FERC commercial index prices as reported by Platts, which is a division of McGraw Hill Financial, Inc.

(2) NGL prices for ethane, propane, normal butane, isobutane and natural gasoline are based on Mont Belvieu Non-TET commercial index prices as reported by Oil Price Information Service.

(3) PGP prices represent average contract pricing for such product as reported by IHS Chemical, a division of IHS Inc. (“IHS Chemical”). Refinery grade propylene prices represent weighted-average spot prices for such product as reported by IHS Chemical.

(4) Crude oil prices are based on commercial index prices for WTI as measured on the New York Mercantile Exchange (“NYMEX”) and for LLS as reported by Platts.

Fluctuations in our consolidated revenues and cost of sales amounts are explained in large part by changes in energy commodity prices. Energy commodity prices fluctuate for a variety of reasons, including supply and demand imbalances and geopolitical tensions. Crude oil, natural gas and NGL prices have been depressed since the fourth quarter of 2014 primarily due to an oversupply of these commodities on world markets. The weighted-average indicative market price for NGLs was \$0.50 per gallon in 2016 versus \$0.49 per gallon in 2015 and \$0.97 per gallon in 2014.

A decrease in our consolidated marketing revenues due to lower energy commodity sales prices may not result in a decrease in gross operating margin or cash available for distribution, since our consolidated cost of sales amounts would also be lower due to comparable decreases in the purchase prices of the underlying energy commodities. The same correlation would be true in the case of higher energy commodity sales prices and purchase costs.

We attempt to mitigate commodity price exposure through our hedging activities as well as through converting keepwhole and similar contracts to fee-based arrangements. See Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report for information regarding our commodity hedging activities.

Consolidated Income Statement Highlights

The following information highlights significant changes in our comparative income statement amounts and the primary drivers of such changes.

Comparison of 2016 with 2015

Revenues

Total revenues for 2016 decreased \$4.01 billion when compared to total revenues for 2015. Revenues from the marketing of crude oil decreased \$3.93 billion year-to-year due to lower sales volumes and prices, which accounted for a \$2.77 billion decrease and a \$1.16 billion decrease, respectively. Revenues from the marketing of natural gas, petrochemicals, refined products and octane additives decreased a net \$513.8 million year-to-year primarily due to lower sales prices, which accounted for a \$760.0 million decrease, partially offset by a \$246.2 million increase due to higher sales volumes. Revenues from the marketing of NGLs increased a net \$335.7 million year-to-year primarily due to higher sales volumes, which accounted for a \$956.2 million increase, partially offset by a \$620.5 million decrease due to lower sales prices.

Revenues from midstream services increased a net \$134.6 million year-to-year primarily due to the ongoing expansion of our operations. Revenues increased \$144.5 million year-to-year from the assets we acquired in the EFS Midstream acquisition in July 2015. Revenues from midstream services decreased \$76.0 million year-to-year due to the sale of our Offshore Business in July 2015. The remaining \$66.1 million year-to-year increase in revenues from midstream services is primarily due to contractual increases in committed volumes on pipeline assets, such as ATEX and the Aegis Ethane Pipeline (“Aegis”), and the expansion of storage capacity at our terminal assets on the Gulf Coast.

Operating costs and expenses

Total operating costs and expenses for 2016 decreased \$4.03 billion when compared to total operating costs and expenses for 2015. The cost of sales associated with our marketing of crude oil decreased \$3.69 billion year-to-year due to lower sales volumes and prices, which accounted for a \$2.53 billion decrease and a \$1.16 billion decrease, respectively. The cost of sales associated with our marketing of natural gas, petrochemicals, refined products and octane additives decreased a net \$416.1 million year-to-year primarily due to lower purchase prices, which accounted for a \$666.1 million decrease, partially offset by a \$250.0 million increase due to higher sales volumes. The cost of sales associated with our marketing of NGLs increased a net \$206.5 million year-to-year primarily due to higher sales volumes, which accounted for a \$754.2 million increase, partially offset by lower purchase prices, which accounted for a \$547.7 million decrease.

Other operating costs and expenses decreased a net \$23.8 million year-to-year primarily due to lower maintenance expenses during 2016 when compared to 2015.

Depreciation, amortization and accretion expense in operating costs and expenses for 2016 increased a net \$28.5 million when compared to 2015. A \$112.8 million year-to-year increase primarily due to assets we recently constructed and placed into service or acquired was partially offset by an \$84.3 million decrease attributable to the sale of our Offshore Business.

Operating costs and expenses also include \$52.8 million and \$162.6 million of non-cash asset impairment and related charges for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Non-cash asset impairment charges for 2016 primarily relate to the planned abandonment of plant and pipeline assets in Texas and New Mexico. Related charges for 2016 include a \$7.1 million non-cash write-off for assets damaged in a fire at our Pascagoula, Mississippi natural gas processing facility in June 2016. In 2015, we recorded a \$54.8 million asset impairment charge in connection with our sale of the Offshore Business. The remainder of our non-cash asset impairment charges for 2015 primarily relate to natural gas processing assets in southern Louisiana, certain marine vessels and the abandonment of certain crude oil and natural gas pipeline assets in Texas.

General and administrative costs

General and administrative costs for 2016 decreased \$32.5 million when compared to 2015 primarily due to lower costs for employee compensation and professional services. General and administrative costs for 2016 include \$0.7 million of non-cash asset impairment charges.

Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates

Equity income from our unconsolidated affiliates for 2016 decreased a net \$11.6 million when compared to 2015. Results for 2015 reflect \$46.6 million of equity earnings attributable to the Offshore Business sold in July 2015. This year-to-year decrease was partially offset by a net \$30.5 million increase in earnings from our investments in crude oil pipelines, which benefited from the settlement of a rate case by Seaway during 2016.

Operating income

Operating income for 2016 increased \$40.5 million when compared to 2015 due to the previously described year-to-year changes in revenues, operating costs and expenses, general and administrative costs and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates.

Interest expense

Interest expense for 2016 increased \$20.8 million when compared to 2015. The following table presents the components of our consolidated interest expense for the years indicated (dollars in millions):

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2016	2015
Interest charged on debt principal outstanding	\$ 1,088.9	\$ 1,063.4
Impact of interest rate hedging program, including related amortization	30.5	15.4
Interest cost capitalized in connection with construction projects (1)	(168.2)	(149.1)
Other (2)	31.4	32.1
Total	<u>\$ 982.6</u>	<u>\$ 961.8</u>

(1) We capitalize interest costs incurred on funds used to construct property, plant and equipment while the asset is in its construction phase. Capitalized interest amounts become part of the historical cost of an asset and are charged to earnings (as a component of depreciation expense) on a straight line basis over the estimated useful life of the asset once the asset enters its intended service. When capitalized interest is recorded, it reduces interest expense from what it would be otherwise. Capitalized interest amounts fluctuate based on the timing of when projects are placed into service, our capital spending levels and the interest rates charged on borrowings.

(2) Primarily reflects facility commitment fees charged in connection with our revolving credit facilities and amortization of debt issuance costs.

Interest charged on debt principal outstanding, which is the primary driver of interest expense, increased a net \$25.5 million year-to-year primarily due to increased debt principal amounts outstanding during 2016, which accounted for a \$54.8 million increase, partially offset by the effect of lower overall interest rates in 2016, which accounted for a \$29.3 million decrease. Our weighted-average debt principal balance for 2016 was \$23.41 billion compared to \$22.24 billion for 2015. In general, our debt principal balances have increased over time due to the partial debt financing of our capital spending program. For a discussion of our consolidated debt obligations and capital spending program, see "Liquidity and Capital Resources" and "Capital Spending" within this Part II, Item 7 of this annual report.

Change in fair market value of Liquidity Option Agreement

We recognized a decrease of \$0.9 million of aggregate non-cash expense attributable to accretion and changes in management estimates regarding inputs to the valuation model for the Liquidity Option Agreement. For information regarding the Liquidity Option Agreement, see Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Income taxes

Income taxes primarily reflects our state tax obligations under the Revised Texas Franchise Tax (“Texas Margin Tax”). Our provision for income taxes for 2016 increased \$25.9 million when compared to 2015 primarily due to an increase in accruals for the Texas Margin Tax. In June 2015, the State of Texas lowered the tax rate under the Texas Margin Tax, which resulted in an income tax benefit for us in 2015.

Noncontrolling interests

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests increased a net \$2.7 million in 2016 when compared to 2015. A \$7.8 million year-to-year decrease in net income attributable to the noncontrolling interests in Oiltanking for the period January 1, 2015 to February 13, 2015, which is the date we completed the Oiltanking acquisition, was more than offset by a net \$10.5 million year-to-year increase attributable to our majority owned consolidated subsidiaries.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014

Revenues

Total revenues for 2015 decreased \$20.92 billion when compared to total revenues for 2014. Revenues from the marketing of crude oil and natural gas decreased \$11.52 billion year-to-year primarily due to lower sales prices, which accounted for a \$10.43 billion decrease, and lower sales volumes, which accounted for an additional \$1.09 billion decrease. Revenues from the marketing of NGLs and refined products decreased a net \$8.13 billion year-to-year primarily due to lower sales prices, which accounted for an \$8.81 billion decrease, partially offset by higher sales volumes, which accounted for a \$680.8 million increase. Revenues from the marketing of petrochemicals, octane additives and high purity isobutylene (“HPIB”) decreased \$1.49 billion year-to-year attributable to lower sales prices.

Revenues from midstream services increased a net \$249.8 million year-to-year primarily due to the ongoing expansion of our operations. Revenues increased \$163.4 million year-to-year due to the timing of our acquisition of Oiltanking. Revenues for 2015 also include \$117.8 million from assets we acquired in connection with the EFS Midstream acquisition. Revenues decreased \$72.6 million year-to-year primarily due to the sale of our Offshore Business in July 2015. The remaining \$41.2 million year-to-year increase in revenues is primarily due to the timing of completion of various capital projects, including portions of Aegis and expanded crude oil storage capacity at our ECHO terminal.

Operating costs and expenses

Total operating costs and expenses for 2015 decreased \$20.55 billion when compared to total operating costs and expenses for 2014. The cost of sales associated with our marketing of crude oil and natural gas decreased \$11.05 billion year-to-year primarily due to lower purchase prices, which accounted for a \$10.02 billion decrease, and lower sales volumes, which accounted for an additional \$1.03 billion decrease. The cost of sales associated with our marketing of NGLs and refined products decreased a net \$8.13 billion year-to-year primarily due to lower purchase prices, which accounted for an \$8.78 billion decrease, partially offset by higher sales volumes, which accounted for a \$651.3 million increase. The cost of sales associated with our marketing of petrochemicals, octane additives and HPIB decreased \$1.64 billion year-to-year attributable to lower purchase prices.

Other operating costs and expenses decreased a net \$92.4 million year-to-year due in part to (i) lower fuel costs, which accounted for a \$73.9 million decrease, (ii) a producer settlement involving our San Juan Gathering System in 2014, which accounted for an \$18.0 million decrease and (iii) the sale of our Offshore Business in July 2015, which primarily accounted for an additional \$25.2 million year-to-year decrease. These decreases were partially offset by the addition of \$49.3 million in operating costs attributable to the timing of the Oiltanking acquisition and assets we acquired in the EFS Midstream acquisition.

Depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses in operating costs and expenses for 2015 increased \$145.5 million when compared to 2014 primarily due to the Oiltanking and EFS Midstream acquisitions, which collectively accounted for \$115.7 million of the year-to-year increase. Accretion expense for 2015 includes \$39.5 million recognized for certain asset retirement obligations of our former Offshore Business. For information regarding our asset retirement obligations, see Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

We recorded net losses within operating costs and expenses of \$15.6 million attributable to asset sales and insurance recoveries in 2015 compared to net gains of \$102.1 million in 2014. In 2015, we recognized a \$12.3 million loss attributable to our sale of the Offshore Business. In 2014, we recognized \$95.0 million of gains attributable to the receipt of nonrefundable cash insurance proceeds. These proceeds were attributable to property damage claims we filed in connection with the February 2011 NGL release and fire at the West Storage location of our Mont Belvieu, Texas underground storage facility.

Operating costs and expenses also include \$162.6 million and \$34.0 million of non-cash asset impairment charges for 2015 and 2014, respectively. In 2015, we recorded a \$54.8 million non-cash asset impairment charge in connection with the sale of our Offshore Business. The remainder of our non-cash asset impairment charges for 2015 primarily relate to natural gas processing assets in southern Louisiana, certain marine vessels and the abandonment of certain crude oil and natural gas pipeline assets in Texas.

General and administrative costs

General and administrative costs for 2015 decreased \$21.9 million when compared to 2014 primarily due to lower employee compensation costs, which accounted for a \$14.8 million decrease. In addition, general and administrative costs for 2014 included \$3.8 million of transaction costs associated with Step 1 of the Oiltanking acquisition and \$4.7 million of expense for the settlement of litigation associated with our merger in 2010 with Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.

Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates

Equity income from our unconsolidated affiliates for 2015 increased \$114.1 million when compared to 2014 primarily due to increased earnings from our investments in crude oil and NGL pipeline joint ventures.

Operating income

Operating income for 2015 decreased \$235.5 million when compared to 2014 due to the previously described year-to-year changes in revenues, operating costs and expenses, general and administrative costs and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates.

Interest expense

Interest expense for 2015 increased \$40.8 million when compared to 2014. The following table presents the components of our consolidated interest expense for the years indicated (dollars in millions):

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Interest charged on debt principal outstanding	\$ 1,063.4	\$ 969.1
Impact of interest rate hedging program, including related amortization	15.4	9.4
Interest cost capitalized in connection with construction projects	(149.1)	(77.9)
Other	32.1	20.4
Total	<u>\$ 961.8</u>	<u>\$ 921.0</u>

Interest charged on debt principal outstanding, which is the primary driver of interest expense, increased a net \$94.3 million year-to-year primarily due to increased debt principal amounts outstanding during 2015, which accounted for a \$157.6 million increase, partially offset by the effect of lower overall interest rates in 2015, which accounted for a \$63.3 million decrease. Our weighted-average debt principal balance for 2015 was \$22.24 billion compared to \$18.96 billion during 2014. In general, our debt principal balances have increased over time due to the partial debt financing of our capital spending program.

Change in fair market value of Liquidity Option Agreement

Results for 2015 include \$25.4 million of non-cash expense we recorded to recognize changes in the fair market value of the Liquidity Option Agreement.

Income taxes

We recognized an overall income tax benefit of \$2.5 million for 2015 compared to a provision for income taxes of \$23.1 million for 2014. In June 2015, the State of Texas enacted certain changes to the Texas Margin Tax, which lowered our tax rate.

Noncontrolling interests

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased \$8.9 million in 2015 when compared to 2014 primarily due to the inclusion of noncontrolling interests in Oiltanking from October 1, 2014 to February 13, 2015, which is the date we completed the Oiltanking acquisition.

Business Segment Highlights

We evaluate segment performance based on our financial measure of gross operating margin. Gross operating margin is an important performance measure of the core profitability of our operations and forms the basis of our internal financial reporting. We believe that investors benefit from having access to the same financial measures that our management uses in evaluating segment results.

The following table presents gross operating margin by segment and non-GAAP total gross operating margin for the periods indicated (dollars in millions):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Gross operating margin by segment:			
NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 2,990.6	\$ 2,771.6	\$ 2,877.7
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	854.6	961.9	762.5
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	734.9	782.6	803.3
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	650.6	718.5	681.0
Offshore Pipelines & Services	--	97.5	162.0
Total segment gross operating margin (1)	5,230.7	5,332.1	5,286.5
Net adjustment for shipper make-up rights	17.1	7.1	(81.7)
Total gross operating margin (non-GAAP)	<u>\$ 5,247.8</u>	<u>\$ 5,339.2</u>	<u>\$ 5,204.8</u>

(1) Within the context of this table, total segment gross operating margin represents a subtotal and corresponds to measures similarly titled within our business segment disclosures found under Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Total gross operating margin includes equity in the earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, but is exclusive of other income and expense transactions, income taxes, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles and extraordinary charges. Total gross operating margin is presented on a 100% basis before any allocation of earnings to noncontrolling interests.

Gross operating margin by segment for NGL Pipelines & Services and Crude Oil Pipelines & Services reflect adjustments for shipper make-up rights that are included in management's evaluation of segment results. However, these adjustments are excluded from non-GAAP total gross operating margin.

The GAAP financial measure most directly comparable to total gross operating margin is operating income. For a discussion of operating income and its components, see the previous section titled “Consolidated Income Statement Highlights” within this Item 7. The following table presents a reconciliation of operating income to total gross operating margin for the periods indicated (dollars in millions):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Operating income (GAAP)	\$ 3,580.7	\$ 3,540.2	\$ 3,775.7
<i>Adjustments to reconcile operating income to total gross operating margin:</i>			
Add depreciation, amortization and accretion expense	1,456.7	1,428.2	1,282.7
Add asset impairment and related charges in operating costs and expenses	52.8	162.6	34.0
Add net losses and subtract net gains attributable to asset sales and insurance recoveries	(2.5)	15.6	(102.1)
Add general and administrative costs	160.1	192.6	214.5
Total gross operating margin (non-GAAP)	\$ 5,247.8	\$ 5,339.2	\$ 5,204.8

Each of our business segments benefits from the supporting role of our marketing activities. The main purpose of our marketing activities is to support the utilization and expansion of assets across our midstream energy asset network by increasing the volumes handled by such assets, which results in additional fee-based earnings for each business segment. In performing these support roles, our marketing activities also seek to participate in supply and demand opportunities as a supplemental source of gross operating margin for the partnership. The financial results of our marketing efforts fluctuate due to changes in volumes handled and overall market conditions, which are influenced by current and forward market prices for the products bought and sold.

The following information highlights significant changes in our year-to-year segment results (i.e., our gross operating margin by segment amounts) and the primary drivers of such changes. The volume statistics presented in the tabular information for each segment are reported on a net basis, taking into account our ownership interests in certain joint ventures, and reflect the periods in which we owned an interest in such operations. These statistics reflect volumes for newly constructed assets from the dates such assets were placed into service.

NGL Pipelines & Services

The following table presents segment gross operating margin and selected volumetric data for the NGL Pipelines & Services segment for the years indicated (dollars in millions, volumes as noted):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
<i>Segment gross operating margin:</i>			
Natural gas processing and related NGL marketing activities	\$ 846.6	\$ 895.0	\$ 1,162.0
NGL pipelines, storage and terminals	1,625.4	1,380.9	1,145.7
NGL fractionation	518.6	495.7	570.0
Total	\$ 2,990.6	\$ 2,771.6	\$ 2,877.7
<i>Selected volumetric data:</i>			
NGL pipeline transportation volumes (MBPD)	2,965	2,700	2,634
NGL marine terminal volumes (MBPD)	436	302	258
NGL fractionation volumes (MBPD)	828	826	824
Equity NGL production (MBPD) (1)	141	133	116
Fee-based natural gas processing (MMcf/d) (2)	4,736	4,905	4,786

(1) Represents the NGL volumes we earn and take title to in connection with our processing activities.

(2) Volumes reported correspond to the revenue streams earned by our gas plants.

Natural gas processing and related NGL marketing activities

Comparison of 2016 with 2015. Gross operating margin from natural gas processing and related NGL marketing activities for 2016 decreased \$48.4 million when compared to 2015.

Gross operating margin from our natural gas processing plants decreased \$123.0 million year-to-year. Collectively, gross operating margin from our Meeker, Pioneer and Chaco plants decreased \$53.8 million year-to-year primarily due to lower processing margins, including the impact of our related hedging activities. Gross operating margin from our South Texas plants decreased \$49.8 million year-to-year attributable to lower average processing fees and margins, which accounted for a combined \$38.1 million decrease, and lower fee-based processing volumes of 227 MMcf/d, which accounted for a \$15.8 million decrease. Gross operating margin from our natural gas processing plants in Louisiana and Mississippi decreased a combined \$21.6 million year-to-year primarily due to lower processing margins, which accounted for a \$6.4 million decrease (including the impact of related hedging activities), and higher operating expenses. Operating expenses at these plants increased \$12.1 million year-to-year, which includes \$10.4 million of costs attributable to a fire that occurred at our Pascagoula facility in June 2016. The Pascagoula facility was repaired and placed back into commercial service in December 2016.

Gross operating margin from our NGL marketing activities increased a net \$74.6 million year-to-year primarily due to higher sales volumes, which accounted for a \$261.3 million increase, partially offset by a \$186.7 million decrease due to lower sales margins. Results from NGL marketing's export-oriented strategies increased \$119.2 million year-to-year, which was partially offset by a \$44.6 million net decrease in gross operating margin from NGL marketing's activities in support of our transportation, storage and plant assets.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014. Gross operating margin from natural gas processing and related NGL marketing activities for 2015 decreased \$267.0 million when compared to 2014.

Gross operating margin from our natural gas processing plants decreased \$243.0 million year-to-year primarily due to lower processing margins. In addition, gross operating margin from our NGL marketing activities for 2015 decreased a net \$24.0 million when compared to 2014 primarily due to lower sales margins, which accounted for a \$167.4 million decrease, partially offset by a \$152.0 million increase due to higher sales volumes. Results from NGL marketing's activities in support of our transportation, storage and plant assets decreased \$37.6 million year-to-year, which was partially offset by a \$13.6 million increase in gross operating margin from NGL marketing's export-oriented strategies.

NGL pipelines, storage and terminals

Comparison of 2016 with 2015. Gross operating margin from NGL pipelines, storage and terminal assets for 2016 increased \$244.5 million when compared to 2015. On a combined basis, gross operating margin from ATEX and Aegis increased \$117.9 million year-to-year primarily due to a 123 MBPD increase in transportation volumes. Contracted volume commitments continue to ramp higher through 2018 for ATEX and 2019 for Aegis. The third and final segment of Aegis was completed in December 2015.

Gross operating margin from EHT and a related pipeline increased \$99.5 million year-to-year, primarily due to higher marine terminal and pipeline transportation volumes of 122 MBPD and 113 MBPD, respectively. Gross operating margin from our NGL and related product storage complex in Mont Belvieu, Texas increased \$26.1 million year-to-year primarily due to higher fees.

Gross operating margin from our Mid-America Pipeline System, Seminole Pipeline and related terminals increased \$10.7 million year-to-year primarily due to lower operating expenses. Gross operating margin from our South Texas NGL Pipeline System increased \$9.3 million year-to-year primarily due to higher transportation fees, which escalated in January 2016.

Gross operating margin from our Morgan's Point Ethane Export Terminal, which was placed into commercial service in September 2016, was a loss of \$16.2 million primarily due to commissioning costs. The new terminal is expected to become profitable in 2017 as contractual customer volume commitments increase.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014. Gross operating margin from NGL pipelines, storage and terminal assets for 2015 increased \$235.2 million when compared to 2014. Gross operating margin from the Chaparral Pipeline, Mid-America Pipeline System, Seminole Pipeline and related terminals increased \$63.2 million in 2015 when compared to 2014. Higher transportation tariffs and other fees, which accounted for a \$66.2 million year-to-year increase in gross operating margin, and a \$41.1 million year-to-year decrease in operating expenses were partially offset by a \$44.1 million decrease in gross operating margin attributable to lower transportation volumes. Transportation volumes on these three pipelines for 2015 decreased a combined 76 MBPD due in part to lower recoveries of ethane when compared to 2014. Lower recoveries of ethane at upstream natural gas processing plants served by these pipelines resulted in lower volumes of ethane available for transportation.

Gross operating margin from our investments in the Front Range Pipeline, Texas Express Pipeline and Texas Express Gathering System for 2015 increased \$17.8 million primarily due to a combined 28 MBPD increase in transportation volumes (net to our interest) when compared to 2014. Gross operating margin from ATEX and Aegis increased \$18.6 million year-to-year primarily due to a combined 37 MBPD increase in transportation volumes. Gross operating margin from our South Texas NGL Pipeline System increased \$26.5 million year-to-year primarily due to higher transportation volumes of 39 MBPD. Lastly, gross operating margin from our NGL pipelines and related storage assets increased \$14.1 million year-to-year as a result of net operational measurement losses during 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015.

Gross operating margin from EHT and a related pipeline increased \$78.6 million year-to-year primarily due to (i) increased fee revenues of \$41.9 million earned in 2015 when compared to 2014 due to the timing of our acquisition of Oiltanking and (ii) \$36.7 million due to higher year-to-year marine terminal and pipeline transportation volumes of 47 MBPD and 60 MBPD, respectively. EHT continues to earn margin sharing and other fees from our NGL marketing group as it did prior to the Oiltanking acquisition in October 2014. Prior to our acquisition of the terminal, these fees were paid to Oiltanking. Following the acquisition, these fees are charged to our NGL marketing group using intercompany agreements and are reflected in gross operating margin; however, the intercompany amounts are eliminated in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. With respect to the \$41.9 million year-to-year increase, gross operating margin from this terminal for 2015 reflects a full year of these intercompany fees compared to one quarter in 2014.

NGL fractionation

Comparison of 2016 with 2015. Gross operating margin from NGL fractionation for 2016 increased \$22.9 million when compared to 2015 primarily due to higher fractionation revenues at our Mont Belvieu fractionators. Fractionation revenues at Mont Belvieu increased \$25.6 million year-to-year primarily due to higher fees, which accounted for a \$13.2 million increase, and higher fractionation volumes of 10 MBPD, which accounted for an additional \$12.4 million increase.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014. Gross operating margin from NGL fractionation for 2015 decreased \$74.3 million when compared to 2014. Gross operating margin from our Mont Belvieu NGL fractionators decreased \$62.8 million year-to-year primarily due to lower product blending and other fee revenues as a result of lower commodity prices in 2015. Gross operating margin from our Norco NGL fractionator in Louisiana decreased a net \$6.0 million year-to-year primarily due to lower revenues from product blending and percent-of-liquids contracts attributable to lower energy commodity prices, which accounted for a \$13.4 million year-to-year decrease, partially offset by an \$8.9 million increase due to higher fractionation volumes of 13 MBPD. Gross operating margin from our Hobbs NGL fractionator in Gaines County, Texas decreased \$5.1 million year-to-year primarily due to lower fractionation volumes of 8 MBPD.

Crude Oil Pipelines & Services

The following table presents segment gross operating margin and selected volumetric data for the Crude Oil Pipelines & Services segment for the years indicated (dollars in millions, volumes as noted):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Segment gross operating margin	\$ 854.6	\$ 961.9	\$ 762.5
Selected volumetric data:			
Crude oil pipeline transportation volumes (MBPD)	1,388	1,474	1,278
Crude oil marine terminal volumes (MBPD)	495	557	691

Comparison of 2016 with 2015. Gross operating margin from our Crude Oil Pipelines & Services segment for 2016 decreased \$107.3 million when compared to 2015.

Gross operating margin from our crude oil marketing and related trucking activities decreased \$187.5 million year-to-year primarily due to lower crude oil sales margins, which accounted for a \$147.1 million decrease, and \$40.4 million of net non-cash mark-to-market losses recognized in 2016 on financial instruments related to blending activities. As a result of lower crude oil prices, regional price spreads have been less than the transportation costs incurred by our marketing business, particularly on its 75 MBPD of firm capacity on the Seaway Pipeline (25 MBPD of this capacity terminates in June 2017).

Gross operating margin from our South Texas Crude Oil Pipeline System decreased \$95.0 million year-to-year primarily due to a 74 MBPD decrease in volumes, which accounted for a \$66.6 million decrease, and a \$32.4 million decrease due to lower average transportation fees. The decrease in crude oil transportation volumes is attributable to reduced producer drilling activity in the Eagle Ford Shale. Gross operating margin from our EFS Midstream system, which we acquired effective July 1, 2015, increased \$127.9 million year-to-year due to the timing of the acquisition of these assets. Gross operating margin for this system reflects twelve months of ownership in 2016 versus six months in 2015.

Gross operating margin from crude oil terminaling services at our Beaumont Marine West and ECHO facilities increased a combined \$33.9 million year-to-year primarily due to expansion projects.

Gross operating margin from our investment in Seaway for 2016 increased \$14.2 million when compared to 2015 primarily due to the settlement of a rate case with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") in the first quarter of 2016. In February 2016, the FERC issued its decision regarding the various challenges to Seaway's committed and uncommitted rates in FERC Docket No. IS12-226-000. The FERC upheld the committed rates and rejected the claim that the committed rates should be reduced to cost-based levels. The FERC's rulings regarding the uncommitted rates were also largely favorable to Seaway. Seaway submitted a compliance filing in March 2016 calculating revised uncommitted rates consistent with the FERC's order. The compliance filing was not challenged and the FERC accepted the revised rates. On a 100% basis, Seaway recorded a \$24.5 million benefit related to settlement of the rate case, with our 50% share of the benefit equating to \$12.3 million.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014. Gross operating margin from our Crude Oil Pipelines & Services segment for 2015 increased \$199.4 million when compared to 2014.

Gross operating margin from providing crude oil terminaling services at EHT increased \$99.7 million year-to-year primarily due to the timing of the Oiltanking acquisition (i.e., gross operating margin from these services for 2015 reflects a full year of operations versus one quarter for 2014). The EFS Midstream system contributed \$91.1 million of gross operating margin to our 2015 results along with 65 MBPD of throughput volumes. In addition, gross operating margin from our ECHO terminal in Houston, Texas increased \$11.8 million year-to-year due to our completion of an expansion project at this facility during 2015.

Gross operating margin from our equity investment in the Seaway Pipeline increased \$76.1 million year-to-year primarily due to contributions from the Seaway Loop, which began commercial operations in December 2014. Seaway's transportation volumes increased a net 97 MBPD year-to-year (net to our interest) primarily due to an increase in long-haul volumes. Gross operating margin from our equity investment in the Eagle Ford Crude Oil Pipeline System increased \$18.5 million year-to-year primarily due to a 50 MBPD increase in pipeline transportation volumes (net to our interest). Gross operating margin from our West Texas System increased \$11.6 million year-to-year primarily due to a 22 MBPD increase in pipeline transportation volumes during 2015.

Gross operating margin from our South Texas Crude Oil Pipeline System decreased \$56.5 million year-to-year primarily due to a \$45.7 million decrease from the sale of excess crude oil volumes obtained through pipeline tariff allowances and a 15 MBPD decrease in volumes, which accounted for an additional \$14.0 million decrease. The decrease in gross operating margin from the sale of excess crude oil volumes by the pipeline was primarily due to lower crude oil prices year-to-year.

Gross operating margin from our crude oil marketing and related trucking activities decreased \$53.0 million year-to-year primarily due to lower crude oil sales margins.

Natural Gas Pipelines & Services

The following table presents segment gross operating margin and selected volumetric data for the Natural Gas Pipelines & Services segment for the years indicated (dollars in millions, volumes as noted):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Segment gross operating margin	\$ 734.9	\$ 782.6	\$ 803.3
Selected volumetric data:			
Natural gas pipeline transportation volumes (BBtus/d)	11,874	12,321	12,476

Comparison of 2016 with 2015. Gross operating margin from our Natural Gas Pipelines & Services segment for 2016 decreased \$47.7 million when compared to 2015.

Gross operating margin from our Acadian Gas System decreased \$18.8 million year-to-year primarily due to reduced transportation fees. Gross operating margin from our Texas Intrastate System decreased \$16.8 million year-to-year primarily due to lower revenues attributable to decreased producer drilling activity in the Eagle Ford Shale and Barnett Shale. Transportation volumes on our Texas Intrastate System decreased 149 BBtus/d year-to-year. Collectively, gross operating margin for 2016 from our San Juan, Jonah, Piceance Basin and Fairplay Gathering Systems decreased \$18.4 million when compared to 2015, the primarily driver of which is a combined 363 BBtus/d decrease in gathering volumes. Gross operating margin from our natural gas marketing activities decreased a net \$2.8 million year-to-year primarily due to mark-to-market losses recorded in 2016 on financial instruments related to commodity hedging.

Gross operating margin from our Carlsbad Gathering System in West Texas and New Mexico increased \$13.0 million year-to-year primarily due to higher gathering volumes of 97 BBtus/d.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014. Gross operating margin from our Natural Gas Pipelines & Services segment for 2015 decreased \$20.7 million when compared to 2014.

Gross operating margin from our San Juan Gathering System decreased a net \$19.2 million year-to-year primarily due to (i) a \$19.7 million decrease in gathering fees, which are indexed to natural gas prices, (ii) a \$12.2 million decrease in condensate sales primarily due to lower sales prices and (iii) a \$3.1 million decrease due to lower gathering volumes. These decreases were partially offset by an \$18.0 million charge recorded in 2014 related to the settlement of a contract dispute with a producer. Gross operating margin from our Piceance Basin and Haynesville Gathering Systems decreased \$17.4 million year-to-year primarily due to lower gathering volumes, which accounted for a \$12.0 million decrease, and lower gathering fees, which accounted for an additional \$5.9 million decrease. Producers served by these three gathering systems curtailed their drilling programs during 2015 in response to the low price of natural gas. Collectively, natural gas transportation volumes for these three gathering systems decreased 266 BBtus/d year-to-year. Gross operating margin from our Texas Intrastate System decreased \$13.6 million year-to-year primarily due to an increase in maintenance and other operating expenses.

Gross operating margin from our Jonah Gathering System increased a net \$20.4 million year-to-year primarily due to higher gathering fees, which accounted for an \$11.6 million increase and higher transportation volumes of 84 BBtus/d, which accounted for an additional \$8.4 million increase. Gross operating margin from our Carlsbad Gathering System increased \$6.4 million year-to-year primarily due to higher gathering and other fees, which accounted for a \$4.1 million increase, and higher volumes of 47 BBtus/d, which accounted for a \$3.5 million increase, partially offset by a \$2.1 million increase in maintenance and other expenses. Lastly, gross operating margin from our Acadian Gas System increased \$5.6 million year-to-year primarily due to lower operating expenses.

Petrochemical & Refined Products Services

The following table presents segment gross operating margin and selected volumetric data for the Petrochemical & Refined Products Services segment for the years indicated (dollars in millions, volumes as noted):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Segment gross operating margin:			
Propylene fractionation and related activities	\$ 212.1	\$ 189.5	\$ 227.4
Butane isomerization and related operations	52.0	65.2	75.3
Octane enhancement and related plant operations	42.2	144.3	122.4
Refined products pipelines and related activities	305.6	258.8	186.7
Marine transportation and other	38.7	60.7	69.2
Total	<u>\$ 650.6</u>	<u>\$ 718.5</u>	<u>\$ 681.0</u>
Selected volumetric data:			
Propylene fractionation volumes (MBPD)	73	71	75
Butane isomerization volumes (MBPD)	108	96	93
Standalone DIB processing volumes (MBPD)	89	79	82
Octane additive and related plant production volumes (MBPD)	22	17	17
Pipeline transportation volumes, primarily refined products & petrochemicals (MBPD)	837	784	758
Refined products and petrochemical marine terminal volumes (MBPD)	389	355	270

Propylene fractionation and related activities

Comparison of 2016 with 2015. Gross operating margin from propylene fractionation and related activities for 2016 increased \$22.6 million when compared to 2015. Gross operating margin from our Mont Belvieu propylene fractionation plants increased a net \$37.1 million year-to-year. When compared to results for 2015, these plants benefitted in 2016 from \$29.6 million of lower operating costs, \$16.6 million of higher propylene fractionation and other fee revenues, and a \$13.1 million increase in gross operating margin attributable to higher propylene fractionation volumes of 3 MBPD. The year-to-year decrease in operating costs is attributable to major maintenance projects that were completed in 2015. Partially offsetting these benefits was a \$22.2 million year-to-year decrease in gross operating margin attributable to lower propylene sales margins.

Pre-commissioning expenses associated with our PDH facility increased \$15.5 million during 2016 when compared to 2015. We expect our PDH facility to commence commercial operations mid-2017.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014. Gross operating margin from propylene fractionation and related activities decreased \$37.9 million for 2015 when compared to 2014. Gross operating margin from our Mont Belvieu propylene fractionation plants decreased \$46.4 million year-to-year. This decrease was primarily due to (i) a \$28.3 million increase in operating expenses primarily for major maintenance activities we completed during 2015, (ii) lower propylene sales volumes, which accounted for a \$5.0 million decrease, (iii) lower propylene sales margins, which accounted for an additional \$3.9 million decrease, and (iv) a \$9.2 million decrease primarily due to lower propylene fractionation and other fees. Gross operating margin from our propylene rail terminal at Mont Belvieu increased \$4.4 million for 2015 primarily due to higher fees. Gross operating margin from the remainder of our propylene fractionation business increased \$4.1 million for 2015 when compared to 2014 primarily due to operational measurement losses in 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015.

Isomerization and related operations

Comparison of 2016 with 2015. Gross operating margin from butane isomerization and deisobutanizer (“DIB”) operations for 2016 decreased a net \$13.2 million when compared to 2015. Operating expenses associated with our isomerization facility in Mont Belvieu, Texas increased \$22.4 million year-to-year primarily due to major maintenance activities we completed during 2016. Butane processing revenues increased \$7.8 million year-to-year primarily due to higher butane isomerization and standalone DIB processing volumes of 12 MBPD and 10 MBPD, respectively.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014. Gross operating margin from our butane isomerization and DIB operations for 2015 decreased \$10.1 million when compared to 2014. By-product sales revenues decreased \$30.7 million year-to-year primarily due to lower sales prices and isomerization revenues decreased \$8.1 million year-to-year primarily due to lower fees. The decrease in revenues was partially offset by lower maintenance and other operating expenses, which declined \$29.4 million year-to-year.

Octane enhancement and related operations

Comparison of 2016 with 2015. Gross operating margin from our octane enhancement facility and HPIB plant for 2016 decreased \$102.1 million when compared to 2015. This year-to-year decrease in gross operating margin is primarily due to lower sales margins, including the results of our related hedging activities.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014. Gross operating margin from our octane enhancement facility and HPIB plant for 2015 increased \$21.9 million when compared to 2014. The year-to-year increase in gross operating margin is primarily due to higher sales volumes during 2015 when compared to 2014.

Refined products pipelines and related activities

Comparison of 2016 with 2015. Gross operating margin from refined products pipelines and related marketing activities for 2016 increased \$46.8 million when compared to 2015. Gross operating margin from our refined products terminals in Beaumont, Texas increased \$24.9 million year-to-year primarily due to expansions and higher demand for storage and marine vessel loading services.

Gross operating margin for the TE Products Pipeline and related terminals increased \$20.1 million year-to-year primarily due to higher volumes. Interstate refined products pipeline transportation volumes on our TE Products Pipeline increased 10 MBPD year-to-year. Intrastate refined products and petrochemical pipeline transportation volumes on our TE Products Pipeline increased a combined 40 MBPD year-to-year.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014. Gross operating margin from our refined products pipelines and related marketing activities for 2015 increased \$72.1 million when compared to 2014. Gross operating margin from providing refined products and petrochemical terminaling services at Beaumont and EHT increased \$60.7 million year-to-year primarily due to the timing of the Oiltanking acquisition, which accounted for \$45.1 million of the increase (i.e., gross operating margin from the acquired assets for 2015 reflects a full year of operations versus one quarter for 2014).

Gross operating margin from our TE Products Pipeline and related refined products terminals increased \$7.9 million year-to-year primarily due to higher tariffs and other fees. Overall, transportation volumes on the TE Products Pipeline increased a net 30 MBPD year-to-year primarily due to higher refined products and petrochemical transportation volumes.

Other

Gross operating margin from marine transportation and other activities for 2016 decreased \$22.0 million when compared to 2015 primarily due to lower demand for marine transportation services attributable to the lower commodity pricing environment.

Offshore Pipelines & Services

We sold our Offshore Business to Genesis on July 24, 2015. The following table presents segment gross operating margin and selected volumetric data for the Offshore Pipelines & Services segment for the years indicated (dollars in millions, volumes as noted).

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Segment gross operating margin	\$ 97.5	\$ 162.0
Selected volumetric data:		
Natural gas transportation volumes (BBtus/d)	587	627
Crude oil transportation volumes (MBPD)	357	330
Platform natural gas processing (MMcf/d)	101	145
Platform crude oil processing (MBPD)	13	14

Amounts presented for 2015 reflect results through the closing date of the sale, July 24, 2015.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Based on current market conditions (as of the filing date of this annual report), we believe we will have sufficient liquidity, cash flow from operations and access to capital markets to fund our capital expenditures and working capital needs for the reasonably foreseeable future. At December 31, 2016, we had \$3.78 billion of consolidated liquidity, which was comprised of \$3.72 billion of available borrowing capacity under EPO's revolving credit facilities and \$63.1 million of unrestricted cash on hand.

We expect to issue additional equity and debt securities to assist us in meeting our future funding and liquidity requirements, including those related to capital spending.

At December 31, 2016, the aggregate carrying value of our product inventories was \$1.77 billion compared to \$1.04 billion at December 31, 2015. Our inventories, and associated working capital commitments, have increased significantly since December 31, 2015 primarily due to our marketing groups utilization of contango opportunities using our storage assets. We expect to gradually settle these inventory positions (valued at approximately \$1.1 billion) through the first quarter of 2017, with a corresponding decrease in working capital commitments and related debt. For additional information regarding our inventories, see Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Consolidated Debt

The following table presents scheduled maturities of our consolidated debt obligations outstanding at December 31, 2016 for the years indicated (dollars in millions):

	Total	Scheduled Maturities of Debt					
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Thereafter
Commercial Paper Notes	\$ 1,777.2	\$ 1,777.2	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Senior Notes	20,650.0	800.0	1,100.0	1,500.0	1,500.0	575.0	15,175.0
Junior Subordinated Notes	1,474.4	--	--	--	--	--	1,474.4
Total	\$ 23,901.6	\$ 2,577.2	\$ 1,100.0	\$ 1,500.0	\$ 1,500.0	\$ 575.0	\$ 16,649.4

The following information describes significant transactions that affected our consolidated debt obligations during the year ended December 31, 2016:

Issuance of \$1.25 Billion of Senior Notes in April 2016

In April 2016, EPO issued \$575 million in principal amount of 2.85% senior notes due April 2021 ("Senior Notes RR"), \$575 million in principal amount of 3.95% senior notes due February 2027 ("Senior Notes SS") and \$100 million in principal amount of 4.90% reopened senior notes due May 2046 ("Senior Notes QQ"). Senior Notes RR, SS and QQ were issued at 99.898%, 99.760% and 95.516% of their principal amounts, respectively. EPO issued these senior notes using the 2013 Shelf (see "Universal shelf registration statement" below).

Net proceeds from the issuance of these senior notes were used as follows: (i) the repayment of amounts then outstanding under EPO's commercial paper program, which included amounts we used to repay \$750 million in principal amount of Senior Notes AA that matured in February 2016, and (ii) for general company purposes.

Enterprise Products Partners L.P. has unconditionally guaranteed these senior notes on an unsecured and unsubordinated basis. These senior notes rank equal with EPO's existing and future unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness and are senior to any existing and future subordinated indebtedness of EPO. These senior notes are subject to make-whole redemption rights and were issued under an indenture containing certain covenants, which generally restrict EPO's ability (with certain exceptions) to incur debt secured by liens and engage in sale and leaseback transactions.

Renewal of 364-Day Credit Agreement

In September 2016, EPO amended its 364-Day Credit Agreement to extend its maturity date to September 2017. There are currently no principal amounts outstanding under this revolving credit agreement. Under the terms of the 364-Day Credit Agreement, EPO may borrow up to \$1.5 billion (which may be increased by up to \$200 million to \$1.7 billion at EPO's election, provided certain conditions are met) at a variable interest rate for a term of 364 days, subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein. To the extent that principal amounts are outstanding at the maturity date, EPO may elect to have the entire principal balance then outstanding continued as a non-revolving term loan for a period of one additional year, payable in September 2018. EPO's obligations under the 364-Day Credit Agreement are not secured by any collateral; however, they are guaranteed by Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

Issuance of Common Units

The following table summarizes the issuance of common units in connection with our at-the-market ("ATM") program, distribution reinvestment plan ("DRIP") and employee unit purchase plan ("EUPP") for the periods indicated (dollars in millions, number of units issued as shown):

	Number of Common Units Issued	Net Cash Proceeds Received
Year Ended December 31, 2014:		
Common units issued in connection with ATM program	1,590,334	\$ 57.7
Common units issued in connection with DRIP and EUPP	9,754,227	331.1
Total	11,344,561	\$ 388.8
Year Ended December 31, 2015:		
Common units issued in connection with ATM program	25,520,424	\$ 817.4
Common units issued in connection with DRIP and EUPP	12,793,913	371.2
Total	38,314,337	\$ 1,188.6
Year Ended December 31, 2016:		
Common units issued in connection with ATM program	87,867,037	\$ 2,156.1
Common units issued in connection with DRIP and EUPP	16,316,534	386.7
Total	104,183,571	\$ 2,542.8

In January 2016, we sold an aggregate 3,830,256 common units under the ATM program to privately held affiliates of EPCO, which generated gross proceeds of \$100 million. In February 2016, privately held affiliates of EPCO reinvested an additional \$100 million, resulting in the issuance of 4,481,504 common units under our DRIP.

Universal shelf registration statement

In May 2016, we filed with the SEC a new universal shelf registration statement (the "2016 Shelf"), which was immediately effective and replaced our prior universal shelf registration statement filed with the SEC in June 2013 (the "2013 Shelf"). The 2016 Shelf allows (and the prior 2013 Shelf allowed) Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and EPO (each on a standalone basis) to issue an unlimited amount of equity and debt securities, respectively.

ATM Program

In July 2016, we filed an amended registration statement with the SEC covering the issuance of up to \$1.89 billion of our common units in amounts, at prices and on terms to be determined by market conditions and other factors at the time of such offerings. Pursuant to the ATM program, we may sell common units under an equity distribution agreement between Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and certain broker-dealers from time-to-time by means of ordinary brokers' transactions through the NYSE at market prices, in block transactions or as otherwise agreed to with the broker-dealer parties to the agreement. The new registration statement was declared effective on July 14, 2016 and replaced our prior registration statement with respect to the ATM program. Following the effectiveness of the new ATM registration statement and after taking into account the aggregate sales price of common units sold under the ATM program through December 31, 2016, we have the capacity to issue additional common units under the ATM program up to an aggregate sales price of \$1.44 billion.

DRIP and EUPP

In May 2016, we filed with the SEC a new registration statement in connection with our DRIP, which was immediately effective and amended a prior registration statement filed in March 2010. The new registration statement increased the aggregate number of our common units authorized for issuance under the DRIP from 140,000,000 to 240,000,000. The DRIP provides unitholders of record and beneficial owners of our common units a voluntary means by which they can increase the number of our common units they own by reinvesting the quarterly cash distributions they receive from us into the purchase of additional new common units. After taking into account the new registration statement and the number of common units issued under the DRIP through December 31, 2016, we have the capacity to issue an additional 99,258,495 common units under this plan.

In addition to the DRIP, we have registration statements on file with the SEC authorizing the issuance of up to 8,000,000 of our common units in connection with our EUPP. After taking into account the number of common units issued under the EUPP through December 31, 2016, we had the capacity to issue an additional 6,265,475 common units under this plan.

Use of Proceeds

The net cash proceeds we received from the issuance of common units during 2016 were used to temporarily reduce amounts outstanding under EPO's commercial paper program and revolving credit facilities and for general company purposes.

For additional information regarding our issuance of common units and related registration statements, see Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash represents amounts held in segregated bank accounts by our clearing brokers as margin in support of our commodity derivative instruments portfolio and related physical purchases and sales of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and refined products. Additional cash may be restricted to maintain our commodity derivative instruments portfolio as prices fluctuate or margin requirements change.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, our restricted cash amounts were \$354.5 million and \$15.9 million, respectively. The balance at December 31, 2016 consisted of initial margin requirements of \$35.6 million and variation margin requirements of \$318.9 million. The initial margin requirements will be returned to us as the related derivative instruments are settled. Our variation margin requirements increased by \$339.3 million since December 31, 2015, primarily due to higher forward commodity prices for NGLs and related hydrocarbons during 2016 relative to our short financial derivative positions in these products. For information regarding our derivative instruments and hedging activities, see Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Credit Ratings

As of February 24, 2017, the investment-grade credit ratings of EPO's long-term senior unsecured debt securities were BBB+ from Standard and Poor's and Baa1 from Moody's. In addition, the credit ratings of EPO's short-term senior unsecured debt securities were A-2 from Standard and Poor's and P-2 from Moody's. Fitch Ratings issued non-solicited ratings of BBB+ and F-2 for EPO's long-term senior unsecured debt securities and short-term senior unsecured debt securities, respectively.

EPO's credit ratings reflect only the view of a rating agency and should not be interpreted as a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any of our securities. A credit rating can be revised upward or downward or withdrawn at any time by a rating agency, if it determines that circumstances warrant such a change. A credit rating from one rating agency should be evaluated independently of credit ratings from other rating agencies.

Cash Flows from Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

The following table summarizes our consolidated cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities for the years indicated (dollars in millions). For additional information regarding our cash flow amounts, please refer to the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 4,066.8	\$ 4,002.4	\$ 4,162.2
Cash used in investing activities	4,344.4	3,441.8	5,797.9
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	321.7	(616.0)	1,653.2

Net cash flows provided by operating activities are largely dependent on earnings from our consolidated business activities. We operate in the midstream energy industry, which includes gathering, transporting, processing, fractionating and storing natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemical and refined products. As such, changes in the prices of hydrocarbon products and in the relative price levels among hydrocarbon products could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Changes in prices may impact demand for hydrocarbon products, which in turn may impact production, demand and the volumes of products for which we provide services. In addition, decreases in demand may be caused by other factors, including prevailing economic conditions, reduced demand by consumers for the end products made with hydrocarbon products, increased competition, adverse weather conditions and government regulations affecting prices and production levels. We may also incur credit and price risk to the extent customers do not fulfill their obligations to us in connection with our marketing of natural gas, NGLs, propylene, refined products and/or crude oil and long-term take-or-pay agreements.

Risks of nonpayment and nonperformance by customers are a major consideration in our businesses, and our credit procedures and policies may not be adequate to sufficiently eliminate customer credit risk. Further, adverse economic conditions in our industry, such as those experienced throughout 2015 and 2016, increase the risk of nonpayment and nonperformance by customers, particularly customers that have sub-investment grade credit ratings or small-scale companies. Such non-performance risk could be associated with long-term contracts with minimum volume commitments or fixed demand charges. We manage our exposure to credit risk through credit analysis, credit approvals, credit limits and monitoring procedures, and for certain transactions may utilize letters of credit, prepayments, net out agreements and guarantees. However, these procedures and policies do not fully eliminate customer credit risk.

Our primary market areas are located in the Gulf Coast, Southwest, Rocky Mountain, Northeast and Midwest regions of the U.S. We have a concentration of trade receivable balances due from major integrated oil companies, independent oil companies and other pipelines and wholesalers. These concentrations of market areas may affect our overall credit risk in that the customers may be similarly affected by changes in economic, regulatory or other factors.

For a more complete discussion of these and other risk factors pertinent to our business, see Part I, Item 1A of this annual report.

The following information highlights significant year-to-year fluctuations in our consolidated cash flow amounts:

Comparison of 2016 with 2015

Operating Activities

Net cash flows provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 increased \$64.4 million when compared to the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to a \$142.4 million year-to-year increase in cash primarily due to the timing of cash receipts and payments related to operations partially offset by an \$81.6 million year-to-year decrease in cash distributions received on earnings from unconsolidated affiliates primarily due to the sale of our Offshore Business in July 2015.

For information regarding significant year-to-year changes in our consolidated net income and underlying segment results, see “Results of Operations” within this Part II, Item 7.

Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 increased \$902.6 million when compared to the year ended December 31, 2015 primarily due to:

- § a \$1.56 billion year-to-year decrease in cash proceeds from asset sales and insurance recoveries primarily due to the sale of our Offshore Business in July 2015, which generated proceeds of \$1.53 billion; and
- § a \$322.7 million year-to-year increase in cash outflows to satisfy restricted cash requirements; partially offset by
- § an \$827.5 million year-to-year decrease in capital spending for consolidated property, plant and equipment (see “Capital Spending” within this Part II, Item 7 for additional information regarding our capital spending program);
- § an \$80.3 million year-to-year decrease in aggregate cash used for business combinations and investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates; and
- § \$71.0 million of distributions received in connection with the return of capital from unconsolidated affiliates during 2016.

Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$321.7 million compared to cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 of \$616.0 million. The \$937.7 million year-to-year change in cash flow from financing activities was primarily due to:

- § a \$1.35 billion year-to-year increase in net cash proceeds from the issuance of common units. We issued an aggregate 104,183,571 common units in connection with our ATM program, DRIP and EUPP during 2016, which generated \$2.54 billion of net cash proceeds. This compares to an aggregate 38,314,337 common units we issued in connection with these programs and plans during 2015, which collectively generated \$1.19 billion of net cash proceeds; partially offset by
- § a \$356.8 million year-to-year increase in cash distributions paid to limited partners during 2016 when compared to 2015. The increase in cash distributions is due to increases in both the number of distribution-bearing common units outstanding and the quarterly cash distribution rates per unit; and
- § a \$72.6 million year-to-year decrease in net borrowings under our consolidated debt agreements. EPO issued \$1.25 billion and repaid \$750.0 billion in principal amount of senior notes during 2016, compared to the issuance of \$2.5 billion and repayment of \$1.48 billion in principal amount of senior and junior notes during 2015. Net proceeds from the issuance of short-term notes under EPO’s commercial paper program were \$647.9 million during 2016 compared to \$202.2 million during 2015.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014

Operating Activities

Net cash flows provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 decreased \$159.8 million when compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to:

- § a \$215.1 million year-to-year decrease in cash primarily due to the timing of cash receipts and payments related to operations; and
- § a \$31.7 million decrease in cash attributable to lower partnership income in 2015 compared to 2014 (after adjusting our \$275.1 million year-to-year decrease in net income for changes in the non-cash items identified on our Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows); partially offset by
- § an \$87.0 million year-to-year increase in cash distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates generally attributable to our investments in crude oil and NGL pipeline joint ventures.

Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 decreased \$2.36 billion when compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 primarily due to:

- § a \$1.46 billion year-to-year increase in cash proceeds from asset sales and insurance recoveries primarily due to the sale of our Offshore Business in July 2015, which generated proceeds of \$1.53 billion;
- § a \$1.1 billion cash payment in July 2015 (the initial installment) for the acquisition of EFS Midstream compared to an aggregate \$2.42 billion cash payment made in October 2014 in connection with Step 1 of the Oiltanking acquisition; and
- § a \$559.8 million year-to-year decrease in cash contributions to our unconsolidated affiliates primarily due to the completion of construction of the Front Range Pipeline and the Seaway Loop in 2014, partially offset by increased investments in the Eagle Ford Terminals Corpus Christi and Delaware Gas Basin Processing Plant in 2015; partially offset by
- § a \$947.6 million year-to-year increase in capital spending for consolidated property, plant and equipment, net of contributions in aid of construction costs; and
- § an \$81.5 million year-to-year increase in cash outflows to satisfy restricted cash requirements.

Financing Activities

Cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$616.0 million compared to cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2014 of \$1.65 billion. The \$2.27 billion year-to-year change in cash flow from financing activities was primarily due to:

- § a \$2.81 billion year-to-year decrease in net borrowings under our consolidated debt agreements. EPO issued \$2.5 billion in senior notes and repaid \$1.48 billion in principal amount of debt obligations in 2015 compared to the issuance of \$4.75 billion and repayment of \$1.15 billion in principal amount of senior notes in 2014. In addition, net proceeds from the issuance of short-term notes under EPO's commercial paper program were \$202.2 million during 2015 compared to \$430.6 million during 2014; and
- § a \$305.6 million year-to-year increase in cash distributions paid to limited partners in 2015 when compared to 2014. The increase in cash distributions is due to increases in both the number of distribution-bearing common units outstanding and the quarterly cash distribution rates per unit; partially offset by
- § a \$799.8 million year-to-year increase in net cash proceeds from the issuance of common units.

Cash Distributions to Limited Partners

Our partnership agreement requires us to make quarterly distributions to our unitholders of all available cash, after any cash reserves established by Enterprise GP in its sole discretion. Cash reserves include those for the proper conduct of our business including, for example, those for capital expenditures, debt service, working capital, operating expenses, commitments and contingencies and other significant amounts. The retention of cash by the partnership allows us to reinvest in our growth and reduce our future reliance on the equity and debt capital markets.

We measure available cash by reference to “distributable cash flow,” which is a non-GAAP liquidity measure. Distributable cash flow is an important non-GAAP financial measure for our limited partners since it serves as an indicator of our success in providing a cash return on investment. Specifically, this financial measure indicates to investors whether or not we are generating cash flows at a level that can sustain or support an increase in our quarterly cash distributions. Distributable cash flow is also a quantitative standard used by the investment community with respect to publicly traded partnerships because the value of a partnership unit is, in part, measured by its yield, which is based on the amount of cash distributions a partnership can pay to a unitholder. Our management compares the distributable cash flow we generate to the cash distributions we expect to pay our partners. Using this metric, management computes our distribution coverage ratio.

Based on the level of available cash, management proposes a quarterly cash distribution rate to the Board of Enterprise GP, which has sole authority in approving such matters. Unlike several other master limited partnerships, our general partner has a non-economic ownership interest in us and is not entitled to receive any cash distributions from us based on IDRs or other equity interests.

Our use of distributable cash flow for the limited purposes described above and in this report is not a substitute for net cash flows provided by operating activities, which is the most comparable GAAP measure. For a discussion of net cash flows provided by operating activities, see the previous section titled “Cash Flows from Operating, Investing and Financing Activities” within this Item 7.

The following table summarizes our calculation of distributable cash flow for the periods indicated (dollars in millions):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Net income attributable to limited partners (1)	\$ 2,513.1	\$ 2,521.2	\$ 2,787.4
<i>Adjustments to GAAP net income attributable to limited partners to derive non-GAAP distributable cash flow:</i>			
Add depreciation, amortization and accretion expenses	1,552.0	1,516.0	1,360.5
Add non-cash asset impairment and related charges	53.5	162.6	34.0
Add losses or subtract gains attributable to asset sales and insurance recoveries	(2.5)	15.6	(102.1)
Add cash proceeds from asset sales and insurance recoveries (2)	46.5	1,608.6	145.3
Add changes in fair value of Liquidity Option Agreement (3)	24.5	25.4	--
Add or subtract changes in fair market value of derivative instruments	45.0	(18.4)	30.6
Add cash distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates (4)	451.5	462.1	375.1
Subtract equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	(362.0)	(373.6)	(259.5)
Subtract sustaining capital expenditures (5)	(252.0)	(272.6)	(369.0)
Add gains from monetization of interest rate derivative instruments accounted for as cash flow hedges (6)	6.1	--	27.6
Add deferred income tax expense or subtract benefit, as applicable	6.6	(20.6)	6.1
Other, net	20.5	(19.0)	42.6
Distributable cash flow	<u>\$ 4,102.8</u>	<u>\$ 5,607.3</u>	<u>\$ 4,078.6</u>
Total cash distributions paid to limited partners with respect to period	<u>\$ 3,394.0</u>	<u>\$ 3,036.8</u>	<u>\$ 2,707.6</u>
Cash distributions per unit declared by Enterprise GP with respect to period (7)	<u>\$ 1.6100</u>	<u>\$ 1.5300</u>	<u>\$ 1.4500</u>
Total distributable cash flow retained by partnership with respect to period (8)	<u>\$ 708.8</u>	<u>\$ 2,570.5</u>	<u>\$ 1,371.0</u>
Distribution coverage ratio (9)	<u>1.21x</u>	<u>1.85x</u>	<u>1.51x</u>

- (1) For a discussion of significant changes in our comparative income statement amounts underlying net income attributable to limited partners, along with the primary drivers of such changes, see "Consolidated Income Statements Highlights" within this Part II, Item 7.
- (2) For a discussion of significant changes in cash proceeds from asset sales and insurance recoveries as presented in the investing activities section of our Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows, see "Cash Flows from Operating, Investing and Financing Activities" within this Part II, Item 7.
- (3) For information regarding the Liquidity Option Agreement, see Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.
- (4) Reflects both distributions received on earnings from unconsolidated affiliates and those attributable to a return of capital from unconsolidated affiliates. For information regarding our unconsolidated affiliates, see Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.
- (5) Sustaining capital expenditures include cash payments and accruals applicable to the period.
- (6) For information regarding these gains and losses, see "Interest Rate Hedging Activities" under Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.
- (7) See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report for additional information regarding our quarterly cash distributions declared with respect to the periods presented.
- (8) At the sole discretion of Enterprise GP, cash retained by the partnership with respect to each of these years was primarily reinvested in our growth capital spending program, which reduced our reliance on the equity and debt capital markets to fund such major expenditures.
- (9) Distribution coverage ratio is determined by dividing distributable cash flow by total cash distributions paid to limited partners and in connection with distribution equivalent rights with respect to the period.

The following table presents a reconciliation of net cash flows provided by operating activities to non-GAAP distributable cash flow for the periods indicated (dollars in millions):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 4,066.8	\$ 4,002.4	\$ 4,162.2
<i>Adjustments to reconcile net cash flows provided by operating activities to distributable cash flow:</i>			
Subtract sustaining capital expenditures	(252.0)	(272.6)	(369.0)
Add cash proceeds from asset sales and insurance recoveries	46.5	1,608.6	145.3
Subtract losses or add gains from monetization of interest rate derivative instruments accounted for as cash flow hedges	6.1	--	27.6
Net effect of changes in operating accounts	180.9	323.3	108.2
Other, net	54.5	(54.4)	4.3
Distributable cash flow	<u>\$ 4,102.8</u>	<u>\$ 5,607.3</u>	<u>\$ 4,078.6</u>

Designated Units Issued in Connection with Holdings Merger

In November 2010, we completed our merger with Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. (the “Holdings Merger”). In connection with the Holdings Merger, a privately held affiliate of EPCO agreed to temporarily waive the regular cash distributions it would otherwise receive from us with respect to a certain number of our common units it owns (the “Designated Units”). Distributions paid by us to this privately held affiliate of EPCO during 2015 excluded 35,380,000 Designated Units. The temporary distribution waiver expired in November 2015; therefore, distributions paid to partners during calendar year 2016 were on all outstanding common units.

Capital Spending

An important part of our business strategy involves expansion through growth capital projects, business combinations and investments in joint ventures. We believe that we are well positioned to continue to expand our network of assets through the construction of new facilities and to capitalize on expected increases in natural gas, NGL and crude oil production resulting from development activities in the Rocky Mountains, Mid-Continent, Northeast and U.S. Gulf Coast regions, including the Niobrara, Barnett, Eagle Ford, Permian, Haynesville, Marcellus and Utica Shale plays. Although our focus in recent years has been on expansion through growth capital projects, management continues to analyze potential business combinations, asset acquisitions, joint ventures and similar transactions with businesses that operate in complementary markets or geographic regions. In light of current business conditions, we expect that these opportunities will increase.

We began commercial service on approximately \$2.2 billion of growth capital projects during 2016. These projects included our Morgan’s Point Ethane Export Terminal, Waha and South Eddy natural gas processing facilities and the completion of over 2 MMBbls of additional crude oil storage capacity at our terminals in Houston and Beaumont. In addition, we have approximately \$6.7 billion of growth capital projects scheduled to be completed by 2020 including our PDH and iBDH facilities, the Midland-to-Sealy segment of our Midland-to-ECHO Pipeline System and completion of joint venture-owned dock infrastructure in Corpus Christi designed to accommodate crude oil volumes.

We currently expect our total capital spending for the year ended December 31, 2017 to approximate \$2.3 billion to \$2.7 billion, which includes approximately \$250 million for sustaining capital expenditures. Our forecast of capital spending for 2017 is based on our announced strategic operating and growth plans (through the filing date of this annual report), which are dependent upon our ability to generate the required funds from either operating cash flows or other means, including borrowings under debt agreements, the issuance of additional equity and debt securities, and potential divestitures. We may revise our forecast of capital spending due to factors beyond our control, such as adverse economic conditions, weather related issues and changes in supplier prices. Furthermore, our forecast of capital spending may change as a result of decisions made by management at a later date, which may include unforeseen acquisition opportunities.

Our success in raising capital, including the formation of joint ventures to share costs and risks, continues to be a significant factor in determining how much capital we can invest. We believe our access to capital resources is sufficient to meet the demands of our current and future growth needs and, although we expect to make the forecast capital expenditures noted above, we may adjust the timing and amounts of projected expenditures in response to changes in capital market conditions.

The following table summarizes our capital spending for the periods indicated (dollars in millions):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
EFS Midstream acquisition	\$ 1,000.0	\$ 1,056.5	\$ --
Oiltanking acquisition:			
Cash consideration	--	--	2,416.8
Equity consideration	--	1,408.7	2,171.5
Capital spending for property, plant and equipment, net:			
Growth capital projects (1)	2,722.7	3,540.0	2,502.8
Sustaining capital projects (2)	261.4	271.6	361.2
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	138.8	162.6	722.4
Other investing activities	0.4	5.3	5.8
Total capital spending	<u>\$ 4,123.3</u>	<u>\$ 6,444.7</u>	<u>\$ 8,180.5</u>

(1) Growth capital projects either (a) result in new sources of cash flow due to enhancements of or additions to existing assets (e.g., additional revenue streams, cost savings resulting from debottlenecking of a facility, etc.) or (b) expand our asset base through construction of new facilities that will generate additional revenue streams and cash flows.

(2) Sustaining capital expenditures are capital expenditures (as defined by GAAP) resulting from improvements to existing assets. Such expenditures serve to maintain existing operations but do not generate additional revenues or result in significant cost savings.

Fluctuations in our spending for growth capital projects and investments in unconsolidated affiliates are explained in large part by increases or decreases in spending on major expansion projects. Our most significant growth capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2016 involved projects at our Mont Belvieu complex. Fluctuations in spending for sustaining capital projects are explained in large part by the timing and cost of pipeline integrity and similar projects.

Comparison of 2016 with 2015. We acquired EFS Midstream in July 2015 for approximately \$2.1 billion in cash, which was payable in two installments. The initial payment of \$1.1 billion was paid at closing in July 2015. The second and final installment of \$1.0 billion was paid in July 2016 using a combination of cash on hand and proceeds from the issuance of short-term notes under EPO's commercial paper program. For additional information regarding the EFS Midstream acquisition, including an allocation of the purchase price, see Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

In total, capital spending for property, plant and equipment decreased \$827.5 million year-to-year primarily due to lower growth capital spending during 2016. Growth capital spending for LPG export expansion projects at EHT and our ethane export facility decreased a combined \$328.9 million year-to-year. We completed two expansion projects during 2015 at our EHT facility that increased our ability to load cargos of fully refrigerated, low-ethane propane to approximately 16.0 MMBbls per month. In September 2016, we placed our Morgan's Point Ethane Export Terminal into service. Likewise, growth capital spending on our ethane header system between Corpus Christi, Texas and the Mississippi River in Louisiana decreased \$288.1 million year-to-year. We completed the Aegis Ethane Pipeline (i.e., the largest component of our ethane header system) in December 2015.

Growth capital spending at our ECHO and Beaumont Marine West Crude Oil terminals decreased a combined \$146.1 million year-to-year as new storage tanks and related assets were placed into service at these facilities during 2015 and 2016. Growth capital spending for our Rancho II crude oil pipeline, which is a component of our South Texas Crude Oil Pipeline System, and Midland-to-ECHO Pipeline System decreased a net \$95.0 million year-to-year. We completed the Rancho II pipeline in September 2015. The Midland-to-ECHO Pipeline System is expected to be completed and placed into service at initial rates of 300 MBPD in the fourth quarter of 2017 once the Midland-to-Sealy segment is constructed.

Growth capital spending at our Mont Belvieu complex increased \$67.1 million year-to-year primarily due to ongoing construction of our PDH facility. Currently, we expect construction of the PDH facility to be completed in the first quarter of 2017 with commercial operations expected to begin in the second quarter of 2017.

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates decreased \$23.8 million year-to-year primarily due to the completion of expansion projects on our Eagle Ford Crude Oil Pipeline System during 2015.

Comparison of 2015 with 2014. Capital spending for 2015 included the initial payment of approximately \$1.1 billion to acquire EFS Midstream. In addition, capital spending during 2015 included \$1.4 billion of non-cash equity consideration we issued to complete Step 2 of the Oiltanking acquisition. Step 2 represented our acquisition of the noncontrolling interests in Oiltanking; therefore, approximately \$1.4 billion of noncontrolling interests attributable to Oiltanking was reclassified to limited partners' equity to reflect the February 2015 issuance of 36,827,517 of our common units. Capital spending for 2014 reflected total cash and equity consideration of approximately \$4.6 billion to OTA to complete Step 1 of the Oiltanking acquisition. For information regarding the Oiltanking acquisition, see Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

In total, capital spending for property, plant and equipment increased \$947.6 million year-to-year primarily due to higher growth capital spending during 2015. Growth capital spending at our Mont Belvieu complex increased \$385.2 million year-to-year primarily due to construction of the PDH facility. Growth capital spending for LPG export expansion projects at EHT and our ethane export facility increased a combined \$282.6 million year-to-year. Growth capital spending on our natural gas processing and related pipeline projects in the Delaware Basin increased a combined \$235.2 million year-to-year. Our South Eddy natural gas processing plant and related pipeline infrastructure began operations in May 2016.

Growth capital spending on our Rancho II crude oil pipeline and the expansion of crude oil terminal assets at our ECHO, EHT and Beaumont Marine West terminals increased a combined \$218.2 million year-to-year. Growth capital spending on our Gulf Coast ethane header system increased \$208.0 million year-to-year. Growth capital spending attributable to ATEX and the Rocky Mountain expansion of our Mid-America Pipeline System decreased a combined \$264.2 million year-to-year. These two projects were completed during the first quarter of 2015.

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates decreased \$559.8 million year-to-year primarily due to completion of the Seaway Loop pipeline in December 2014.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

In our financial reporting processes, we employ methods, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of our financial statements. These methods, estimates and assumptions also affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for each reporting period. Investors should be aware that actual results could differ from these estimates if the underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect. The following sections discuss the use of estimates within our critical accounting policies:

Depreciation Methods and Estimated Useful Lives of Property, Plant and Equipment

In general, depreciation is the systematic and rational allocation of an asset's cost, less its residual value (if any), to the periods it benefits. The majority of our property, plant and equipment is depreciated using the straight-line method, which results in depreciation expense being incurred evenly over the life of an asset. Our estimate of depreciation expense incorporates management assumptions regarding the useful economic lives and residual values of our assets. At the time we place our assets in service, we believe such assumptions are reasonable; however, circumstances may develop that would cause us to change these assumptions, which would change our depreciation amounts prospectively. Examples of such circumstances include (i) changes in laws and regulations that limit the estimated economic life of an asset, (ii) changes in technology that render an asset obsolete, (iii) changes in expected residual values or (iv) significant changes in our forecast of the remaining life for the applicable resource basins, if any.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the net carrying value of our property, plant and equipment was \$33.29 billion and \$32.03 billion, respectively. We recorded \$1.22 billion, \$1.16 billion and \$1.11 billion of depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For additional information regarding our property, plant and equipment, see Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Measuring Recoverability of Long-Lived Assets and Fair Value of Equity Method Investments

Long-lived assets, which include property, plant and equipment and intangible assets with finite useful lives, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Examples of such events or changes might be production declines that are not replaced by new discoveries or long-term decreases in the demand or price of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals or refined products. The carrying value of a long-lived asset is deemed not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of undiscounted estimated cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. Estimates of undiscounted cash flows are based on a number of assumptions including anticipated operating margins and volumes; estimated useful life of the asset or asset group; and estimated residual values. If the carrying value of a long-lived asset is not recoverable, an impairment charge would be recorded for the excess of a long-lived asset's carrying value over its estimated fair value, which is derived from an analysis of the asset's estimated future cash flows, the market value of similar assets and replacement cost of the asset less any applicable depreciation or amortization. In addition, fair value estimates also include usage of probabilities for a range of possible outcomes.

An equity method investment is evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that there is a possible permanent loss in value of the investment (i.e., other than a temporary decline). Examples of such events include sustained operating losses of the investee or long-term negative changes in the investee's industry. When evidence of a loss in value has occurred, we compare the estimated fair value of the investment to the carrying value of the investment to determine whether an impairment has occurred. We assess the fair value of our equity method investments using commonly accepted techniques, and may use more than one method, including, but not limited to, recent third party sales and discounted estimated cash flow models. Estimates of discounted cash flows are based on a number of assumptions including discount rates; probabilities assigned to different cash flow scenarios; anticipated margins and volumes and estimated useful life of the investment's underlying assets.

A significant change in the assumptions we use to measure recoverability of long-lived assets and the fair value of equity method investments could result in our recording a non-cash impairment charge. Any write-down of the carrying values of such assets would increase operating costs and expenses at that time.

During 2016, 2015 and 2014, we recognized non-cash asset impairment charges related to long-lived assets of \$45.2 million, \$162.6 million and \$34.0 million, respectively, which are a component of costs and expenses. For additional information regarding these impairment charges, see Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Amortization Methods and Estimated Useful Lives of Customer Relationships and Contract-Based Intangible Assets

The specific, identifiable intangible assets of a business depend largely upon the nature of its operations and include items such as customer relationships and contracts. The method used to value such assets depends on a number of factors, including the nature of the asset and the economic returns the asset is expected to generate.

Customer relationship intangible assets represent the estimated economic value assigned to certain relationships acquired in connection with business combinations and asset purchases whereby (i) we acquired information about or access to customers and now have the ability to provide services to them and (ii) the customers now have the ability to make direct contact with us. Customer relationships may arise from contractual arrangements (such as service contracts) and through means other than contracts, such as through regular contact by sales or service representatives. The value we assign to customer relationships is amortized to earnings using methods that closely resemble the pattern in which the economic benefits will be consumed (i.e., the manner in which the intangible asset is expected to contribute directly or indirectly to our cash flows). For example, the amortization periods for certain of our customer relationship intangible assets are limited by the estimated finite economic life of the associated hydrocarbon resource basins. In this context, our estimate of the useful life of each resource basin is predicated on a number of factors, including reserve estimates and the economic viability of production and exploration activities.

Contract-based intangible assets represent specific commercial rights we own arising from discrete contractual agreements, such as the long-term rights we possess under the Shell natural gas processing agreement and the Jonah natural gas transportation contracts. A contract-based intangible asset with a finite life is amortized over its estimated economic life, which is the period over which the asset is expected to contribute directly or indirectly to our cash flows. Our estimates of the economic life of contract-based intangible assets are based on a number of factors, including (i) the expected useful life of the related tangible assets (e.g., a fractionation facility, pipeline or other asset), (ii) any legal or regulatory developments that would impact such contractual rights and (iii) any contractual provisions that enable us to renew or extend such arrangements.

If our assumptions regarding the estimated economic life of an intangible asset were to change, then the amortization period for such asset would be adjusted accordingly. Changes in the estimated useful life of an intangible asset would impact operating costs and expenses prospectively from the date of change. If we determine that an intangible asset's unamortized cost is not recoverable due to impairment, we would be required to reduce the asset's carrying value to its estimated fair value through the recording of a non-cash impairment charge. Any such write-down of the value of an intangible asset would increase operating costs and expenses at that time.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value of our customer relationship and contract-based intangible asset portfolio was \$3.86 billion and \$4.04 billion, respectively. We recorded \$171.3 million, \$174.1 million and \$110.6 million of amortization expense attributable to intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For additional information regarding our intangible assets, see Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Methods We Employ to Measure the Fair Value of Goodwill and Related Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price of an acquired business over the amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction. Goodwill is not amortized; however, it is subject to annual impairment testing at the end of each fiscal year, and more frequently, if circumstances indicate it is probable that the fair value of goodwill is below its carrying amount. Goodwill impairment testing involves determining the fair value of the associated reporting unit. The fair value of a reporting unit is based on assumptions regarding the future economic prospects of the businesses that make up the reporting unit. Such assumptions include (i) discrete financial forecasts for the associated businesses, which, in turn, rely on management's estimates of operating margins, throughput volumes and similar inputs; (ii) long-term growth rates for cash flows beyond discrete forecast periods; and (iii) appropriate discount rates. If the fair value of a reporting unit (including its inherent goodwill) is less than its carrying value, a non-cash charge to operating costs and expenses is required to reduce the carrying value of goodwill to its implied fair value. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value of our goodwill was \$5.75 billion.

We did not record any goodwill impairment charges in 2016, 2015 or 2014. Based on our most recent goodwill impairment test at December 31, 2016, each reporting unit's fair value was substantially in excess of its carrying value (i.e., by at least 10%). For additional information regarding our goodwill, see Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Revenue Recognition Policies and Use of Estimates for Revenues and Expenses

In general, we recognize revenue from customers when all of the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence of an exchange arrangement exists; (ii) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; (iii) the buyer's price is fixed or determinable; and (iv) collectibility is reasonably assured. We record revenue when sales contracts are settled (i.e., either physical delivery of product has taken place or the services designated in the contract have been performed). We record any necessary allowance for doubtful accounts as required by our established policy. For additional information regarding our revenue recognition policies, see Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Our use of estimates for certain revenues and expenses has increased as a result of SEC regulations that require us to submit financial information on accelerated time frames. Such estimates are necessary due to the time required to compile actual billing information and receive third party data needed to record transactions for financial reporting purposes. One example of our use of estimates is the accrual of an estimate of processing plant revenue and the cost of natural gas for a given month (prior to receiving actual customer and vendor-related plant operating information for a specific period). These estimates reverse in the following month and are offset by the corresponding actual customer billing and vendor-invoiced amounts. Accordingly, we include one month of certain estimated data in our results of operations. Such estimates are generally based on actual volume and price data through the first part of the month and estimated for the remainder of the month.

Changes in facts and circumstances may result in revised estimates and could affect our reported financial statements and accompanying disclosures. If the assumptions underlying our revenue and expense estimates prove to be substantially incorrect, it could result in material adjustments in results of operations between periods. We review our estimates based on currently available information.

Other Items

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations at December 31, 2016 (dollars in millions):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payment or Settlement due by Period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	More than 5 years
Scheduled maturities of debt obligations (1)	\$ 23,901.6	\$ 2,577.2	\$ 2,600.0	\$ 2,075.0	\$ 16,649.4
Estimated cash payments for interest (2)	\$ 20,370.2	\$ 1,073.3	\$ 2,059.5	\$ 1,825.0	\$ 15,412.4
Operating lease obligations (3)	\$ 438.0	\$ 57.1	\$ 96.8	\$ 78.2	\$ 205.9
Purchase obligations: (4)					
Product purchase commitments:					
Estimated payment obligations:					
Natural gas	\$ 3,467.5	\$ 912.5	\$ 1,545.1	\$ 1,009.9	\$ --
NGLs	\$ 140.9	\$ 68.5	\$ 72.4	\$ --	\$ --
Crude oil	\$ 1,412.7	\$ 421.7	\$ 702.7	\$ 183.4	\$ 104.9
Petrochemicals and refined products	\$ 514.3	\$ 202.5	\$ 308.8	\$ 3.0	\$ --
Other	\$ 46.0	\$ 9.5	\$ 18.8	\$ 12.5	\$ 5.2
Underlying major volume commitments:					
Natural gas (in TBtus)	992	261	445	286	--
NGLs (in MMBbbls)	13	6	7	--	--
Crude oil (in MMBbbls)	27	8	13	4	2
Petrochemicals and refined products (in MMBbbls)	18	7	11	--	--
Service payment commitments (5)	\$ 585.2	\$ 180.6	\$ 192.6	\$ 102.9	\$ 109.1
Capital expenditure commitments (6)	\$ 57.8	\$ 57.8	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Other long-term liabilities (7)	\$ 503.9	\$ --	\$ 52.1	\$ 302.8	\$ 149.0
Total contractual payment obligations	\$ 51,438.1	\$ 5,560.7	\$ 7,648.8	\$ 5,592.7	\$ 32,635.9

- (1) Represents scheduled future maturities of our consolidated debt principal obligations. For information regarding our consolidated debt obligations, see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.
- (2) Estimated cash payments for interest are based on the principal amount of our consolidated debt obligations outstanding at December 31, 2016, the contractually scheduled maturities of such balances, and the applicable fixed or variable interest rates paid during 2016. With respect to our variable-rate debt obligations, we applied the weighted-average interest rate paid during 2016 to determine the estimated cash payments. See Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report for the weighted-average variable interest rate charged in 2016 in connection with our commercial paper program. In general, our estimated cash payments for interest are significantly influenced by the long-term maturities of our junior subordinated notes (due August 2066 through January 2068). Our estimated cash payments for interest with respect to each junior subordinated note are based on the current fixed interest rate for each note applied to the entire remaining term through the respective maturity date.
- (3) Primarily represents land held pursuant to right-of-way agreements and property leases, leases of underground salt dome caverns for the storage of natural gas and NGLs, the lease of transportation equipment used in our operations and office space with affiliates of EPCO.
- (4) Represents enforceable and legally binding agreements to purchase goods or services as of December 31, 2016. The estimated payment obligations are based on contractual prices in effect at December 31, 2016 applied to all future volume commitments. Actual future payment obligations may vary depending on prices at the time of delivery.
- (5) Primarily represents our unconditional payment obligations under firm pipeline transportation contracts.
- (6) Represents unconditional payment obligations for services to be rendered or products to be delivered in connection with our capital spending program, including our share of the capital spending of our unconsolidated affiliates.
- (7) As reflected on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2016, "Other long-term liabilities" primarily represent the Liquidity Option Agreement, the noncurrent portion of asset retirement obligations and deferred revenues.

In connection with the agreements to acquire EFS Midstream, we are obligated to spend up to an aggregate of \$270 million on specified midstream gathering assets for PXD and Reliance, if requested by these producers, over a ten-year period. If constructed, these new assets would be owned by us and be a component of the EFS Midstream System. As of December 31, 2016, we have spent approximately \$133 million of the \$270 million commitment. Due to the uncertain timing of the remaining potential capital expenditures, we have excluded this amount from the preceding table.

For additional information regarding our significant contractual obligations, see Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably expected to have a material current or future effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Related Party Transactions

For information regarding our related party transactions, see Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Insurance Matters

For information regarding insurance matters, see Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Regulation

For information regarding the impact of federal, state or local regulatory measures on our business, see “Regulatory Matters” included under Part I, Item 1 and 2 of this annual report.

Recent Accounting Developments

For information regarding recent accounting developments, see Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

General

In the normal course of our business operations, we are exposed to certain risks, including changes in interest rates and commodity prices. In order to manage risks associated with assets, liabilities and certain anticipated future transactions, we use derivative instruments such as futures, forward contracts, swaps and other instruments with similar characteristics. Substantially all of our derivatives are used for non-trading activities.

We assess the risk associated with each of our derivative instrument portfolios using a sensitivity analysis model. This approach measures the change in fair value of the derivative instrument portfolio based on a hypothetical 10% change in the underlying interest rates or quoted market prices on a particular day. In addition to these variables, the fair value of each portfolio is influenced by changes in the notional amounts of the instruments outstanding and the discount rates used to determine the present values. The sensitivity analysis approach does not reflect the impact that the same hypothetical price movement would have on the hedged exposures to which they relate. Therefore, the impact on the fair value of a derivative instrument resulting from a change in interest rates or quoted market prices (as applicable) would normally be offset by a corresponding gain or loss on the hedged debt instrument, inventory value or forecasted transaction assuming:

- § the derivative instrument functions effectively as a hedge of the underlying risk;
- § the derivative instrument is not closed out in advance of its expected term; and
- § the hedged forecasted transaction occurs within the expected time period.

We routinely review the effectiveness of our derivative instrument portfolios in light of current market conditions. Accordingly, the nature and volume of our derivative instruments may change depending on the specific exposure being managed.

See Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report for additional information regarding our derivative instruments and hedging activities.

Commodity Hedging Activities

The prices of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply and demand, market conditions and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. In order to manage such price risks, we enter into commodity derivative instruments such as physical forward contracts, futures contracts, fixed-for-float swaps, basis swaps and option contracts. The following table summarizes our portfolio of commodity derivative instruments outstanding at December 31, 2016 (volume measures as noted):

Derivative Purpose	Volume (1)		Accounting Treatment
	Current (2)	Long-Term (2)	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Natural gas processing:			
Forecasted natural gas purchases for plant thermal reduction (Bcf)	21.6	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of NGLs (MMBbls) (3)	4.3	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Octane enhancement:			
Forecasted purchase of NGLs (MMBbls)	1.4	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of octane enhancement products (MMBbls)	1.3	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Natural gas marketing:			
Forecasted purchases of natural gas for fuel (Bcf)	1.8	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Natural gas storage inventory management activities (Bcf)	8.0	n/a	Fair value hedge
NGL marketing:			
Forecasted purchases of NGLs and related hydrocarbon products (MMBbls)	101.9	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of NGLs and related hydrocarbon products (MMBbls)	124.1	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Refined products marketing:			
Forecasted purchases of refined products (MMBbls)	0.5	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of refined products (MMBbls)	0.5	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Refined products inventory management activities (MMBbls)	1.2	n/a	Fair value hedge
Crude oil marketing:			
Forecasted purchases of crude oil (MMBbls)	11.5	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of crude oil (MMBbls)	17.1	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Natural gas risk management activities (Bcf) (4, 5)	156.6	8.3	Mark-to-market
NGL risk management activities (MMBbls) (5)	18.7	n/a	Mark-to-market
Refined products risk management activities (MMBbls) (5)	0.1	n/a	Mark-to-market
Crude oil risk management activities (MMBbls) (5)	27.8	n/a	Mark-to-market

- (1) Volume for derivatives designated as hedging instruments reflects the total amount of volumes hedged whereas volume for derivatives not designated as hedging instruments reflects the absolute value of derivative notional volumes.
- (2) The maximum term for derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, derivatives designated as fair value hedges and derivatives not designated as hedging instruments is December 2017, June 2017 and March 2020, respectively.
- (3) Forecasted NGL sales volumes under Natural gas processing exclude 1.2 MMBbls of additional hedges executed under contracts that have been designated as normal sales agreements.
- (4) Current and long-term volumes include 35.3 Bcf and 0.9 Bcf, respectively, of physical derivative instruments that are predominantly priced at a marked-based index plus a premium or minus a discount related to location differences.
- (5) Reflects the use of derivative instruments to manage risks associated with transportation, processing and storage assets.

At December 31, 2016, our predominant commodity hedging strategies consisted of (i) hedging anticipated future purchases and sales of commodity products associated with transportation, storage and blending activities, (ii) hedging natural gas processing margins and (iii) hedging the fair value of commodity products held in inventory.

§ The objective of our anticipated future commodity purchases and sales hedging program is to hedge the margins of certain transportation, storage, blending and operational activities by locking in purchase and sale prices through the use of derivative instruments and related contracts.

§ The objective of our natural gas processing hedging program is to hedge an amount of earnings associated with these activities. We achieve this objective by executing fixed-price sales for a portion of our expected equity NGL production using derivative instruments and related contracts. For certain natural gas processing contracts, the hedging of expected equity NGL production also involves the purchase of natural gas for plant thermal reduction, which is hedged using derivative instruments and related contracts.

§ The objective of our inventory hedging program is to hedge the fair value of commodity products currently held in inventory by locking in the sales price of the inventory through the use of derivative instruments and related contracts.

The following table shows the effect of hypothetical price movements (a sensitivity analysis) on the estimated fair value of our natural gas marketing portfolio at the dates indicated (dollars in millions):

Scenario	Resulting Classification	Portfolio Fair Value at		
		December 31, 2015	December 31, 2016	January 31, 2017
Fair value assuming no change in underlying commodity prices	Asset (Liability)	\$ 0.1	\$ (5.3)	\$ 0.1
Fair value assuming 10% increase in underlying commodity prices	Liability	(3.7)	(9.7)	(3.6)
Fair value assuming 10% decrease in underlying commodity prices	Asset (Liability)	3.9	(0.9)	3.9

The following table shows the effect of hypothetical price movements (a sensitivity analysis) on the estimated fair value of our NGL marketing, refined products marketing and octane enhancement portfolios at the dates indicated (dollars in millions):

Scenario	Resulting Classification	Portfolio Fair Value at		
		December 31, 2015	December 31, 2016	January 31, 2017
Fair value assuming no change in underlying commodity prices	Asset (Liability)	\$ 69.6	\$ (150.3)	\$ 13.9
Fair value assuming 10% increase in underlying commodity prices	Asset (Liability)	41.7	(227.7)	(23.8)
Fair value assuming 10% decrease in underlying commodity prices	Asset (Liability)	97.4	(73.0)	51.7

The following table shows the effect of hypothetical price movements (a sensitivity analysis) on the estimated fair value of our crude oil marketing portfolio at the dates indicated (dollars in millions):

Scenario	Resulting Classification	Portfolio Fair Value at		
		December 31, 2015	December 31, 2016	January 31, 2017
Fair value assuming no change in underlying commodity prices	Asset (Liability)	\$ 42.9	\$ (42.4)	\$ (22.1)
Fair value assuming 10% increase in underlying commodity prices	Asset (Liability)	25.9	(80.0)	(70.2)
Fair value assuming 10% decrease in underlying commodity prices	Asset (Liability)	60.0	(4.7)	26.1

Interest Rate Hedging Activities

We may utilize interest rate swaps, forward starting swaps and similar derivative instruments to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates charged on borrowings under certain consolidated debt agreements. This strategy may be used in controlling our overall cost of capital associated with such borrowings. The composition of our derivative instrument portfolios may change depending on our hedging requirements.

With respect to the tabular data below, each portfolio's estimated fair value at a given date is based on a number of factors, including the number and types of derivatives outstanding at that date, the notional value of the swaps and associated interest rates.

Interest rate swaps exchange the stated interest rate paid on a notional amount of existing debt for the fixed or floating interest rate stipulated in the derivative instrument. The following table summarizes our portfolio of interest rate swaps at December 31, 2016 (dollars in millions):

Hedged Transaction	Number and Type of Derivatives Outstanding	Notional Amount	Period of Hedge	Rate Swap	Accounting Treatment
Senior Notes OO	10 fixed-to-floating swaps	\$ 750.0	5/2015 to 5/2018	1.65% to 1.36%	Fair value hedge

The following table shows the effect of hypothetical price movements (a sensitivity analysis) on the estimated fair value of our interest rate swap portfolio at the dates indicated (dollars in millions):

Scenario	Resulting Classification	Interest Rate Swap Portfolio Fair Value at		
		December 31, 2015	December 31, 2016	January 31, 2017
Fair value assuming no change in underlying interest rates	Liability	\$ (0.5)	\$ (0.8)	\$ (0.7)
Fair value assuming 10% increase in underlying interest rates	Liability	(2.6)	(2.0)	(1.9)
Fair value assuming 10% decrease in underlying interest rates	Asset	1.7	0.4	0.5

The following table summarizes our portfolio of 30-year forward starting swaps outstanding at December 31, 2016. Forward starting swaps hedge the expected underlying benchmark interest rates related to future issuances of debt.

Hedged Transaction	Number and Type of Derivatives Outstanding	Notional Amount	Expected Settlement Date	Average Rate Locked	Accounting Treatment
Future debt offering	4 forward starting swaps	\$ 275.0	5/2018	2.02%	Cash flow hedge

The following table shows the effect of hypothetical price movements (a sensitivity analysis) on the estimated fair value of our forward starting swap portfolio at the dates indicated (dollars in millions):

Scenario	Resulting Classification	Forward Starting Swap Portfolio Fair Value at		
		December 31, 2015	December 31, 2016	January 31, 2017
Fair value assuming no change in underlying interest rates	Asset	\$ --	\$ 36.2	\$ 38.2
Fair value assuming 10% increase in underlying interest rates	Asset	--	49.3	51.3
Fair value assuming 10% decrease in underlying interest rates	Asset	--	22.1	24.0

Product Purchase Commitments

We have long and short-term purchase commitments for natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products. The purchase prices that we are obligated to pay under these contracts are based on market prices at the time we take delivery of the volumes. For additional information regarding these commitments, see Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our audited consolidated financial statements begin on page F-1 of this annual report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this annual report, our management carried out an evaluation, with the participation of (i) A. James Teague, our general partner's Chief Executive Officer, (ii) W. Randall Fowler, our general partner's President, and (iii) Bryan F. Bulawa, our general partner's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Mr. Teague is our principal executive officer and Messrs. Fowler and Bulawa represent our principal financial officers. Based on this evaluation, as of the end of the period covered by this annual report, Messrs. Teague, Fowler and Bulawa concluded:

- (i) that our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and financial officers, as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures; and
- (ii) that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the fourth quarter of 2016, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Section 302 and 906 Certifications

The required certifications of Messrs. Teague, Fowler and Bulawa under Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are included as exhibits to this annual report (see Exhibits 31 and 32 under Part IV, Item 15 of this annual report).

**MANAGEMENT’S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2016**

The management of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries, including its Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the management of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and the Board of Directors of its general partner regarding the preparation and fair presentation of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.’s published financial statements.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)*. This assessment included a review of the design and operating effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting as well as the safeguarding of assets. Based on our assessment, we believe that, as of December 31, 2016, Enterprise Products Partners L.P.’s internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Our Audit and Conflicts Committee is comprised of independent directors who are not officers or employees of our general partner. This committee meets regularly with members of management, internal audit staff and representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP, which is our independent registered public accounting firm, to discuss the adequacy of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.’s internal controls over financial reporting, consolidated financial statements and the nature, extent and results of the audit effort. Management reviews all of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.’s significant accounting policies and assumptions that affect its results of operations with the Audit and Conflicts Committee. Both the independent registered public accounting firm and our internal auditors have direct access to the Audit and Conflicts Committee without the presence of management.

Deloitte & Touche LLP has issued its attestation report regarding our internal control over financial reporting. That report is included within this Item 9A (see “Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm”).

Pursuant to the requirements of Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this annual report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in their respective capacities indicated below on February 24, 2017.

/s/ A. James Teague

Name: A. James Teague
Title: Chief Executive Officer
of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC

/s/ W. Randall Fowler

Name: W. Randall Fowler
Title: President
of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC

/s/ Bryan F. Bulawa

Name: Bryan F. Bulawa
Title: Chief Financial Officer
of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC and the
Unitholders of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Houston, Texas

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting as of December 31, 2016. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company’s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company’s Board of Directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet and the related statements of consolidated operations, comprehensive income, cash flows, and equity as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016 of the Company and our report dated February 24, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
February 24, 2017

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Partnership Governance.

Partnership Management

General

The following individuals currently serve as members of the Board of Directors (the “Board”) of Enterprise GP: Richard H. Bachmann, Carin M. Barth, Dr. F. Christian Flach, W. Randall Fowler, James T. Hackett, Charles E. McMahan, William C. Montgomery, Richard S. Snell, A. James Teague, Harry P. Weitzel and Randa Duncan Williams. Ms. Duncan Williams serves as the non-executive Chairman of the Board, and Mr. Bachmann serves as the non-executive Vice Chairman of the Board.

In addition, Larry J. Casey and Edwin C. Smith serve as “advisory directors” for Enterprise GP, and O.S. Andras serves as an “honorary director.” Service as an advisory or honorary director does not confer any of the rights, obligations, liabilities or responsibilities of a director of Enterprise GP (including any power or authority to vote on any matters as a director).

Marquard & Bahls (“M&B”), a German corporation and ultimate parent of Oiltanking, is entitled to designate a nominee for election to the Board (the “M&B Designee”) as long as M&B and its affiliates beneficially own at least 27,403,676 of our common units issued to M&B and its affiliates in connection with the Oiltanking acquisition. Dr. Flach serves on the Board as the current M&B Designee. In the event that the M&B Designee becomes unable or unwilling to, or for another reason ceases to, serve as a member of the Board while M&B is entitled to maintain the M&B Designee, M&B may designate another person reasonably acceptable to the Board as a replacement.

As is commonly the case with publicly traded limited partnerships, we do not directly employ any of the persons responsible for our management, administrative or operating functions. Pursuant to the ASA with EPCO, these roles are performed by employees of EPCO, which are under the direction of the Board and executive officers of Enterprise GP. The executive officers of Enterprise GP are elected for one-year terms and may be removed, with or without cause, only by the Board. Our unitholders do not elect the officers or directors of Enterprise GP. The DD LLC Trustees, through their control of Enterprise GP, have the ability to elect, remove and replace at any time, all of the officers and directors of our general partner. Each member of the Board of Enterprise GP serves until such member’s death, resignation or removal. The employees of EPCO who served as directors of our general partner during 2016 were Ms. Duncan Williams and Messrs. Bachmann, Fowler, Teague and Weitzel.

Notwithstanding any contractual limitation on its obligations or duties, Enterprise GP is liable for all debts we incur (to the extent not paid by us), except to the extent that such indebtedness or other obligations are non-recourse to Enterprise GP. Whenever possible, Enterprise GP intends to make any such indebtedness or other obligations non-recourse to itself.

Under our limited partnership agreement and subject to specified limitations, we will indemnify to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law, from and against all losses, claims, damages or similar events, any director or officer, or while serving as director or officer, any person who is or was serving as a tax matters member or as a director, officer, tax matters member, employee, partner, manager, fiduciary or trustee of our partnership or any of our affiliates. Additionally, we will indemnify to the fullest extent permitted by law, from and against all losses, claims, damages or similar events, any person who is or was an employee (other than an officer) or agent of our general partner.

Office of the Chairman

The Office of the Chairman is a management oversight group comprised of four individuals: Ms. Duncan Williams (as Chairman of the Board), Mr. Bachmann (as Vice Chairman of the Board), Mr. Teague (as CEO) and Mr. Fowler (as President). The purpose of the Office of the Chairman is for the group to serve collectively as a liaison to the Board and senior management with respect to, and to provide the Chairman, Vice-Chairman, President, and CEO a venue to discuss, certain matters including:

- § the strategic direction of Enterprise (including business opportunities through organic growth and acquisitions);
- § the vision, leadership and development of the management team;
- § business goals and operational performance; and
- § strategies to preserve our financial strength.

In addition, the Office of the Chairman assists the Board and its Governance Committee in identifying director education opportunities and in determining the size and composition of the Board and recruitment of new members. The Office of the Chairman also oversees policies that (i) reflect our values and business goals and (ii) enhance the effectiveness of our governance structure. The Office of the Chairman also collectively oversees and provides strategic direction for our legal and human resources departments.

In her role as Chairman of the Board (a non-executive role), Ms. Duncan Williams is responsible for, among other things: (i) presiding over and setting the agendas for meetings of the Board, with due consideration of our values and business goals and an effective governance structure; (ii) overseeing the appropriate flow of information to the Board; (iii) acting as a liaison between the Board and senior management; and (iv) meeting regularly with the CEO and the President, the Vice Chairman of the Board and other Board members to review our strategic direction.

In his role as Vice Chairman of the Board (a non-executive role), Mr. Bachmann is responsible for, among other things: (i) assisting the Chairman of the Board in the execution of the Chairman of the Board's functions and responsibilities, as requested from time to time by the Chairman of the Board; and (ii) meeting regularly with the CEO and the President, the Chairman of the Board and other Board members to review our strategic direction.

In his role as CEO, Mr. Teague is our principal executive officer and is responsible for, among other things: (i) managing our overall business strategy and day-to-day operations; (ii) overseeing and providing strategic direction for us, subject to Board approval, in the areas of operations, commercial activities, business development, and health and safety; and (iii) providing the required certifications as principal executive officer of Enterprise GP in connection with our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting.

In his role as President, Mr. Fowler is one of two principal financial officers of Enterprise GP and is responsible for, among other things: (i) managing our overall financial strategy; (ii) overseeing and providing strategic direction for us, subject to Board approval, in the areas of accounting, risk management, finance, treasury and cash management, information technology, investor relations, governmental affairs, and public relations and (iii) providing the required certifications as a co-principal financial officer of Enterprise GP (together with the Chief Financial Officer, or "CFO") in connection with our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting.

Directors and Executive Officers of Enterprise GP

The following table sets forth the name, age and position of each of the directors, excluding advisory or honorary directors, and executive officers of Enterprise GP at February 24, 2017. Each executive officer holds the same respective office shown below in the managing member of EPO.

Name	Age	Position with Enterprise GP
Randa Duncan Williams (1,2,6)	55	Director and Chairman of the Board
Richard H. Bachmann (1,6)	64	Director and Vice Chairman of the Board
A. James Teague (1,6,7,8)	71	Director and CEO
W. Randall Fowler (1,6,7,8)	60	Director and President
Carin M. Barth (2,6)	54	Director
Dr. F. Christian Flach	49	Director
James T. Hackett (2,3,6)	63	Director
Charles E. McMahan (4,5)	77	Director
William C. Montgomery (4)	55	Director
Richard S. Snell (4,6)	74	Director
Harry P. Weitzel (6,8)	52	Director and Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Graham W. Bacon (8)	53	Executive Vice President
William Ordemann (8)	57	Executive Vice President
R. Daniel Boss (8)	41	Senior Vice President (Accounting and Risk Control)
Bryan F. Bulawa (8)	47	Senior Vice President and CFO
Brent B. Secrest (8)	44	Senior Vice President
Michael W. Hanson (8)	49	Vice President and Principal Accounting Officer

-
- (1) Member of Office of the Chairman
(2) Member of the Governance Committee
(3) Chairman of the Governance Committee
(4) Member of the Audit and Conflicts Committee
(5) Chairman of the Audit and Conflicts Committee
(6) Member of the Capital Projects Committee
(7) Co-Chairman of the Capital Projects Committee
(8) Executive officer

The following information presents a brief history of the business experience of our directors and executive officers:

Randa Duncan Williams

Ms. Duncan Williams was elected Chairman of the Board of Enterprise GP in February 2013 and a director of Enterprise GP in November 2010. She also serves as a member of Enterprise GP's Governance Committee and Capital Projects Committee. She was elected Chairman of EPCO in May 2010, having previously served as Group Co-Chairman since 1994. Ms. Duncan Williams has served as a director of EPCO since February 1991. She also served as a director of the general partner of Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. ("Holdings GP") from May 2007 to November 2010.

Prior to joining EPCO in 1994, Ms. Duncan Williams practiced law with the firms Butler & Binion and Brown, Sims, Wise & White. Ms. Duncan Williams previously served on the board of directors of Encore Bancshares from July 2007 until July 2012. She currently serves on the board of trustees for numerous charitable organizations. Ms. Duncan Williams is the daughter of the late Mr. Dan L. Duncan, our founder.

Richard H. Bachmann

Mr. Bachmann was elected a director and Vice Chairman of the Board of Enterprise GP in January 2016 and serves as a member of its Capital Projects Committee. He previously served as a director of Enterprise GP from November 2010 through April 2014. He served as an Executive Vice President of Holdings GP from April 2005 to November 2010 and as a director of Holdings GP from February 2006 to November 2010. He served as Chief Legal Officer and Secretary of Holdings GP from April 2005 to May 2010. Mr. Bachmann served as Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer of Enterprise Products GP, LLC (“EPGP,” the former general partner of Enterprise) from February 1999 until November 2010 and as Secretary of EPGP from November 1999 to November 2010. He previously served as a director of EPGP from June 2000 to January 2004 and from February 2006 to May 2010.

Mr. Bachmann was elected President and CEO of EPCO in May 2010 and has served as a director since January 1999. He previously served as Secretary of EPCO from May 1999 to May 2010 and as a Group Vice Chairman of EPCO from December 2007 to May 2010. Mr. Bachmann served as a director of DEP Holdings, LLC (“DEP GP”), the general partner of Duncan Energy Partners L.P., from October 2006 to May 2010 and as President and CEO of DEP GP from October 2006 to April 2010.

A. James Teague

Mr. Teague was elected CEO of Enterprise GP in January 2016 and has been a director of Enterprise GP since November 2010. He also serves as Co-Chairman of the Capital Projects Committee. Mr. Teague previously served as the Chief Operating Officer (“COO”) of Enterprise GP from November 2010 to December 2015 and served as an Executive Vice President of Enterprise GP from November 2010 until February 2013. He served as an Executive Vice President of EPGP from November 1999 to November 2010 and additionally as a director from July 2008 to November 2010 and as COO from September 2010 to November 2010. In addition, he served as Chief Commercial Officer of EPGP from July 2008 until October 2010. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer of DEP GP from July 2008 until September 2011. He previously served as a director of DEP GP from July 2008 to May 2010 and as a director of Holdings GP from October 2009 to May 2010. Mr. Teague joined Enterprise in connection with its purchase of certain midstream energy assets from affiliates of Shell Oil Company in 1999. From 1998 to 1999, Mr. Teague served as President of Tejas Natural Gas Liquids, LLC, then an affiliate of Shell. From 1997 to 1998, he was President of Marketing and Trading for MAPCO, Inc.

W. Randall Fowler

Mr. Fowler was elected a director of Enterprise GP in September 2011 and serves as Co-Chairman of the Capital Projects Committee. He has served as the President of Enterprise GP since January 2016, having previously served as Chief Administrative Officer from April 2015 to January 2016. He served as Executive Vice President and CFO of Enterprise GP from November 2010 to March 2015 and as Executive Vice President and CFO of EPGP from August 2007 to November 2010. He also served as President and CEO of DEP GP from April 2010 until September 2011 and as Executive Vice President and CFO of DEP GP from August 2007 to April 2010. He served as a director of DEP GP from September 2006 until September 2011.

Mr. Fowler served as Senior Vice President and Treasurer of EPGP from February 2005 to August 2007 and of DEP GP from October 2006 to August 2007. Mr. Fowler also previously served as a director of EPGP and of Holdings GP from February 2006 to May 2010. Mr. Fowler also served as Senior Vice President and CFO of Holdings GP from August 2005 to August 2007. Mr. Fowler was elected Vice Chairman and CFO of EPCO in May 2010. He previously served as President and CEO of EPCO from December 2007 to May 2010 and as its CFO from April 2005 to December 2007.

Mr. Fowler, a Certified Public Accountant (inactive), joined Enterprise as Director of Investor Relations in January 1999. He also serves as Chairman of the Board of the Master Limited Partnership Association (formerly National Association of Publicly Traded Partnerships). Mr. Fowler is on the Advisory Board of Alerian, an independent provider of market intelligence for master limited partnerships (“MLPs”), which includes its benchmark Alerian MLP Index (AMZ). He also serves on the Advisory Board for the College of Business at Louisiana Tech University.

Carin M. Barth

Ms. Barth was elected a director of Enterprise GP in October 2015 and is a member of its Governance Committee and its Capital Projects Committee. She is co-founder and President of LB Capital Inc., a private equity investment firm established in 1988. She currently serves on the following boards of directors: Black Stone Minerals, L.P., where she is Chair of the Audit Committee; Group 1 Automotive, Inc.; Strategic Growth Bancorp Incorporated (a privately held bank holding company located in El Paso), where she is Chair of the Audit Committee; and Capital Bank, SSB, an affiliate of Strategic Growth Bancorp. Additionally, she is Chairman of the Investment Advisory Committee for the Endowment at Texas Tech University, a Trustee of The Welch Foundation and a board member of the Ronald McDonald House of Houston.

Ms. Barth previously served on the Housing Commission at the Bi-Partisan Policy Center in Washington, DC from 2011 to 2014, and was a Commissioner of the Texas Department of Public Safety from 2008 to 2014. She also served as a board member of the following: Bill Barrett Corporation from June 2012 to May 2016; Western Refining Inc., where she was Chair of the Audit Committee from March 2006 to January 2016; Methodist Hospital Research Institute from 2007 to 2012; Encore Bancshares, Inc. from 2009 to 2012; Amegy Bancorporation, Inc. from 2006 to 2009; the Texas Public Finance Authority from 2006 to 2008; and the Texas Tech University System Board of Regents from 1999 to 2005. She was appointed by President George W. Bush to serve as CFO of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development from 2004 to 2005.

Dr. F. Christian Flach

Dr. Flach was elected a director of Enterprise GP in October 2014. He previously served as Chairman of the Board of Oiltanking GP from March 2014 to October 2014. He has served as CEO of M&B since 2011 and is also a Member of its Executive Board. Dr. Flach began working for M&B in May 1996 and has served in various roles for the organization and its affiliates, including General Manager of M&B and Mabanaf, the oil trading business within M&B; lawyer for Oiltanking GmbH; Vice President of Business Development at Oiltanking Houston, L.P.; Director of Corporate Affairs at M&B; Director of Corporate Affairs at Oiltanking GmbH; Director of Human Resources at M&B and Managing Director of Mabanaf.

James T. Hackett

Mr. Hackett was elected a director of Enterprise GP in April 2014 and serves as Chairman of its Governance Committee and as a member of its Capital Projects Committee. Mr. Hackett is a partner with Riverstone Holdings LLC, a private energy investment firm. He served as Executive Chairman of the board of directors of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation (“Anadarko”), one of the world’s largest independent oil and natural gas exploration and production companies, from 2012 to 2013 after serving as its CEO from 2003 to 2012 and Chairman of the Board from 2006 to 2012. He also served as Anadarko’s President from 2003 to 2010. Mr. Hackett is a board member of Flour Corp. and NOV, Inc. as well as Sierra Oil & Gas and Talen Energy (portfolio companies of Riverstone). He is a former director of Bunge Ltd. and the former Chairman of the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. He is a past Chairman of the National Petroleum Council, a member of the Society of Petroleum Engineers, a member of the Baylor College of Medicine Board of Trustees and a member of the Rice University Board of Trustees. Mr. Hackett is also a former adjunct Professor of Finance at Rice University.

Charles E. McMahan

Mr. McMahan was elected a director of Enterprise GP in November 2010 and serves as Chairman of its Audit and Conflicts Committee. He served as a director of Holdings GP from August 2005 to November 2010. Mr. McMahan served as Vice Chairman of Compass Bank from March 1999 until December 2003 and served as Vice Chairman of Compass Bancshares from April 2001 until his retirement in December 2003. Mr. McMahan also served as Chairman and CEO of Compass Banks of Texas from March 1990 until March 1999. Mr. McMahan has served as a director of Compass Bancshares, and its successor, BBVA Compass Bank (a wholly owned subsidiary of BBVA), since 2001. He also serves as a director for BBVA Compass Bancshares, Inc. (a wholly owned subsidiary of BBVA and a bank holding company for BBVA’s North American banking operations). Mr. McMahan serves on the Audit Committee for BBVA Compass Bancshares, Inc. and as Chairman of its Risk Committee. Mr. McMahan served as Chairman of the Board of Regents of the University of Houston from September 1998 to August 2000.

William C. Montgomery

Mr. Montgomery was elected a director of Enterprise GP in October 2015 and is a member of its Audit and Conflicts Committee. He has served as a Partner of Quantum Energy Partners since 2011 and is also a member of its Executive and Investment Committees. He is responsible for originating and overseeing investments in the oil and gas upstream and oilfield service sectors. Prior to joining Quantum Energy Partners, Mr. Montgomery was a Partner in the Investment Banking Division of Goldman, Sachs & Co. where, during his tenure, he headed the firm's Americas Natural Resources Group as well as its Houston office. His career as a banker spanned 22 years and was focused on large cap energy companies primarily in the upstream and oil service sectors. He also serves on the board of Apache Corporation. Mr. Montgomery has been an active civic leader, chairing the boards of The Houston Museum of Natural Science and The St. Francis Episcopal Day School and currently serves on the board of trustees of The Kinkaid School, The Episcopal Health Foundation and the Board of Visitors of the MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Richard S. Snell

Mr. Snell, a Certified Public Accountant, was elected a director of Enterprise GP in September 2011 and is a member of its Audit and Conflicts Committee and its Capital Projects Committee. He previously served as a director of DEP GP from January 2010 to September 2011 and as a director of the general partner of TEPPCO Partners, L.P. from January 2006 until October 2009. From June 2000 until February 2006, he served as a director of EPGP. He is of counsel with the law firm of Ytterberg Deery Knull LLP, having been with the firm since late January 2017. Mr. Snell previously served as an attorney with the law firms of Thompson & Knight LLP (from 2000 to early 2017) and Snell & Smith, P.C. (from its founding in 1993 until 2000).

Harry P. Weitzel

Mr. Weitzel was elected a director of Enterprise GP and as a member of its Capital Projects Committee in November 2016 and has served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Enterprise GP since April 2016. He previously served as Senior Vice President, Deputy General Counsel and Secretary of Enterprise GP from January 2015 to April 2016. Mr. Weitzel is currently responsible for all legal functions of Enterprise, including securities, litigation, employment, mergers and acquisitions, and commercial transactions. Mr. Weitzel has extensive experience as a commercial litigator, having practiced over 24 years in Texas and California. He has successfully represented individual, corporate and governmental clients as plaintiffs and defendants in a wide variety of business-related matters. Mr. Weitzel has tried cases in state and federal courts, as well as arbitrations under the American Arbitration Association, JAMS and the International Chamber of Commerce. He has handled appeals in state and federal courts. Prior to joining Enterprise, Mr. Weitzel was a commercial litigation partner with Pepper Hamilton LLP in Irvine, California from October 2009 to December 2014.

Graham W. Bacon

Mr. Bacon was elected Executive Vice President (Operations and Engineering) of Enterprise GP in October 2015. He previously served as Group Senior Vice President (Operations and Environmental, Health, Safety & Training) from February 2014 to October 2015; as Senior Vice President (Operations) from January 2012 to February 2014; as Vice President (Operations) from June 2006 to January 2012, and as Vice President (Engineering) from September 2005 to May 2006. He joined Enterprise in 1991 and has held a variety of operations and engineering roles. Prior to joining Enterprise, Mr. Bacon worked for Vista Chemical Company.

William Ordemann

Mr. Ordemann was elected Executive Vice President (Commercial) of Enterprise GP in October 2015, having previously served as Group Senior Vice President (Unregulated Liquids, Crude and Natural Gas Services) from April 2012 to October 2015. He served as Executive Vice President of Enterprise GP from August 2007 to April 2012. He served as COO of EPGP from August 2007 until September 2010 and as its Executive Vice President from August 2007 to November 2010. He was also elected an Executive Vice President of DEP GP in August 2007 and served in such role until September 2011. Mr. Ordemann previously served as a Senior Vice President of EPGP from September 2001 to August 2007 and was a Vice President of EPGP from October 1999 to September 2001. He joined Enterprise in connection with its purchase of certain midstream energy assets from affiliates of Shell Oil Company in 1999. He also served as a director of Oiltanking GP from October 2014 until February 2015.

R. Daniel Boss

Mr. Boss was elected Senior Vice President (Accounting and Risk Control) of Enterprise GP in August 2016. Mr. Boss served as a Senior Vice President of Enterprise GP from March 2015 to August 2016 with responsibility over our regulated business. He also served as Vice President (Risk Control) from April 2013 to March 2015 and as Senior Director (Risk Control) from January 2010 to March 2013. While serving in these positions, Mr. Boss was Chairman of the Risk Management Committee and had responsibilities for our marketing risk management policies, transaction controls and derivatives and hedging strategies compliance. Mr. Boss also served as Director (Volume Accounting) from November 2008 until January 2010 where he was responsible for gas marketing and commodity derivatives accounting, hedging and reporting. Prior to joining Enterprise, Mr. Boss held leadership positions with Merrill Lynch Commodities and Dynegy Inc.

Bryan F. Bulawa

Mr. Bulawa was elected Senior Vice President and CFO of Enterprise GP in April 2015. He also served as Senior Vice President and Treasurer of Enterprise GP from October 2009 to March 2015, Senior Vice President, CFO and Treasurer of DEP GP from April 2010 to September 2011 and as a Director of DEP GP from February 2011 to September 2011. He previously served as Senior Vice President and Treasurer of EPGP from October 2009 to November 2010, as Senior Vice President and Treasurer of DEP GP from October 2009 to April 2010, and as Vice President and Treasurer of EPGP from July 2007 to October 2009. He has also served as Senior Vice President and CFO of EPCO since April 2015, having previously served as Senior Vice President and Treasurer from May 2010 to March 2015. Mr. Bulawa also served as Chairman of the Board for Oiltanking GP from October 2014 to February 2015. Prior to joining Enterprise, Mr. Bulawa spent 13 years at Scotia Capital, where he last served as director of the firm's U.S. Energy Corporate Finance and Distribution group.

Brent B. Secrest

Mr. Secrest was elected Senior Vice President (Liquid Hydrocarbons Marketing) of Enterprise GP in May 2016. He has responsibility for our NGL, crude oil and refined products marketing activities. Mr. Secrest previously served as Vice President (Crude Oil and Refined Products Marketing) from October 2015 to May 2016 and as Vice President (Crude Oil Pipelines and Terminals) from October 2012 to October 2015. He has also served Enterprise in various other leadership positions, including in the areas of NGL marketing and supply, commercial development, distribution, and business analysis. Mr. Secrest has over 20 years of experience in the energy industry and began his career at Basis Petroleum Inc. prior to joining Enterprise in 1996.

Michael W. Hanson

Mr. Hanson was elected a Vice President and Principal Accounting Officer of Enterprise GP in August 2016. He has served as a Vice President of Enterprise GP since April 2011 with responsibility over Enterprise's financial and management reporting group within its Accounting department. He has served Enterprise and its affiliates in various accounting roles since 1992, including as an Assistant Controller since April 2007 and Director of Financial Reporting from November 2004 to March 2007. Mr. Hanson's responsibilities include team leadership in the preparation of Enterprise's quarterly and annual reports. Mr. Hanson continues to lead Enterprise's financial and management reporting group and reports to Mr. Boss, who has overall team leadership of the Accounting department.

Director Experience, Qualifications, Attributes and Skills

The following is a brief discussion of the experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the conclusion that each of the following persons should serve as a director of our general partner.

Five of our directors are current employees of EPCO and officers of our general partner or its affiliates. Each of these directors has significant experience in our industry as executive officers as well as other qualifications, attributes and skills. These include:

- § for Ms. Duncan Williams, legal and community involvement with numerous charitable organizations, and active involvement in EPCO's businesses, including ownership in and management of our businesses;
- § for Mr. Teague, over 40 years of commercial management of midstream assets and marketing and trading activities, both for third parties and for us;
- § for Mr. Fowler, over 18 years of experience with our midstream assets, including finance, accounting and investor relations and, for over the last ten years, as a member of our executive management team;
- § for Mr. Bachmann, over 30 years of experience with our midstream assets, including legal, regulatory, contracts and mergers and acquisitions and, for over the last 18 years, as a member of either EPCO's or our executive management teams; and
- § for Mr. Weitzel, over 24 years of experience in Texas and California as a commercial litigator, having successfully represented individual, corporate and governmental clients as plaintiffs and defendants in a wide variety of business-related matters.

Our six outside voting directors also have significant experience in a variety of capacities, as well as other qualifications, attributes and skills. These include:

- § for Ms. Barth, executive management experience in various financial and governance roles;
- § for Dr. Flach, executive management of an international energy supply, trading and logistics company;
- § for Mr. Hackett, executive management of a major oil and gas exploration and production company;
- § for Mr. McMahan, executive management experience in banking and finance;
- § for Mr. Montgomery, executive management of both an investment banking firm and a private equity investment firm serving the global energy industry; and
- § for Mr. Snell, professional experience involving complex legal and accounting matters.

As advisory directors, Mr. Casey has executive management experience in NGL and petrochemicals trading and related storage businesses and Mr. Smith has experience in banking and investment matters. As an honorary director, Mr. Andras has a long history with Enterprise and its operations, including being a former CEO.

Partnership Governance

We are committed to sound principles of governance. Such principles are critical for us to achieve our performance goals and maintain the trust and confidence of investors, employees, suppliers, business partners and other stakeholders.

A key element of strong governance is having independent members of the Board. Pursuant to the NYSE listing standards, a director will be considered independent if the Board determines that he or she does not have a material relationship with Enterprise GP or us (either directly or as a partner, unitholder or officer of an organization that has a material relationship with Enterprise GP or us). Based on the foregoing, the Board has affirmatively determined that Ms. Barth and Messrs. Hackett, McMahan, Montgomery and Snell are independent directors under the NYSE rules.

Because we are a limited partnership and meet the definition of a “controlled company” under the listing standards of the NYSE, we are not required to comply with certain NYSE rules. In particular, we are not required to comply with Section 303A.01 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, which would require that the Board of our general partner be comprised of a majority of independent directors. Currently, five of the eleven Board members of Enterprise GP are independent under NYSE rules; however, this composition may not always be in effect. Also, we have elected to not comply with Sections 303A.04 and 303A.05 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, which would require that the Board of Enterprise GP maintain a Nominating Committee and a Compensation Committee, each consisting entirely of independent directors.

Code of Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines

Enterprise GP has adopted a “Code of Conduct” that applies to its directors, officers and employees. This code sets forth our requirements for compliance with legal and ethical standards in the conduct of our business, including general business principles, legal and ethical obligations, compliance policies for specific subjects, obtaining guidance on complying with the code, the reporting of compliance issues, and discipline for violations of the code. The Code of Conduct also establishes policies applicable to our President, CEO, CFO, Principal Accounting Officer and senior financial and other managers to prevent wrongdoing and to promote honest and ethical conduct, including ethical handling of actual and apparent conflicts of interest, compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations, full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in public communications, and prompt internal reporting of violations of the code (and thus accountability for adherence to the code). Employees are required to certify their understanding and compliance with the Code of Conduct on an annual basis. Training on Code of Conduct is also provided to employees, where applicable.

Governance guidelines, together with applicable committee charters, provide the framework for effective governance of our partnership. The Board has adopted the “*Governance Guidelines of Enterprise Products Partners*,” which address several matters, including qualifications for directors, responsibilities of directors, retirement of directors, the composition and responsibilities of the Audit and Conflicts Committee and the Governance Committee, the conduct and frequency of Board and committee meetings, management succession plans, director access to management and outside advisors, director compensation, director and executive officer equity ownership, director orientation and continuing education, and annual self-evaluation of the Board. The Board recognizes that effective governance is an on-going process, and thus, it will review the Governance Guidelines of Enterprise Products Partners annually or more often as deemed necessary.

Audit and Conflicts Committee

The purpose of the Board's Audit and Conflicts Committee is to address audit and conflicts-related matters. In accordance with NYSE rules and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Board has named three of its members to serve on the Audit and Conflicts Committee. Members of the Audit and Conflicts Committee must have a basic understanding of finance and accounting matters and be able to read and understand fundamental financial statements, and at least one member of the Audit and Conflicts Committee shall have accounting or related financial management expertise. The current members of the Audit and Conflicts Committee are Messrs. McMahan, Montgomery and Snell, all of whom are independent directors, free from any relationship with us or any of our subsidiaries that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment. The Board has affirmatively determined that Mr. McMahan satisfies the definition of "Audit Committee Financial Expert" as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC.

The primary responsibilities of the Audit and Conflicts Committee include (i) reviewing potential conflicts of interest, including related party transactions, (ii) monitoring the integrity of our financial reporting process and related systems of internal control, (iii) ensuring our legal and regulatory compliance and that of Enterprise GP, (iv) overseeing the independence and performance of our independent public accountant, (v) approving all services performed by our independent public accountant, (vi) providing for an avenue of communication among the independent public accountant, management, internal audit function and the Board, (vii) encouraging adherence to and continuous improvement of our policies, procedures and practices at all levels, (viii) reviewing areas of potential significant financial risk to our businesses and (ix) approving awards granted under long-term incentive plans.

If the Board believes that a particular matter presents a conflict of interest and proposes a resolution, the Audit and Conflicts Committee has the authority to review such matter to determine if the proposed resolution is fair and reasonable to us. Any matters approved by the Audit and Conflicts Committee are conclusively deemed to be fair and reasonable to us, approved by all of our partners and not a breach by Enterprise GP or the Board of any duties they may owe us or our unitholders.

Pursuant to its formal written charter, the Audit and Conflicts Committee has the authority to conduct any investigation appropriate to fulfilling its responsibilities, and it has direct access to our independent public accountants as well as any EPCO personnel whom it deems necessary in fulfilling its responsibilities. The Audit and Conflicts Committee has the ability to retain, at our expense, special legal, accounting or other consultants or experts it deems necessary in the performance of its duties.

Governance Committee

The primary purpose of the Governance Committee is to develop and recommend to the Board a set of governance guidelines applicable to our partnership, to review such guidelines from time to time and to oversee governance matters related to our business, including Board and Committee composition, qualifications of Board candidates, director independence, succession planning and related matters. The Governance Committee also assists in Board oversight of management's establishment and administration of our environmental, health and safety policies, procedures, programs and initiatives, and related matters. In accordance with its charter, the Governance Committee shall be composed of not less than three members, at least a majority of whom shall be independent directors. Currently, the Governance Committee is comprised of Ms. Duncan Williams and two independent directors (Ms. Barth and Mr. Hackett).

Like the Audit and Conflicts Committee, the Governance Committee has the authority to conduct any investigation appropriate to fulfilling its responsibilities, and it has direct access to our independent public accountants as well as any EPCO personnel whom it deems necessary in fulfilling its responsibilities. In addition, the Governance Committee has the ability to retain, at our expense, special legal, accounting or other consultants or experts it deems necessary in the performance of its duties.

Capital Projects Committee

The primary purpose of the Capital Projects Committee is to review and approve certain expenditures by Enterprise GP, Enterprise and/or their respective consolidated subsidiaries in connection with proposed capital projects. Currently, the Capital Projects Committee is comprised of Ms. Duncan Williams, Ms. Barth and Messrs. Bachmann, Fowler, Hackett, Snell, Teague and Weitzel. Messrs. Teague and Fowler are co-chairmen of the Capital Projects Committee.

Investor Access to Corporate Governance Information

We provide investors access to information relating to our governance procedures and principles, including the Code of Conduct, Governance Guidelines, the charters of the Audit and Conflicts Committee, the Governance Committee and the Capital Projects Committee, along with other information, through our website, www.enterpriseproducts.com. You may also contact our Investor Relations department at (866) 230-0745 for printed copies of these documents free of charge.

NYSE Corporate Governance Listing Standards

On March 1, 2016, Mr. Teague certified to the NYSE (as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual) that he was not aware of any violation by us of the NYSE's Corporate Governance listing standards as of that date.

Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors

The Board holds regular executive sessions in which non-management directors meet without any members of management present. The purpose of these executive sessions is to promote open and candid discussion among the non-management directors. During such executive sessions, one director is designated as the "presiding director," who is responsible for leading and facilitating such executive sessions. Currently, the presiding director is Mr. McMahan.

Confidential Telephone Hotline

In accordance with NYSE rules, we have established a toll-free, confidential telephone hotline (the "Hotline") so that interested parties may communicate with the presiding director or with all the non-management directors as a group. All calls to this Hotline are reported to the chairman of the Audit and Conflicts Committee, who is responsible for communicating any necessary information to the other non-management directors. The number of our confidential Hotline is (877) 888-0002.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Under federal securities laws, directors and executive officers of Enterprise GP and any persons holding more than 10% of our common units are required to report their beneficial ownership of common units and any changes in their beneficial ownership levels to us and the SEC. Specific due dates for these reports have been established by regulation, and we are required to disclose in this annual report any failure to file this information within the specified timeframes. All such reporting was done in a timely manner in 2016.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.
Executive Officer Compensation

We do not directly employ any of the persons responsible for managing our business. Instead, we are managed by our general partner, the executive officers of which are employees of EPCO. Our management, administrative and operating functions are primarily performed by employees of EPCO in accordance with the ASA. Pursuant to the ASA, we reimburse EPCO for all of its compensation costs related to the employment of personnel working on our behalf. For information regarding the ASA, see Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table presents total compensation amounts paid, accrued or otherwise expensed by us with respect to (i) our principal executive officer, (ii) our principal financial officers and (iii) the other officers of our general partner named below for the year ended December 31, 2016. Collectively, these individuals were our “named executive officers” for 2016. To the extent such individuals were named executive officers in the prior two years, their total compensation for those years is presented as well.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Cash Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Equity-Based Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	Total (\$)
A. James Teague	2016	\$ 800,000	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 3,989,926	\$ 606,309	\$ 7,496,235
CEO	2015	793,750	1,800,000	4,108,628	550,701	7,253,079
(Principal Executive Officer)	2014	753,788	1,750,000	4,691,680	10,515,870	17,711,338
W. Randall Fowler	2016	521,178	984,375	2,701,298	328,999	4,535,850
President	2015	459,375	581,250	2,042,400	285,691	3,368,716
(Principal Financial Officer)	2014	427,973	562,500	2,230,200	4,011,435	7,232,108
Bryan F. Bulawa	2016	314,500	245,438	1,292,173	143,905	1,996,016
Senior Vice President and CFO	2015	306,000	233,750	810,152	122,214	1,472,116
(Principal Financial Officer)						
William Ordemann	2016	451,150	357,000	1,891,366	230,291	2,929,807
Executive Vice President,	2015	447,400	340,000	1,198,715	184,258	2,170,373
Commercial	2014	433,400	327,000	1,321,600	2,694,010	4,776,010
Graham W. Bacon	2016	375,000	294,000	1,958,576	206,541	2,834,117
Executive Vice President,	2015	320,021	275,000	1,021,200	155,071	1,771,292
Operations and Engineering						
Harry P. Weitzel	2016	339,000	262,500	1,000,561	105,701	1,707,762
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary						

- (1) Amounts represent discretionary annual bonus awards earned by each named executive officer with respect to the year presented. Bonuses awarded for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were paid in cash in February of the respective following year. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the dollar value of each officer’s discretionary bonus (less any retirement plan deductions and withholding taxes) was remitted through the issuance of an equivalent value of newly issued Enterprise common units in February 2017.
- (2) Amounts represent our estimated share of the aggregate grant date fair value of equity-based awards granted during each year presented. Amounts presented for the year ended December 31, 2016 reflect the grant of phantom unit and profits interest awards to each named executive officer. Amounts presented for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 reflect grants of phantom unit awards to each named executive officer.
- (3) Amounts include (i) contributions in connection with funded, qualified, defined contribution retirement plans, (ii) quarterly distributions paid on equity-based awards, (iii) the imputed value of life insurance premiums paid on behalf of the officer, (iv) employee retention payments and (v) other amounts.

The following table presents the components of “All Other Compensation” for each named executive officer for the year ended December 31, 2016:

Named Executive Officer	Contributions Under Funded, Qualified, Defined Contribution Retirement Plans	Quarterly Distributions Paid On Equity-Based Awards (1)	Life Insurance Premiums	Other	Total All Other Compensation
A. James Teague	\$ 29,150	\$ 563,548	\$ 7,663	\$ 5,948	\$ 606,309
W. Randall Fowler	21,862	298,967	3,267	4,903	328,999
Bryan F. Bulawa	22,525	116,566	842	3,972	143,905
William Ordemann	31,800	189,573	2,838	6,080	230,291
Graham W. Bacon	31,800	167,770	1,518	5,453	206,541
Harry P. Weitzel	26,500	67,242	1,520	10,439	105,701

(1) Reflects aggregate cash payments made to the named executive officer in connection with (i) distribution equivalent rights (“DERs”) issued in tandem with phantom unit awards, (ii) distributions paid on restricted common units and (iii) distributions paid in connection with profits interest awards. With respect to DER amounts allocated to us, the following cash payments were made to the named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2016: Mr. Teague, \$475,638; Mr. Fowler, \$250,099; Mr. Bulawa, \$96,787; Mr. Ordemann, \$162,077; Mr. Bacon, \$145,260; and Mr. Weitzel, \$65,235.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Elements of Compensation

With respect to our named executive officers, compensation paid or awarded by us reflects only that portion of compensation paid by EPCO and allocated to us pursuant to the ASA, including an allocation of a portion of the cost of long-term incentive plans of EPCO. The elements of EPCO’s compensation program, along with EPCO’s other incentives (e.g., benefits, work environment and career development), are intended to provide a total rewards package to employees. The objective of EPCO’s compensation program is to provide competitive compensation opportunities that will align and drive employee performance toward the creation of sustained long-term unitholder value. We believe that our compensation program allows us to attract, motivate and retain high quality talent with the skills and competencies we require. Our compensation packages are designed to reward contributions by employees in support of the business strategies of EPCO and its affiliates at both our partnership and individual levels and to avoid risks that are likely to conflict with our risk management policies.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, the primary elements of compensation for the named executive officers consisted of annual cash base salary, discretionary annual bonus (satisfied principally through the issuance of Enterprise common units), phantom unit and profits interest awards under long-term incentive arrangements and other compensation, including very limited perquisites. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the primary compensation elements consisted of annual cash base salary, discretionary annual cash bonus awards, phantom unit awards and other compensation, including very limited perquisites. For the year ended December 31, 2014, other compensation for Messrs. Teague, Fowler and Ordemann also included a cash retention bonus. With respect to the annual periods presented in the Summary Compensation Table, EPCO’s compensation package for the named executive officers did not include any compensation elements based on targeted performance-based criteria. We believe that the absence of targeted performance-based criteria has the effect of discouraging excessive risk taking by our named executive officers.

Changes in the base salaries of our named executive officers during the three years ending December 31, 2016 were largely budget-driven and made consistent relative to increases in the base salaries of our other executive officers.

The bonus awards are discretionary and, in combination with annual base salaries, are intended to yield competitive total compensation levels for the named executive officers and drive performance in support of our business strategies, as well as the performance of other EPCO affiliates for which the named executive officer may perform services. The annual bonus amount presented for each named executive officer reflects a general consideration of our overall financial performance for those periods, along with a subjective judgment of each named executive officer's contribution in support of that performance, without any weight or formula given to any specific financial performance measures. The bonus amounts are also based on the level and position of such named executive officers and the relative compensation paid to our other executive officers.

Each of our named executive officers has been granted equity-based compensation. The amount of equity-based compensation granted to our named executive officers reflects a general consideration of our overall financial performance, along with a subjective judgment of each named executive officer's contribution in support of that performance, without any weight or formula given to any specific financial performance measures. The value of equity-based awards granted to the named executive officers are also based on the level and position of such named executive officers and the relative compensation paid to our other executive officers.

Each of the named executive officers received a grant of phantom unit awards in 2016. In addition, during February 2016, EPCO formed three limited partnerships (generally referred to as "Employee Partnerships") to serve as long-term incentive arrangements for key employees of EPCO by providing them a "profits interest" in an Employee Partnership. The names of the Employee Partnerships formed in February 2016 are EPD PubCo Unit I L.P. ("PubCo I"), EPD PubCo Unit II L.P. ("PubCo II") and EPD PrivCo Unit I L.P. ("PrivCo I"). Each of our named executive officers participates in one of these Employee Partnerships. See "Grants of Equity-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2016" within this Item 11 for information regarding each type of award granted in 2016.

EPCO expects to continue its policy of paying for limited perquisites attributable to our named executive officers. EPCO also makes matching contributions under its defined contribution plans for the benefit of our named executive officers in the same manner as it does for other EPCO employees.

EPCO does not offer our named executive officers a defined benefit pension plan. Also, none of our named executive officers had nonqualified deferred compensation during the three years ended December 31, 2016.

In the fourth quarter of 2014, Messrs. Teague, Fowler and Ordemann received cash employee retention payments of \$10 million, \$5 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, less applicable tax withholdings. These payments were made in connection with retention agreements that EPCO entered into with each such named executive officer in the fourth quarter of 2010. We were allocated all or a portion of these payments based on the amount of time each officer spent on our affairs since entering into these agreements. The purpose of the retention agreements was to reinforce and encourage the continued dedication of such officers to EPCO and us as a member of our executive management team. In order to qualify for the retention payments, each applicable executive officer was required to complete 48 months of continuous employment with EPCO from the effective date of each such officer's retention agreement. None of the named executive officers have employee retention agreements in place at December 31, 2016.

Overview of Decision-Making Process regarding Compensation of Named Executive Officers

The Audit and Conflicts Committee of our general partner, with input from the EPCO Trustees and EPCO's human resources department, has ultimate decision-making authority with respect to the compensation of our CEO and our President. The compensation of our other named executive officers (other than any equity-based awards under EPCO's long-term incentive plans) is determined by our CEO and our President. Neither EPCO nor our general partner has a separate compensation committee; however, grants of equity-based compensation under EPCO's long-term incentive plans (e.g., phantom unit awards) to our named executive officers, including our CEO and our President, must be approved by the Audit and Conflicts Committee. The issuance of profits interest awards to the named executive officers during 2016 was approved by EPCO's Board of Directors.

The overall compensation of each named executive officer is not based on any formula or specific performance criteria; rather, the Audit and Conflicts Committee, our President, our CEO and EPCO (as applicable) determine an appropriate level and mix of compensation for each officer on a case-by-case basis. Further, there is no established policy or target for the allocation between either cash and non-cash or short-term and long-term incentive compensation. However, some considerations that the Audit and Conflicts Committee or our President and our CEO (as applicable) may take into account in making the case-by-case compensation determinations include the total value of all elements of compensation and the appropriate balance of internal pay equity among our executive officers. The Audit and Conflicts Committee, our President, our CEO and EPCO (as applicable) also consider individual performance, levels of responsibility and value to the organization. All compensation determinations are subjective and discretionary.

In making compensation decisions, EPCO considers market data for determining relevant compensation levels and compensation program elements through the review of and, in certain cases, participation in, relevant compensation surveys and reports. These surveys and reports are conducted and prepared by a third party compensation consultant. In 2015, EPCO engaged Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (the "Consultant") to complete a detailed review of executive compensation relative to our industry. In connection with this review, the Consultant provided comparative market data on compensation practices and programs for executive level positions based on an analysis of industry competitors and other large companies. The market data for industry competitors included information from Anadarko Petroleum Corporation; CenterPoint Energy, Inc.; Dominion Resources, Inc.; Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P.; Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.; Kinder Morgan Inc.; Magellan Midstream Partners, L.P.; ONEOK, Inc.; Plains All American Pipeline, L.P.; Spectra Energy Corp.; Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.; Targa Resources Corporation; The Williams Companies, Inc.; and TransCanada Corporation. The market data for other large companies included 56 entities across multiple industries, including well-known companies such as Caterpillar Inc.; Target Corporation; and Honeywell International Inc., among others.

Neither we, nor EPCO, which engages the Consultant, are aware of the specific data of the companies included in the Consultant's proprietary database for specific positions. EPCO uses the information provided in the Consultant's analysis to gauge whether compensation levels reported by the Consultant and the general ranges of compensation for EPCO employees in similar positions are comparable, but that comparison is only a factor taken into consideration and may or may not impact compensation of our named executive officers, for which our Audit and Conflicts Committee (in the case of our President's and our CEO's compensation) or our President and our CEO (in the case of compensation to be paid to our other named executive officers) have the ultimate decision-making authority. EPCO does not otherwise engage in benchmarking for the named executive officers' positions.

Allocation of Compensation between Us and EPCO and its other affiliates

Under the ASA, the compensation costs of our named executive officers, including those costs related to equity-based awards, are allocated between us and other affiliates of EPCO based on the estimated amount of time that each officer spends on our consolidated businesses in any fiscal year. These percentages are reassessed at least quarterly. The following table presents the average approximate amount of time devoted by each of our named executive officers to our consolidated businesses and to EPCO and its other privately held affiliates during each of the years indicated.

Named Executive Officer	Year	Enterprise Products Partners	EPCO and its other affiliates	Total Time Allocated
A. James Teague	2016	100%	--	100%
	2015	100%	--	100%
	2014	100%	--	100%
W. Randall Fowler	2016	75%	25%	100%
	2015	75%	25%	100%
	2014	75%	25%	100%
Bryan F. Bulawa	2016	85%	15%	100%
	2015	85%	15%	100%
William Ordemann	2016	100%	--	100%
	2015	100%	--	100%
	2014	100%	--	100%
Graham W. Bacon	2016	100%	--	100%
	2015	100%	--	100%
Harry P. Weitzel	2016	100%	--	100%

Grants of Equity-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2016

The following table presents information concerning each 2016 grant of an equity-based award to a named executive officer for which we will be allocated our pro rata share of the related cost under the ASA. No option awards were granted in 2016.

Award Type/Named Executive Officer	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Grant Date Fair Value of Equity-Based Awards (\$)(1)
		Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	
Phantom unit awards: (2)					
A. James Teague	2/16/16	--	161,000	--	\$ 3,519,460
W. Randall Fowler	2/16/16	--	124,950	--	2,048,555
Bryan F. Bulawa	2/16/16	--	43,000	--	798,983
William Ordemann	2/16/16	--	65,000	--	1,420,900
Graham W. Bacon	2/16/16	--	65,000	--	1,420,900
Harry P. Weitzel	2/16/16	--	32,500	--	710,450
Profits interest awards:					
A. James Teague (3)	2/22/16	--	--	--	470,466
W. Randall Fowler (4)	2/22/16	--	--	--	652,743
Bryan F. Bulawa (5)	2/22/16	--	--	--	493,190
William Ordemann (3)	2/22/16	--	--	--	470,466
Graham W. Bacon (3)	2/22/16	--	--	--	537,676
Harry P. Weitzel (5)	2/22/16	--	--	--	290,111

(1) Amounts presented reflect that portion of grant date fair value allocable to us based on the estimated percentage of time each named executive officer spent on our consolidated business activities during 2016. Based on current allocations, we estimate that the consolidated compensation expense we record for each named executive officer with respect to these awards will equal these amounts over time.

(2) The grant date fair value presented for the phantom unit awards is based, in part, on the closing price of our common units on February 16, 2016 of \$21.86 per unit.

(3) Profits interest awards based on Class B limited partner interests in PubCo I.

(4) Profits interest awards based on Class B limited partner interests in PrivCo I.

(5) Profits interest awards based on Class B limited partner interests in PubCo II.

Grant date fair value amounts presented in the preceding table are based on certain assumptions and considerations made by management. For information about assumptions utilized in the valuation of these awards, see Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report, the applicable disclosures of which are incorporated by reference into this Item 11.

Phantom unit awards

The phantom unit awards were granted under the 2008 Enterprise Products Long-Term Incentive Plan (Third Amendment and Restatement) (the "2008 Plan"). Phantom unit awards allow recipients to acquire our common units (at no cost to the recipient apart from fulfilling service and other conditions) once a defined vesting period expires, subject to customary forfeiture provisions. Phantom unit awards generally vest at a rate of 25% per year beginning one year after the grant date and are non-vested until the required service periods expire. At December 31, 2016, substantially all of our phantom unit awards are expected to result in the issuance of common units upon vesting; therefore, the applicable awards are accounted for as equity-classified awards.

The 2008 Plan provides for the issuance of DERs in connection with phantom unit awards. DERs entitle the participant to nonforfeitable cash payments equal to the product of the number of phantom unit awards outstanding for the participant and the cash distribution per common unit paid to our common unitholders.

Profits interest awards

In February 2016, EPCO Holdings Inc. (“EPCO Holdings”), a privately held affiliate of EPCO, contributed the following Enterprise common units it owned to the Employee Partnerships: (i) 2,723,052 units to PubCo I, (ii) 2,834,198 units to PubCo II and (iii) 1,111,438 units to PrivCo I. In exchange for these contributions, EPCO Holdings was admitted as the Class A limited partner of each Employee Partnership. Also on the applicable contribution date, certain key EPCO employees, including the named executive officers, were issued Class B limited partner interests (i.e., profits interest awards) and admitted as Class B limited partners of each Employee Partnership, all without any capital contribution by such employees. EPCO serves as the general partner of each Employee Partnership.

In general, the Class A limited partner earns a quarterly preferred return equal to \$0.39 per unit on the number of Enterprise common units contributed by EPCO Holdings to each Employee Partnership, with any residual cash amount remaining in each Employee Partnership being paid to the applicable Class B limited partners on a quarterly basis as a distribution. Upon liquidation of an Employee Partnership, assets having a then current fair market value equal to the Class A limited partner’s capital base in such Employee Partnership will be distributed to the Class A limited partner. Any remaining assets of such Employee Partnership will be distributed to the Class B limited partners of such Employee Partnership as a residual profits interest, which represents the appreciation in value of the Employee Partnership’s assets since the date of EPCO Holdings’ contribution to it, as described above.

Unless otherwise agreed to by EPCO and a majority in interest of the limited partners of each Employee Partnership, such Employee Partnership will terminate at the earliest to occur of (i) 30 days following its vesting date, (ii) a change of control or (iii) a dissolution of the Employee Partnership. The Class B limited partner interests in PubCo I vest four years from February 22, 2016, and the Class B limited partner interests in PubCo II and PrivCo I vest five years from February 22, 2016.

Individually, each Class B limited partner interest is subject to forfeiture if the participating employee’s employment with EPCO is terminated prior to vesting, with customary exceptions for death, disability and certain retirements. The risk of forfeiture will also lapse upon certain change of control events. Forfeited individual Class B limited partner interests are allocated to the remaining Class B limited partners.

Summary of Long-Term Incentive Arrangements Underlying 2016 Award Grants

The 2008 Plan provides for incentive awards to EPCO’s key employees and non-employee directors and consultants who perform management, administrative or operational functions for us or our affiliates. Awards granted under the 2008 Plan may be in the form of phantom units, DERs, restricted common units, unit options, unit appreciation rights and other unit-based awards or substitute awards. The maximum number of common units authorized for issuance under the 2008 Plan was 40,000,000 at January 1, 2017. This authorized amount will automatically increase under the terms of the 2008 Plan by 5,000,000 common units on January 1, 2018 and will continue to automatically increase annually on January 1 thereafter during the term of the 2008 Plan; provided, however, that in no event shall the maximum aggregate number exceed 70,000,000 common units. After giving effect to awards granted under the 2008 Plan through January 1, 2017, a total of 23,336,456 additional common units were available for issuance under this plan.

The profits interest awards were granted to the named executive officers by EPCO in connection with the formation of the Employee Partnerships, which are long-term in nature, and required approval by the Board of Directors of EPCO. The profits interest awards were not issued under the 2008 Plan.

Equity-Based Awards Outstanding at December 31, 2016

The following information summarizes each named executive officer’s long-term incentive awards outstanding at the close of business on December 31, 2016. These amounts are presented on a gross basis and do not reflect any allocation of compensation to affiliates under the ASA.

Award Type/Named Executive Officer	Vesting Date	Unit Awards	
		Number of Units That Have Not Vested (#) (1)	Market Value of Units That Have Not Vested (\$) (2)
Restricted common unit awards: (3)			
A. James Teague	Various	36,100	\$ 976,144
W. Randall Fowler	Various	25,000	676,000
Bryan F. Bulawa	Various	8,124	219,673
William Ordemann	Various	10,000	270,400
Graham W. Bacon	Various	7,750	209,560
Phantom unit awards: (4)			
A. James Teague	Various	322,525	8,721,076
W. Randall Fowler	Various	229,950	6,217,848
Bryan F. Bulawa	Various	78,648	2,126,642
William Ordemann	Various	112,375	3,038,620
Graham W. Bacon	Various	103,500	2,798,640
Harry P. Weitzel	Various	49,000	1,324,960
Profits interest awards:			
A. James Teague (5)	2/22/20	--	404,636
W. Randall Fowler (6)	2/22/21	--	623,717
Bryan F. Bulawa (7)	2/22/21	--	433,185
William Ordemann (5)	2/22/20	--	404,636
Graham W. Bacon (5)	2/22/20	--	462,441
Harry P. Weitzel (7)	2/22/21	--	216,592

- (1) Represents the total number of restricted common unit and phantom unit awards outstanding for each named executive officer.
- (2) With respect to amounts presented for restricted common unit and phantom unit awards, the market values were derived by multiplying the total number of each award type outstanding for the named executive officer by the closing price of our common units on December 30, 2016 (the last trading day of 2016) of \$27.04 per unit. With respect to amounts presented for the profits interest awards, amount represents the estimated liquidation value to be received by the named executive officer based on the closing price of our common units on December 30, 2016 and the terms of liquidation outlined in the applicable Employee Partnership agreement.
- (3) All of the restricted common unit awards vest in 2017.
- (4) Of the 895,998 phantom unit awards presented in the table, the vesting schedule is as follows: 285,487 in 2017; 285,487 in 2018; 202,162 in 2019 and 122,862 in 2020.
- (5) With respect to PubCo I, the profit interest share held by Messrs. Teague, Ordemann and Bacon at December 31, 2016 was approximately 4.09%, 4.09% and 4.68%, respectively.
- (6) Mr. Fowler’s share of the profits interest in PrivCo I was approximately 15.15% at December 31, 2016.
- (7) Mr. Bulawa’s and Mr. Weitzel’s share of the profits interest in PubCo II was approximately 4.21% and 2.11%, respectively, at December 31, 2016.

EPCO’s long-term incentive plans provide for the issuance of non-qualified incentive options denominated in our common units. There were no unit option awards outstanding at December 31, 2016.

Vesting of Equity-Based Awards in 2016

The following table presents the vesting of restricted common unit and phantom unit awards to our named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2016. These amounts are presented on a gross basis and do not reflect any allocation of compensation to affiliates under the ASA.

Named Executive Officer	Unit Awards	
	Number of Units Acquired on Vesting (#) (1)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) (2)
A. James Teague:		
Restricted common unit awards	68,500	\$ 1,564,597
Phantom unit awards	65,675	1,486,438
W. Randall Fowler:		
Restricted common unit awards	50,000	1,143,500
Phantom unit awards	42,500	962,225
Bryan F. Bulawa:		
Restricted common unit awards	16,248	371,592
Phantom unit awards	14,326	324,520
William Ordemann:		
Restricted common unit awards	20,000	457,400
Phantom unit awards	19,125	440,088
Graham W. Bacon:		
Restricted common unit awards	15,000	342,780
Phantom unit awards	15,500	351,065
Harry P. Weitzel:		
Phantom unit awards	5,500	144,155

- (1) Represents the gross number of common units acquired upon vesting of restricted common unit and phantom unit awards, as applicable, before adjustments for associated tax withholdings.
(2) Amount determined by multiplying the gross number of restricted common unit and phantom unit awards, as applicable, that vested during 2016 by the closing price of our common units on the date of vesting.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control

None of the named executive officers have any employment agreements that call for the payment of termination or severance benefits or provide for any payments in the event of a change in control of our general partner.

The vesting of profits interest awards under the Employee Partnerships is subject to acceleration upon a change of control (as defined below). In addition, vesting of equity-based awards under EPCO’s long-term incentive plans is subject to acceleration upon a qualifying termination, including termination after a change of control of our general partner. Qualifying termination under such awards generally means a termination as an employee of EPCO or an affiliated group member (i) upon death, (ii) a qualifying long-term disability, (iii) a qualifying retirement, or (iv) within one year after a change of control (as defined), other than a termination for cause (as defined) or termination by such person that is not a qualifying termination for good reason (as defined).

A “change of control” under these awards is generally defined to mean that the descendants, heirs and/or legatees of Dan L. Duncan, and/or trusts (including, without limitation, one or more voting trusts) established for their benefit, collectively, cease, directly or indirectly, to control our general partner. Mr. Duncan passed away in March 2010.

Compensation Committee Report

We do not have a separate compensation committee. In addition, we do not directly employ or compensate our named executive officers. Rather, under the ASA, we reimburse EPCO for the compensation of our executive officers. As described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis, decisions regarding the compensation of our named executive officers are made, as applicable, by EPCO, our CEO, our President, and the Audit and Conflicts Committee of our general partner.

In light of the foregoing, the Board has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth above and determined that it be included in this annual report for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Submitted by: Randa Duncan Williams
Richard H. Bachmann
A. James Teague
W. Randall Fowler
Carin M. Barth
Dr. F. Christian Flach
James T. Hackett
Charles E. McMahan
William C. Montgomery
Richard S. Snell
Harry P. Weitzel

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any previous filings under the Securities Act, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act, as amended, that incorporate future filings, including this annual report, in whole or in part, the foregoing Compensation Committee Report shall not be incorporated by reference into any such filings.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the directors or executive officers of our general partner served as members of the compensation committee of another entity that has or had an executive officer who served as a member of our Board during the year ended December 31, 2016. As previously noted, we do not have a separate compensation committee. As described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis, decisions regarding the compensation of our named executive officers are made, as applicable, by the Audit and Conflicts Committee of our general partner, our CEO, our President, and EPCO.

Director Compensation

Neither we nor our general partner provide any additional compensation to employees of EPCO in exchange for their services as directors of our general partner. For calendar year 2016, the independent voting directors of our general partner were compensated as follows:

- § each received an \$85,000 annual cash retainer and an annual grant of our common units having a fair market value, based on the closing price of such security on the trading day immediately preceding the date of grant, of approximately \$85,000;
- § if the individual served as a chairman of the Audit and Conflicts Committee, then he received an additional \$20,000 annual cash retainer; and
- § if the individual served as a chairman of the Governance Committee, then he received an additional \$15,000 annual cash retainer.

The cash portion of the compensation described above (i) was paid quarterly and (ii) was prorated for the number of days in a calendar quarter that an individual served as an independent voting director and/or as a chairman of the Audit and Conflicts Committee and/or the Governance Committee.

Our advisory directors, Messrs. Casey and Smith, each received a \$150,000 annual cash retainer in 2016. As an honorary director, O.S. Andras received a \$20,000 annual cash retainer.

The director compensation program for calendar year 2017 is expected to be the same as 2016 with the exception of adding a \$2,500 per meeting fee for those independent voting directors that serve on the recently formed Capital Projects Committee.

We bear all costs attributable to the compensation of directors of our general partner. The following table summarizes compensation paid to the non-employee directors of our general partner in 2016:

Non-Employee Director	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Value of Equity-Based Awards (\$)	Total (\$)
Carin M. Barth	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 170,000
Larry J. Casey (1)	150,000	--	150,000
James T. Hackett (2)	100,000	85,000	185,000
Charles E. McMahan (3)	105,000	85,000	190,000
William C. Montgomery	85,000	85,000	170,000
Edwin E. Smith (1)	150,000	--	150,000
Richard S. Snell	85,000	85,000	170,000
O.S. Andras (4)	20,000	--	20,000

(1) Messrs. Casey and Smith serve as advisory directors.

(2) Mr. Hackett serves as chairman of the Governance Committee.

(3) Mr. McMahan serves as chairman of the Audit and Conflicts Committee.

(4) Mr. Andras serves as an honorary director.

Dr. Flach does not receive any compensation for his services as a director.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Unitholder Matters.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth certain information as of February 15, 2017, regarding each person known by Enterprise GP to beneficially own more than 5% of our limited partner units:

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Common units	Randa Duncan Williams (1) 1100 Louisiana Street, 10 th Floor Houston, Texas 77002	685,481,428	32.3%

(1) For a detailed listing of the ownership amounts that comprise Ms. Duncan Williams' total beneficial ownership of our common units, see the table presented in the following section, "Security Ownership of Management," within this Item 12.

Ms. Duncan Williams is a DD LLC Trustee and an EPCO Trustee. Ms. Duncan Williams is also currently Chairman and a director of EPCO and Chairman of the Board and a director of our general partner. Ms. Duncan Williams disclaims beneficial ownership of the limited partner units beneficially owned by the EPCO Trustees and the DD LLC Trustees, except to the extent of her voting and dispositive interests in such units.

Security Ownership of Management

The following tables set forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common units, including restricted common units, as of February 15, 2017 by (i) our named executive officers for 2016; (ii) the current directors of Enterprise GP; and (iii) the current directors and executive officers (including named executive officers) of Enterprise GP as a group. All beneficial ownership information has been furnished by the respective directors and executive officers. Each person has sole voting and dispositive power over the securities shown unless indicated otherwise.

	Positions with Enterprise GP at February 15, 2017	Amount and Nature Of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Randa Duncan Williams:	Director and Chairman of the Board		
Units controlled by DD LLC Voting Trust:			
Through DFI GP Holdings L.P.		81,688,412	3.9%
Through Dan Duncan LLC		41,762	*
Units controlled by EPCO Voting Trust:			
Through EPCO		1,046,612	*
Through EPCO Investments L.P.		33,708,091	1.6%
Through EPCO Holdings, Inc.		547,903,777	25.8%
Through Employee Partnerships		6,773,688	*
Units controlled by Alkek and Williams, Ltd.		326,000	*
Units controlled by Chaswil, Ltd.		10,000	*
Units controlled by family trusts (1)		13,969,956	*
Units owned personally (2)		13,130	*
Total for Randa Duncan Williams		685,481,428	32.3%

* Represents a beneficial ownership of less than 1% of class

(1) The number of common units presented for Ms. Duncan Williams includes (i) 10,581,125 common units held by family trusts for which she serves as a director of an entity trustee but has disclaimed beneficial ownership (except to the extent of her pecuniary interest therein) and (ii) 3,388,831 common units held by a trust for which she and/or members of her immediate family are beneficiaries but for which she does not serve as a trustee and therefore disclaims beneficial ownership.

(2) The number of common units presented for Ms. Duncan Williams includes 9,090 common units held by her spouse and 4,040 common units held jointly with her spouse.

A privately held affiliate of EPCO has pledged 118,000,000 of our common units that they own as security under such affiliate’s credit facilities. These credit facilities include customary provisions regarding potential events of default. As a result, a change in ownership of these units could result if an event of default ultimately occurred.

	Positions with Enterprise GP at February 15, 2017	Amount and Nature Of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Richard H. Bachmann (1)	Director and Vice Chairman of the Board	1,352,313	*
A. James Teague (2,3)	Director and CEO	1,574,731	*
W. Randall Fowler (2,4)	Director and President	1,344,711	*
Carin M. Barth	Director	13,126	*
Dr. F. Christian Flach	Director	--	*
James T. Hackett (5)	Director	288,284	*
Charles E. McMahan	Director	101,680	*
William C. Montgomery	Director	40,626	*
Richard S. Snell (6)	Director	54,075	*
Harry P. Weitzel (2,7)	Director and Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	21,785	*
William Ordemann (2,8)	Executive Vice President	939,140	*
Graham W. Bacon (2,9)	Executive Vice President	183,519	*
Bryan F. Bulawa (2,10)	Senior Vice President and CFO	150,033	*
All directors and executive officers (including all named executive officers) of Enterprise GP, as a group (17 individuals in total) (11)		691,690,663	32.6%

* Represents a beneficial ownership of less than 1% of class

- (1) The number of common units presented for Mr. Bachmann includes 9,588 common units held by his spouse.
- (2) These individuals are named executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2016.
- (3) The number of common units presented for Mr. Teague includes 53,000 common units held by a trust. In addition, the number of common units presented for Mr. Teague includes an aggregate 105,925 phantom units that vested in late February 2017, which resulted in the issuance of an equal number of common units before adjustment for any withholding taxes.
- (4) The number of common units presented for Mr. Fowler includes 500,000 common units held by a family limited partnership (for which he has disclaimed beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest). In addition, the number of common units presented for Mr. Fowler includes an aggregate 73,738 phantom units that vested in late February 2017, which resulted in the issuance of an equal number of common units before adjustment for any withholding taxes.
- (5) The number of common units presented for Mr. Hackett includes (i) 9,661 common units held by family trusts and (ii) 58,000 common units held by family limited partnerships.
- (6) The number of common units presented for Mr. Snell includes 2,956 common units held by his spouse.
- (7) The number of common units presented for Mr. Weitzel includes an aggregate 8,125 phantom units that vested in late February 2017, which resulted in the issuance of an equal number of common units before adjustment for any withholding taxes.
- (8) The number of common units presented for Mr. Ordemann includes an aggregate 33,750 phantom units that vested in late February 2017, which resulted in the issuance of an equal number of common units before adjustment for any withholding taxes.
- (9) The number of common units presented for Mr. Bacon includes an aggregate 31,750 phantom units that vested in late February 2017, which resulted in the issuance of an equal number of common units before adjustment for any withholding taxes.
- (10) The number of common units presented for Mr. Bulawa includes an aggregate 25,074 phantom units that vested in late February 2017, which resulted in the issuance of an equal number of common units before adjustment for any withholding taxes.
- (11) Cumulatively, this group’s beneficial ownership amount includes an aggregate 307,279 phantom units that vested in late February 2017, which resulted in the issuance of an equal number of common units before adjustment for any withholding taxes.

Equity Ownership Guidelines

In order to further align the interests and actions of our general partner’s directors and executive officers with our long-term interests and those of our general partner and other unitholders, the Board has adopted and approved certain equity ownership guidelines for our general partner’s directors and executive officers. Under these guidelines:

- § each non-management director of our general partner is required to own Enterprise common units having an aggregate value (as defined in the guidelines) of three times the dollar amount of such non-management director’s aggregate annual cash retainer for service on the Board for the most recently completed calendar year; and

§ each executive officer of our general partner is required to own Enterprise common units having an aggregate value (as defined in the guidelines) of three times the dollar amount of such executive officer’s aggregate annual base salary for the most recently completed calendar year.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth certain information as of January 1, 2017 regarding the long-term incentive plans of EPCO under which our common units have been authorized for issuance. For additional information regarding our equity-based compensation, see Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report.

Plan Category	Number of Units to Be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Common Unit Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Common Unit Options	Number of Units Remaining Available For Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by unitholders:			
1998 Plan (1)	--	--	191,014
2008 Plan (2)	--	--	23,336,456
Equity compensation plans not approved by unitholders:			
None	--	--	--
Total for equity compensation plans	--	--	23,527,470

- (1) Amount presented in column (c) represents the number of restricted common units outstanding under the plan at December 31, 2016. These awards vest in 2017 and convert to common units. The Enterprise Products 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the “1998 Plan”) is effectively closed and no new awards have been granted under this plan since 2014.
- (2) At December 31, 2016, the total number of common units authorized for issuance under the 2008 Plan was 35,000,000 common units. This amount increased by 5,000,000 common units on January 1, 2017 and will increase by an additional 5,000,000 common units subsequently on each January 1 thereafter during the term of the 2008 Plan; provided, however, that in no event shall the maximum aggregate amount available for issuance under the 2008 Plan exceed 70,000,000 common units.

The 2008 Plan provides for awards of our common units and other rights to our non-management directors and to consultants and employees of EPCO and its affiliates providing services to us. Awards under the 2008 Plan may be granted in the form of unit options, restricted common units, phantom units, UARs, DERs, unit awards and other unit-based awards or substitute awards.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

We believe that the terms and provisions of our related party agreements are fair to us; however, such agreements and transactions may not be as favorable to us as we could have obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

Additional information regarding our related party transactions is set forth in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of this annual report and is incorporated by reference into this Item 13.

Review and Approval of Transactions with Related Parties

We consider transactions between us and our subsidiaries and unconsolidated affiliates, on the one hand, and our executive officers and directors (or their immediate family members), our general partner or its affiliates (including other companies owned or controlled by the DD LLC Trustees or the EPCO Trustees), on the other hand, to be related party transactions. As further described below, our partnership agreement sets forth general procedures by which related party transactions and conflicts of interest may be approved or resolved by Enterprise GP or its Audit and Conflicts Committee. In addition, the Audit and Conflicts Committee charter, Enterprise GP's written internal review and approval policies and procedures (referred to as its "management authorization policy") and the amended and restated ASA with EPCO address specific types of related party transactions, as further described below.

Our Audit and Conflicts Committee is comprised of three independent directors: Charles E. McMahan, William C. Montgomery and Richard S. Snell. In accordance with its charter, the Audit and Conflicts Committee reviews and approves related party transactions:

- § pursuant to our partnership agreement or the limited liability company agreement of Enterprise GP, as such agreements may be amended from time to time;
- § in which an officer or director of Enterprise GP or any of our subsidiaries, or an immediate family member of such an officer or director, has a material financial interest or is otherwise a party;
- § when requested to do so by management or the Board;
- § with a value of \$5 million or more (unless such transaction is equivalent to an arm's length or third party transaction); or
- § that it may otherwise deem appropriate from time to time.

The Audit and Conflicts Committee did not review or approve any related party transactions during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Enterprise GP's management authorization policy generally requires Board approval for asset purchase or sales transactions and capital expenditures to the extent such transactions have a value in excess of \$250 million. Any such transaction would typically also require Audit and Conflicts Committee review under its charter if such transaction is also a related party transaction.

As noted previously, all of our management, administrative and operating functions are performed by employees of EPCO (pursuant to an administrative services agreement, or ASA) or by other service providers. The ASA governs numerous day-to-day transactions between us, Enterprise GP and EPCO and its affiliates, including the provision by EPCO of administrative and other services to us and our reimbursement to EPCO of costs, without markup or discount, for those services. The ASA was reviewed, approved and recommended to the Board by our Audit and Conflicts Committee, and the Board also approved it upon receiving such recommendation.

Related party transactions that are outside the scope of the ASA and not reviewed by the Audit and Conflicts Committee are subject to Enterprise GP's management authorization policy. This policy, which applies to related party transactions as well as transactions with third parties, specifies thresholds for our general partner's officers and Board to authorize various categories of transactions, including purchases and sales of assets, commercial and financial transactions and legal agreements.

Partnership Agreement Standards for Audit and Conflicts Committee Review

Under our partnership agreement, whenever a potential conflict of interest exists or arises between Enterprise GP or any of its affiliates, on the one hand, and us, any of our subsidiaries or any partner, on the other hand, any resolution or course of action by Enterprise GP or its affiliates in respect of such conflict of interest is permitted and deemed approved by our limited partners, and will not constitute a breach of our partnership agreement or any agreement contemplated by such agreement, or of any duty stated or implied by law or equity, if the resolution or course of action is or, by operation of the partnership agreement is deemed to be, fair and reasonable to us; provided that, any conflict of interest and any resolution of such conflict of interest will be conclusively deemed fair and reasonable to us if such conflict of interest or resolution is (i) approved by a majority of the members of the Audit and Conflicts Committee (i.e., a “Special Approval” is granted) or (ii) on terms objectively demonstrable to be no less favorable to us than those generally being provided to or available from third parties.

The Audit and Conflicts Committee (in connection with its Special Approval process) may consider the following when resolving conflicts of interest:

- § the relative interests of any party to such conflict, agreement, transaction or situation and the benefits and burdens relating to such interest;
- § the totality of the relationships between the parties involved (including other transactions that may be particularly favorable or advantageous to us);
- § any customary or accepted industry practices and any customary or historical dealings with a particular party;
- § any applicable generally accepted accounting or engineering practices or principles;
- § the relative cost of capital of the parties involved and the consequent rates of return to the equity holders of such parties; and
- § such additional factors as the Audit and Conflicts Committee determines in its sole discretion to be relevant, reasonable or appropriate under the circumstances.

The level of review and work performed by the Audit and Conflicts Committee with respect to a given transaction varies depending upon the nature of the transaction and the scope of the Audit and Conflicts Committee’s obligation. Examples of functions the Audit and Conflicts Committee may, as it deems appropriate, perform in the course of reviewing a transaction include, but are not limited to:

- § assessing the business rationale for the transaction;
- § reviewing the terms and conditions of the proposed transaction, including consideration and financing requirements, if any;
- § assessing the effect of the transaction on our results of operations, financial condition, cash available for distribution, properties or prospects;
- § conducting due diligence, including interviews and discussions with management and other representatives and reviewing transaction materials and findings of management and other representatives;
- § considering the relative advantages and disadvantages of the transactions to the parties involved;
- § engaging third party financial advisors to provide financial advice and assistance, including fairness opinions if requested;
- § engaging legal advisors; and

§ evaluating and negotiating the transaction and recommending for approval or approving the transaction, as the case may be.

Nothing contained in our partnership agreement requires the Audit and Conflicts Committee to consider the interests of any party other than us. In the absence of the Audit and Conflicts Committee or our general partner acting in bad faith, the resolution, action or terms so made, taken or provided (including granting Special Approval) by the Audit and Conflicts Committee or our general partner with respect to such matter are deemed conclusive and binding on all persons (including all of our limited partners) and do not constitute a breach of partnership agreement, or any other agreement contemplated thereby, or a breach of any standard of care or duty imposed in our partnership agreement or under the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act or any other law, rule or regulation. Our partnership agreement provides that it is presumed that the resolution, action or terms made, taken or provided by the Audit and Conflicts Committee or our general partner were not made, taken or provided in bad faith, and in any proceeding brought by any limited partner or by or on behalf of such limited partner or any other limited partner or us challenging such resolution, action or terms, the person bringing or prosecuting such proceeding will have the burden of overcoming such presumption.

Director Independence

Each of the current members of the Audit and Conflicts Committee, namely Messrs. McMahan, Montgomery and Snell, and two members of the Governance Committee, namely Ms. Barth and Mr. Hackett, have been determined to be independent under the applicable NYSE listing standards and rules of the SEC. For a discussion of independence standards applicable to our Board and factors considered by our Board in making its independence determinations, please refer to “Partnership Governance” included under Part III, Item 10 of this annual report.

Other Matters

An immediate family member of Mr. Teague is an employee of EPCO that performs services on our behalf. This individual does not serve as an executive officer of Enterprise GP, EPCO or any of their respective affiliates, and his compensation and other terms of employment are determined on a basis consistent with EPCO’s human resources policies. This individual earned total compensation from EPCO of approximately \$500 thousand for 2016.

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services.*

With the approval of the Audit and Conflicts Committee of our general partner, we have engaged Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, and their respective affiliates (collectively, “Deloitte & Touche”) as our independent registered public accounting firm and principal accountants. The following table summarizes amounts billed to us by Deloitte & Touche for (or in) each of the years presented, as applicable:

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2016 (1)	2015 (2)
Audit fees	\$ 4,881,250	\$ 5,322,750

(1) Audit fees for 2016 include \$225,000 of charges for audit-related projects that were reimbursed by joint venture partners.

(2) Audit fees for 2015 include a one-time \$900,000 charge for the audit of the financial statements of our Offshore Business. This special audit was required in connection with the sale of this business in July 2015. The audit fee was reimbursed to us by the buyer.

As presented in the preceding table, “Audit Fees” typically represent amounts billed for each year in connection with (i) the annual audit of our consolidated financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting, (ii) the quarterly review of our consolidated financial statements filed on Form 10-Q, (iii) standalone annual audits of our consolidated subsidiaries and (iv) those services normally provided by Deloitte & Touche in connection with our statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, including comfort letters, consents and other services related to SEC matters. We did not engage Deloitte & Touche to perform any other services for us during the last two years.

In connection with its oversight responsibilities, the Audit and Conflicts Committee has adopted a pre-approval policy regarding any services to be performed by Deloitte & Touche. The pre-approval policy includes four primary service categories: Audit, Audit-related, Tax and Other. When Deloitte & Touche’s services are required, management and Deloitte & Touche discuss the proposed work with the Audit and Conflicts Committee. These discussions typically address the reasons for the project, the scope of the work to be performed and an estimate of the fee to be charged by Deloitte & Touche for such work. The Audit and Conflicts Committee discusses the request with management and Deloitte & Touche and, if the work is deemed necessary and appropriate for Deloitte & Touche to perform, approves the request subject to the fee estimate presented (the initial “pre-approved” fee amount). If at a later date, it appears that the initial pre-approved fee amount is insufficient to complete the work, management and Deloitte & Touche must present a supplemental request to the Audit and Conflicts Committee to increase the approved amount along with reasons for the increase. Under the pre-approval policy, management cannot act upon its own to authorize an expenditure for Deloitte & Touche services outside of the pre-approved amounts. On a quarterly basis, the Audit and Conflicts Committee is provided a schedule that compares the pre-approved amounts for each primary service category with the actual fees billed for each type of service. We believe the Audit and Conflicts Committee’s pre-approval process helps to ensure the independence of our principal accountant from management.

We are prohibited from using Deloitte & Touche to perform general bookkeeping, human resources or management functions for us, and any other service not permitted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

PART IV**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this annual report:

- (1) Financial Statements: See “Index to Consolidated Financial Statements” beginning on page F-1 of this annual report for the financial statements included herein.
- (2) Financial Statement Schedules: The separate filing of financial statement schedules has been omitted because such schedules are either not applicable or the information called for therein appears in the footnotes of our Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (3) Exhibits:

Exhibit Number	Exhibit*
2.1	Merger Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2003, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products Management LLC, GulfTerra Energy Partners, L.P. and GulfTerra Energy Company, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
2.2	Amendment No. 1 to Merger Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2004, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products Management LLC, GulfTerra Energy Partners, L.P. and GulfTerra Energy Company, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed September 7, 2004).
2.3	Parent Company Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2003, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products GTM, LLC, El Paso Corporation, Sabine River Investors I, L.L.C., Sabine River Investors II, L.L.C., El Paso EPN Investments, L.L.C. and GulfTerra GP Holding Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
2.4	Amendment No. 1 to Parent Company Agreement, dated as of April 19, 2004, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Products GTM, LLC, El Paso Corporation, Sabine River Investors I, L.L.C., Sabine River Investors II, L.L.C., El Paso EPN Investments, L.L.C. and GulfTerra GP Holding Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed April 21, 2004).
2.5	Purchase and Sale Agreement (Gas Plants), dated as of December 15, 2003, by and between El Paso Corporation, El Paso Field Services Management, Inc., El Paso Transmission, L.L.C., El Paso Field Services Holding Company and Enterprise Products Operating L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 to Form 8-K filed December 15, 2003).
2.6	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 28, 2009, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Sub B LLC, TEPPCO Partners, L.P. and Texas Eastern Products Pipeline Company, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed June 29, 2009).
2.7	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 28, 2009, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise Sub A LLC, TEPPCO Partners, L.P. and Texas Eastern Products Pipeline Company, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Form 8-K filed June 29, 2009).
2.8	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 3, 2010, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise ETE LLC, Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. and EPE Holdings, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed September 7, 2010).
2.9	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 3, 2010, by and among Enterprise Products GP, LLC, Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. and EPE Holdings, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Form 8-K filed September 7, 2010).

2.10	Contribution Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2010, by and between Enterprise Products Company and Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed October 1, 2010).
2.11	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 28, 2011, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, EPD MergerCo LLC, Duncan Energy Partners L.P. and DEP Holdings, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed April 29, 2011).
2.12	Contribution and Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 1, 2014, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Oiltanking Holding Americas, Inc. and OTB Holdco, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed October 1, 2014).
2.13	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 11, 2014, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, EPOT MergerCo LLC, Oiltanking Partners, L.P. and OTLP GP, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed November 12, 2014).
3.1	Certificate of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to Form 10-Q filed November 9, 2007).
3.2	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Partners L.P., filed on November 22, 2010 with the Delaware Secretary of State (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to Form 8-K filed November 23, 2010).
3.3	Sixth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Partners L.P., dated November 22, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed November 23, 2010).
3.4	Amendment No. 1 to Sixth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Partners L.P., dated effective as of August 11, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed August 16, 2011).
3.5	Amendment No. 2 to Sixth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Enterprise Products Partners L.P., dated effective as of August 21, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed August 26, 2014).
3.6	Certificate of Formation of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC (formerly named EPE Holdings, LLC) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Form S-1/A Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-124320, filed by Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. on July 22, 2005).
3.7	Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Formation of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC (formerly named EPE Holdings, LLC), filed on November 22, 2010 with the Delaware Secretary of State (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to Form 8-K filed November 23, 2010).
3.8	Fifth Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC dated effective as of September 7, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed September 8, 2011).
3.9	Company Agreement of Enterprise Products Operating LLC dated June 30, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Form 10-Q filed August 8, 2007).
3.10	Certificate of Incorporation of Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc., dated December 3, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to Form S-4 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-121665, filed December 27, 2004).
3.11	Bylaws of Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc., dated December 8, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to Form S-4 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-121665, filed December 27, 2004).
4.1	Form of Common Unit certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed August 16, 2011).
4.2	Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2000, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Guarantor, and First Union National Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed March 10, 2000).
4.3	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 14, 2003, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Guarantor, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 10-K filed March 31, 2003).

- 4.4 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 30, 2007, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Original Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as New Issuer, and U.S. Bank National Association, as successor Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.55 to Form 10-Q filed August 8, 2007).
- 4.5 Indenture, dated as of October 4, 2004, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed October 6, 2004).
- 4.6 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 4, 2004, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed October 6, 2004).
- 4.7 Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 2, 2005, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed March 3, 2005).
- 4.8 Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 18, 2006, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed July 19, 2006).
- 4.9 Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 24, 2007, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed May 24, 2007).
- 4.10 Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 30, 2007, among Enterprise Products Operating L.P., as Original Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as New Issuer, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.54 to Form 10-Q filed August 8, 2007).
- 4.11 Eleventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 4, 2007, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed September 5, 2007).
- 4.12 Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 3, 2008, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed April 3, 2008).
- 4.13 Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 5, 2009, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed October 5, 2009).
- 4.14 Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 27, 2009, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed October 28, 2009).
- 4.15 Eighteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 27, 2009, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed October 28, 2009).
- 4.16 Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 20, 2010, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed May 20, 2010).

4.17	Twentieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 13, 2011, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed January 13, 2011).
4.18	Twenty-First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 24, 2011, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed August 24, 2011).
4.19	Twenty-Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 15, 2012, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.25 to Form 10-Q filed May 10, 2012).
4.20	Twenty-Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 13, 2012, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed August 13, 2012).
4.21	Twenty-Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 18, 2013, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed March 18, 2013).
4.22	Twenty-Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2014, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed February 12, 2014).
4.23	Twenty-Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 14, 2014, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed October 14, 2014).
4.24	Twenty-Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 7, 2015, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Parent Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed May 7, 2015).
4.25	Twenty-Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 13, 2016, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, as Issuer, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., as Guarantor, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed April 13, 2016).
4.26	Form of Global Note representing \$499.2 million principal amount of 6.875% Series B Senior Notes due 2033 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to Form 10-K filed March 31, 2003).
4.27	Form of Global Note representing \$350.0 million principal amount of 6.65% Series B Senior Notes due 2034 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.19 to Form S-3 Registration Statement, Reg. No. 333-123150, filed March 4, 2005).
4.28	Form of Global Note representing \$250.0 million principal amount of 5.75% Series B Senior Notes due 2035 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.32 to Form 10-Q filed November 4, 2005).
4.29	Form of Junior Subordinated Note, including Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed July 19, 2006).
4.30	Form of Global Note representing \$800.0 million principal amount of 6.30% Senior Notes due 2017 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.38 to Form 10-Q filed November 9, 2007).
4.31	Form of Global Note representing \$700.0 million principal amount of 6.50% Senior Notes due 2019 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed April 3, 2008).
4.32	Form of Global Note representing \$500.0 million principal amount of 5.25% Senior Notes due 2020 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed October 5, 2009).

4.33	Form of Global Note representing \$600.0 million principal amount of 6.125% Senior Notes due 2039 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed October 5, 2009).
4.34	Form of Global Note representing \$349.7 million principal amount of 6.65% Senior Notes due 2018 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Form 8-K filed October 28, 2009).
4.35	Form of Global Note representing \$399.6 million principal amount of 7.55% Senior Notes due 2038 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to Form 8-K filed October 28, 2009).
4.36	Form of Global Note representing \$285.8 million principal amount of 7.000% Junior Subordinated Notes due 2067 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to Form 8-K filed October 28, 2009).
4.37	Form of Global Note representing \$1.0 billion principal amount of 5.20% Senior Notes due 2020 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed May 20, 2010).
4.38	Form of Global Note representing \$600.0 million principal amount of 6.45% Senior Notes due 2040 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed May 20, 2010).
4.39	Form of Global Note representing \$750.0 million principal amount of 3.20% Senior Notes due 2016 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed January 13, 2011).
4.40	Form of Global Note representing \$750.0 million principal amount of 5.95% Senior Notes due 2041 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed January 13, 2011).
4.41	Form of Global Note representing \$650.0 million principal amount of 4.05% Senior Notes due 2022 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed August 24, 2011).
4.42	Form of Global Note representing \$600.0 million principal amount of 5.70% Senior Notes due 2042 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed August 24, 2011).
4.43	Form of Global Note representing \$750.0 million principal amount of 4.85% Senior Notes due 2042 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.25 to Form 10-Q filed May 10, 2012).
4.44	Form of Global Note representing \$1.1 billion principal amount of 4.45% Senior Notes due 2043 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed August 13, 2012).
4.45	Form of Global Note representing \$1.25 billion principal amount of 3.35% Senior Notes due 2023 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed March 18, 2013).
4.46	Form of Global Note representing \$1.0 billion principal amount of 4.85% Senior Notes due 2044 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed March 18, 2013).
4.47	Form of Global Note representing \$850.0 million principal amount of 3.90% Senior Notes due 2024 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed February 12, 2014).
4.48	Form of Global Note representing \$1.15 billion principal amount of 5.10% Senior Notes due 2045 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed February 12, 2014).
4.49	Form of Global Note representing \$800.0 million principal amount of 2.55% Senior Notes due 2019 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed October 14, 2014).
4.50	Form of Global Note representing \$1.15 billion principal amount of 3.75% Senior Notes due 2025 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed October 14, 2014).

4.51	Form of Global Note representing \$400.0 million principal amount of 4.95% Senior Notes due 2054 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed October 14, 2014).
4.52	Form of Global Note representing \$400.0 million principal amount of 4.85% Senior Notes due 2044 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed October 14, 2014).
4.53	Form of Global Note representing \$750.0 million principal amount of 1.65% Senior Notes due 2018 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed May 7, 2015).
4.54	Form of Global Note representing \$875.0 million principal amount of 3.70% Senior Notes due 2026 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed May 7, 2015).
4.55	Form of Global Note representing \$875.0 million principal amount of 4.90% Senior Notes due 2046 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed May 7, 2015).
4.56	Form of Global Note representing \$575.0 million principal amount of 2.85% Senior Notes due 2021 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed April 13, 2016).
4.57	Form of Global Note representing \$575.0 million principal amount of 3.95% Senior Notes due 2027 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed April 13, 2016).
4.58	Form of Global Note representing \$100.0 million principal amount of 4.90% Senior Notes due 2046 with attached Guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed April 13, 2016).
4.59	Replacement Capital Covenant, dated July 18, 2006, executed by Enterprise Products Operating L.P. in favor of the covered debtholders described therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed July 19, 2006).
4.60	First Amendment to Replacement Capital Covenant dated August 25, 2006, executed by Enterprise Products Operating L.P. in favor of the covered debtholders described therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed August 25, 2006).
4.61	Replacement Capital Covenant, dated May 24, 2007, executed by Enterprise Products Operating L.P. and Enterprise Products Partners L.P. in favor of the covered debtholders described therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed May 24, 2007).
4.62	Replacement Capital Covenant, dated October 27, 2009, executed by Enterprise Products Operating LLC and Enterprise Products Partners L.P. in favor of the covered debtholders described therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to Form 8-K filed October 28, 2009).
4.63	Amendment to Replacement Capital Covenants, dated May 6, 2015, executed by Enterprise Products Operating LLC and Enterprise Products Partners L.P. in favor of the covered debtholders described therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.59 to Form 10-Q filed May 8, 2015).
4.64	Indenture, dated February 20, 2002, by and among TEPPCO Partners, L.P., as Issuer, TE Products Pipeline Company, Limited Partnership, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, L.P. and Jonah Gas Gathering Company, as Subsidiary Guarantors, and First Union National Bank, NA, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Form 8-K filed by TEPPCO Partners, L.P. on February 20, 2002).
4.65	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated June 27, 2002, by and among TEPPCO Partners, L.P., as Issuer, TE Products Pipeline Company, Limited Partnership, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, L.P. and Jonah Gas Gathering Company, as Initial Subsidiary Guarantors, Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P., as New Subsidiary Guarantor, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, formerly known as First Union National Bank, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Form 10-Q filed by TEPPCO Partners, L.P. on August 14, 2002).

- 4.66 Full Release of Guarantee, dated July 31, 2006, by Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Trustee, in favor of Jonah Gas Gathering Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the Form 10-Q filed by TEPPCO Partners, L.P. on November 7, 2006).
- 4.67 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated June 30, 2007, by and among TEPPCO Partners, L.P., as Issuer, TE Products Pipeline Company, Limited Partnership, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, L.P., Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P., TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC and TEPPCO Midstream Companies, LLC, as Subsidiary Guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Form 8-K filed by TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC on July 6, 2007).
- 4.68 Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated March 27, 2008, by and among TEPPCO Partners, L.P., as Issuer, TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, LLC and Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P., as Subsidiary Guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to the Form 10-Q filed by TEPPCO Partners, L.P. on May 8, 2008).
- 4.69 Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated March 27, 2008, by and among TEPPCO Partners, L.P., as Issuer, TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, LLC and Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P., as Subsidiary Guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.13 to the Form 10-Q filed by TEPPCO Partners, L.P. on May 8, 2008).
- 4.70 Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated October 27, 2009, by and among TEPPCO Partners, L.P., as Issuer, TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, LLC and Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P., as Subsidiary Guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K filed by TEPPCO Partners, L.P. on October 28, 2009).
- 4.71 Full Release of Guarantee, dated November 23, 2009, of TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, LLC and Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P. by U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.64 to Form 10-K filed March 1, 2010).
- 4.72 Indenture, dated May 14, 2007, by and among TEPPCO Partners, L.P., as Issuer, TE Products Pipeline Company, Limited Partnership, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, L.P. and Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P., as Subsidiary Guarantors, and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 8-K filed by TEPPCO Partners, L.P. on May 15, 2007).
- 4.73 First Supplemental Indenture, dated May 18, 2007, by and among TEPPCO Partners, L.P., as Issuer, TE Products Pipeline Company, Limited Partnership, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, L.P. and Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P., as Subsidiary Guarantors, and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Form 8-K filed by TEPPCO Partners, L.P. on May 18, 2007).
- 4.74 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 30, 2007, by and among TEPPCO Partners, L.P., as Issuer, TE Products Pipeline Company, Limited Partnership, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, L.P. and Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P., as Existing Subsidiary Guarantors, TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC and TEPPCO Midstream Companies, LLC, as New Subsidiary Guarantors, and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Form 8-K filed by TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC on July 6, 2007).
- 4.75 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 27, 2009, by and among TEPPCO Partners, L.P., as Issuer, TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, LLC and Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P., as Subsidiary Guarantors, and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Form 8-K filed by TEPPCO Partners, L.P. on October 28, 2009).
- 4.76 Full Release of Guarantee, dated as of November 23, 2009, of TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC, TCTM, L.P., TEPPCO Midstream Companies, LLC and Val Verde Gas Gathering Company, L.P. by The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.70 to Form 10-K filed March 1, 2010).

4.77	Registration Rights Agreement by and between Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and Oiltanking Holding Americas, Inc. dated as of October 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed October 1, 2014).
10.1***	Enterprise Products 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Amended and Restated as of February 23, 2010) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed February 26, 2010).
10.2***	Form of Employee Restricted Unit Grant Award under the Enterprise Products 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
10.3***	2008 Enterprise Products Long-Term Incentive Plan (Third Amendment and Restatement) (incorporated by reference to Annex A to Definitive Proxy Statement filed August 26, 2013).
10.4***#	Form of Employee Phantom Unit Grant Award under the 2008 Enterprise Products Long-Term Incentive Plan.
10.5	Distribution Waiver Agreement, dated as of November 22, 2010, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., EPCO Holdings, Inc. and the EPD Unitholder named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed November 23, 2010).
10.6	Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of September 7, 2011, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, Canadian Enterprise Gas Products, Ltd, the Lenders party thereto, Wells Fargo Bank National Association, as Administrative Agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland PLC, Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd. and The Bank of Nova Scotia, as Co-syndication Agents and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Barclays Bank PLC, as Co-Documentation Agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed September 8, 2011).
10.7	Guaranty Agreement, dated as of September 7, 2011, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and Enterprise Products Operating LLC in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed September 8, 2011).
10.8	First Amendment dated as of June 19, 2013 to Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of September 7, 2011, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, Canadian Enterprise Gas Products, Ltd., Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent for each of the lenders that is a signatory or which becomes a signatory to the Credit Agreement, the Lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., DNB Bank ASA, New York Branch, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd. and The Royal Bank of Scotland Plc, as Co-Syndication Agents, and The Bank of Nova Scotia, SunTrust Bank, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC and Royal Bank of Canada, as Co-Documentation Agents, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., DNB Markets, Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., RBS Securities Inc., Scotia Capital, SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Runners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K filed June 20, 2013).
10.9	Second Amendment dated as of September 16, 2015 to Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of September 7, 2011, as amended by First Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of June 19, 2013, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, the Lenders and Issuing Banks party thereto, Citibank, N.A., DNB Bank ASA, New York Branch, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Mizuho Bank, Ltd. and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., as Co-Syndication Agents, and Royal Bank of Canada, The Bank of Nova Scotia, SunTrust Bank and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Documentation Agents, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., DNB Markets, Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Mizuho Bank, Ltd., The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., RBC Capital Markets, The Bank of Nova Scotia, SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., and UBS Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Runners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed September 16, 2015).

10.10	Eighth Amended and Restated Administrative Services Agreement, effective as of February 13, 2015, by and among Enterprise Products Company, EPCO Holdings, Inc., Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc., Enterprise Products Operating LLC and the Oiltanking Parties named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed February 13, 2015).
10.11	364-Day Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2014, among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, the Lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, certain financial institutions from time to time named therein, as Co-Documentation Agents and Citibank, N.A. as Sole Lead Arranger and Sole Book Runner (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed October 1, 2014).
10.12	Guaranty Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2014, by Enterprise Products Partners L.P. in favor of Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed October 1, 2014).
10.13	First Amendment to 364-Day Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of September 16, 2015, by and among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, the Lenders party thereto, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, DNB Bank ASA, New York Branch, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Mizuho Bank, Ltd., and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., as Co-Syndication Agents, and Royal Bank of Canada, The Bank of Nova Scotia, SunTrust Bank and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Documentation Agents, and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, DNB Markets, Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Mizuho Bank, Ltd., The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., RBC Capital Markets, The Bank of Nova Scotia, SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc. and UBS Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Runners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed September 16, 2015).
10.14	Second Amendment to 364-Day Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of September 14, 2016, by and among Enterprise Products Operating LLC, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, the Lenders party thereto, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, DNB Bank ASA, New York Branch, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Mizuho Bank, Ltd., and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., as Co-Syndication Agents, and Royal Bank of Canada, The Bank of Nova Scotia, SunTrust Bank and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Documentation Agents, and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, DNB Markets, Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Mizuho Bank, Ltd., The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., RBC Capital Markets, The Bank of Nova Scotia, SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc. and UBS Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Runners (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed September 14, 2016).
10.15	Liquidity Option Agreement, dated as of October 1, 2014, between Enterprise Products Partners, L.P., Oiltanking Holding Americas, Inc., and Marquard & Bahls AG (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K filed October 1, 2014).
10.16	Support Agreement, dated as of November 11, 2014, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products Operating LLC and Oiltanking Partners, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed November 12, 2014).
10.17***#	EPD PubCo Unit I L.P. Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership dated November 3, 2016.
10.18***#	EPD PubCo Unit II L.P. Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership dated November 3, 2016.
10.19***#	EPD PrivCo Unit I L.P. Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership dated November 3, 2016.
10.20***#	EPD PubCo Unit III L.P. Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership dated November 3, 2016.

10.21	Equity Distribution Agreement, dated August 3, 2016, by and among Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc., Enterprise Products Operating LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Barclays Capital Inc., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., DNB Markets, Inc., Jefferies LLC, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Mizuho Securities USA Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, MUFG Securities Americas Inc., Raymond James & Associates, Inc., RBC Capital Markets, LLC, Scotia Capital (USA) Inc., SG Americas Securities, LLC, SMBC Nikko Securities America, Inc., SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., TD Securities (USA) LLC, UBS Securities LLC, USCA Securities LLC and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to Form 8-K filed August 4, 2016).
12.1#	Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for each of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012.
21.1#	List of consolidated subsidiaries as of February 1, 2017.
23.1#	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.
31.1#	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 certification of A. James Teague for Enterprise Products Partners L.P.'s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.
31.2#	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 certification of W. Randall Fowler for Enterprise Products Partners L.P.'s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.
31.3#	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 certification of Bryan F. Bulawa for Enterprise Products Partners L.P.'s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.
32.1#	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 906 certification of A. James Teague for Enterprise Products Partners L.P.'s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.
32.2#	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 906 certification of W. Randall Fowler for Enterprise Products Partners L.P.'s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.
32.3#	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 906 certification of Bryan F. Bulawa for Enterprise Products Partners L.P.'s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.
101.CAL#	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF#	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document
101.INS#	XBRL Instance Document
101.LAB#	XBRL Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE#	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
101.SCH#	XBRL Schema Document

* With respect to any exhibits incorporated by reference to any Exchange Act filings, the Commission file numbers for Enterprise Products Partners L.P., Enterprise GP Holdings L.P, TEPPCO Partners, L.P. and TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC are 1-14323, 1-32610, 1-10403 and 1-13603, respectively.

*** Identifies management contract and compensatory plan arrangements.

Filed with this report.

Item 16. Form 10-K Summary.

Not applicable.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized on February 24, 2017.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)

By: Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, as General Partner

By: /s/ R. Daniel Boss

Name: R. Daniel Boss

Title: Senior Vice President-Accounting and Risk Control
of the General Partner

By: /s/ Michael W. Hanson

Name: Michael W. Hanson

Title: Vice President and Principal Accounting Officer
of the General Partner

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated below on February 24, 2017.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title (Position with Enterprise Products Holdings LLC)</u>
<u>/s/ Randa Duncan Williams</u> Randa Duncan Williams	Director and Chairman of the Board
<u>/s/ Richard H. Bachmann</u> Richard H. Bachmann	Director and Vice-Chairman of the Board
<u>/s/ A. James Teague</u> A. James Teague	Director and Chief Executive Officer
<u>/s/ W. Randall Fowler</u> W. Randall Fowler	Director and President
<u>/s/ Bryan F. Bulawa</u> Bryan F. Bulawa	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
<u>/s/ Harry P. Weitzel</u> Harry P. Weitzel	Director and Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
<u>/s/ Carin M. Barth</u> Carin M. Barth	Director
<u>/s/ Dr. F. Christian Flach</u> Dr. F. Christian Flach	Director
<u>/s/ James T. Hackett</u> James T. Hackett	Director
<u>/s/ Charles E. McMahan</u> Charles E. McMahan	Director
<u>/s/ William C. Montgomery</u> William C. Montgomery	Director
<u>/s/ Richard S. Snell</u> Richard S. Snell	Director
<u>/s/ R. Daniel Boss</u> R. Daniel Boss	Senior Vice President (Accounting and Risk Control)
<u>/s/ Michael W. Hanson</u> Michael W. Hanson	Vice President and Principal Accounting Officer

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	Page No.
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Statements of Consolidated Operations</u> <u>for the Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Statements of Consolidated Comprehensive Income</u> <u>for the Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows</u> <u>for the Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Statements of Consolidated Equity</u> <u>for the Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Note 1 – Partnership Operations, Organization and Basis of Presentation</u>	<u>F-9</u>
<u>Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>	<u>F-9</u>
<u>Note 3 – Revenue Recognition</u>	<u>F-17</u>
<u>Note 4 – Inventories</u>	<u>F-20</u>
<u>Note 5 – Property, Plant and Equipment</u>	<u>F-21</u>
<u>Note 6 – Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates</u>	<u>F-23</u>
<u>Note 7 – Intangible Assets and Goodwill</u>	<u>F-26</u>
<u>Note 8 – Debt Obligations</u>	<u>F-31</u>
<u>Note 9 – Equity and Distributions</u>	<u>F-35</u>
<u>Note 10 – Business Segments and Related Information</u>	<u>F-40</u>
<u>Note 11 – Earnings Per Unit</u>	<u>F-46</u>
<u>Note 12 – Business Combinations</u>	<u>F-47</u>
<u>Note 13 – Equity-Based Awards</u>	<u>F-51</u>
<u>Note 14 – Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities and Fair Value Measurements</u>	<u>F-57</u>
<u>Note 15 – Related Party Transactions</u>	<u>F-66</u>
<u>Note 16 – Provision for Income Taxes</u>	<u>F-68</u>
<u>Note 17 – Commitments and Contingencies</u>	<u>F-69</u>
<u>Note 18 – Significant Risks and Uncertainties</u>	<u>F-74</u>
<u>Note 19 – Supplemental Cash Flow Information</u>	<u>F-76</u>
<u>Note 20 – Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)</u>	<u>F-77</u>
<u>Note 21 – Condensed Consolidating Financial Information</u>	<u>F-78</u>

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC and
Unitholders of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Houston, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related statements of consolidated operations, comprehensive income, cash flows, and equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 24, 2017, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
February 24, 2017

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in millions)

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 63.1	\$ 19.0
Restricted cash	354.5	15.9
Accounts receivable – trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$11.3 at December 31, 2016 and \$12.1 at December 31, 2015	3,329.5	2,569.9
Accounts receivable – related parties	1.1	1.2
Inventories	1,770.5	1,038.1
Derivative assets (see Note 14)	541.4	258.6
Prepaid and other current assets	468.1	395.6
Total current assets	6,528.2	4,298.3
Property, plant and equipment, net	33,292.5	32,034.7
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	2,677.3	2,628.5
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,403.1 at December 31, 2016 and \$1,235.8 at December 31, 2015 (see Note 7)	3,864.1	4,037.2
Goodwill (see Note 7)	5,745.2	5,745.2
Other assets	86.7	58.3
Total assets	\$ 52,194.0	\$ 48,802.2
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of debt (see Note 8)	\$ 2,576.8	\$ 1,863.9
Accounts payable – trade	397.7	860.1
Accounts payable – related parties	105.1	84.1
Accrued product payables	3,613.7	2,484.4
Accrued liability related to EFS Midstream acquisition (see Note 12)	--	993.2
Accrued interest	340.8	352.1
Derivative liabilities (see Note 14)	737.7	140.6
Other current liabilities	478.7	388.2
Total current liabilities	8,250.5	7,166.6
Long-term debt (see Note 8)	21,120.9	20,676.9
Deferred tax liabilities	52.7	46.1
Other long-term liabilities	503.9	411.5
Commitments and contingencies (see Note 17)		
Equity: (see Note 9)		
Partners' equity:		
Limited partners:		
Common units (2,117,588,414 units outstanding at December 31, 2016 and 2,012,553,024 units outstanding at December 31, 2015)	22,327.0	20,514.3
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(280.0)	(219.2)
Total partners' equity	22,047.0	20,295.1
Noncontrolling interests	219.0	206.0
Total equity	22,266.0	20,501.1
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 52,194.0	\$ 48,802.2

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS
(Dollars in millions, except per unit amounts)

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Revenues:			
Third parties	\$ 22,965.6	\$ 26,955.6	\$ 47,879.7
Related parties	56.7	72.3	71.5
Total revenues (see Note 10)	<u>23,022.3</u>	<u>27,027.9</u>	<u>47,951.2</u>
Costs and expenses:			
Operating costs and expenses:			
Third parties	18,539.5	22,588.2	43,228.4
Related parties	1,104.0	1,080.5	992.1
Total operating costs and expenses	<u>19,643.5</u>	<u>23,668.7</u>	<u>44,220.5</u>
General and administrative costs:			
Third parties	47.0	78.5	83.7
Related parties	113.1	114.1	130.8
Total general and administrative costs	<u>160.1</u>	<u>192.6</u>	<u>214.5</u>
Total costs and expenses (see Note 10)	<u>19,803.6</u>	<u>23,861.3</u>	<u>44,435.0</u>
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	<u>362.0</u>	<u>373.6</u>	<u>259.5</u>
Operating income	<u>3,580.7</u>	<u>3,540.2</u>	<u>3,775.7</u>
Other income (expense):			
Interest expense	(982.6)	(961.8)	(921.0)
Change in fair market value of Liquidity Option Agreement (see Note 17)	(24.5)	(25.4)	--
Other, net	2.8	2.9	1.9
Total other expense, net	<u>(1,004.3)</u>	<u>(984.3)</u>	<u>(919.1)</u>
Income before income taxes	<u>2,576.4</u>	<u>2,555.9</u>	<u>2,856.6</u>
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes (see Note 16)	<u>(23.4)</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>(23.1)</u>
Net income	<u>2,553.0</u>	<u>2,558.4</u>	<u>2,833.5</u>
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests (see Note 9)	<u>(39.9)</u>	<u>(37.2)</u>	<u>(46.1)</u>
Net income attributable to limited partners	<u>\$ 2,513.1</u>	<u>\$ 2,521.2</u>	<u>\$ 2,787.4</u>
Earnings per unit: (see Note 11)			
Basic earnings per unit	<u>\$ 1.20</u>	<u>\$ 1.28</u>	<u>\$ 1.51</u>
Diluted earnings per unit	<u>\$ 1.20</u>	<u>\$ 1.26</u>	<u>\$ 1.47</u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(Dollars in millions)

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Net income	\$ 2,553.0	\$ 2,558.4	\$ 2,833.5
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Cash flow hedges:			
Commodity derivative instruments:			
Changes in fair value of cash flow hedges	(193.8)	214.9	161.3
Reclassification of losses (gains) to net income	53.4	(228.2)	(76.7)
Interest rate derivative instruments:			
Changes in fair value of cash flow hedges	42.3	--	--
Reclassification of losses to net income	37.4	35.3	32.4
Total cash flow hedges	(60.7)	22.0	117.0
Other	(0.1)	0.4	0.4
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(60.8)	22.4	117.4
Comprehensive income	2,492.2	2,580.8	2,950.9
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(39.9)	(37.2)	(46.1)
Comprehensive income attributable to limited partners	<u>\$ 2,452.3</u>	<u>\$ 2,543.6</u>	<u>\$ 2,904.8</u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS
(Dollars in millions)

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 2,553.0	\$ 2,558.4	\$ 2,833.5
<i>Reconciliation of net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:</i>			
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	1,552.0	1,516.0	1,360.5
Asset impairment and related charges	53.5	162.6	34.0
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	(362.0)	(373.6)	(259.5)
Distributions received on earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	380.5	462.1	375.1
Net losses (gains) attributable to asset sales and insurance recoveries (see Note 19)	(2.5)	15.6	(102.1)
Gains on early extinguishment of debt	--	(1.6)	--
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	6.6	(20.6)	6.1
Change in fair market value of derivative instruments	45.0	(18.4)	30.6
Change in fair market value of Liquidity Option Agreement	24.5	25.4	--
Net effect of changes in operating accounts (see Note 19)	(180.9)	(323.3)	(108.2)
Other operating activities	(2.9)	(0.2)	(7.8)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	<u>4,066.8</u>	<u>4,002.4</u>	<u>4,162.2</u>
Investing activities:			
Capital expenditures	(3,025.1)	(3,830.7)	(2,892.9)
Contributions in aid of construction costs	41.0	19.1	28.9
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash	(338.6)	(15.9)	65.6
Cash used for business combinations, net of cash received (see Note 12)	(1,000.0)	(1,056.5)	(2,416.8)
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	(138.8)	(162.6)	(722.4)
Distributions received for return of capital from unconsolidated affiliates	71.0	--	--
Proceeds from asset sales and insurance recoveries (see Note 19)	46.5	1,608.6	145.3
Other investing activities	(0.4)	(3.8)	(5.6)
Cash used in investing activities	<u>(4,344.4)</u>	<u>(3,441.8)</u>	<u>(5,797.9)</u>
Financing activities:			
Borrowings under debt agreements	62,813.9	21,081.1	18,361.1
Repayments of debt	(61,672.6)	(19,867.2)	(14,341.1)
Debt issuance costs	(10.6)	(24.0)	(41.2)
Monetization of interest rate derivative instruments (see Note 14)	6.1	--	27.6
Cash distributions paid to limited partners (see Note 9)	(3,300.5)	(2,943.7)	(2,638.1)
Cash payments made in connection with distribution equivalent rights	(11.7)	(7.7)	(3.7)
Cash distributions paid to noncontrolling interests (see Note 9)	(47.4)	(48.0)	(48.6)
Cash contributions from noncontrolling interests (see Note 9)	20.4	54.0	4.0
Net cash proceeds from the issuance of common units	2,542.8	1,188.6	388.8
Other financing activities	(18.7)	(49.1)	(55.6)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>321.7</u>	<u>(616.0)</u>	<u>1,653.2</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	<u>44.1</u>	<u>(55.4)</u>	<u>17.5</u>
Cash and cash equivalents, January 1	<u>19.0</u>	<u>74.4</u>	<u>56.9</u>
Cash and cash equivalents, December 31	<u>\$ 63.1</u>	<u>\$ 19.0</u>	<u>\$ 74.4</u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED EQUITY
(See Note 9 for Unit History, Accumulated Other Comprehensive
Income (Loss) and Noncontrolling Interests)
(Dollars in millions)

	Partners' Equity			Total
	Limited Partners	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Noncontrolling Interests	
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$ 15,573.8	\$ (359.0)	\$ 225.6	\$ 15,440.4
Net income	2,787.4	--	46.1	2,833.5
Cash distributions paid to limited partners	(2,638.1)	--	--	(2,638.1)
Cash payments made in connection with distribution equivalent rights	(3.7)	--	--	(3.7)
Cash distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	--	--	(48.6)	(48.6)
Cash contributions from noncontrolling interests	--	--	4.0	4.0
Common units issued and noncontrolling interests acquired in connection with Step 1 of Oiltanking acquisition	2,171.5	--	1,397.2	3,568.7
Net cash proceeds from the issuance of common units	388.8	--	--	388.8
Amortization of fair value of equity-based awards	81.8	--	5.2	87.0
Cash flow hedges	--	117.0	--	117.0
Other	(56.7)	0.4	(0.5)	(56.8)
Balance, December 31, 2014	18,304.8	(241.6)	1,629.0	19,692.2
Net income	2,521.2	--	37.2	2,558.4
Cash distributions paid to limited partners	(2,943.7)	--	--	(2,943.7)
Cash payments made in connection with distribution equivalent rights	(7.7)	--	--	(7.7)
Cash distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	--	--	(48.0)	(48.0)
Cash contributions from noncontrolling interests	--	--	54.0	54.0
Common units issued in connection with Step 2 of Oiltanking acquisition	1,408.7	--	(1,408.7)	--
Removal of noncontrolling interests in connection with sale of Offshore Business	--	--	(62.1)	(62.1)
Net cash proceeds from the issuance of common units	1,188.6	--	--	1,188.6
Amortization of fair value of equity-based awards	92.4	--	--	92.4
Cash flow hedges	--	22.0	--	22.0
Other	(50.0)	0.4	4.6	(45.0)
Balance, December 31, 2015	20,514.3	(219.2)	206.0	20,501.1
Net income	2,513.1	--	39.9	2,553.0
Cash distributions paid to limited partners	(3,300.5)	--	--	(3,300.5)
Cash payments made in connection with distribution equivalent rights	(11.7)	--	--	(11.7)
Cash distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	--	--	(47.4)	(47.4)
Cash contributions from noncontrolling interests	--	--	20.4	20.4
Net cash proceeds from the issuance of common units	2,542.8	--	--	2,542.8
Amortization of fair value of equity-based awards	90.2	--	--	90.2
Cash flow hedges	--	(60.7)	--	(60.7)
Other	(21.2)	(0.1)	0.1	(21.2)
Balance, December 31, 2016	<u>\$ 22,327.0</u>	<u>\$ (280.0)</u>	<u>\$ 219.0</u>	<u>\$ 22,266.0</u>

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

*With the exception of per unit amounts, or as noted within the context of each disclosure,
the dollar amounts presented in the tabular data within these disclosures are
stated in millions of dollars.*

**KEY REFERENCES USED IN THESE
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Unless the context requires otherwise, references to “we,” “us,” “our,” “Enterprise” or “Enterprise Products Partners” are intended to mean the business and operations of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and its consolidated subsidiaries. References to “EPO” mean Enterprise Products Operating LLC, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Enterprise, and its consolidated subsidiaries, through which Enterprise Products Partners L.P. conducts its business. Enterprise is managed by its general partner, Enterprise Products Holdings LLC (“Enterprise GP”), which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Dan Duncan LLC, a privately held Texas limited liability company.

The membership interests of Dan Duncan LLC are owned by a voting trust, the current trustees (“DD LLC Trustees”) of which are: (i) Randa Duncan Williams, who is also a director and Chairman of the Board of Directors (the “Board”) of Enterprise GP; (ii) Richard H. Bachmann, who is also a director and Vice Chairman of the Board of Enterprise GP; and (iii) Dr. Ralph S. Cunningham. Ms. Duncan Williams and Mr. Bachmann also currently serve as managers of Dan Duncan LLC along with W. Randall Fowler, who is also a director and President of Enterprise GP.

References to “EPCO” mean Enterprise Products Company, a privately held Texas corporation, and its privately held affiliates. A majority of the outstanding voting capital stock of EPCO is owned by a voting trust, the current trustees (“EPCO Trustees”) of which are: (i) Ms. Duncan Williams, who serves as Chairman of EPCO; (ii) Dr. Cunningham, who serves as Vice Chairman of EPCO; and (iii) Mr. Bachmann, who serves as the President and Chief Executive Officer of EPCO. Ms. Duncan Williams and Mr. Bachmann also currently serve as directors of EPCO along with Mr. Fowler, who is also the Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of EPCO. EPCO, together with its privately held affiliates, owned approximately 32% of our limited partner interests at December 31, 2016.

References to “Oiltanking” and “Oiltanking GP” mean Oiltanking Partners, L.P. and OTLP GP, LLC, the general partner of Oiltanking, respectively. In October 2014, we acquired approximately 65.9% of the limited partner interests of Oiltanking, all of the member interests of Oiltanking GP and the incentive distribution rights (“IDRs”) held by Oiltanking GP from Oiltanking Holding Americas, Inc. (“OTA”), a United States (“U.S.”) corporation, as the first step of a two-step acquisition of Oiltanking. In February 2015, we completed the second step of this transaction consisting of the acquisition of the noncontrolling interests in Oiltanking. See Note 12 for additional information regarding this acquisition.

References to “TEPPCO” mean TEPPCO Partners, L.P. prior to its merger with one of our wholly owned subsidiaries in October 2009.

References to “Offshore Business” refer to the Gulf of Mexico operations we sold to Genesis Energy, L.P. (“Genesis”) in July 2015. See Note 5 for information regarding this sale.

References to “EFS Midstream” mean EFS Midstream LLC, which we acquired in July 2015 from affiliates of Pioneer Natural Resources Company (“PXD”) and Reliance Industries Limited (“Reliance”). See Note 12 for additional information regarding this acquisition.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Partnership Operations, Organization and Basis of Presentation

We are a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership, the common units of which are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the ticker symbol “EPD.” We were formed in April 1998 to own and operate certain natural gas liquids (“NGLs”) related businesses of EPCO and are a leading North American provider of midstream energy services to producers and consumers of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products.

Our integrated midstream energy asset network links producers of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil from some of the largest supply basins in the U.S., Canada and the Gulf of Mexico with domestic consumers and international markets. Our midstream energy operations currently include: natural gas gathering, treating, processing, transportation and storage; NGL transportation, fractionation, storage, and export and import terminals (including those used to export liquefied petroleum gases, or “LPG,” and ethane); crude oil gathering, transportation, storage, and export and import terminals; petrochemical and refined products transportation, storage, export and import terminals, and related services; and a marine transportation business that operates primarily on the U.S. inland and Intracoastal Waterway systems. Our assets currently include approximately 49,300 miles of pipelines; 260 million barrels (“MMBbls”) of storage capacity for NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products; and 14 billion cubic feet (“Bcf”) of natural gas storage capacity. All statistical data (e.g., pipeline mileage, processing capacity and similar operating metrics) in these notes to consolidated financial statements are unaudited.

We conduct substantially all of our business through EPO and are owned 100% by our limited partners from an economic perspective. Enterprise GP manages our partnership and owns a non-economic general partner interest in us. We, Enterprise GP, EPCO and Dan Duncan LLC are affiliates under the collective common control of the DD LLC Trustees and the EPCO Trustees. Like many publicly traded partnerships, we have no employees. All of our management, administrative and operating functions are performed by employees of EPCO pursuant to an administrative services agreement (the “ASA”) or by other service providers. See Note 15 for information regarding the ASA and other related party matters.

Our historical operations are reported under five business segments: (i) NGL Pipelines & Services, (ii) Crude Oil Pipelines & Services, (iii) Natural Gas Pipelines & Services, (iv) Petrochemical & Refined Products Services and (v) Offshore Pipelines & Services. On July 24, 2015, we completed the sale of our Offshore Business, which primarily consisted of our Offshore Pipelines & Services segment. Our consolidated financial statements reflect ownership of the Offshore Business through July 24, 2015. See Note 10 for additional information regarding our business segments.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Our allowance for doubtful accounts is determined based on specific identification and estimates of future uncollectible accounts, including those related to natural gas imbalances. Our procedure for estimating the allowance for doubtful accounts is based on: (i) historical experience with customers, (ii) the perceived financial stability of customers based on our research and (iii) the levels of credit we grant to customers. In addition, we may increase the allowance for doubtful accounts in response to the specific identification of customers involved in bankruptcy proceedings and similar financial difficulties. On a routine basis, we review estimates associated with the allowance for doubtful accounts to ensure that we have recorded sufficient reserves to cover potential losses.

The following table presents our allowance for doubtful accounts activity for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 12.1	\$ 13.9	\$ 7.5
Charged to costs and expenses	2.3	0.8	8.4
Deductions	(3.1)	(2.6)	(2.0)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 11.3</u>	<u>\$ 12.1</u>	<u>\$ 13.9</u>

See “Credit Risk” in Note 18 for additional information.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents represent unrestricted cash on hand and highly liquid investments with original maturities of less than three months from the date of purchase.

Consolidation Policy

Our consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our majority-owned subsidiaries in which we have a controlling interest, after the elimination of all intercompany accounts and transactions. We also consolidate other entities and ventures in which we possess a controlling financial interest as well as partnership interests where we are the sole general partner of the partnership. We evaluate our financial interests in business enterprises to determine if they represent variable interest entities where we are the primary beneficiary. If such criteria are met, we consolidate the financial statements of such businesses with those of our own. Third party or affiliate ownership interests in our controlled subsidiaries are presented as noncontrolling interests. See Note 9 for information regarding noncontrolling interests.

If the entity is organized as a limited partnership or limited liability company and maintains separate ownership accounts, we account for our investment using the equity method if our ownership interest is between 3% and 50%, unless our interest is so minor that we have virtually no influence over the investee's operating and financial policies. For all other types of investments, we apply the equity method of accounting if our ownership interest is between 20% and 50% and we exercise significant influence over the investee's operating and financial policies. In consolidation, we eliminate our proportionate share of profits and losses from transactions with equity method unconsolidated affiliates to the extent such amounts remain on our Consolidated Balance Sheets (or those of our equity method investments) in inventory or similar accounts.

Contingencies

Certain conditions may exist as of the date our consolidated financial statements are issued, which may result in a loss to us but which will only be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. Management has regular quarterly litigation reviews, including updates from legal counsel, to assess the need for accounting recognition or disclosure of these contingencies, and such assessment inherently involves an exercise in judgment. In assessing loss contingencies related to legal proceedings that are pending against us or unasserted claims that may result in such proceedings, our management and legal counsel evaluate the perceived merits of any legal proceedings or unasserted claims as well as the perceived merits of the amount of relief sought or expected to be sought therein.

We accrue an undiscounted liability for those contingencies where the incurrence of a loss is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If a range of amounts can be reasonably estimated and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, then the minimum of the range is accrued. We do not record a contingent liability when the likelihood of loss is probable but the amount cannot be reasonably estimated or when the likelihood of loss is believed to be only reasonably possible or remote. For contingencies where an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible and the impact would be material to our consolidated financial statements, we disclose the nature of the contingency and, where feasible, an estimate of the possible loss or range of loss.

Loss contingencies considered remote are generally not disclosed unless they involve guarantees, in which case the guarantees would be disclosed. See Note 17 for additional information regarding our contingencies.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Current Assets and Current Liabilities

We present, as individual captions in our Consolidated Balance Sheets, all components of current assets and current liabilities that exceed 5% of total current assets and current liabilities, respectively.

Derivative Instruments

We use derivative instruments such as futures, swaps, forward contracts and other arrangements to manage price risks associated with inventories, firm commitments, interest rates and certain anticipated future commodity transactions. To qualify for hedge accounting, the hedged item must expose us to risk and the related derivative instrument must reduce the exposure to that risk and meet specific hedge documentation requirements related to designation dates, expectations for hedge effectiveness and the probability that hedged future transactions will occur as forecasted. We formally designate derivative instruments as hedges and document and assess their effectiveness at inception of the hedge and on a monthly basis thereafter. Forecasted transactions are evaluated for the probability of occurrence and are periodically back-tested once the forecasted period has passed to determine whether similarly forecasted transactions are probable of occurring in the future.

We are required to recognize derivative instruments at fair value as either assets or liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets unless such instruments meet certain normal purchase/normal sale criteria. While all derivatives are required to be reported at fair value on the balance sheet, changes in fair value of derivative instruments are reported in different ways, depending on the nature and effectiveness of the hedging activities to which they relate. After meeting specified conditions, a qualified derivative may be designated as a total or partial hedge of:

- Changes in the fair value of a recognized asset or liability, or an unrecognized firm commitment – In a fair value hedge, gains and losses for both the derivative instrument and the hedged item are recognized in income during the period of change.
- Variable cash flows of a forecasted transaction – In a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the hedge is reported in other comprehensive income (loss) and is reclassified into earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings.

An effective hedge relationship is one in which the change in fair value of a derivative instrument can be expected to offset 80% to 125% of the changes in fair value of a hedged item at inception and throughout the life of the hedging relationship. The effective portion of a hedge relationship is the amount by which the derivative instrument exactly offsets the change in fair value of the hedged item during the reporting period. Conversely, ineffectiveness represents the change in the fair value of the derivative instrument that does not exactly offset the change in the fair value of the hedged item. Any ineffectiveness associated with a hedge relationship is recognized in earnings immediately. Ineffectiveness can be caused by, among other things, changes in the timing of forecasted transactions or a mismatch of terms between the derivative instrument and the hedged item.

A contract designated as a cash flow hedge of an anticipated transaction that is not probable of occurring is immediately recognized in earnings.

Certain of our derivative instruments do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment; therefore, these instruments are accounted for using mark-to-market accounting.

For certain physical forward commodity derivative contracts, we apply the normal purchase/normal sale exception, whereby changes in the mark-to-market values of such contracts are not recognized in income. As a result, the revenues and expenses associated with such physical transactions are recognized during the period when volumes are physically delivered or received. Physical forward commodity contracts subject to this exception are evaluated for the probability of future delivery and are periodically back-tested once the forecasted period has passed to determine whether similar forward contracts are probable of physical delivery in the future. See Note 14 for additional information regarding our derivative instruments.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Environmental Costs

Environmental costs for remediation are accrued based on estimates of known remediation requirements. Such accruals are based on management's best estimate of the ultimate cost to remediate a site and are adjusted as further information and circumstances develop. Those estimates may change substantially depending on information about the nature and extent of contamination, appropriate remediation technologies and regulatory approvals. Expenditures to mitigate or prevent future environmental contamination are capitalized. Ongoing environmental compliance costs are charged to expense as incurred. In accruing for environmental remediation liabilities, costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation are not discounted to their present value, unless the amount and timing of the expenditures are fixed or reliably determinable. At December 31, 2016, none of our estimated environmental remediation liabilities were discounted to present value since the ultimate amount and timing of cash payments for such liabilities were not readily determinable.

The following table presents the activity of our environmental reserves for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 13.0	\$ 15.6	\$ 9.9
Charged to costs and expenses	7.0	6.4	11.9
Acquisition-related additions and other	0.5	1.1	2.5
Deductions	(8.6)	(10.1)	(8.7)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 11.9</u>	<u>\$ 13.0</u>	<u>\$ 15.6</u>

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, \$5.6 million and \$5.8 million, respectively, of our environmental reserves were classified as current liabilities.

Estimates

Preparing our consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires us to make estimates that affect amounts presented in the financial statements. Our most significant estimates relate to (i) the useful lives and depreciation/amortization methods used for fixed and identifiable intangible assets; (ii) measurement of fair value and projections used in impairment testing of fixed and intangible assets (including goodwill); (iii) contingencies; and (iv) revenue and expense accruals.

Actual results could differ materially from our estimates. On an ongoing basis, we review our estimates based on currently available information. Any changes in the facts and circumstances underlying our estimates may require us to update such estimates, which could have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value Measurements

Our fair value estimates are based on either (i) actual market data or (ii) assumptions that other market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, including estimates of risk, in the principal market of the asset or liability at a specified measurement date. Recognized valuation techniques employ inputs such as contractual prices, quoted market prices or rates, operating costs, discount factors and business growth rates. These inputs may be either readily observable, corroborated by market data or generally unobservable. In developing our estimates of fair value, we endeavor to utilize the best information available and apply market-based data to the highest extent possible. Accordingly, we utilize valuation techniques (such as the market approach) that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

A three-tier hierarchy has been established that classifies fair value amounts recognized in the financial statements based on the observability of inputs used to estimate such fair values. The hierarchy considers fair value amounts based on observable inputs (Levels 1 and 2) to be more reliable and predictable than those based primarily on unobservable inputs (Level 3). At each balance sheet reporting date, we categorize our financial assets and liabilities using this hierarchy.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The characteristics of fair value amounts classified within each level of the hierarchy are described as follows:

- Level 1 fair values are based on quoted prices, which are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the measurement date. Active markets are defined as those in which transactions for identical assets or liabilities occur with sufficient frequency so as to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis (e.g., the New York Mercantile Exchange (“NYMEX”)). Our Level 1 fair values consist of financial assets and liabilities such as exchange-traded commodity derivative instruments.
- Level 2 fair values are based on pricing inputs other than quoted prices in active markets (as reflected in Level 1 fair values) and are either directly or indirectly observable as of the measurement date. Level 2 fair values include instruments that are valued using financial models or other appropriate valuation methodologies. Such financial models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, the time value of money, volatility factors, current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments and other relevant economic measures. Substantially all of these assumptions (i) are observable in the marketplace throughout the full term of the instrument; (ii) can be derived from observable data; or (iii) are validated by inputs other than quoted prices (e.g., interest rate and yield curves at commonly quoted intervals). Our Level 2 fair values primarily consist of commodity derivative instruments such as forwards, swaps and other instruments transacted on an exchange or over-the-counter and interest rate derivative instruments. The fair values of these derivative instruments are based on observable price quotes for similar products and locations. The fair value of our interest rate derivatives are determined using financial models that incorporate the implied forward LIBOR yield curve for the same period as the future interest rate swap settlements.
- Level 3 fair values are based on unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs are used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs reflect management’s ideas about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). Unobservable inputs are based on the best information available to us in the circumstances, which might include our internally developed data. Level 3 inputs are typically used in connection with internally developed valuation methodologies where we make our best estimate of an instrument’s fair value. With regards to commodity derivatives, our Level 3 fair values primarily consist of ethane, propane, normal butane and natural gasoline-based contracts with terms greater than one year and certain options used to hedge natural gas storage inventory and transportation capacities. In addition, we often rely on price quotes from reputable brokers who publish price quotes on certain products and compare these prices to other reputable brokers for the same products in the same markets whenever possible. These prices, when combined with data from our commodity derivative instruments, are used in our models to determine the fair value of such instruments.

Transfers within the fair value hierarchy routinely occur for certain term contracts as prices and other inputs used for the valuation of future delivery periods become more observable with the passage of time. Other transfers are made periodically in response to changing market conditions that affect liquidity, price observability and other inputs used in determining valuations. We deem any such transfers to have occurred at the end of the quarter in which they transpired. There were no transfers between Level 1 and 2 during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

We have a risk management policy that covers our Level 3 commodity derivatives. Governance and oversight of risk management activities for these commodities are provided by our Chief Executive Officer with guidance and support from a risk management committee (“RMC”) that meets quarterly (or on a more frequent basis, if needed). Members of executive management attend the RMC meetings, which are chaired by the head of our commodities risk control group. This group is responsible for preparing and distributing daily reports and risk analysis to members of the RMC and other appropriate members of management. These reports include mark-to-market valuations with the one-day and month-to-date changes in fair values. This group also develops and validates the forward commodity price curves used to estimate the fair values of our Level 3 commodity derivatives. These forward curves incorporate published indexes, market quotes and other observable inputs to the extent available.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Impairment Testing for Goodwill

Our goodwill amounts are assessed for impairment on a routine annual basis or when impairment indicators are present. If such indicators occur (e.g., the loss of a significant customer or technological obsolescence of assets), the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill is assigned is determined and compared to its carrying value. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value including associated goodwill amounts, a charge to earnings is recorded to reduce the carrying value of the goodwill to its implied fair value.

Our reporting unit estimated fair values are based on assumptions regarding the future economic prospects of the businesses that comprise each reporting unit. Such assumptions include: (i) discrete financial forecasts for the assets classified within the reporting unit, which, in turn, rely on management's estimates of operating margins, throughput volumes and similar factors; (ii) long-term growth rates for cash flows beyond the discrete forecast period; and (iii) appropriate discount rates. We believe the assumptions we use in estimating reporting unit fair values are consistent with those that would be employed by market participants in their fair value estimation process. Based on our most recent goodwill impairment test at December 31, 2016, each reporting unit's fair value was substantially in excess of its carrying value (i.e., by at least 10%).

See Note 7 for additional information regarding goodwill.

Impairment Testing for Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets (including intangible assets with finite useful lives and property, plant and equipment) are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Long-lived assets with carrying values that are not expected to be recovered through future cash flows are written-down to their estimated fair values. The carrying value of a long-lived asset is deemed not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. If the asset's carrying value exceeds the sum of its undiscounted cash flows, a non-cash asset impairment charge equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its estimated fair value is recorded. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at a specified measurement date. We measure fair value using market price indicators or, in the absence of such data, appropriate valuation techniques. See Note 14 for information regarding impairment charges related to long-lived assets.

Impairment Testing for Unconsolidated Affiliates

We evaluate our equity method investments for impairment to determine whether there are events or changes in circumstances that indicate there is a loss in value of the investment attributable to an other than temporary decline. Examples of such events or changes in circumstances include continuing operating losses of the entity and/or long-term negative changes in the entity's industry. In the event we determine that the loss in value of an investment is an other than temporary decline, we record a charge to equity earnings to adjust the carrying value of the investment to its estimated fair value. There were no impairment charges related to our equity method investments during the years ended 2016, 2015 and 2014. See Note 6 for information regarding our equity method investments.

Inventories

Inventories primarily consist of NGLs, petrochemicals, refined products, crude oil and natural gas volumes that are valued at the lower of average cost or market. We capitalize, as a cost of inventory, shipping and handling charges (e.g., pipeline transportation and storage fees) and other related costs associated with purchased volumes. As volumes are sold and delivered out of inventory, the cost of these volumes (including freight-in charges that have been capitalized as part of inventory cost) are charged to operating costs and expenses. Shipping and handling fees associated with products we sell and deliver to customers are charged to operating costs and expenses as incurred. See Note 4 for additional information regarding our inventories.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment is recorded at cost. Expenditures for additions, improvements and other enhancements to property, plant and equipment are capitalized, and minor replacements, maintenance, and repairs that do not extend asset life or add value are charged to expense as incurred. When property, plant and equipment assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the related cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in results of operations for the respective period.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We capitalize interest costs incurred on funds used to construct property, plant and equipment while the asset is in its construction phase. The capitalized interest is recorded as part of the asset to which it relates and is amortized over the asset's estimated useful life as a component of depreciation expense. When capitalized interest is recorded, it reduces interest expense from what it would be otherwise.

In general, depreciation is the systematic and rational allocation of an asset's cost, less its residual value (if any), to the periods it benefits. The majority of our property, plant and equipment is depreciated using the straight-line method, which results in depreciation expense being incurred evenly over the life of an asset. Our estimate of depreciation expense incorporates management assumptions regarding the useful economic lives and residual values of our assets. With respect to midstream energy assets such as natural gas gathering systems that are reliant upon a specific natural resource basin for throughput volumes, the anticipated useful economic life of such assets may be limited by the estimated life of the associated natural resource basin from which the assets derive benefit. Our forecast of the remaining life for the applicable resource basins is based on several factors, including information published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration. Where appropriate, we use other depreciation methods (generally accelerated) for tax purposes.

Leasehold improvements are recorded as a component of property, plant and equipment. The cost of leasehold improvements is charged to earnings using the straight-line method over the shorter of (i) the remaining lease term or (ii) the estimated useful lives of the improvements. We consider renewal terms that are deemed reasonably assured when estimating remaining lease terms.

Our assumptions regarding the useful economic lives and residual values of our assets may change in response to new facts and circumstances, which would prospectively impact our depreciation expense amounts. Examples of such circumstances include, but are not limited to: (i) changes in laws and regulations that limit the estimated economic life of an asset; (ii) changes in technology that render an asset obsolete; (iii) changes in expected salvage values or (iv) significant changes in the forecast life of the applicable resource basins, if any.

Certain of our plant operations entail periodic planned outages for major maintenance activities. These planned shutdowns typically result in significant expenditures, which are principally comprised of amounts paid to third parties for materials, contract services and related items. We use the expense-as-incurred method for our planned major maintenance activities for plant operations; however, the cost of annual planned major maintenance projects for such plants are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis until the next planned annual outage. With regard to the planned major maintenance activities on our marine transportation assets and underground storage caverns, we use the deferral method to account for such costs. Under this method, major maintenance costs are capitalized and amortized over the period until the next major overhaul or cavern integrity project.

Asset retirement obligations ("AROs") are legal obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets that result from their acquisition, construction, development and/or normal operation. When an ARO is incurred, we record a liability for the ARO and capitalize an equal amount as an increase in the carrying value of the related long-lived asset. ARO amounts are measured at their estimated fair value using expected present value techniques. Over time, the ARO liability is accreted to its present value (through accretion expense) and the capitalized amount is depreciated over the remaining useful life of the related long-lived asset. We will incur a gain or loss to the extent that our ARO liabilities are not settled at their recorded amounts.

See Note 5 for additional information regarding our property, plant and equipment and AROs.

Recent Accounting Developments

Revenue Recognition. In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") finalized the standard-setting related to its revenue recognition project. The resulting accounting standards update eliminates the specific transaction and industry revenue recognition guidance under current U.S. GAAP and replaces it with a principles based approach for determining revenue recognition.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The core principle in the new guidance is that a company should recognize revenue in a manner that fairly depicts the transfer of goods or services to customers in amounts that reflect the consideration the company expects to receive for those goods or services. In order to apply this core principle, companies will apply the following five steps in determining the amount of revenues to recognize: (i) identify the contract; (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract; (iii) determine the transaction price; (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract; and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) the performance obligation is satisfied. Each of these steps involves management's judgment and an analysis of the contract's material terms and conditions.

We are reviewing our revenue contracts in light of this new accounting guidance and currently do not anticipate that there will be a material impact on our consolidated financial statements resulting from the new revenue guidance. We expect to adopt the new standard on January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective method described within the new revenue guidance. This approach requires us to apply the new revenue standard to (i) all new revenue contracts entered into after January 1, 2018 and (ii) all existing revenue contracts as of January 1, 2018 through a cumulative adjustment to equity. Consolidated revenues for periods prior to January 1, 2018 would not be revised.

Leases. In February 2016, the FASB finalized the standard-setting related to its lease accounting project. The resulting accounting standards update requires substantially all leases (with the exception of leases with a term of 12 months or less) to be recorded on the balance sheet using a method referred to as the right-of-use ("ROU") asset approach. The new standard introduces two models which result in a lease being classified as either a "finance" or "operating" lease on the basis of whether the lessee effectively obtains control of the underlying asset during the lease term. A lease would be classified as a finance lease if it meets one of five classification criteria, four of which are generally consistent with current lease accounting guidance. Alternatively, a lease would be classified as an operating lease if it does not meet these criteria. Regardless of classification, the initial measurement of both finance leases and operating leases will result in the balance sheet recognition of an ROU asset representing our right to use the underlying asset over a specified period of time and a corresponding lease liability. The lease liability will be recognized at the present value of the lease payments not yet paid and the ROU asset will be measured as the lease liability, adjusted by any prepaid rent, any lease incentives provided by the lessor, and any indirect costs.

The subsequent measurement of each type of lease varies. Leases classified as a finance lease are accounted for using the effective interest method. Under this approach, a lessee would separately amortize the ROU asset (generally on a straight-line basis in a manner similar to depreciation) and the discount on the lease liability (as a component of interest expense). The resulting amortization expense and interest expense is separately recorded since a finance lease is viewed as the purchasing and financing of a leased asset. On the cash flow statement, amortization associated with this type of lease would be presented as an adjustment to net income within operating activities and payments on the principal portion of the lease liability would be classified as a financing activity cash outflow.

Leases classified as an operating lease would result in the recognition of a single lease expense amount that is recorded on a straight-line basis (or another systematic basis, if more appropriate). The single lease expense combines the unwinding of the discount on the lease liability with the amortization of the ROU asset. For purposes of cash flow statement presentation, operating lease payments would be a component of operating activities.

We have started the process of reviewing our lease agreements in light of the new guidance. However, due to the recent nature of this guidance, we are currently not in a position to estimate its future impact on our consolidated financial statements. We expect to adopt the new standard on January 1, 2019 using the modified retrospective method described within the new lease guidance.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash represents amounts held in segregated bank accounts by our clearing brokers as margin in support of our commodity derivative instruments portfolio and related physical purchases and sales of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and refined products. Additional cash may be restricted to maintain our commodity derivative instruments portfolio as prices fluctuate or margin requirements change.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, our restricted cash amounts were \$354.5 million and \$15.9 million, respectively. The balance at December 31, 2016 consisted of initial margin requirements of \$35.6 million and variation margin requirements of \$318.9 million. The initial margin requirements will be returned to us as the related derivative instruments are settled. Our variation margin requirements increased by \$339.3 million since December 31, 2015 primarily due to higher forward commodity prices for NGLs and related hydrocarbons during 2016 relative to our short financial derivative positions in these products. See Note 14 for information regarding our derivative instruments and hedging activities.

Note 3. Revenue Recognition

In general, we recognize revenue from our customers when all of the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence of an exchange arrangement exists, (ii) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, (iii) the buyer's price is fixed or determinable and (iv) collectibility is reasonably assured. Amounts billed in advance of the period in which the service is rendered or product delivered are recorded as deferred revenue. The following information summarizes our revenue recognition policies by business segment. See Note 10 for general information regarding our business segments.

NGL Pipelines & Services

In our natural gas processing business, we utilize contracts that are either fee-based, commodity-based or a combination of the two. When a cash fee for natural gas processing services is stipulated by a contract, we record revenue when a producer's natural gas has been processed and redelivered. Our commodity-based contracts include keepwhole and margin-band contracts, percent-of-liquids contracts, percent-of-proceeds contracts and contracts featuring a combination of commodity and fee-based terms. Under keepwhole and margin-band contracts, we take ownership of mixed NGLs extracted from the producer's natural gas stream while replacing the equivalent quantity of energy on a natural gas basis to producers. We recognize revenue when the extracted NGLs are delivered and sold to customers under NGL marketing sales contracts. Under percent-of-liquids contracts, we take ownership of a portion of the mixed NGLs extracted from the producer's natural gas stream (in lieu of a cash processing fee) and recognize revenue when the extracted NGLs are delivered and sold to customers under NGL marketing sales contracts. Under percent-of-proceeds contracts, we share in the proceeds generated from the sale of mixed NGLs we extract on the producer's behalf (in lieu of a cash processing fee). In certain cases, we also utilize contracts that include a combination of commodity-based terms (such as those described above) and fee-based terms.

Our NGL marketing activities generate revenues from merchant activities such as term and spot sales of NGLs, which we take title to through our natural gas processing activities (i.e., our equity NGL production) and open market and contract purchases. Revenue from these sales contracts is recognized when the NGLs are delivered to customers. In general, sales prices referenced in the underlying contracts are market-based and may include pricing differentials for factors such as location, timing or NGL product quality. NGL sales contracts associated with our export facilities may also include take-or-pay provisions.

Revenues from NGL pipeline transportation contracts and tariffs are generally based upon a fixed fee per gallon (subject to escalation, if applicable) of liquids transported multiplied by the volume delivered. Transportation fees charged to shippers are based on either tariffs regulated by governmental agencies, including the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), or contractual arrangements. Typically, pipeline transportation revenue is recognized when volumes are transported and delivered. However, under certain NGL pipeline transportation agreements (e.g., those associated with committed shippers on our Texas Express Pipeline, Front Range Pipeline, ATEX and Aegis Ethane Pipeline) customers are required to ship a minimum volume over an agreed-upon period. These arrangements typically entail the shipper paying a transportation fee based on a minimum volume commitment, with a provision that allows the shipper to make-up any volume shortfalls over the agreed-upon period (referred to as shipper "make-up rights"). Revenue pursuant to such agreements, including that associated with make-up rights, is initially deferred and subsequently recognized at the earlier of when the deficiency volume is shipped, when the shipper's ability to meet the minimum volume commitment has expired (typically a one year contractual period), or when the pipeline is otherwise released from its transportation service performance obligation.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We collect storage revenue under our NGL and related product storage contracts primarily from capacity reservation agreements, where we collect a fee for reserving storage capacity for customers in our underground storage wells. Customers pay reservation fees based on the level of storage capacity reserved rather than the actual volumes stored. Under these agreements, revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the specified reservation period. When a customer exceeds its reserved capacity, we charge that customer excess storage fees, which are recognized in the period of occurrence. In addition, we generally charge customers throughput fees based on volumes delivered into and subsequently withdrawn from storage, which are recognized as the service is provided.

We typically earn revenues from NGL fractionation under fee-based arrangements. These fees are contractually subject to adjustment for changes in certain fractionation expenses (e.g., natural gas fuel costs). Under fee-based arrangements, revenue is recognized in the period services are provided. At our Norco facility in Louisiana, we perform fractionation services for certain customers under percent-of-liquids contracts. Such contracts allow us to retain a contractually determined percentage of the customer's fractionated NGLs as payment for services rendered. Revenue is recognized from such arrangements when we sell and deliver the retained NGLs to customers.

Revenue from NGL import and LPG export terminaling activities is recorded in the period services are provided. Customers are typically billed a fee per unit of volume loaded or unloaded.

Crude Oil Pipelines & Services

Revenues from crude oil transportation contracts and tariffs are generally based upon a fixed fee per barrel (subject to escalation, if applicable) transported multiplied by the volume delivered. Transportation fees charged to shippers are based on either tariffs regulated by governmental agencies, including the FERC, or contractual arrangements. Typically, revenue associated with these arrangements is recognized when volumes are transported and delivered; however, under certain of our crude oil pipeline transportation agreements, customers are required to ship a minimum volume over an agreed-upon period, with make-up rights. Revenue pursuant to such agreements, including that associated with make-up rights, is initially deferred and subsequently recognized at the earlier of when the deficiency volume is shipped, when the shipper's ability to meet the minimum volume commitment has expired (typically a one year contractual period), or when the pipeline is otherwise released from its transportation service performance obligation.

Revenue from our condensate gathering, processing and stabilization services as well as gathering, treating and compression services is recognized based upon the higher of actual volumes handled or minimum volume commitments multiplied by predominantly fixed fees charged for the underlying services. With respect to those agreements having minimum volume commitments, the producer pays a deficiency fee when its volumes do not meet contractually defined minimum volume thresholds (there are no make-up rights in connection with these agreements). Under certain of the contracts, if actual volumes handled during a period exceed the respective minimum volume commitment, the excess volume serves to reduce future minimum volume commitments (for periods up to two years in the future), thus reducing any potential deficiency fees that the producer may pay in the future.

Under our crude oil terminaling agreements, we charge customers for crude oil storage based on storage capacity reservation agreements, where we collect a fee for reserving storage capacity for customers at our terminals. Under these agreements, revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the specified reservation period. In addition, we charge our customers throughput (or pumpover) fees based on volumes withdrawn from our terminals. Revenue is also generated from fee-based trade documentation services and is recognized as services are completed.

Our crude oil marketing activities generate revenues from the sale and delivery of crude oil purchased either directly from producers or from others on the open market. These sales contracts generally settle with the physical delivery of crude oil to customers. In general, the sales prices referenced in the underlying contracts are market-based and may include pricing differentials for factors such as delivery location, timing or crude oil quality.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Natural Gas Pipelines & Services

Our natural gas pipelines typically generate revenues from transportation agreements under which shippers are billed a fee per unit of volume transported multiplied by the volume gathered or delivered. Transportation fees charged to shippers are based on either tariffs regulated by governmental agencies, including the FERC, or contractual arrangements. Certain of our natural gas pipelines offer firm capacity reservation services whereby the shipper pays a contractual fee based on the level of throughput capacity reserved (whether or not the shipper actually utilizes such capacity). Revenues are recognized when volumes have been delivered to customers or in the period we provide firm capacity reservation services.

Under our natural gas storage revenue contracts, there are typically two components: (i) monthly demand payments, which are associated with a customer's storage capacity reservation and paid regardless of actual usage, and (ii) storage fees per unit of volume stored at our facilities. Revenue from demand payments is recognized during the period the customer reserves capacity. Revenue from storage fees is recognized in the period the services are provided.

Our natural gas marketing activities generate revenue from the sale and delivery to local gas distribution companies and other customers of natural gas purchased from producers, regional natural gas processing plants and the open market. Revenue from these sales contracts is recognized when natural gas is delivered to customers. In general, sales prices referenced in the underlying contracts are market-based and may include pricing differentials for factors such as delivery location.

Petrochemical & Refined Products Services

Our propylene fractionation, butane isomerization and deisobutanizer facilities generate revenue through fee-based arrangements, which typically include a base-processing fee subject to adjustment for changes in power, fuel and labor costs, all of which are the primary costs of propylene fractionation and butane isomerization. Our butane isomerization and deisobutanizer operations also generate revenue from the sale and delivery of by-products. Revenue resulting from such agreements is recognized in the period the services are provided. Revenues from our petrochemical pipeline transportation contracts are primarily based upon a fixed fee per volume transported (typically measured in gallons or pounds and subject to escalation, if applicable) multiplied by the volume delivered.

Our petrochemical marketing activities include the purchase and fractionation of refinery grade propylene obtained in the open market and generate revenues from the sale and delivery of products obtained through propylene fractionation. Revenue from these sales contracts is recognized when such products are delivered to customers. In general, we sell our petrochemical products at market-based prices, which may include pricing differentials for factors such as delivery location. Revenue from the production and sale of octane additives and high purity isobutylene is dependent on the sales price and volume of such commodities sold to customers. Revenue is recognized for sales transactions when the product is delivered.

Pipelines transporting refined products generate revenues through contracts and tariffs as customers are billed a fixed fee per barrel (subject to escalation, if applicable) of liquids transported multiplied by the volume delivered. The fees charged under these arrangements are either contractual or regulated by governmental agencies, including the FERC. Revenue associated with these fee-based contracts and tariffs is recognized when volumes have been delivered. Revenue from our refined products storage facilities is based on capacity reservation agreements where we collect a fee for reserving a defined storage capacity for customers at our facilities. Under these contracts, revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the length of the storage period. Revenue from product terminaling activities is recorded in the period such services are provided. Customers are typically billed a fee per unit of volume loaded.

Revenue is also generated from the provision of inland and offshore marine transportation of refined products, crude oil, condensate, asphalt, heavy fuel oil, LPG and other petroleum products via tow boats and tank barges. Under our marine services transportation contracts, revenue is recognized over the transit time of individual tows as determined on an individual contract basis, which is generally less than ten days in duration. Revenue from these contracts is typically based on set day rates or a set fee per cargo movement. The costs of fuel, substantially all of which is a pass through expense, and other specified operational fees and costs are directly reimbursed by the customer under most of these contracts.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Offshore Pipelines & Services

In July 2015, we sold our Offshore Business to Genesis. See Note 5 for additional information related to the sale of our Offshore Business.

Revenue from offshore pipelines was generally based upon a fixed fee per unit of volume gathered or transported multiplied by the volume delivered. Transportation fees were based either on contractual arrangements or tariffs regulated by the FERC. Revenue associated with these fee-based contracts and tariffs was recognized when volumes were delivered.

Revenues from offshore platform services generally consisted of demand fees and commodity charges. Revenues from offshore platform services were recognized in the period the services were provided. Demand fees represented charges to customers served by offshore platforms regardless of the volume the customer actually delivered to the platform. Revenue from commodity charges was based on a fee per unit of volume delivered to the platform multiplied by the total volume of each product delivered. Contracts for platform services often included both demand fees and commodity charges, but demand fees generally expired after a contractually fixed period of time.

Note 4. Inventories

Our inventory amounts by product type were as follows at the dates indicated:

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
NGLs	\$ 1,156.1	\$ 639.9
Petrochemicals and refined products	220.7	148.0
Crude oil	360.0	222.1
Natural gas	33.7	28.1
Total	<u>\$ 1,770.5</u>	<u>\$ 1,038.1</u>

Our inventories, and associated working capital commitments, increased significantly during 2016 primarily due to our marketing groups utilization of contango opportunities using our storage assets. We expect to gradually settle these inventory positions (valued at approximately \$1.1 billion) through the first quarter of 2017, with a corresponding decrease in working capital commitments and related debt.

In those instances where we take ownership of inventory volumes through percent-of-liquids contracts and similar arrangements (as opposed to outright purchases from third parties for cash), these volumes are valued at market-based prices during the month in which they are acquired.

The following table presents our total cost of sales amounts and lower of cost or market adjustments for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cost of sales (1)	\$ 15,710.9	\$ 19,612.9	\$ 40,464.1
Lower of cost or market adjustments within cost of sales	11.5	19.8	22.8

(1) Cost of sales is a component of "Operating costs and expenses," as presented on our Statements of Consolidated Operations. Fluctuations in these amounts are primarily due to changes in energy commodity prices and sales volumes associated with our marketing activities.

Due to fluctuating commodity prices, we recognize lower of cost or market adjustments when the carrying value of our available-for-sale inventories exceeds their net realizable value. These non-cash charges are a component of cost of sales in the period they are recognized. To the extent our commodity hedging strategies address inventory-related price risks and are successful, these inventory valuation adjustments are mitigated or offset. See Note 14 for a description of our commodity hedging activities.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 5. Property, Plant and Equipment

The historical costs of our property, plant and equipment and related accumulated depreciation balances were as follows at the dates indicated:

	Estimated Useful Life in Years	December 31,	
		2016	2015
Plants, pipelines and facilities (1)	3-45 (5)	\$ 35,124.6	\$ 32,525.0
Underground and other storage facilities (2)	5-40 (5)	3,326.9	3,000.5
Transportation equipment (3)	3-10	165.8	159.9
Marine vessels (4)	15-30	800.7	769.8
Land		264.6	262.7
Construction in progress		3,320.7	3,894.0
Total		43,003.3	40,611.9
Less accumulated depreciation		9,710.8	8,577.2
Property, plant and equipment, net		\$ 33,292.5	\$ 32,034.7

- (1) Plants, pipelines and facilities include processing plants; NGL, natural gas, crude oil and petrochemical and refined products pipelines; terminal loading and unloading facilities; buildings; office furniture and equipment; laboratory and shop equipment and related assets.
- (2) Underground and other storage facilities include underground product storage caverns; above ground storage tanks; water wells and related assets.
- (3) Transportation equipment includes tractor-trailer tank trucks and other vehicles and similar assets used in our operations.
- (4) Marine vessels include tow boats, barges and related equipment used in our marine transportation business.
- (5) In general, the estimated useful lives of major assets within this category are: processing plants, 20-35 years; pipelines and related equipment, 5-45 years; terminal facilities, 10-35 years; buildings, 20-40 years; office furniture and equipment, 3-20 years; and laboratory and shop equipment, 5-35 years.
- (6) In general, the estimated useful lives of assets within this category are: underground storage facilities, 5-35 years; storage tanks, 10-40 years; and water wells, 5-35 years.

The following table summarizes our depreciation expense and capitalized interest amounts for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Depreciation expense (1)	\$ 1,215.7	\$ 1,161.6	\$ 1,114.1
Capitalized interest (2)	168.2	149.1	77.9

- (1) Depreciation expense is a component of "Costs and expenses" as presented on our Statements of Consolidated Operations.
- (2) Capitalized interest is a component of "Interest expense" as presented on our Statements of Consolidated Operations.

Fire at Pascagoula Facility

We acquired the remaining 60% undivided interest in the Pascagoula natural gas processing facility (the "Pascagoula Facility") for \$35.0 million in March 2016 and assumed operatorship of the facility on June 1, 2016. The facility is located in Pascagoula, Mississippi and processes natural gas received from third-party production developments located in the northern Gulf of Mexico. On June 27, 2016, we experienced a fire at the facility, which resulted in significant damage to the processing equipment. Repairs to the Pascagoula plant were completed in December 2016 and the facility was returned to commercial service.

As a result of this event, we recorded a \$7.1 million non-cash loss in 2016 attributable to assets damaged in the fire. The \$7.1 million is a component of operating costs and expenses on our Statements of Consolidated Operations, and a component of asset impairment and related charges on our Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows. We also incurred \$10.4 million of expense during 2016 for fire response activities at the Pascagoula Facility.

We capitalized the costs we incurred to replace the damaged equipment and continue to evaluate the possibility of filing an insurance claim related to this event.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Sale of Offshore Business

In July 2015, we completed the sale of our Offshore Business, which primarily consisted of our Offshore Pipelines & Services business segment, to Genesis for approximately \$1.53 billion in cash. Our Offshore Business served drilling and development regions, including deepwater production fields, in the northern Gulf of Mexico offshore Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas and included approximately 2,350 miles of offshore natural gas and crude oil pipelines and six offshore hub platforms. Our results of operations reflect ownership of the Offshore Business through July 24, 2015.

We viewed our Offshore Business as an extension of our midstream energy services network. As such, the sale of these assets did not represent a strategic shift in our consolidated operations, and their sale did not have a major effect on our financial results. At December 31, 2014, segment assets for our Offshore Pipelines & Services segment represented 4.3% of consolidated total segment assets. Likewise, gross operating margin from this business segment represented only 3.1% of our consolidated total gross operating margin for the year ended December 31, 2014. The sale of this non-strategic business allowed us to redeploy capital to other business opportunities that we believe will generate a higher rate of return for us in the future (e.g., our acquisition of EFS Midstream – see Note 12). Also, proceeds from the closing of this sale reduced our need to issue additional equity and debt to support our capital spending program.

Asset Retirement Obligations

We record AROs in connection with legal requirements to perform specified retirement activities under contractual arrangements and/or governmental regulations. Our contractual AROs primarily result from right-of-way agreements associated with our pipeline operations and real estate leases associated with our plant sites. In addition, we record AROs in connection with governmental regulations associated with the abandonment or retirement of above-ground brine storage pits and certain marine vessels. We also record AROs in connection with regulatory requirements associated with the renovation or demolition of certain assets containing hazardous substances such as asbestos. We typically fund our AROs using cash flow from operations.

Property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2016 and 2015 includes \$44.9 million and \$17.6 million, respectively, of asset retirement costs capitalized as an increase in the associated long-lived asset.

The following table presents information regarding our AROs for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
ARO liability beginning balance	\$ 58.5	\$ 98.3	\$ 90.2
Liabilities incurred	4.2	2.7	0.1
Liabilities settled	(5.7)	(6.3)	(2.7)
Revisions in estimated cash flows	24.6	49.7	4.6
Accretion expense	3.8	5.2	6.1
ARO related to Offshore Business sold in July 2015	--	(91.1)	--
ARO liability ending balance	\$ 85.4	\$ 58.5	\$ 98.3

Revisions to estimated cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2015 included a \$39.5 million adjustment made in the second quarter of 2015 related to the Matagorda Gathering System, which was a component of the Offshore Business. In June 2015, we were notified by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (the “CoE”) to fully remove two pipeline segments included in this system that we had originally requested to abandon in-place. As a result, we adjusted the ARO liabilities for those pipeline segments under CoE jurisdiction to account for the estimated cost of removal. All ARO liabilities related to our Offshore Business (including those of the Matagorda Gathering System) were removed from our Consolidated Balance Sheet upon the sale of the Offshore Business on July 24, 2015.

The following table presents our forecast of accretion expense for the periods indicated:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
	\$ 5.4	\$ 5.7	\$ 6.1	\$ 6.5	\$ 6.8

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 6. Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

The following table presents our investments in unconsolidated affiliates by business segment at the dates indicated. We account for these investments using the equity method.

	Ownership Interest at December 31, 2016	December 31,	
		2016	2015
NGL Pipelines & Services:			
Venice Energy Service Company, L.L.C. ("VESCO")	13.1%	\$ 24.8	\$ 25.9
K/D/S Promix, L.L.C. ("Promix")	50%	33.7	38.3
Baton Rouge Fractionators LLC ("BRF")	32.2%	17.3	18.5
Skelly-Belvieu Pipeline Company, L.L.C. ("Skelly-Belvieu")	50%	38.9	39.8
Texas Express Pipeline LLC ("Texas Express")	35%	331.9	342.0
Texas Express Gathering LLC ("TEG")	45%	35.8	36.8
Front Range Pipeline LLC ("Front Range")	33.3%	165.4	171.2
Delaware Basin Gas Processing LLC ("Delaware Processing")	50%	102.6	46.2
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services:			
Seaway Crude Pipeline Company LLC ("Seaway")	50%	1,393.8	1,396.0
Eagle Ford Pipeline LLC ("Eagle Ford Crude Oil Pipeline")	50%	377.9	388.8
Eagle Ford Terminals Corpus Christi LLC ("Eagle Ford Corpus Christi")	50%	52.9	28.6
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services:			
White River Hub, LLC ("White River Hub")	50%	21.7	22.5
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services:			
Centennial Pipeline LLC ("Centennial")	50%	62.3	65.6
Other	Various	18.3	8.3
Total investments in unconsolidated affiliates		<u>\$ 2,677.3</u>	<u>\$ 2,628.5</u>

NGL Pipelines & Services

The principal business activity of each investee included in our NGL Pipelines & Services segment is described as follows:

- VESCO owns a natural gas processing facility in south Louisiana and a related gathering system that gathers natural gas from certain offshore developments for delivery to its natural gas processing facility.
- Promix owns an NGL fractionation facility and related storage caverns located in south Louisiana. The facility receives mixed NGLs via pipeline from natural gas processing plants located in southern Louisiana and along the Mississippi Gulf Coast. In addition, Promix owns an NGL gathering system that gathers mixed NGLs from processing plants in southern Louisiana for its fractionator.
- BRF owns an NGL fractionation facility located in south Louisiana that receives mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants located in Alabama, Mississippi and southern Louisiana. In addition, BRF leases an NGL storage cavern.
- Skelly-Belvieu owns a pipeline that transports mixed NGLs from Skellytown, Texas to Mont Belvieu, Texas. The Skelly-Belvieu Pipeline receives NGLs through a pipeline interconnect with our Mid-America Pipeline System in Skellytown.
- Texas Express owns an NGL pipeline that extends from Skellytown to our NGL fractionation and storage complex in Mont Belvieu. Mixed NGLs from the Rocky Mountains, Permian Basin and Mid-Continent regions are delivered to the Texas Express Pipeline via an interconnect with our Mid-America Pipeline System near Skellytown. The pipeline also transports mixed NGLs from two gathering systems owned by TEG to Mont Belvieu. In addition, mixed NGLs from the Denver-Julesburg Basin in Colorado are transported to the Texas Express Pipeline using the Front Range Pipeline.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- TEG owns two NGL gathering systems that deliver mixed NGLs to the Texas Express Pipeline. The Elk City gathering system gathers mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants in the Anadarko/Granite Wash production area located in the Texas Panhandle and western Oklahoma. The North Texas gathering system gathers mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants in the Barnett Shale production area in North Texas. An affiliate of Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P. serves as operator of these two NGL gathering systems.
- Front Range owns an NGL pipeline that transports mixed NGLs from natural gas processing plants located in the Denver-Julesburg Basin to an interconnect with our Texas Express Pipeline and Mid-America Pipeline System in Skellytown.
- Delaware Processing, which commenced operations in August 2016, was formed with Occidental Petroleum Corporation to plan, design and construct a new 150 million cubic feet per day cryogenic natural gas processing plant to accommodate the growing production of NGL-rich natural gas in the Delaware Basin, in West Texas and southern New Mexico. The facility, located in Reeves County, Texas, is supported by long-term, firm contracts. We served as construction manager for the project and serve as operator of the new facility.

Crude Oil Pipelines & Services

The principal business activity of each investee included in our Crude Oil Pipelines & Services segment is described as follows:

- Seaway owns a pipeline system that connects the Cushing, Oklahoma crude oil hub with markets in Southeast Texas. The Seaway Pipeline is comprised of the Longhaul System, the Freeport System and the Texas City System. The Cushing hub is a major industry trading hub and price settlement point for West Texas Intermediate on the NYMEX.

The Longhaul System, which consists of two 500-mile, 30-inch diameter pipelines, provides north-to-south transportation of crude oil from the Cushing hub to Seaway's Jones Creek terminal near Freeport, Texas and a terminal that we own located near Katy, Texas. The second of these two pipelines was placed into service in December 2014 and is referred to as the "Seaway Loop."

The Freeport System consists of a marine import and export dock, three pipelines and other related facilities that transport crude oil to and from Freeport and the Jones Creek terminal. The Texas City System consists of a marine import and export dock, storage tanks, various pipelines and other related facilities that transport crude oil to refineries in the Texas City, Texas area and to and from terminals in the Galena Park area, our Enterprise Crude Houston ("ECHO") terminal and locations along the Houston Ship Channel. The Texas City System also receives production from certain offshore Gulf of Mexico developments.

- Eagle Ford Crude Oil Pipeline owns a crude oil pipeline that transports crude oil and condensate for producers in South Texas. The system consists of a crude oil and condensate pipeline system originating in Gardendale, Texas in LaSalle County to Three Rivers, Texas in Live Oak County and extending to Corpus Christi, Texas. The system also includes a pipeline segment that interconnects with our South Texas Crude Oil Pipeline System in Wilson County. This system includes a marine terminal facility in Corpus Christi and storage capacity across the system.
- Eagle Ford Corpus Christi is a joint venture formed in March 2015 to construct and operate a new deep-water marine crude oil terminal that is designed to handle a variety of ocean-going vessels. The new terminal is expected to be placed into service in 2018.

Natural Gas Pipelines & Services

White River Hub owns a natural gas hub facility serving producers in the Piceance Basin of northwest Colorado. The facility enables producers to access six interstate natural gas pipelines.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Petrochemical & Refined Products Services

The principal business activity of each significant investee included in our Petrochemical & Refined Products Services segment is described as follows:

- BRPC owns a propylene fractionation facility located in south Louisiana that fractionates refinery grade propylene into chemical grade propylene.
- Centennial owns an interstate refined products pipeline that extends from an origination facility in Beaumont, Texas, to Bourbon, Illinois. Centennial also owns a refined products storage terminal located near Creal Springs, Illinois.

Offshore Pipelines & Services

Our investments in unconsolidated affiliates classified within the Offshore Pipelines & Services segment were sold to Genesis on July 24, 2015 (see Note 5).

Equity Earnings

The following table presents our equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates by business segment for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 61.4	\$ 57.5	\$ 30.6
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	311.9	281.4	184.6
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	3.8	3.8	3.6
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services (1)	(15.1)	(15.7)	(13.3)
Offshore Pipelines & Services	--	46.6	54.0
Total	<u>\$ 362.0</u>	<u>\$ 373.6</u>	<u>\$ 259.5</u>

(1) Losses are primarily attributable to our investment in Centennial. As a result of a trend in declining earnings, we estimated the fair value of this equity-method investment during each of the last three fiscal years. Our estimates, based on a combination of market and income approaches, indicate that the fair value of this investment remains in excess of its carrying value.

Excess Cost

On occasion, the price we pay to acquire an ownership interest in a company exceeds the underlying carrying value of the capital accounts we acquire. These excess cost amounts are attributable to the fair value of the underlying tangible assets of these entities exceeding their respective book carrying values at the time of our acquisition of ownership interests in these entities. We amortize such excess cost amounts as a reduction to equity earnings in a manner similar to depreciation.

The following table presents our unamortized excess cost amounts by business segment at the dates indicated:

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 24.1	\$ 25.3
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	19.0	19.3
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	2.1	2.3
Total	<u>\$ 45.2</u>	<u>\$ 46.9</u>

In total, amortization of excess cost amounts were \$2.1 million, \$4.9 million and \$3.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. We forecast that our amortization of excess cost amount will approximate \$2.2 million in each of the next five years.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Summarized Combined Financial Information of Unconsolidated Affiliates

Combined balance sheet information for the last two years and results of operations data for the last three years for our unconsolidated affiliates are summarized in the following table (all data presented on a 100% basis):

	December 31,		
	2016	2015	
Balance Sheet Data:			
Current assets	\$ 199.5	\$ 204.5	
Property, plant and equipment, net	5,644.4	5,671.1	
Other assets	61.5	58.9	
Total assets	<u>\$ 5,905.4</u>	<u>\$ 5,934.5</u>	
Current liabilities	\$ 208.5	\$ 306.7	
Other liabilities	112.3	103.2	
Combined equity	5,584.6	5,524.6	
Total liabilities and combined equity	<u>\$ 5,905.4</u>	<u>\$ 5,934.5</u>	
For the Year Ended December 31,			
	2016	2015	2014
Income Statement Data:			
Revenues	\$ 1,342.0	\$ 1,426.6	\$ 1,311.3
Operating income	786.7	825.8	600.0
Net income	781.7	814.1	587.9

Note 7. Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Identifiable Intangible Assets

The following table summarizes our intangible assets by business segment at the dates indicated:

	December 31, 2016			December 31, 2015		
	Gross Value	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Value	Gross Value	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Value
NGL Pipelines & Services:						
Customer relationship intangibles	\$ 447.4	\$ (172.7)	\$ 274.7	\$ 447.4	\$ (156.9)	\$ 290.5
Contract-based intangibles	279.9	(204.4)	75.5	283.0	(193.2)	89.8
Segment total	<u>727.3</u>	<u>(377.1)</u>	<u>350.2</u>	<u>730.4</u>	<u>(350.1)</u>	<u>380.3</u>
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services:						
Customer relationship intangibles	2,204.4	(84.5)	2,119.9	2,204.4	(39.1)	2,165.3
Contract-based intangibles	281.0	(121.9)	159.1	281.4	(69.2)	212.2
Segment total	<u>2,485.4</u>	<u>(206.4)</u>	<u>2,279.0</u>	<u>2,485.8</u>	<u>(108.3)</u>	<u>2,377.5</u>
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services:						
Customer relationship intangibles	1,350.3	(390.0)	960.3	1,350.3	(366.3)	984.0
Contract-based intangibles	464.7	(370.5)	94.2	464.7	(361.0)	103.7
Segment total	<u>1,815.0</u>	<u>(760.5)</u>	<u>1,054.5</u>	<u>1,815.0</u>	<u>(727.3)</u>	<u>1,087.7</u>
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services:						
Customer relationship intangibles	185.5	(43.9)	141.6	185.5	(38.3)	147.2
Contract-based intangibles	54.0	(15.2)	38.8	56.3	(11.8)	44.5
Segment total	<u>239.5</u>	<u>(59.1)</u>	<u>180.4</u>	<u>241.8</u>	<u>(50.1)</u>	<u>191.7</u>
Total intangible assets	<u>\$ 5,267.2</u>	<u>\$ (1,403.1)</u>	<u>\$ 3,864.1</u>	<u>\$ 5,273.0</u>	<u>\$ (1,235.8)</u>	<u>\$ 4,037.2</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the amortization expense of our intangible assets by business segment for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 30.6	\$ 33.6	\$ 33.1
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	98.4	87.1	15.7
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	33.2	40.0	45.0
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	9.1	8.9	6.9
Offshore Pipelines & Services	--	4.5	9.9
Total	<u>\$ 171.3</u>	<u>\$ 174.1</u>	<u>\$ 110.6</u>

The following table presents our forecast of amortization expense associated with existing intangible assets for the years indicated:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
	\$ 161.7	\$ 163.8	\$ 157.7	\$ 152.8	\$ 163.2

In general, our intangible assets fall within two categories – customer relationship and contract-based intangible assets. The values assigned to such intangible assets are amortized to earnings using either (i) a straight-line approach or (ii) other methods that closely resemble the pattern in which the economic benefits are estimated to be consumed or otherwise used, as appropriate.

Customer relationship intangible assets. Customer relationship intangible assets represent the estimated economic value assigned to commercial relationships acquired in connection with business combinations. Our customer relationship intangible assets can be classified as either (i) basin-specific or (ii) general. In certain instances, the acquisition of these intangible assets represents obtaining access to customers in a defined resource basin analogous to having a franchise in a particular area. Efficient operation of the acquired assets (e.g., a natural gas gathering system) helps to support commercial relationships with existing producers and provides us with opportunities to establish new ones within our existing asset footprint. The duration of such customer relationships is limited by the estimated economic life of the associated resource basin. In other situations, the acquisition of a customer relationship intangible asset provides us with access to customers whose hydrocarbon volumes are not attributable to specific resource basins. As with basin-specific customer relationships, efficient operation of the associated assets (e.g., a marine terminal that handles volumes originating from multiple sources) helps to support commercial relationships with existing customers and provides us with opportunities to establish new ones. The duration of these general customer relationships is typically limited to the term of the underlying service contracts, including assumed renewals.

Amortization expense attributable to customer relationships is recorded in a manner that closely resembles the pattern in which we expect to benefit from providing services to customers.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2016, the carrying value of our portfolio of customer relationship intangible assets was \$3.5 billion, the principal components of which are as follows:

	Weighted Average Remaining Amortization Period at December 31, 2016	December 31, 2016		
		Gross Value	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Value
Basin-specific customer relationships:				
EFS Midstream (1)	25.4 years	\$ 1,409.8	\$ (60.2)	\$ 1,349.6
State Line and Fairplay (2)	30.2 years	895.0	(150.9)	744.1
San Juan Gathering (3)	22.8 years	331.3	(207.6)	123.7
Encinal (4)	10.0 years	132.9	(92.9)	40.0
General customer relationships:				
Oiltanking (5)	27.0 years	1,192.5	(32.5)	1,160.0

(1) We acquired these intangible assets in connection with our acquisition of EFS Midstream in July 2015 (see Note 12 for additional information).

(2) These customer relationships are associated with our State Line and Fairplay Gathering Systems, which we acquired in 2010. The State Line system serves producers in the Haynesville and Bossier Shale supply basins and the Cotton Valley formation in Louisiana and eastern Texas. The Fairplay system serves producers in the Cotton Valley formation within Panola and Rusk counties in East Texas.

(3) These customer relationships are associated with our San Juan Gathering System, which serves producers in the San Juan Basin of northern New Mexico and southern Colorado. We acquired this intangible asset in 2004.

(4) These customer relationships are associated with our Encinal Gathering System, which serves producers in the Olmos and Wilcox formations in South Texas. We acquired this intangible asset in 2006.

(5) We acquired these intangible assets in connection with our acquisition of Oiltanking in October 2014 (see Note 12 for additional information).

EFS Midstream customer relationships

The EFS Midstream System serves producers in the Eagle Ford Shale, providing condensate gathering and processing services as well as gathering, treating and compression services for associated natural gas. The estimated fair value of these customer relationship intangible assets was determined using an income approach, specifically a discounted cash flow analysis. The EFS Midstream customer relationships provide us with long-term access to the natural gas, NGL and condensate resources served by EFS Midstream. Infrastructure like that owned by EFS Midstream requires a significant investment, both in terms of initial construction costs and ongoing maintenance, and is generally supported by long-term contracts with producers (e.g., PXD and Reliance) that establish a customer base. The level of expenditures involved in constructing these asset networks can create significant economic barriers to entry that effectively limit competition. The long-term nature of the underlying producer contracts and limited risk of competition ensure a long commercial relationship with existing producers.

The discounted cash flow analysis used to estimate the fair value of the EFS Midstream customer relationships relied on Level 3 fair value inputs, including long-range cash flow forecasts that extend for the estimated economic life of the hydrocarbon resource base served by the asset network, anticipated service contract renewals and resource base depletion rates. A discount rate of 15% was applied to the resulting cash flows.

Oiltanking customer relationships

These intangible assets represent the estimated value of the expected patronage of Oiltanking's third party storage and terminal customers.

We valued the customer relationships using an income approach, specifically a discounted cash flow analysis. Our analysis was based on forecasting revenue for the existing terminal customers, including assumed service contract renewals, and then adjusting for expected customer attrition rates. The operating cash flows were then reduced by contributory asset charges. The cash flow projections were based on forecasts used to price the Oiltanking acquisition.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The discounted cash flow analysis used to estimate the fair value of the Oiltanking customer relationships relied on Level 3 fair value inputs, including long-range cash flow forecasts that extend for the estimated economic life of the terminal assets and anticipated service contract renewals. A discount rate of 6.5% was applied to the resulting cash flows.

Contract-based intangible assets. Contract-based intangible assets represent specific commercial rights we acquired in connection with business combinations or asset purchases. At December 31, 2016, the carrying value of our contract-based intangible assets was \$367.6 million. Our most significant contract-based intangible assets are the Oiltanking customer contracts and the Jonah natural gas gathering agreements.

Oiltanking customer contracts

We recorded \$297.4 million of contract-based intangible assets in connection with our acquisition of Oiltanking in October 2014. These intangible assets represent the estimated value of specific commercial rights we acquired in connection with third party customer storage and terminal contracts at the Houston and Beaumont terminals. We valued the contracts using an income approach. If a contract was in its renewal period and had not been cancelled, we assumed the contract was renewed on equivalent terms to the prior contract. We only valued those contracts that specified a minimum monthly fee, excluding contracts with a de minimis fee.

At December 31, 2016, the carrying value of this group of intangible assets was \$169.8 million and the weighted average remaining amortization period for the group was 4.6 years. Amortization expense attributable to these contracts is recorded using a straight-line approach over the terms of the underlying contracts.

Jonah natural gas gathering agreements

These intangible assets represent the value attributed to certain natural gas gathering contracts on the Jonah Gathering System. At December 31, 2016, the carrying value of this group of intangible assets was \$69.9 million and the weighted average remaining amortization period for the group was 25 years. Amortization expense attributable to these intangible assets is recorded using a units-of-production method based on gathering volumes.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price of an acquired business over the amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction. Goodwill is not amortized; however, it is subject to annual impairment testing at the end of each fiscal year, and more frequently, if circumstances indicate it is probable that the fair value of goodwill is below its carrying amount. The following table presents changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the periods indicated:

	NGL Pipelines & Services	Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	Offshore Pipelines & Services	Consolidated Total
Balance at January 1, 2014	\$ 341.2	\$ 305.1	\$ 296.3	\$ 1,055.3	\$ 82.1	\$ 2,080.0
Reclassification of goodwill between segments	520.0	--	--	(520.0)	--	--
Reduction in goodwill related to the sale of assets	--	--	--	--	(0.1)	(0.1)
Addition to goodwill related to the acquisition of Oiltanking	1,349.0	613.6	--	257.7	--	2,220.3
Balance at December 31, 2014	2,210.2	918.7	296.3	793.0	82.0	4,300.2
Reclassification of Oiltanking IDR balances to goodwill in connection with the cancellation of such rights in February 2015 and other adjustments	432.6	850.7	--	170.8	--	1,454.1
Reduction in goodwill related to the sale of assets	--	(2.1)	--	--	(82.0)	(84.1)
Addition to goodwill related to the acquisition of EFS Midstream	8.9	73.7	--	--	--	82.6
Goodwill reclassified to assets held-for-sale	--	--	--	(7.6)	--	(7.6)
Balance at December 31, 2015	2,651.7	1,841.0	296.3	956.2	--	5,745.2
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$ 2,651.7	\$ 1,841.0	\$ 296.3	\$ 956.2	\$ --	\$ 5,745.2

We did not record any goodwill impairment charges during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 or 2014. Based on our most recent goodwill impairment test at December 31, 2016, each reporting unit's fair value was substantially in excess of its carrying value (i.e., by at least 10%).

Upon completion of Step 1 of the Oiltanking acquisition in October 2014, we recorded \$2.22 billion of goodwill. This amount includes retrospective adjustments to the fair value of the Liquidity Option Agreement made in 2015 (see Note 17). Upon completion of Step 2 of the Oiltanking acquisition in February 2015, the IDRs of Oiltanking were cancelled and the associated \$1.45 billion carrying value of this identifiable intangible asset was reclassified to goodwill. In the aggregate, we recorded \$3.67 billion of goodwill in connection with the Oiltanking acquisition. Factors contributing to the recognition of goodwill in the Oiltanking acquisition include (i) opportunities for new business and repurposing existing assets for "best use" in order to meet anticipated increased demand for export and logistical services related to North American crude oil, condensate and NGL production, (ii) securing ownership and control of assets that are essential to our other midstream assets and (iii) cost savings from integrating Oiltanking's operations into our midstream asset network. See Note 12 for additional information regarding the Oiltanking acquisition.

In July 2015, we recorded \$82.6 million of goodwill in connection with our acquisition of EFS Midstream (see Note 12). In general, we attribute this goodwill to our ability to leverage the acquired business with our existing midstream asset network to create future business opportunities.

In July 2015, we removed \$82.0 million of goodwill in connection with sale of the Offshore Business (see Note 5).

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 8. Debt Obligations

The following table presents our consolidated debt obligations (arranged by company and maturity date) at the dates indicated:

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
EPO senior debt obligations:		
Commercial Paper Notes, variable-rates	\$ 1,777.2	\$ 1,114.1
Senior Notes AA, 3.20% fixed-rate, due February 2016	--	750.0
Senior Notes L, 6.30% fixed-rate, due September 2017	800.0	800.0
364-Day Credit Agreement, variable-rate, due September 2017	--	--
Senior Notes V, 6.65% fixed-rate, due April 2018	349.7	349.7
Senior Notes OO, 1.65% fixed-rate, due May 2018	750.0	750.0
Senior Notes N, 6.50% fixed-rate, due January 2019	700.0	700.0
Senior Notes LL, 2.55% fixed-rate, due October 2019	800.0	800.0
Senior Notes Q, 5.25% fixed-rate, due January 2020	500.0	500.0
Senior Notes Y, 5.20% fixed-rate, due September 2020	1,000.0	1,000.0
Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility, variable-rate, due September 2020	--	--
Senior Notes RR, 2.85% fixed-rate, due April 2021	575.0	--
Senior Notes CC, 4.05% fixed-rate, due February 2022	650.0	650.0
Senior Notes HH, 3.35% fixed-rate, due March 2023	1,250.0	1,250.0
Senior Notes JJ, 3.90% fixed-rate, due February 2024	850.0	850.0
Senior Notes MM, 3.75% fixed-rate, due February 2025	1,150.0	1,150.0
Senior Notes PP, 3.70% fixed-rate, due February 2026	875.0	875.0
Senior Notes SS, 3.95% fixed-rate, due February 2027	575.0	--
Senior Notes D, 6.875% fixed-rate, due March 2033	500.0	500.0
Senior Notes H, 6.65% fixed-rate, due October 2034	350.0	350.0
Senior Notes J, 5.75% fixed-rate, due March 2035	250.0	250.0
Senior Notes W, 7.55% fixed-rate, due April 2038	399.6	399.6
Senior Notes R, 6.125% fixed-rate, due October 2039	600.0	600.0
Senior Notes Z, 6.45% fixed-rate, due September 2040	600.0	600.0
Senior Notes BB, 5.95% fixed-rate, due February 2041	750.0	750.0
Senior Notes DD, 5.70% fixed-rate, due February 2042	600.0	600.0
Senior Notes EE, 4.85% fixed-rate, due August 2042	750.0	750.0
Senior Notes GG, 4.45% fixed-rate, due February 2043	1,100.0	1,100.0
Senior Notes II, 4.85% fixed-rate, due March 2044	1,400.0	1,400.0
Senior Notes KK, 5.10% fixed-rate, due February 2045	1,150.0	1,150.0
Senior Notes QQ, 4.90% fixed-rate, due May 2046	975.0	875.0
Senior Notes NN, 4.95% fixed-rate, due October 2054	400.0	400.0
TEPPCO senior debt obligations:		
TEPPCO Senior Notes, 6.65% fixed-rate, due April 2018	0.3	0.3
TEPPCO Senior Notes, 7.55% fixed-rate, due April 2038	0.4	0.4
Total principal amount of senior debt obligations	22,427.2	21,264.1
EPO Junior Subordinated Notes A, fixed/variable-rate, due August 2066 (1)	521.1	521.1
EPO Junior Subordinated Notes C, fixed/variable-rate, due June 2067 (2)	256.4	256.4
EPO Junior Subordinated Notes B, fixed/variable-rate, due January 2068 (3)	682.7	682.7
TEPPCO Junior Subordinated Notes, fixed/variable-rate, due June 2067	14.2	14.2
Total principal amount of senior and junior debt obligations	23,901.6	22,738.5
Other, non-principal amounts	(203.9)	(197.7)
Less current maturities of debt	(2,576.8)	(1,863.9)
Total long-term debt	<u>\$ 21,120.9</u>	<u>\$ 20,676.9</u>

(1) Fixed rate of 8.375% was paid through August 1, 2016; thereafter, variable rate is reset quarterly and based on 3-month LIBOR plus 3.708%. The total variable rate in effect at December 31, 2016 was approximately 4.59%.

(2) Fixed rate of 7.000% through September 1, 2017 (i.e., first call date without a make-whole redemption premium); thereafter, a variable rate reset quarterly and based on 3-month LIBOR plus 2.778%.

(3) Fixed rate of 7.034% through January 15, 2018 (i.e., first call date without a make-whole redemption premium); thereafter, the rate will be the greater of 7.034% or a variable rate reset quarterly and based on 3-month LIBOR plus 2.680%.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Effective January 1, 2016, we applied the provisions of Accounting Standard Update (“ASU”) 2015-03, *Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*, which requires bond issuance costs to be presented on the balance sheet as a deduction from the carrying value of the associated debt. The guidance was applied on a retrospective basis; therefore, we adjusted our December 31, 2015 consolidated balance sheet to reflect the reclassification of \$14.7 million of bond issuance costs from prepaid and other current assets and \$135.1 million from other assets to reduce the carrying amount of long-term debt by an aggregate \$149.8 million. These amounts are a component of “Other, non-principal amounts” in the preceding table.

The following table presents contractually scheduled maturities of our consolidated debt obligations outstanding at December 31, 2016 for the next five years, and in total thereafter:

	Total	Scheduled Maturities of Debt					Thereafter
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	
Commercial Paper Notes	\$ 1,777.2	\$ 1,777.2	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Senior Notes	20,650.0	800.0	1,100.0	1,500.0	1,500.0	575.0	15,175.0
Junior Subordinated Notes	1,474.4	--	--	--	--	--	1,474.4
Total	<u>\$ 23,901.6</u>	<u>\$ 2,577.2</u>	<u>\$ 1,100.0</u>	<u>\$ 1,500.0</u>	<u>\$ 1,500.0</u>	<u>\$ 575.0</u>	<u>\$ 16,649.4</u>

Parent-Subsidiary Guarantor Relationships

Enterprise Products Partners L.P. acts as guarantor of the consolidated debt obligations of EPO, with the exception of the remaining debt obligations of TEPPCO. If EPO were to default on any of its guaranteed debt, Enterprise Products Partners L.P. would be responsible for full and unconditional repayment of that obligation.

EPO Debt Obligations

Commercial Paper Notes. EPO maintains a commercial paper program under which it may issue (and have outstanding at any time) up to \$2.5 billion in the aggregate of short-term notes. As a back-stop to the program, we intend to maintain a minimum available borrowing capacity under EPO’s Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility equal to the aggregate amount outstanding under our commercial paper notes. All commercial paper notes issued under the program are senior unsecured obligations of EPO that are unconditionally guaranteed by Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

364-Day Credit Agreement. In September 2016, EPO amended its 364-Day Credit Agreement to extend its maturity date to September 2017. There are currently no principal amounts outstanding under this revolving credit agreement. Under the terms of the 364-Day Credit Agreement, EPO may borrow up to \$1.5 billion (which may be increased by up to \$200 million to \$1.7 billion at EPO’s election, provided certain conditions are met) at a variable interest rate for a term of 364 days, subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein. To the extent that principal amounts are outstanding at the maturity date, EPO may elect to have the entire principal balance then outstanding continued as a non-revolving term loan for a period of one additional year, payable in September 2018. EPO’s obligations under the 364-Day Credit Agreement are not secured by any collateral; however, they are guaranteed by Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

The 364-Day Credit Agreement contains customary representations, warranties, covenants (affirmative and negative) and events of default, the occurrence of which would permit the lenders to accelerate the maturity date of any amounts borrowed under the 364-Day Credit Agreement. The 364-Day Credit Agreement also restricts EPO’s ability to pay cash distributions to its parent, Enterprise Products Partners L.P., if a default or an event of default (as defined in the 364-Day Credit Agreement) has occurred and is continuing at the time such distribution is scheduled to be paid or would result therefrom.

Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility. In September 2015, EPO amended its Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility to increase its borrowing capacity from \$3.5 billion to \$4.0 billion and extend its maturity date from June 2018 to September 2020. The amended credit agreement also provides that EPO may increase its borrowing capacity to \$4.5 billion by allowing existing lenders under the facility to increase their respective commitments or by adding one or more new lenders to the facility. Borrowings under this revolving credit facility may be used for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and general company purposes.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As defined by the credit agreement, variable interest rates charged under this revolving credit facility bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin. In addition, EPO is required to pay a quarterly facility fee on each lender's commitment irrespective of commitment usage. This revolving credit facility allows us to request up to two one-year extensions of the maturity date, subject to lender approval.

The Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility contains certain financial and other customary affirmative and negative covenants. The credit agreement also restricts EPO's ability to pay cash distributions to Enterprise Products Partners L.P. if a default or an event of default (as defined in the credit agreement) has occurred and is continuing at the time such distribution is scheduled to be paid. EPO's borrowings under this revolving credit facility are unsecured general obligations that are guaranteed by Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and are non-recourse to Enterprise GP.

Senior Notes. EPO's fixed-rate senior notes are unsecured obligations of EPO that rank equal with its existing and future unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. They are senior to any existing and future subordinated indebtedness of EPO. EPO's senior notes are subject to make-whole redemption rights and were issued under indentures containing certain covenants, which generally restrict its ability (with certain exceptions) to incur debt secured by liens and engage in sale and leaseback transactions. In total, EPO issued \$1.25 billion, \$2.5 billion and \$4.75 billion of senior notes during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

In April 2016, EPO issued \$575 million in principal amount of 2.85% senior notes due April 2021 ("Senior Notes RR"), \$575 million in principal amount of 3.95% senior notes due February 2027 ("Senior Notes SS") and \$100 million in principal amount of 4.90% reopened senior notes due May 2046 ("Senior Notes QQ"). Senior Notes RR, SS and QQ were issued at 99.898%, 99.760% and 95.516% of their principal amounts, respectively.

Net proceeds from the issuance of these senior notes were used as follows: (i) the repayment of amounts then outstanding under EPO's commercial paper program, which included amounts we used to repay \$750 million in principal amount of Senior Notes AA that matured in February 2016, and (ii) for general company purposes.

EPO Junior Subordinated Notes. EPO's payment obligations under its junior notes are subordinated to all of its current and future senior indebtedness (as defined in the related indenture agreement). Enterprise Products Partners L.P. guarantees repayment of amounts due under these junior notes through an unsecured and subordinated guarantee. The indenture agreement governing these notes allows EPO to defer interest payments on one or more occasions for up to ten consecutive years subject to certain conditions. Subject to certain exceptions, during any period in which interest payments are deferred, neither we nor EPO can declare or make any distributions on any of our respective equity securities or make any payments on indebtedness or other obligations that rank equal with or are subordinate to our junior notes. Each series of our junior notes rank equal with each other. Generally, each series of junior notes are not redeemable by EPO absent payment of a make-whole premium (while such notes bear interest at a fixed annual rate).

In connection with the issuance of each series of junior notes, EPO entered into separate Replacement Capital Covenants in favor of covered debt holders (as defined in the underlying documents) pursuant to which EPO agreed, for the benefit of such debt holders, that it would not redeem or repurchase such junior notes unless such redemption or repurchase is made using proceeds from the issuance of certain securities.

During 2015, EPO repurchased and retired \$28.9 million in principal amount of its Junior Subordinated Notes A and \$29.4 million in principal amount of its Junior Subordinated Notes C with cash from operations. A \$1.6 million gain on the extinguishment of these debt obligations is included in "Other, net" on our Statements of Consolidated Operations.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes the interest rate terms of our junior subordinated notes:

Series	Fixed Annual Interest Rate	Variable Annual Interest Rate Thereafter
EPO Junior Subordinated Notes A	8.375% through August 2016 (1)	3-month LIBOR rate + 3.708% (4)
EPO Junior Subordinated Notes B	7.034% through January 2018 (2)	Greater of: (i) 3-month LIBOR rate + 2.680% or (ii) 7.034% (5)
EPO Junior Subordinated Notes C	7.000% through September 2017 (3)	3-month LIBOR rate + 2.778% (6)

- (1) Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears in February and August of each year, which commenced in February 2007.
(2) Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears in January and July of each year, which commenced in January 2008.
(3) Interest is payable semi-annually in arrears in June and December of each year, which commenced in December 2009.
(4) Interest is payable quarterly in arrears in February, May, August and November of each, which commenced in November 2016.
(5) Interest is payable quarterly in arrears in January, April, July and October of each year commencing in April 2018.
(6) Interest is payable quarterly in arrears in March, June, September and December of each year commencing in June 2017.

Letters of Credit

At December 31, 2016, EPO had \$66.4 million of letters of credit outstanding primarily related to our commodity hedging activities.

Lender Financial Covenants

We were in compliance with the financial covenants of our consolidated debt agreements at December 31, 2016.

Information Regarding Variable Interest Rates Paid

The following table presents the range of interest rates and weighted-average interest rates paid on our consolidated variable-rate debt during the year ended December 31, 2016:

	Range of Interest Rates Paid	Weighted-Average Interest Rate Paid
Commercial Paper Notes	0.56% to 1.18%	0.90%
Multi-Year Revolving Credit Facility	1.43% to 1.43%	1.43%
EPO Junior Subordinated Notes A	4.46% to 4.59%	4.52%

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 9. Equity and Distributions
Partners Equity

Partners' equity reflects the various classes of limited partner interests (i.e., common units, including restricted common units) outstanding. The following table summarizes changes in the number of our outstanding units since January 1, 2014:

	Common Units (Unrestricted)	Restricted Common Units	Total Common Units
Number of units outstanding at January 1, 2014	1,864,148,802	7,221,214	1,871,370,016
Common units issued in connection with ATM program	1,590,334	--	1,590,334
Common units issued in connection with DRIP and EUPP	9,754,227	--	9,754,227
Common units issued in connection with Step 1 of Oiltanking acquisition	54,807,352	--	54,807,352
Common units issued in connection with the vesting and exercise of unit options	1,014,108	--	1,014,108
Common units issued in connection with the vesting of phantom unit awards	23,311	--	23,311
Common units issued in connection with the vesting of restricted common unit awards	2,634,074	(2,634,074)	--
Forfeiture of restricted common unit awards	--	(357,350)	(357,350)
Acquisition and cancellation of treasury units in connection with the vesting of equity-based awards	(894,383)	--	(894,383)
Other	17,202	--	17,202
Number of units outstanding at December 31, 2014	1,933,095,027	4,229,790	1,937,324,817
Common units issued in connection with ATM program	25,520,424	--	25,520,424
Common units issued in connection with DRIP and EUPP	12,793,913	--	12,793,913
Common units issued in connection with Step 2 of Oiltanking acquisition	36,827,517	--	36,827,517
Common units issued in connection with the vesting and exercise of unit options	396,158	--	396,158
Common units issued in connection with the vesting of phantom unit awards	618,395	--	618,395
Common units issued in connection with the vesting of restricted common unit awards	2,009,970	(2,009,970)	--
Forfeiture of restricted common unit awards	--	(259,300)	(259,300)
Acquisition and cancellation of treasury units in connection with the vesting of equity-based awards	(683,954)	--	(683,954)
Other	15,054	--	15,054
Number of units outstanding at December 31, 2015	2,010,592,504	1,960,520	2,012,553,024
Common units issued in connection with ATM program	87,867,037	--	87,867,037
Common units issued in connection with DRIP and EUPP	16,316,534	--	16,316,534
Common units issued in connection with the vesting of phantom unit awards	1,761,455	--	1,761,455
Common units issued in connection with the vesting of restricted common unit awards	1,234,502	(1,234,502)	--
Forfeiture of restricted common unit awards	--	(43,724)	(43,724)
Acquisition and cancellation of treasury units in connection with the vesting of equity-based awards	(1,000,619)	--	(1,000,619)
Other	134,707	--	134,707
Number of units outstanding at December 31, 2016	2,116,906,120	682,294	2,117,588,414

Our common units represent limited partner interests, which give the holders thereof the right to participate in distributions and to exercise the other rights or privileges available to them under our Sixth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (as amended from time to time, the "Partnership Agreement"). We are managed by our general partner, Enterprise GP.

In accordance with our Partnership Agreement, capital accounts are maintained for our limited partners. The capital account provisions of our Partnership Agreement incorporate principles established for U.S. Federal income tax purposes and are not comparable to the equity amounts presented in our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP. Earnings and cash distributions are allocated to holders of our common units in accordance with their respective percentage interests.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Universal shelf registration statement. On May 12, 2016, we filed with the SEC a new universal shelf registration statement (the “2016 Shelf”), which was immediately effective and replaced our prior universal shelf registration statement filed with the SEC in June 2013 (the “2013 Shelf”). The 2016 Shelf allows (and the prior 2013 Shelf allowed) Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and EPO (each on a standalone basis) to issue an unlimited amount of equity and debt securities, respectively. In total, EPO issued \$1.25 billion, \$2.5 billion and \$4.75 billion of senior notes during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. See Note 8 for information regarding an offering of senior notes we completed in April 2016 using the 2013 Shelf.

At-the-Market (“ATM”) Program. On July 11, 2016, we filed an amended registration statement with the SEC covering the issuance of up to \$1.89 billion of our common units in amounts, at prices and on terms to be determined by market conditions and other factors at the time of such offerings. Pursuant to the ATM program, we may sell common units under an equity distribution agreement between Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and certain broker-dealers from time-to-time by means of ordinary brokers’ transactions through the NYSE at market prices, in block transactions or as otherwise agreed to with the broker-dealer parties to the agreement. The new registration statement was declared effective on July 14, 2016 and replaced our prior registration statement with respect to the ATM program.

During 2016, we issued 87,867,037 common units under the ATM program for aggregate gross cash proceeds of \$2.17 billion, resulting in total net cash proceeds of \$2.16 billion. This includes 3,830,256 common units sold in January 2016 to privately held affiliates of EPCO, which generated gross proceeds of \$100 million. During 2015, we issued 25,520,424 common units under the ATM program for aggregate gross cash proceeds of \$825.4 million, resulting in total net cash proceeds of \$817.4 million. This includes 3,225,057 common units sold in March 2015 to a privately held affiliate of EPCO, which generated gross proceeds of \$100 million. During 2014, we issued 1,590,334 common units under the ATM for aggregate gross cash proceeds of \$58.3 million, resulting in total net cash proceeds of \$57.7 million. Following the effectiveness of the amended registration statement and after taking into account the aggregate sales price of common units sold under the ATM program through December 31, 2016, we have the capacity to issue additional common units under the ATM program up to an aggregate sales price of \$1.44 billion.

Distribution reinvestment plan. On May 12, 2016, we filed with the SEC a new registration statement in connection with our distribution reinvestment plan (“DRIP”), which was immediately effective and amended a prior registration statement filed in March 2010. The new registration statement increased the aggregate number of our common units authorized for issuance under the DRIP from 140,000,000 to 240,000,000. The DRIP provides unitholders of record and beneficial owners of our common units a voluntary means by which they can increase the number of our common units they own by reinvesting the quarterly cash distributions they receive from us into the purchase of additional new common units. Activity under our DRIP for the last three years was as follows: 15,809,503 common units issued during 2016, which generated net cash proceeds of \$374.0 million; 12,413,351 common units issued during 2015, which generated net cash proceeds of \$359.8 million; and 9,480,407 common units issued during 2014, which generated net cash proceeds of \$321.3 million. Privately held affiliates of EPCO reinvested \$100 million through the DRIP in each of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (this amount being a component of the net cash proceeds presented for each period). After taking into account the number of common units issued under the DRIP through December 31, 2016, we have the capacity to issue an additional 99,258,495 common units under this plan.

Employee unit purchase plan. In addition to the DRIP, we have registration statements on file with the SEC authorizing the issuance of up to 8,000,000 of our common units in connection with an employee unit purchase plan (“EUPP”). Activity under our EUPP for the last three years was as follows: 507,031 common units issued during 2016, which generated net cash proceeds of \$12.7 million; 380,562 common units issued during 2015, which generated net cash proceeds of \$11.4 million; and 273,820 common units issued during 2014, which generated net cash proceeds of \$9.8 million. After taking into account the number of common units issued under the EUPP through December 31, 2016, we may issue an additional 6,265,475 common units under this plan.

The net cash proceeds we received from the issuance of common units during the year ended December 31, 2016 were used to temporarily reduce amounts outstanding under EPO’s commercial paper program and revolving credit facilities and for general company purposes.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Registration Rights Agreement. In order to fund the equity consideration paid in Step 1 of the Oiltanking acquisition (see Note 12), we issued 54,807,352 common units to OTA on October 1, 2014 in a transaction exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) thereof, and we granted OTA registration rights with respect to these common units under a Registration Rights Agreement between us and OTA (the "Registration Rights Agreement"). The Registration Rights Agreement provides that, subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, at any time after the earlier of (i) 90 days after October 1, 2014 and (ii) the execution of definitive agreements to acquire (through merger or otherwise) all or substantially all of the Oiltanking common units not owned by Enterprise or its affiliates, OTA may request that we prepare and file a registration statement to permit and otherwise facilitate the public resale of all or a portion of the 54,807,352 Enterprise common units that OTA owns. Our obligation to OTA to effect such transactions is limited to five registration statements and underwritten offerings.

Completion of Oiltanking Acquisition. In accordance with the merger agreement and Oiltanking's partnership agreement, the merger was submitted to a vote of Oiltanking's common unitholders, with the required majority of unitholders (including our ownership interests) voting to approve the merger on February 13, 2015. Upon approval of the merger, a total of 36,827,517 of our common units were issued to Oiltanking's former public unitholders.

Step 2 of the acquisition was accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 810, *Consolidations – Overall – Changes in Parent's Ownership Interest in a Subsidiary*. Since we had a controlling financial interest in Oiltanking before and after completion of Step 2, the increase in our ownership interest in Oiltanking was accounted for as an equity transaction with no gain or loss recognized. Step 2 represented our acquisition of the noncontrolling interests in Oiltanking; therefore, approximately \$1.4 billion of noncontrolling interests attributable to Oiltanking was reclassified to limited partners' equity to reflect the February 2015 issuance of 36,827,517 new common units.

Treasury Units. In December 1998, we announced a common unit repurchase program whereby we, together with certain affiliates, intended to repurchase up to 4,000,000 of our common units. A total of 2,763,200 common units were repurchased under this program; however, no repurchases have been made since 2002. As of December 31, 2016, we and our affiliates could repurchase up to 1,236,800 additional common units under this program.

A total of 1,234,502 restricted common unit awards granted to employees of EPCO vested and converted to common units during the year ended December 31, 2016. Of this amount, 409,764 were sold back to us by employees to cover related withholding tax requirements. In addition, 590,855 units were sold back to us by employees to cover withholding tax requirements related to the vesting of phantom unit awards. The total cost of these treasury unit purchases was approximately \$23.2 million. We cancelled such treasury units immediately upon acquisition. See Note 13 for additional information regarding our equity-based awards.

Two-for-One Split of Limited Partner Units. In July 2014, we announced that our general partner approved a two-for-one split of our common units. The common unit split was completed on August 21, 2014 by distributing one additional common unit for each common unit outstanding (to holders of record as of the close of business on August 14, 2014). All per unit amounts and number of Enterprise units outstanding in this annual report are presented on a post-split basis.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) primarily reflects the effective portion of the gain or loss on derivative instruments designated and qualified as cash flow hedges. Gain or loss amounts related to cash flow hedges recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are reclassified to earnings in the same period(s) in which the underlying hedged forecasted transactions affect earnings. If it becomes probable that a forecasted transaction will not occur, the related net gain or loss in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is immediately reclassified into earnings.

The following tables present the components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as reported on our Consolidated Balance Sheets at the dates indicated:

	Gains (Losses) on Cash Flow Hedges		Other	Total
	Commodity Derivative Instruments	Interest Rate Derivative Instruments		
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$ 69.9	\$ (314.8)	\$ 3.3	\$ (241.6)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	214.9	--	0.4	215.3
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss	(228.2)	35.3	--	(192.9)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(13.3)	35.3	0.4	22.4
Balance, December 31, 2015	56.6	(279.5)	3.7	(219.2)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(193.8)	42.3	(0.1)	(151.6)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	53.4	37.4	--	90.8
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(140.4)	79.7	(0.1)	(60.8)
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$ (83.8)	\$ (199.8)	\$ 3.6	\$ (280.0)

The following table presents reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into net income during the periods indicated:

	Location	For the Year Ended December 31,	
		2016	2015
Losses (gains) on cash flow hedges:			
Interest rate derivatives	Interest expense	\$ 37.4	\$ 35.3
Commodity derivatives	Revenue	53.6	(231.7)
Commodity derivatives	Operating costs and expenses	(0.2)	3.5
Total		\$ 90.8	\$ (192.9)

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests represent third party equity ownership interests in our consolidated subsidiaries.

We reclassified approximately \$1.4 billion of noncontrolling interests to limited partners' equity in connection with completing Step 2 of the Oiltanking acquisition in February 2015. Cash distributions paid in the first quarter of 2015 to the limited partners of Oiltanking other than EPO and its subsidiaries are presented as amounts paid to noncontrolling interests.

In February 2015, we formed a joint venture involving our Panola NGL Pipeline with affiliates of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation ("Anadarko"), DCP Midstream Partners, LP ("DCP") and MarkWest Energy Partners, L.P. ("MarkWest"). We continue to serve as operator of the Panola Pipeline and own 55% of the member interests in the joint venture. Affiliates of Anadarko, DCP and MarkWest own the remaining 45% member interests, with each holding a 15% interest. The Panola Pipeline transports mixed NGLs from points near Carthage, Texas to Mont Belvieu, Texas and supports the Haynesville and Cotton Valley oil and gas production areas.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the components of net income attributable to noncontrolling interests as presented on our Statements of Consolidated Operations for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Limited partners of Oiltanking other than EPO	\$ --	\$ 7.8	\$ 14.2
Joint venture partners	39.9	29.4	31.9
Total	<u>\$ 39.9</u>	<u>\$ 37.2</u>	<u>\$ 46.1</u>

The following table presents cash distributions paid to and cash contributions received from noncontrolling interests as presented on our Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows and Statements of Consolidated Equity for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash distributions paid to noncontrolling interests:			
Limited partners of Oiltanking other than EPO	\$ --	\$ 8.1	\$ 7.7
Joint venture partners	47.4	39.9	40.9
Total	<u>\$ 47.4</u>	<u>\$ 48.0</u>	<u>\$ 48.6</u>
Cash contributions from noncontrolling interests:			
Joint venture partners	<u>\$ 20.4</u>	<u>\$ 54.0</u>	<u>\$ 4.0</u>

Cash Distributions

The following table presents Enterprise's declared quarterly cash distribution rates per common unit with respect to the quarter indicated. Actual cash distributions are paid by Enterprise within 45 days after the end of each fiscal quarter.

	Distribution Per Common Unit	Record Date	Payment Date
2014:			
1st Quarter	\$ 0.3550	4/30/2014	5/7/2014
2nd Quarter	\$ 0.3600	7/31/2014	8/7/2014
3rd Quarter	\$ 0.3650	10/31/2014	11/7/2014
4th Quarter	\$ 0.3700	1/30/2015	2/6/2015
2015:			
1st Quarter	\$ 0.3750	4/30/2015	5/7/2015
2nd Quarter	\$ 0.3800	7/31/2015	8/7/2015
3rd Quarter	\$ 0.3850	10/30/2015	11/6/2015
4th Quarter	\$ 0.3900	1/29/2016	2/5/2016
2016:			
1st Quarter	\$ 0.3950	4/29/2016	5/6/2016
2nd Quarter	\$ 0.4000	7/29/2016	8/5/2016
3rd Quarter	\$ 0.4050	10/31/2016	11/7/2016
4th Quarter	\$ 0.4100	1/31/2017	2/7/2017

In November 2010, we completed our merger with Enterprise GP Holdings L.P. (the "Holdings Merger"). In connection with the Holdings Merger, a privately held affiliate of EPCO agreed to temporarily waive the regular cash distributions it would otherwise receive from us with respect to a certain number of our common units it owns (the "Designated Units"). Distributions paid to partners during calendar years 2014 and 2015 excluded 45,120,000 and 35,380,000 Designated Units, respectively. The temporary distribution waiver expired in November 2015; therefore, distributions paid during calendar year 2016 were paid on all outstanding common units.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 10. Business Segments and Related Information**Segment Overview**

Our historical operations are reported under five business segments: (i) NGL Pipelines & Services, (ii) Crude Oil Pipelines & Services, (iii) Natural Gas Pipelines & Services, (iv) Petrochemical & Refined Products Services and (v) Offshore Pipelines & Services. Our business segments are generally organized and managed according to the types of services rendered (or technologies employed) and products produced and/or sold. Financial information regarding these segments is evaluated regularly by our chief operating decision makers in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing operating and financial performance. The President and the Chief Executive Officer of our general partner have been identified as our chief operating decision makers. While these two officers evaluate results in a number of different ways, the business segment structure is the primary basis for which the allocation of resources and financial results are assessed.

The following information summarizes the current assets and operations of each business segment (mileage and other statistics are unaudited):

- Our NGL Pipelines & Services business segment includes our natural gas processing plants and related NGL marketing activities; approximately 19,670 miles of NGL pipelines; NGL and related product storage facilities; and 15 NGL fractionators. This segment also includes our NGL export docks and related operations.
- Our Crude Oil Pipelines & Services business segment includes approximately 5,400 miles of crude oil pipelines, crude oil storage terminals located in Oklahoma and Texas, and our crude oil marketing activities.
- Our Natural Gas Pipelines & Services business segment includes approximately 19,120 miles of natural gas pipeline systems that provide for the gathering and transportation of natural gas in Colorado, Louisiana, New Mexico, Texas and Wyoming. We lease underground salt dome natural gas storage facilities located in Texas and Louisiana and own an underground salt dome storage cavern in Texas, all of which are important to our natural gas pipeline operations. This segment also includes our related natural gas marketing activities.
- Our Petrochemical & Refined Products Services business segment includes (i) propylene fractionation and related operations, including 686 miles of pipelines; (ii) a butane isomerization complex, associated deisobutanizer units and related pipeline assets; (iii) octane enhancement and high purity isobutylene production facilities; (iv) refined products pipelines aggregating approximately 4,250 miles, terminals and related marketing activities; and (v) marine transportation.
- Our Offshore Pipelines & Services business segment, which served some of the most active drilling and development regions, including deepwater production fields, in the northern Gulf of Mexico offshore Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama was sold, effective July 24, 2015. Our results of operations reflect ownership of the Offshore Business through July 24, 2015 (see Note 5).

Substantially all of our plants, pipelines and other fixed assets are located in the U.S.

Segment Gross Operating Margin

We evaluate segment performance based on our financial measure of gross operating margin. Gross operating margin is an important performance measure of the core profitability of our operations and forms the basis of our internal financial reporting. We believe that investors benefit from having access to the same financial measures that our management uses in evaluating segment results. Gross operating margin is exclusive of other income and expense transactions, income taxes, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles and extraordinary charges. Gross operating margin is presented on a 100% basis before any allocation of earnings to noncontrolling interests.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents our measurement of total segment gross operating margin for the periods presented. The GAAP financial measure most directly comparable to total segment gross operating margin is operating income.

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Income before income taxes	\$ 2,576.4	\$ 2,555.9	\$ 2,856.6
Add total other expense, net	<u>1,004.3</u>	<u>984.3</u>	<u>919.1</u>
Operating income	3,580.7	3,540.2	3,775.7
<i>Adjustments to reconcile operating income to total gross operating margin:</i>			
Add depreciation, amortization and accretion expense in operating costs and expenses	1,456.7	1,428.2	1,282.7
Add asset impairment and related charges in operating costs and expenses (1)	52.8	162.6	34.0
Add net losses and subtract net gains attributable to asset sales and insurance recoveries	(2.5)	15.6	(102.1)
Add general and administrative costs	160.1	192.6	214.5
<i>Adjustments for make-up rights on certain new pipeline projects:</i>			
Add non-refundable payments received from shippers attributable to make-up rights (2)	17.5	53.6	84.6
Subtract the subsequent recognition of revenues attributable to make-up rights (3)	<u>(34.6)</u>	<u>(60.7)</u>	<u>(2.9)</u>
Total segment gross operating margin	<u>\$ 5,230.7</u>	<u>\$ 5,332.1</u>	<u>\$ 5,286.5</u>

(1) The amount presented for 2016 includes \$7.1 million recorded in connection with the fire at Pascagoula (see Note 5).

(2) Since make-up rights entail a future performance obligation by the pipeline to the shipper, these receipts are recorded as deferred revenue for GAAP purposes; however, these receipts are included in gross operating margin in the period of receipt since they are nonrefundable to the shipper.

(3) As deferred revenues attributable to make-up rights are subsequently recognized as revenue under GAAP, gross operating margin must be adjusted to remove such amounts to prevent duplication since the associated non-refundable payments were previously included in gross operating margin.

The results of operations from our liquids pipelines are primarily dependent upon the volumes transported and the associated fees we charge for such transportation services. Typically, pipeline transportation revenue is recognized when volumes are re-delivered to customers. However, under certain pipeline transportation agreements, customers are required to ship a minimum volume over an agreed-upon period. These arrangements typically entail the shipper paying a transportation fee based on a minimum volume commitment, with a provision that allows the shipper to make-up any volume shortfalls over the agreed-upon period (referred to as shipper “make-up rights”). Revenue pursuant to such agreements, including that associated with make-up rights, is initially deferred and subsequently recognized under GAAP at the earlier of when the deficiency volume is shipped, when the shipper’s ability to meet the minimum volume commitment has expired (typically a one year contractual period), or when the pipeline is otherwise released from its transportation service performance obligation.

However, management includes deferred transportation revenues relating to the “make-up rights” of committed shippers when reviewing the financial results of certain new pipeline projects (Texas Express Pipeline, Front Range Pipeline, ATEX, Aegis Ethane Pipeline and Seaway Pipeline). From an internal (and segment) reporting standpoint, management considers the transportation fees paid by committed shippers on these pipeline projects, including any non-refundable revenues that may be deferred under GAAP related to make-up rights, to be important in assessing the financial performance of these pipeline assets. Although the adjustments for make-up rights are included in segment gross operating margin, our consolidated revenues do not reflect any deferred revenues until the conditions for recognizing such revenues are met in accordance with GAAP.

Gross operating margin by segment is calculated by subtracting segment operating costs and expenses from segment revenues, with both segment totals reflecting the adjustments noted in the preceding table, as applicable, and before the elimination of intercompany transactions. The following table presents gross operating margin by segment for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Gross operating margin by segment:			
NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 2,990.6	\$ 2,771.6	\$ 2,877.7
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	854.6	961.9	762.5
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	734.9	782.6	803.3
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	650.6	718.5	681.0
Offshore Pipelines & Services	<u>--</u>	<u>97.5</u>	<u>162.0</u>
Total segment gross operating margin	<u>\$ 5,230.7</u>	<u>\$ 5,332.1</u>	<u>\$ 5,286.5</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Summarized Segment Financial Information

Information by business segment, together with reconciliations to amounts presented on our Statements of Consolidated Operations, is presented in the following table:

	Reportable Business Segments					Adjustments and Eliminations	Consolidated Total
	NGL Pipelines & Services	Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	Offshore Pipelines & Services		
Revenues from third parties:							
Year ended December 31, 2016	\$ 10,232.7	\$ 6,478.7	\$ 2,532.4	\$ 3,721.8	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 22,965.6
Year ended December 31, 2015	9,779.0	10,258.3	2,729.5	4,111.9	76.9	--	26,955.6
Year ended December 31, 2014	17,078.4	20,151.9	4,182.6	6,316.5	150.3	--	47,879.7
Revenues from related parties:							
Year ended December 31, 2016	9.8	36.3	10.6	--	--	--	56.7
Year ended December 31, 2015	9.0	47.6	13.8	--	1.9	--	72.3
Year ended December 31, 2014	11.4	32.4	21.2	--	6.5	--	71.5
Intersegment and intrasegment revenues:							
Year ended December 31, 2016	19,150.0	9,052.0	668.5	1,234.8	--	(30,105.3)	--
Year ended December 31, 2015	10,217.9	5,162.0	662.1	1,126.0	0.6	(17,168.6)	--
Year ended December 31, 2014	13,716.5	12,678.7	1,106.7	1,779.6	6.5	(29,288.0)	--
Total revenues:							
Year ended December 31, 2016	29,392.5	15,567.0	3,211.5	4,956.6	--	(30,105.3)	23,022.3
Year ended December 31, 2015	20,005.9	15,467.9	3,405.4	5,237.9	79.4	(17,168.6)	27,027.9
Year ended December 31, 2014	30,806.3	32,863.0	5,310.5	8,096.1	163.3	(29,288.0)	47,951.2
Equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates:							
Year ended December 31, 2016	61.4	311.9	3.8	(15.1)	--	--	362.0
Year ended December 31, 2015	57.5	281.4	3.8	(15.7)	46.6	--	373.6
Year ended December 31, 2014	30.6	184.6	3.6	(13.3)	54.0	--	259.5

Segment revenues include intersegment and intrasegment transactions, which are generally based on transactions made at market-based rates. Our consolidated revenues reflect the elimination of intercompany transactions. Substantially all of our consolidated revenues are earned in the U.S. and derived from a wide customer base.

We include equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates in our measurement of segment gross operating margin and operating income. Equity investments with industry partners are a significant component of our business strategy. They are a means by which we conduct our operations to align our interests with those of customers and/or suppliers. This method of operation enables us to achieve favorable economies of scale relative to the level of investment and business risk assumed. Many of these businesses perform supporting or complementary roles to our other midstream business operations.

Our integrated midstream energy asset network (including the midstream energy assets owned by our equity method investees) provides services to producers and consumers of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, refined products and certain petrochemicals. In general, hydrocarbons may enter our asset system in a number of ways, such as through a natural gas processing plant, a natural gas gathering pipeline, a crude oil pipeline or terminal, an NGL fractionator, an NGL storage facility or an NGL gathering or transportation pipeline. Many of our equity investees are included within our integrated midstream asset network. For example, we use the Front Range Pipeline and Texas Express Pipeline to transport mixed NGLs to our Mont Belvieu complex for fractionation and storage and the Seaway Pipeline to transport crude oil to our terminals in the Houston, Texas area. Given the integral nature of our equity method investees to our operations, we believe the presentation of equity earnings from such investees as a component of gross operating margin and operating income is meaningful and appropriate.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Information by business segment, together with reconciliations to our Consolidated Balance Sheet totals, is presented in the following table:

	Reportable Business Segments					Adjustments and Eliminations	Consolidated Total
	NGL Pipelines & Services	Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	Offshore Pipelines & Services		
Property, plant and equipment, net: (see Note 5)							
At December 31, 2016	\$ 14,091.5	\$ 4,216.1	\$ 8,403.0	\$ 3,261.2	\$ --	\$ 3,320.7	\$ 33,292.5
At December 31, 2015	12,909.7	3,550.3	8,620.0	3,060.7	--	3,894.0	32,034.7
At December 31, 2014	11,766.9	2,332.2	8,835.5	3,047.2	1,145.1	2,754.7	29,881.6
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates: (see Note 6)							
At December 31, 2016	750.4	1,824.6	21.7	80.6	--	--	2,677.3
At December 31, 2015	718.7	1,813.4	22.5	73.9	--	--	2,628.5
At December 31, 2014	682.3	1,767.7	23.2	75.1	493.7	--	3,042.0
Intangible assets, net: (see Note 7)							
At December 31, 2016	350.2	2,279.0	1,054.5	180.4	--	--	3,864.1
At December 31, 2015	380.3	2,377.5	1,087.7	191.7	--	--	4,037.2
At December 31, 2014	689.2	2,223.6	972.9	374.8	41.6	--	4,302.1
Goodwill: (see Note 7)							
At December 31, 2016	2,651.7	1,841.0	296.3	956.2	--	--	5,745.2
At December 31, 2015	2,651.7	1,841.0	296.3	956.2	--	--	5,745.2
At December 31, 2014	2,210.2	918.7	296.3	793.0	82.0	--	4,300.2
Segment assets:							
At December 31, 2016	17,843.8	10,160.7	9,775.5	4,478.4	--	3,320.7	45,579.1
At December 31, 2015	16,660.4	9,582.2	10,026.5	4,282.5	--	3,894.0	44,445.6
At December 31, 2014	15,348.6	7,242.2	10,127.9	4,290.1	1,762.4	2,754.7	41,525.9

Segment assets consist of property, plant and equipment, investments in unconsolidated affiliates, intangible assets and goodwill. The carrying values of such amounts are assigned to each segment based on each asset's or investment's principal operations and contribution to the gross operating margin of that particular segment. Since construction-in-progress amounts (a component of property, plant and equipment) generally do not contribute to segment gross operating margin, such amounts are excluded from segment asset totals until the underlying assets are placed in service. Intangible assets and goodwill are assigned to each segment based on the classification of the assets to which they relate. The remainder of our consolidated total assets, which consist primarily of working capital assets, are excluded from segment assets since these amounts are not attributable to one specific segment (e.g. cash).

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Other Revenue and Expense Information

The following table presents additional information regarding our consolidated revenues and costs and expenses for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
NGL Pipelines & Services:			
Sales of NGLs and related products	\$ 8,380.5	\$ 8,044.8	\$ 15,460.1
Midstream services	1,862.0	1,743.2	1,629.7
Total	<u>10,242.5</u>	<u>9,788.0</u>	<u>17,089.8</u>
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services:			
Sales of crude oil	5,802.5	9,732.9	19,783.9
Midstream services	712.5	573.0	400.4
Total	<u>6,515.0</u>	<u>10,305.9</u>	<u>20,184.3</u>
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services:			
Sales of natural gas	1,591.9	1,722.6	3,181.7
Midstream services	951.1	1,020.7	1,022.1
Total	<u>2,543.0</u>	<u>2,743.3</u>	<u>4,203.8</u>
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services:			
Sales of petrochemicals and refined products	2,921.9	3,333.5	5,575.5
Midstream services	799.9	778.4	741.0
Total	<u>3,721.8</u>	<u>4,111.9</u>	<u>6,316.5</u>
Offshore Pipelines & Services:			
Sales of natural gas	--	--	0.3
Sales of crude oil	--	3.2	8.6
Midstream services	--	75.6	147.9
Total	<u>--</u>	<u>78.8</u>	<u>156.8</u>
Total consolidated revenues	<u>\$ 23,022.3</u>	<u>\$ 27,027.9</u>	<u>\$ 47,951.2</u>
Consolidated costs and expenses			
Operating costs and expenses:			
Cost of sales	\$ 15,710.9	\$ 19,612.9	\$ 40,464.1
Other operating costs and expenses (1)	2,425.6	2,449.4	2,541.8
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	1,456.7	1,428.2	1,282.7
Impairment and related charges	52.8	162.6	34.0
Net losses (gains) attributable to asset sales and insurance recoveries	(2.5)	15.6	(102.1)
General and administrative costs	160.1	192.6	214.5
Total consolidated costs and expenses	<u>\$ 19,803.6</u>	<u>\$ 23,861.3</u>	<u>\$ 44,435.0</u>

(1) Represents the cost of operating our plants, pipelines and other fixed assets excluding: depreciation, amortization and accretion charges; asset impairment and related charges; and net losses (or gains) attributable to asset sales and insurance recoveries.

Fluctuations in our product sales revenues and related cost of sales amounts are explained in part by changes in energy commodity prices. In general, lower energy commodity prices result in a decrease in our revenues attributable to product sales; however, these lower commodity prices also decrease the associated cost of sales as purchase costs decline. The same correlation would be true in the case of higher energy commodity sales prices and purchase costs.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Major Customer Information

Our largest non-affiliated customer for 2016 was Vitol Holding B.V. and its affiliates (collectively, "Vitol"), which accounted for \$2.28 billion, or 9.9%, of our consolidated revenues for the year. Vitol is a global energy and commodity trading company. The following table presents our consolidated revenues from Vitol by business segment for the year ended December 31, 2016:

NGL Pipelines & Services	\$	1,830.1
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services		171.9
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services		7.8
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services		270.3
Total	\$	<u>2,280.1</u>

Shell Oil Company and its affiliates was our largest non-affiliated customer for 2015 and 2014, accounting for 7.4% and 8.5%, respectively of our consolidated revenues.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 11. Earnings Per Unit

Basic earnings per unit is computed by dividing net income or loss available to our common unitholders by the weighted-average number of our distribution-bearing units outstanding during a period, which excluded the Designated Units (see Note 9) to the extent such units did not participate in the distributions to be paid with respect to such period.

Diluted earnings per unit is computed by dividing net income or loss attributable to our limited partners by the sum of (i) the weighted-average number of our distribution-bearing units outstanding during a period (as used in determining basic earnings per unit), (ii) the weighted-average number of Designated Units outstanding during a period and (iii) the number of incremental common units resulting from the assumed exercise of dilutive unit options outstanding during a period (the “incremental option units”).

In a period of net losses, the Designated Units and incremental option units are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per unit due to their antidilutive effect. The dilutive incremental option units are calculated using the treasury stock method, which assumes that proceeds from the exercise of all in-the-money options at the end of each period are used to repurchase common units at an average market price during the period. The amount of common units remaining after the proceeds are exhausted represents the potentially dilutive effect of the securities.

The following table presents our calculation of basic and diluted earnings per unit for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
BASIC EARNINGS PER UNIT			
Net income attributable to limited partners	\$ 2,513.1	\$ 2,521.2	\$ 2,787.4
Undistributed earnings allocated and cash payments on phantom unit awards (1)	(12.9)	(8.7)	(5.2)
Net income available to common unitholders	<u>\$ 2,500.2</u>	<u>\$ 2,512.5</u>	<u>\$ 2,782.2</u>
Basic weighted-average number of common units outstanding	<u>2,081.4</u>	<u>1,966.6</u>	<u>1,848.7</u>
Basic earnings per unit	<u>\$ 1.20</u>	<u>\$ 1.28</u>	<u>\$ 1.51</u>
DILUTED EARNINGS PER UNIT			
Net income attributable to limited partners	<u>\$ 2,513.1</u>	<u>\$ 2,521.2</u>	<u>\$ 2,787.4</u>
Diluted weighted-average number of units outstanding:			
Distribution-bearing common units	2,081.4	1,966.6	1,848.7
Designated Units	--	26.5	42.7
Phantom units (1)	7.7	5.4	2.9
Incremental option units	--	0.1	0.9
Total	<u>2,089.1</u>	<u>1,998.6</u>	<u>1,895.2</u>
Diluted earnings per unit	<u>\$ 1.20</u>	<u>\$ 1.26</u>	<u>\$ 1.47</u>

(1) Each phantom unit award includes a DER, which entitles the recipient to receive cash payments equal to the product of the number of phantom unit awards and the cash distribution per unit paid to our common unitholders. Cash payments made in connection with DERs are nonforfeitable. As a result, the phantom units are considered participating securities for purposes of computing basic earnings per unit.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 12. Business Combinations

Acquisition of EFS Midstream

In July 2015, we purchased EFS Midstream from affiliates of PXD and Reliance for approximately \$2.1 billion, which was payable in two installments. The initial payment of \$1.1 billion was paid at closing on July 8, 2015. The second and final installment of \$1.0 billion was paid on July 11, 2016 using a combination of cash on hand and proceeds from the issuance of short-term notes under EPO's commercial paper program.

The EFS Midstream System provides condensate gathering and processing services as well as gathering, treating and compression services for the associated natural gas. The EFS Midstream System includes approximately 460 miles of gathering pipelines, 11 central gathering plants, 171 thousand barrels per day of condensate stabilization capacity and 1.0 billion cubic feet per day of associated natural gas treating capacity. Our primary purpose in acquiring the EFS Midstream System was to secure the underlying production, particularly the processed condensate, for our midstream asset network. Under terms of the associated agreements, PXD and Reliance have dedicated certain of their Eagle Ford Shale acreage to us under 20-year, fixed-fee gathering agreements that include minimum volume requirements for the first seven years. PXD and Reliance have also entered into related 20-year fee-based agreements with us for natural gas transportation and processing, NGL transportation and fractionation, and for processed condensate and crude oil transportation services.

In connection with the agreements to acquire EFS Midstream, we are obligated to spend up to an aggregate of \$270 million on specified midstream gathering assets for PXD and Reliance, if requested by these producers, over a ten-year period. If constructed, these new assets would be owned by us and be a component of the EFS Midstream System.

We engaged an independent third party business valuation expert to assist us in estimating the fair values of the tangible and intangible assets of EFS Midstream. The following table summarizes our final purchase price allocation for the EFS Midstream acquisition:

Consideration:	
Cash	\$ 1,069.9
Accrued liability related to EFS Midstream acquisition	986.6
Total consideration	<u>\$ 2,056.5</u>
 Identifiable assets acquired in business combination:	
Current assets, including cash of \$13.4 million	\$ 64.0
Property, plant and equipment	636.0
Customer relationship intangible assets (see Note 7)	1,409.8
Total assets acquired	<u>2,109.8</u>
 Liabilities assumed in business combination:	
Current liabilities	(9.6)
Long-term debt	(125.0)
Other long-term liabilities	(1.3)
Total liabilities assumed	<u>(135.9)</u>
Total assets acquired less liabilities assumed	<u>1,973.9</u>
Total consideration given for EFS Midstream	<u>2,056.5</u>
Goodwill (see Note 7)	<u>\$ 82.6</u>

Our consolidated revenues and net income include \$117.8 million and \$59.9 million, respectively, from EFS Midstream for the six months ended December 31, 2015.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Since the effective date of the EFS Midstream acquisition was July 1, 2015, our Statements of Consolidated Operations do not include earnings from this business prior to this date. The following table presents selected unaudited pro forma earnings information for the year ended December 31, 2015 as if the acquisition had been completed on January 1, 2014. This pro forma information was prepared using historical financial data for EFS Midstream and reflects certain estimates and assumptions made by our management. Our unaudited pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our consolidated financial results would have been for the year ended December 31, 2015 had we acquired EFS Midstream on January 1, 2014.

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Pro forma earnings data:		
Revenues	\$ 27,148.5	\$ 48,180.4
Costs and expenses	23,937.1	44,583.6
Operating income	3,585.0	3,856.3
Net income	2,594.4	2,896.1
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	37.2	46.1
Net income attributable to limited partners	2,557.2	2,850.0
Basic earnings per unit:		
As reported basic earnings per unit	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.51
Pro forma basic earnings per unit	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.54
Diluted earnings per unit:		
As reported diluted earnings per unit	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.47
Pro forma diluted earnings per unit	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.50

Acquisition of Oiltanking

On October 1, 2014, we acquired Oiltanking GP and the related IDRs, 15,899,802 common units and 38,899,802 subordinated units of Oiltanking from OTA. We paid total consideration of approximately \$4.4 billion to OTA comprised of \$2.21 billion in cash and 54,807,352 Enterprise common units for these ownership interests and rights. We also paid \$228.3 million to assume the outstanding loans, including related accrued interest, owed by Oiltanking or its subsidiaries to OTA. Collectively, these transactions are referred to as "Step 1" of the Oiltanking acquisition. We funded the cash consideration for the Step 1 transactions using borrowings under our 364-Day Credit Agreement, proceeds from the sale of short-term notes under our commercial paper program and cash on hand. As a result of completing Step 1 of the acquisition, we began consolidating the financial statements of Oiltanking and its general partner on October 1, 2014.

Oiltanking owned marine terminals located on the Houston Ship Channel and at the Port of Beaumont with a total of 12 ship and barge docks and approximately 26 MMBbls of crude oil and petroleum products storage capacity. Oiltanking's marine terminal on the Houston Ship Channel is connected by pipeline to our Mont Belvieu, Texas complex and is integral to our growing LPG export, crude oil storage and octane enhancement and propylene businesses. Our ECHO facility is also connected to Oiltanking's system. We had a strategic relationship and enjoyed mutual growth with Oiltanking and its predecessors since 1983. The combination of our legacy midstream assets and Oiltanking's access to waterborne markets and crude oil and petroleum products storage assets extended and broadened our midstream energy services business.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We engaged an independent third party business valuation expert to assist us in estimating the fair values of the tangible and intangible assets of Oiltanking. The following table summarizes our final purchase price allocation for the Oiltanking acquisition:

Consideration:	
Cash	\$ 2,438.3
Equity instruments (54,807,352 common units of Enterprise) (1)	2,171.5
Fair value of total consideration transferred in Step 1	<u>\$ 4,609.8</u>
Identifiable assets acquired in business combination:	
Current assets, including cash of \$21.5 million	\$ 68.0
Property, plant and equipment	1,080.1
Identifiable intangible assets:	
Customer relationship intangible assets	1,192.4
Contract-based intangible assets	297.5
IDRs (2)	<u>1,459.2</u>
Total identifiable intangible assets	2,949.1
Other assets	<u>227.6</u>
Total assets acquired	<u>4,324.8</u>
Liabilities assumed in business combination:	
Current liabilities	(84.8)
Long-term debt	(223.3)
Other long-term liabilities (3)	<u>(230.0)</u>
Total liabilities assumed	<u>(538.1)</u>
Noncontrolling interest in Oiltanking (4)	<u>(1,397.2)</u>
Total assets acquired less liabilities assumed and noncontrolling interest	<u>2,389.5</u>
Total consideration given for ownership interests in Oiltanking in Step 1	<u>4,609.8</u>
Goodwill (see Note 7)	<u>\$ 2,220.3</u>

- (1) The fair value of the equity-based consideration paid in connection with Step 1 of the Oiltanking acquisition was based on the closing market price of our common units of \$39.62 per unit on the acquisition date.
- (2) The IDRs represented contractual rights to future cash incentive distributions to be paid by Oiltanking. These rights were granted to Oiltanking GP under the terms of Oiltanking's partnership agreement. Oiltanking GP could separate and sell the IDRs independent of its other residual general partner interest in Oiltanking. In February 2015 (following completion of Step 2 of the Oiltanking acquisition), the Oiltanking IDRs were cancelled and the carrying value of this intangible asset was reclassified to goodwill.
- (3) In connection with Step 1, we entered into the Liquidity Option Agreement with OTA and Marquard & Bahls ("M&B", a German corporation and ultimate parent company of OTA). Other long-term liabilities include our initial \$219.7 million estimated fair value for the Liquidity Option Agreement (see Note 17).
- (4) From an accounting perspective, Enterprise acquired control of Oiltanking as a result of completing Step 1. The estimated fair value of Oiltanking's common units held by parties other than Enterprise following Step 1 (i.e., the "noncontrolling interest") is based on 28,328,890 common units held by third parties on October 1, 2014 multiplied by the closing unit price for Oiltanking common units of \$49.32 per unit on that date.

Although we are not subject to federal income tax, our partners are individually responsible for paying federal income taxes on their share of our taxable income. In deriving our taxable income, the amount assigned to goodwill in this transaction will be amortized over a period of 15 years.

Our consolidated revenues and net income included \$57.5 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, from Oiltanking for the three months ended December 31, 2014.

We incurred \$3.8 million of direct transaction costs in connection with Step 1 of the Oiltanking acquisition in the year ended December 31, 2014. These costs are included in general and administrative costs in the accompanying Statements of Consolidated Operations.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Since the effective date of Step 1 of the Oiltanking acquisition was October 1, 2014, our Statements of Consolidated Operations do not include earnings from this business prior to this date. The following table presents selected unaudited pro forma earnings information for the year ended December 31, 2014 as if the acquisition had been completed on January 1, 2014. This pro forma information was prepared using historical financial data for Oiltanking and reflects certain estimates and assumptions made by our management. Our unaudited pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our consolidated financial results would have been for the year ended December 31, 2014 had we acquired Oiltanking on January 1, 2014.

Pro forma earnings data:

Revenues	\$	48,087.5
Costs and expenses		44,509.0
Operating income		3,838.0
Net income		2,877.5
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		75.0
Net income attributable to limited partners		2,802.5

Basic earnings per unit:

As reported basic units outstanding		1,848.7
Pro forma basic units outstanding		1,903.5
As reported basic earnings per unit	\$	1.51
Pro forma basic earnings per unit	\$	1.47

Diluted earnings per unit:

As reported diluted units outstanding		1,895.2
Pro forma diluted units outstanding		1,950.0
As reported diluted earnings per unit	\$	1.47
Pro forma diluted earnings per unit	\$	1.44

Automatic conversion of subordinated units. Following Step 1 of the Oiltanking acquisition, but not part of Step 2 of the acquisition, on November 17, 2014, the 38,899,802 Oiltanking subordinated units held by us automatically converted into an equal number of Oiltanking common units pursuant to the terms of the Oiltanking partnership agreement. Following this conversion, we owned 54,799,604 Oiltanking common units, or approximately 65.9% of its outstanding common units.

Step 2 of the Oiltanking acquisition. As a second step (“Step 2”) of the Oiltanking acquisition (separately negotiated by the conflicts committee of Oiltanking GP on behalf of Oiltanking), we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “merger agreement”) with Oiltanking in November 2014 that provided for the following:

- the merger of a wholly owned subsidiary of ours with and into Oiltanking, with Oiltanking surviving the merger as our wholly owned subsidiary; and
- all outstanding common units of Oiltanking at the effective time of the merger held by Oiltanking’s public unitholders (which consisted of Oiltanking unitholders other than us and our subsidiaries) to be cancelled and converted into our common units based on an exchange ratio of 1.30 of our common units for each Oiltanking common unit.

In accordance with the merger agreement and Oiltanking’s partnership agreement, the merger was submitted to a vote of Oiltanking’s common unitholders, with the required majority of unitholders (including our ownership interests) voting to approve the merger on February 13, 2015. Upon approval of the merger, a total of 36,827,517 of our common units were issued to Oiltanking’s former public unitholders. With the completion of Step 2, total consideration paid by Enterprise for Oiltanking was approximately \$6.02 billion.

Since we had a controlling financial interest in Oiltanking before and after completion of Step 2, the increase in our ownership interest in Oiltanking was accounted for as an equity transaction with no gain or loss recognized. Step 2 represented our acquisition of the noncontrolling interests in Oiltanking; therefore, approximately \$1.4 billion of noncontrolling interests attributable to Oiltanking were reclassified to limited partners’ equity to reflect the February 2015 issuance of 36,827,517 new common units.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Upon completion of the merger, the IDRs of Oiltanking were cancelled since we now own 100% of the future cash flows attributable to the Oiltanking business we acquired. As a result, the \$1.46 billion carrying value of the IDR intangible asset was reclassified to goodwill and allocated among our business segments (see Note 7).

Note 13. Equity-Based Awards

An allocated portion of the fair value of EPCO's equity-based awards is charged to us under the ASA. The following table summarizes compensation expense we recognized in connection with equity-based awards for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Equity-classified awards:			
Phantom unit awards	\$ 78.6	\$ 78.3	\$ 45.1
Restricted common unit awards	4.7	14.7	42.1
Profits interest awards	5.4	--	--
Liability-classified awards	<u>0.5</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>0.3</u>
Total	<u>\$ 89.2</u>	<u>\$ 93.2</u>	<u>\$ 87.5</u>

The fair value of equity-classified awards is amortized into earnings over the requisite service or vesting period. Equity-classified awards are expected to result in the issuance of common units upon vesting. Compensation expense for liability-classified awards is recognized over the requisite service or vesting period based on the fair value of the award remeasured at each reporting date. Liability-classified awards are settled in cash upon vesting.

At December 31, 2016, substantially all of the restricted common unit awards, and all of the phantom unit awards, were granted under EPCO's 2008 Enterprise Products Long-Term Incentive Plan (Third Amendment and Restatement) ("2008 Plan"). The 2008 Plan is a long-term incentive plan under which any employee or consultant of EPCO, us or our affiliates that provides services to us, directly or indirectly, may receive incentive compensation awards in the form of options, restricted common units, phantom units, distribution equivalent rights ("DERs"), unit appreciation rights ("UARs"), unit awards, other unit-based awards or substitute awards. Non-employee directors of our general partner may also participate in the 2008 Plan. The maximum number of common units authorized for issuance under the 2008 Plan was 35,000,000 at December 31, 2016. This amount automatically increased under the terms of the 2008 Plan by 5,000,000 common units on January 1, 2016 and will continue to automatically increase annually on January 1 thereafter during the term of the 2008 Plan; provided, however, that in no event shall the maximum aggregate number exceed 70,000,000 common units. The 2008 Plan is effective until September 30, 2023 or, if earlier, until the time that all available common units under the 2008 Plan have been delivered to participants or the time of termination of the 2008 Plan by the Board of Directors of EPCO or by the Audit and Conflicts Committee. After giving effect to awards granted under the 2008 Plan through January 1, 2017, a total of 18,336,456 additional common units were available for issuance.

During 2016, EPCO formed four limited partnerships (generally referred to as "Employee Partnerships") to serve as long-term incentive arrangements for key employees of EPCO by providing them a "profits interest" in an Employee Partnership. The names of the Employee Partnerships are EPD PubCo Unit I L.P. ("PubCo I"), EPD PubCo Unit II L.P. ("PubCo II"), EPD PubCo Unit III L.P. ("PubCo III") and EPD PrivCo Unit I L.P. ("PrivCo I"). The Employee Partnerships are discussed later in this note.

At December 31, 2016, a small number of restricted common unit awards remained outstanding under the Enterprise Products 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("1998 Plan"). The 1998 Plan is effectively closed and no new awards have been granted under this plan since 2014. The 1998 Plan provided for awards of our common units and other rights to our non-employee directors and to employees of EPCO and its affiliates providing services to us. Historically, awards under the 1998 Plan consisted of unit options and restricted common units.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Phantom Unit Awards

Phantom unit awards allow recipients to acquire our common units (at no cost to the recipient apart from fulfilling service and other conditions) once a defined vesting period expires, subject to customary forfeiture provisions. Phantom unit awards generally vest at a rate of 25% per year beginning one year after the grant date and are non-vested until the required service periods expire. All of the phantom unit awards were issued under the 2008 Plan.

At December 31, 2016, substantially all of our phantom unit awards are expected to result in the issuance of common units upon vesting; therefore, the applicable awards are accounted for as equity-classified awards. The grant date fair value of a phantom unit award is based on the market price per unit of our common units on the date of grant. Compensation expense is recognized based on the grant date fair value, net of an allowance for estimated forfeitures, over the requisite service or vesting period.

The following table presents phantom unit award activity for the periods indicated:

	Number of Units	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value per Unit (1)
Phantom unit awards at January 1, 2014	--	\$ --
Granted (2)	3,530,710	\$ 33.12
Vested	(38,200)	\$ 33.04
Forfeited	(150,120)	\$ 33.12
Phantom unit awards at December 31, 2014	3,342,390	\$ 33.13
Granted (3)	3,496,140	\$ 33.96
Vested	(940,415)	\$ 33.14
Forfeited	(471,166)	\$ 33.51
Phantom unit awards at December 31, 2015	5,426,949	\$ 33.63
Granted (4)	4,508,310	\$ 21.90
Vested	(1,761,455)	\$ 33.10
Forfeited	(406,303)	\$ 28.52
Phantom unit awards at December 31, 2016	7,767,501	\$ 27.20

- (1) Determined by dividing the aggregate grant date fair value of awards (before an allowance for forfeitures) by the number of awards issued.
- (2) The aggregate grant date fair value of phantom unit awards issued during 2014 was \$117.0 million based on a grant date market price of our common units ranging from \$33.04 to \$37.59 per unit. An estimated annual forfeiture rate of 3.4% was applied to these awards.
- (3) The aggregate grant date fair value of phantom unit awards issued during 2015 was \$118.7 million based on a grant date market price of our common units ranging from \$27.31 to \$34.40 per unit. An estimated annual forfeiture rate of 3.5% was applied to these awards.
- (4) The aggregate grant date fair value of phantom unit awards issued during 2016 was \$98.7 million based on a grant date market price of our common units ranging from \$21.86 to \$27.39 per unit. An estimated annual forfeiture rate of 3.9% was applied to these awards.

After taking into account tax withholding requirements, we issued 1,170,600, 618,395 and 23,311 common units in connection with the vesting of phantom unit awards in the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The 2008 Plan provides for the issuance of DERs in connection with phantom unit awards. A DER entitles the participant to nonforfeitable cash payments equal to the product of the number of phantom unit awards outstanding for the participant and the cash distribution per common unit paid to our common unitholders. Cash payments made in connection with DERs are charged to partners' equity when the phantom unit award is expected to result in the issuance of common units; otherwise, such amounts are expensed.

The following table presents supplemental information regarding phantom unit awards for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash payments made in connection with DERs	\$ 11.7	\$ 7.7	\$ 3.7
Total intrinsic value of phantom unit awards that vested during period	\$ 40.9	\$ 31.2	\$ 1.4

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the EPCO group of companies, the unrecognized compensation cost associated with phantom unit awards was \$79.8 million at December 31, 2016, of which our share of the cost is currently estimated to be \$71.1 million. Due to the graded vesting provisions of these awards, we expect to recognize our share of the unrecognized compensation cost for these awards over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

Profits Interest Awards

In February 2016, EPCO Holdings Inc. (“EPCO Holdings”), a privately held affiliate of EPCO, contributed the following Enterprise common units it owned to the Employee Partnerships: (i) 2,723,052 units to PubCo I, (ii) 2,834,198 units to PubCo II and (iii) 1,111,438 units to PrivCo I. In April 2016, EPCO Holdings contributed 105,000 Enterprise common units it owned to PubCo III. In exchange for these contributions, EPCO Holdings was admitted as the Class A limited partner of each Employee Partnership. Also on the applicable contribution date, certain key EPCO employees were issued Class B limited partner interests (i.e., profits interest awards) and admitted as Class B limited partners of each Employee Partnership, all without any capital contribution by such employees. EPCO serves as the general partner of each Employee Partnership.

In general, the Class A limited partner earns a quarterly preferred return equal to \$0.39 per unit on the number of Enterprise common units contributed by EPCO Holdings to each Employee Partnership, with any residual cash amount remaining in each Employee Partnership being paid to the applicable Class B limited partners on a quarterly basis as a distribution. Upon liquidation of an Employee Partnership, assets having a then current fair market value equal to the Class A limited partner’s capital base in such Employee Partnership will be distributed to the Class A limited partner. Any remaining assets of such Employee Partnership will be distributed to the Class B limited partners of such Employee Partnership as a residual profits interest, which represents the appreciation in value of the Employee Partnership’s assets since the date of EPCO Holdings’ contribution to it, as described above.

Unless otherwise agreed to by EPCO and a majority in interest of the limited partners of each Employee Partnership, such Employee Partnership will terminate at the earliest to occur of (i) 30 days following its vesting date, (ii) a change of control or (iii) a dissolution of the Employee Partnership. The Class B limited partner interests in each Employee Partnership vest as follows: PubCo I, four years from February 22, 2016; PubCo II and PrivCo I, five years from February 22, 2016; and PubCo III, four years from April 6, 2016.

Individually, each Class B limited partner interest is subject to forfeiture if the participating employee’s employment with EPCO is terminated prior to vesting, with customary exceptions for death, disability and certain retirements. The risk of forfeiture will also lapse upon certain change of control events. Forfeited individual Class B limited partner interests are allocated to the remaining Class B limited partners.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes key elements of each Employee Partnership:

Employee Partnership	Enterprise Common Units owned by Employee Partnership	Class A Capital Base (1)	Class A Preference Return (2)	Expected Liquidation Date	Estimated Grant Date Fair Value of Profits Interest Awards (3)	Unrecognized Compensation Cost (4)
PubCo I	2,723,052 units	\$63.5 million	\$ 0.39	Feb. 2020	\$13.2 million	\$10.4 million
PubCo II	2,834,198 units	\$66.1 million	\$ 0.39	Feb. 2021	\$14.6 million	\$12.1 million
PubCo III	105,000 units	\$2.5 million	\$ 0.39	Apr. 2020	\$0.5 million	\$0.4 million
PrivCo I	1,111,438 units	\$25.9 million	\$ 0.39	Feb. 2021	\$5.8 million	\$1.0 million

- (1) Represents fair market value of the Enterprise common units contributed to each Employee Partnership at the applicable contribution date.
- (2) Each quarter, the Class A limited partner in each Employee Partnership is paid a cash distribution equal to the product of (i) the number of common units owned by the Employee Partnership and (ii) the Class A Preference Return of \$0.39 per unit (subject to equitable adjustment in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting such common units). To the extent that the Employee Partnership has cash remaining after making this quarterly payment to the Class A limited partner, the residual cash is distributed to the Class B limited partners on a quarterly basis.
- (3) Represents the total grant date fair value of the profits interest awards irrespective of how such costs will be allocated between us and EPCO and its privately held affiliates.
- (4) Represents our expected share of the unrecognized compensation cost at December 31, 2016. We expect to recognize our share of the unrecognized compensation cost for PubCo I, PubCo II, PubCo III and PrivCo I over a weighted-average period of 3.1 years, 4.1 years, 3.3 years and 4.1 years, respectively.

The grant date fair value of each Employee Partnership is based on (i) the estimated value (as determined using a Black-Scholes option pricing model) of such Employee Partnership's assets that would be distributed to the Class B limited partners thereof upon liquidation and (ii) the value, based on a discounted cash flow analysis, of the residual quarterly cash amounts that such Class B limited partners are expected to receive over the life of the Employee Partnership.

The following table summarizes the assumptions we used in applying a Black-Scholes option pricing model to derive that portion of the estimated grant date fair value of the profits interest awards for each Employee Partnership:

Employee Partnership	Expected Life of Award	Risk-Free Interest Rate	Expected Distribution Yield	Expected Unit Price Volatility
PubCo I	4.0 years	0.9% to 1.1%	6.2% to 6.8%	29% to 40%
PubCo II	5.0 years	1.1% to 1.3%	6.2% to 6.8%	27% to 40%
PubCo III	4.0 years	1.0%	6.2%	40%
PrivCo I	5.0 years	1.2% to 1.3%	6.2% to 6.7%	32% to 40%

Compensation expense attributable to the profits interest awards is based on the estimated grant date fair value of each award. A portion of the fair value of these equity-based awards is allocated to us under the ASA as a non-cash expense. We are not responsible for reimbursing EPCO for any expenses of the Employee Partnerships, including the value of any contributions of units made by EPCO Holdings.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Restricted Common Unit Awards

Restricted common unit awards allow recipients to acquire our common units (at no cost to the recipient apart from fulfilling service and other conditions) once a defined vesting period expires, subject to customary forfeiture provisions. Restricted common unit awards generally vest at a rate of 25% per year beginning one year after the grant date and are non-vested until the required service periods expire. Restricted common units are included in the number of common units outstanding as presented on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The fair value of a restricted common unit award is based on the market price per unit of our common units on the date of grant. Compensation expense is recognized based on the grant date fair value, net of an allowance for estimated forfeitures, over the requisite service or vesting period.

The following table presents restricted common unit award activity for the periods indicated:

	Number of Units	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value per Unit (1)
Restricted common units at January 1, 2014	7,221,214	\$ 25.83
Vested	(2,634,074)	\$ 23.94
Forfeited	<u>(357,350)</u>	<u>\$ 26.38</u>
Restricted common units at December 31, 2014	4,229,790	\$ 26.96
Vested	(2,009,970)	\$ 26.00
Forfeited	<u>(259,300)</u>	<u>\$ 27.53</u>
Restricted common units at December 31, 2015	1,960,520	\$ 27.88
Vested	(1,234,502)	\$ 27.45
Forfeited	<u>(43,724)</u>	<u>\$ 28.48</u>
Restricted common units at December 31, 2016 (2)	<u>682,294</u>	<u>\$ 28.61</u>

(1) Determined by dividing the aggregate grant date fair value of awards (before an allowance for forfeitures) by the number of awards issued.

(2) Of the total number of restricted common units outstanding at December 31, 2016, a total of 491,280 were issued under the 2008 Plan with the balance issued under the 1998 Plan.

Each recipient of a restricted common unit award is entitled to nonforfeitable cash distributions equal to the product of the number of restricted common units outstanding for the participant and the cash distribution per unit paid to our common unitholders. These distributions are included in "Cash distributions paid to limited partners" as presented on our Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

The following table presents supplemental information regarding restricted common unit awards for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash distributions paid to restricted common unitholders	\$ 1.6	\$ 4.0	\$ 7.3
Total intrinsic value of restricted common unit awards that vested during period	\$ 28.5	\$ 67.3	\$ 87.1

For the EPCO group of companies, the unrecognized compensation cost associated with restricted common unit awards was an aggregate \$0.7 million at December 31, 2016, of which our share of the cost is currently estimated to be \$0.5 million. We expect to recognize our share of the unrecognized compensation cost for these awards by the end of 2017.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unit Option Awards

EPCO's long-term incentive plans provide for the issuance of non-qualified incentive options denominated in our common units. Historically, our unit option awards were issued under the 1998 Plan. All such awards had been exercised as of December 31, 2015 and no new unit option awards were granted during the three years ended December 31, 2016.

When issued, the exercise price of each unit option award was equal to the market price of our common units on the date of grant. In general, unit option awards had a vesting period of four years from the date of grant and expired at the end of the calendar year following the year of vesting. The fair value of each unit option award was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model, which incorporated various assumptions including expected life of the option, risk-free interest rates, expected distribution yield of our common units, and expected price volatility of our common units. Compensation expense recorded in connection with unit option awards was based on the grant date fair value, net of an allowance for estimated forfeitures, over the requisite service or vesting period.

The following table presents unit option award activity for the periods indicated:

	Number of Units	Weighted- Average Strike Price (dollars/unit)
Unit option awards at January 1, 2014	4,050,000	\$ 13.24
Exercised	(2,720,000)	\$ 11.83
Forfeited	(60,000)	\$ 16.14
Unit option awards at December 31, 2014	1,270,000	\$ 16.14
Exercised	(1,270,000)	\$ 16.14
Unit option awards at December 31, 2015	--	\$ --

In order to fund its unit option award-related obligations, EPCO purchased our common units at fair value directly from us. When employees exercised unit option awards, we reimbursed EPCO for the cash difference between the strike price paid by the employee and the actual purchase price paid by EPCO for the units issued to the employee.

The following table presents supplemental information regarding unit option awards during the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Total intrinsic value of unit option awards exercised during period	\$ 21.7	\$ 57.5
Cash received from EPCO in connection with the exercise of unit option awards	\$ 13.1	\$ 33.4
Unit option award-related cash reimbursements to EPCO	\$ 21.7	\$ 57.5

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 14. Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities and Fair Value Measurements

In the normal course of our business operations, we are exposed to certain risks, including changes in interest rates and commodity prices. In order to manage risks associated with assets, liabilities and certain anticipated future transactions, we use derivative instruments such as futures, forward contracts, swaps, options and other instruments with similar characteristics. Substantially all of our derivatives are used for non-trading activities.

Interest Rate Hedging Activities

We may utilize interest rate swaps, forward starting swaps and similar derivative instruments to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates charged on borrowings under certain consolidated debt agreements. This strategy may be used in controlling our overall cost of capital associated with such borrowings.

The following table summarizes our portfolio of interest rate swaps at December 31, 2016:

Hedged Transaction	Number and Type of Derivatives Outstanding	Notional Amount	Period of Hedge	Rate Swap	Accounting Treatment
Senior Notes OO	10 fixed-to-floating swaps	\$ 750.0	5/2015 to 5/2018	1.65% to 1.36%	Fair value hedge

During 2014, we elected to terminate all of our interest rate swaps then outstanding. Since these interest rate swaps were accounted for as fair value hedges, the aggregate \$27.6 million of gains was recorded as a component of long-term debt and is being amortized to earnings (as a decrease in interest expense) using the effective interest method over the remaining life of the associated debt obligations. Of the total gain, \$17.6 million was amortized through January 2016 and \$10.0 million will be amortized through October 2019.

As a result of lower interest rates, during 2016, we entered into eight 30-year forward-starting swaps associated with anticipated future issuances of debt. At December 31, 2016, our portfolio of forward starting swaps was as follows:

Hedged Transaction	Number and Type of Derivatives Outstanding	Notional Amount	Expected Settlement Date	Average Rate Locked	Accounting Treatment
Future long-term debt offering	4 forward starting swaps	\$ 275.0	5/2018	2.02%	Cash flow hedge

As a result of market conditions in October 2016, we elected to terminate forward starting swaps that were scheduled to settle in September 2017, which resulted in cash gains totaling \$6.1 million. As cash flow hedges, gains on these derivative instruments will be reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and be amortized to earnings (as a decrease in interest expense) over the life of the associated future debt obligations beginning in September 2017.

Commodity Hedging Activities

The prices of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply and demand, market conditions and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control. In order to manage such price risks, we enter into commodity derivative instruments such as physical forward contracts, futures contracts, fixed-for-float swaps and basis swaps.

At December 31, 2016, our predominant commodity hedging strategies consisted of (i) hedging anticipated future purchases and sales of commodity products associated with transportation, storage and blending activities, (ii) hedging natural gas processing margins and (iii) hedging the fair value of commodity products held in inventory.

- The objective of our anticipated future commodity purchases and sales hedging program is to hedge the margins of certain transportation, storage, blending and operational activities by locking in purchase and sale prices through the use of derivative instruments and related contracts.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- The objective of our natural gas processing hedging program is to hedge an amount of earnings associated with these activities. We achieve this objective by executing fixed-price sales for a portion of our expected equity NGL production using derivative instruments and related contracts. For certain natural gas processing contracts, the hedging of expected equity NGL production also involves the purchase of natural gas for plant thermal reduction, which is hedged using derivative instruments and related contracts.
- The objective of our inventory hedging program is to hedge the fair value of commodity products currently held in inventory by locking in the sales price of the inventory through the use of derivative instruments and related contracts.

The following table summarizes our portfolio of commodity derivative instruments outstanding at December 31, 2016 (volume measures as noted):

Derivative Purpose	Volume (1)		Accounting Treatment
	Current (2)	Long-Term (2)	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Natural gas processing:			
Forecasted natural gas purchases for plant thermal reduction (Bcf)	21.6	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of NGLs (MMBbbls) (3)	4.3	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Octane enhancement:			
Forecasted purchase of NGLs (MMBbbls)	1.4	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of octane enhancement products (MMBbbls)	1.3	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Natural gas marketing:			
Forecasted purchases of natural gas for fuel (Bcf)	1.8	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Natural gas storage inventory management activities (Bcf)	8.0	n/a	Fair value hedge
NGL marketing:			
Forecasted purchases of NGLs and related hydrocarbon products (MMBbbls)	101.9	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of NGLs and related hydrocarbon products (MMBbbls)	124.1	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Refined products marketing:			
Forecasted purchases of refined products (MMBbbls)	0.5	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of refined products (MMBbbls)	0.5	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Refined products inventory management activities (MMBbbls)	1.2	n/a	Fair value hedge
Crude oil marketing:			
Forecasted purchases of crude oil (MMBbbls)	11.5	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Forecasted sales of crude oil (MMBbbls)	17.1	n/a	Cash flow hedge
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Natural gas risk management activities (Bcf) (4,5)	156.6	8.3	Mark-to-market
NGL risk management activities (MMBbbls) (5)	18.7	n/a	Mark-to-market
Refined products risk management activities (MMBbbls) (5)	0.1	n/a	Mark-to-market
Crude oil risk management activities (MMBbbls) (5)	27.8	n/a	Mark-to-market

- (1) Volume for derivatives designated as hedging instruments reflects the total amount of volumes hedged whereas volume for derivatives not designated as hedging instruments reflects the absolute value of derivative notional volumes.
- (2) The maximum term for derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, derivatives designated as fair value hedges and derivatives not designated as hedging instruments is December 2017, June 2017 and March 2020, respectively.
- (3) Forecasted NGL sales volumes under Natural gas processing exclude 1.2 MMBbbls of additional hedges executed under contracts that have been designated as normal sales agreements.
- (4) Current and long-term volumes include 35.3 Bcf and 0.9 Bcf, respectively, of physical derivative instruments that are predominantly priced at a marked-based index plus a premium or minus a discount related to location differences.
- (5) Reflects the use of derivative instruments to manage risks associated with transportation, processing and storage assets.

Certain basis swaps, basis spread options and other derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments are used to manage market risks associated with anticipated purchases and sales of commodity products. There is some uncertainty involved in the timing of these transactions often due to the development of more favorable profit opportunities or when spreads are insufficient to cover variable costs thus reducing the likelihood that the transactions will occur during the periods originally forecasted. In accordance with derivatives accounting guidance, these instruments do not qualify for hedge accounting even though they are effective at managing the risk exposures of the underlying assets. Due to volatility in commodity prices, any non-cash, mark-to-market earnings variability cannot be predicted.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Tabular Presentation of Fair Value Amounts, and Gains and Losses on Derivative Instruments and Related Hedged Items

The following table provides a balance sheet overview of our derivative assets and liabilities at the dates indicated:

	Asset Derivatives				Liability Derivatives			
	December 31, 2016		December 31, 2015		December 31, 2016		December 31, 2015	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments								
Interest rate derivatives	Current assets	\$ 0.3	Current assets	\$ 3.2	Current liabilities	\$ 0.2	Current liabilities	\$ --
Interest rate derivatives	Other assets	36.2	Other assets	--	Other liabilities	0.9	Other liabilities	3.7
Total interest rate derivatives		36.5		3.2		1.1		3.7
Commodity derivatives	Current assets	499.2	Current assets	253.8	Current liabilities	662.0	Current liabilities	137.5
Commodity derivatives	Other assets	--	Other assets	0.2	Other liabilities	--	Other liabilities	1.4
Total commodity derivatives		499.2		254.0		662.0		138.9
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 535.7		\$ 257.2		\$ 663.1		\$ 142.6
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments								
Commodity derivatives	Current assets	\$ 41.9	Current assets	\$ 1.6	Current liabilities	\$ 75.6	Current liabilities	\$ 3.1
Commodity derivatives	Other assets	0.3	Other assets	--	Other liabilities	1.8	Other liabilities	1.0
Total commodity derivatives		42.2		1.6		77.4		4.1
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 42.2		\$ 1.6		\$ 77.4		\$ 4.1

Certain of our commodity derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements or similar agreements. The following tables present our derivative instruments subject to such arrangements at the dates indicated:

	Offsetting of Financial Assets and Derivative Assets						
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets	Gross Amounts Offset in the Balance Sheet	Amounts of Assets Presented in the Balance Sheet	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Balance Sheet			Amounts That Would Have Been Presented On Net Basis
				Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Paid	Cash Collateral Received	
(i)	(ii)	(iii) = (i) - (ii)	(iv)	(v) = (iii) + (iv)			
As of December 31, 2016:							
Interest rate derivatives	\$ 36.5	\$ --	\$ 36.5	\$ (0.2)	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 36.3
Commodity derivatives	541.4	--	541.4	(526.8)	--	--	14.6
As of December 31, 2015:							
Interest rate derivatives	\$ 3.2	\$ --	\$ 3.2	\$ (3.2)	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Commodity derivatives	255.6	--	255.6	(143.0)	(40.1)	(72.2)	0.3

	Offsetting of Financial Liabilities and Derivative Liabilities					
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amounts Offset in the Balance Sheet	Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Balance Sheet	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Balance Sheet		Amounts That Would Have Been Presented On Net Basis
				Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Paid	
(i)	(ii)	(iii) = (i) - (ii)	(iv)	(v) = (iii) + (iv)		
As of December 31, 2016:						
Interest rate derivatives	\$ 1.1	\$ --	\$ 1.1	\$ (0.2)	\$ --	\$ 0.9
Commodity derivatives	739.4	--	739.4	(526.8)	(212.4)	0.2
As of December 31, 2015:						
Interest rate derivatives	\$ 3.7	\$ --	\$ 3.7	\$ (3.2)	\$ --	\$ 0.5
Commodity derivatives	143.0	--	143.0	(143.0)	--	--

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Derivative assets and liabilities recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets are presented on a gross-basis and determined at the individual transaction level. This presentation method is applied regardless of whether the respective exchange clearing agreements, counterparty contracts or master netting agreements contain netting language often referred to as “rights of offset.” Although derivative amounts are presented on a gross-basis, having rights of offset enable the settlement of a net as opposed to gross receivable or payable amount under a counterparty default or liquidation scenario.

Cash is paid and received as collateral under certain agreements, particularly for those associated with exchange transactions. For any cash collateral payments or receipts, corresponding assets or liabilities are recorded to reflect the variation margin deposits or receipts with exchange clearing brokers and customers. These balances are also presented on a gross-basis on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The tabular presentation above provides a means for comparing the gross amount of derivative assets and liabilities, excluding associated accounts payable and receivable, to the net amount that would likely be receivable or payable under a default scenario based on the existence of rights of offset in the respective derivative agreements. Any cash collateral paid or received is reflected in these tables, but only to the extent that it represents variation margins. Any amounts associated with derivative prepayments or initial margins that are not influenced by the derivative asset or liability amounts or those that are determined solely on their volumetric notional amounts are excluded from these tables.

The following tables present the effect of our derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges on our Statements of Consolidated Operations for the periods indicated:

Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships	Location	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative		
		For the Year Ended December 31,		
		2016	2015	2014
Interest rate derivatives	Interest expense	\$ 0.3	\$ (1.4)	\$ (26.5)
Commodity derivatives	Revenue	(90.5)	19.1	11.9
Total		<u>\$ (90.2)</u>	<u>\$ 17.7</u>	<u>\$ (14.6)</u>

Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships	Location	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Hedged Item		
		For the Year Ended December 31,		
		2016	2015	2014
Interest rate derivatives	Interest expense	\$ (0.4)	\$ 1.4	\$ 26.4
Commodity derivatives	Revenue	125.0	0.2	(11.8)
Total		<u>\$ 124.6</u>	<u>\$ 1.6</u>	<u>\$ 14.6</u>

For the year ended December 31, 2016, the net gain of \$34.5 million recognized in income from our commodity derivatives designated as fair value hedges includes \$1.1 million of net gains attributable to hedge ineffectiveness. The remaining \$33.4 million was a net gain that was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2016 and primarily related to prompt-to-forward month price differentials that were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. Net gains or losses due to ineffectiveness and from those amounts excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness were immaterial for all other periods presented.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables present the effect of our derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges on our Statements of Consolidated Operations and Statements of Consolidated Comprehensive Income for the periods indicated:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Change in Value Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) On Derivative (Effective Portion)		
	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Interest rate derivatives	\$ 42.3	\$ --	\$ --
Commodity derivatives – Revenue (1)	(197.4)	217.6	161.3
Commodity derivatives – Operating costs and expenses (1)	3.6	(2.7)	--
Total	<u>\$ (151.5)</u>	<u>\$ 214.9</u>	<u>\$ 161.3</u>

(1) The fair value of these derivative instruments will be reclassified to their respective locations on the Statement of Consolidated Operations upon settlement of the underlying derivative transactions, as appropriate.

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Location	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) to Income (Effective Portion)		
		For the Year Ended December 31,		
		2016	2015	2014
Interest rate derivatives	Interest expense	\$ (37.4)	\$ (35.3)	\$ (32.4)
Commodity derivatives	Revenue	(53.6)	231.7	75.0
Commodity derivatives	Operating costs and expenses	0.2	(3.5)	1.7
Total		<u>\$ (90.8)</u>	<u>\$ 192.9</u>	<u>\$ 44.3</u>

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Location	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion)		
		For the Year Ended December 31,		
		2016	2015	2014
Commodity derivatives	Revenue	\$ --	\$ 4.7	\$ (0.3)
Commodity derivatives	Operating costs and expenses	0.5	0.1	--
Total		<u>\$ 0.5</u>	<u>\$ 4.8</u>	<u>\$ (0.3)</u>

Over the next twelve months, we expect to reclassify \$40.4 million of losses attributable to interest rate derivative instruments from accumulated other comprehensive loss to earnings as an increase in interest expense. Likewise, we expect to reclassify \$83.8 million of net losses attributable to commodity derivative instruments from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings, \$87.6 million as decrease in revenue and \$3.8 million as a decrease to operating costs and expenses.

The following table presents the effect of our derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments on our Statements of Consolidated Operations for the periods indicated:

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative		
		For the Year Ended December 31,		
		2016	2015	2014
Interest rate derivatives	Interest expense	\$ --	\$ --	\$ (0.1)
Commodity derivatives	Revenue	(38.4)	1.0	(23.0)
Commodity derivatives	Operating costs and expenses	(0.4)	0.1	--
Total		<u>\$ (38.8)</u>	<u>\$ 1.1</u>	<u>\$ (23.1)</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Fair Value Measurements

The following tables set forth, by level within the Level 1, 2 and 3 fair value hierarchy (see Note 2), the carrying values of our financial assets and liabilities at the dates indicated. These assets and liabilities are measured on a recurring basis and are classified based on the lowest level of input used to estimate their fair value. Our assessment of the relative significance of such inputs requires judgment.

	December 31, 2016			
	Fair Value Measurements Using			
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total
Financial assets:				
Interest rate derivatives	\$ --	\$ 36.5	\$ --	\$ 36.5
Commodity derivatives	84.5	455.2	1.7	541.4
Total	<u>\$ 84.5</u>	<u>\$ 491.7</u>	<u>\$ 1.7</u>	<u>\$ 577.9</u>
Financial liabilities:				
Liquidity Option Agreement	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 269.6	\$ 269.6
Interest rate derivatives	--	1.1	--	1.1
Commodity derivatives	136.8	602.3	0.3	739.4
Total	<u>\$ 136.8</u>	<u>\$ 603.4</u>	<u>\$ 269.9</u>	<u>\$ 1,010.1</u>

	December 31, 2015			
	Fair Value Measurements Using			
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total
Financial assets:				
Interest rate derivatives	\$ --	\$ 3.2	\$ --	\$ 3.2
Commodity derivatives	109.5	145.2	0.9	255.6
Total	<u>\$ 109.5</u>	<u>\$ 148.4</u>	<u>\$ 0.9</u>	<u>\$ 258.8</u>
Financial liabilities:				
Liquidity Option Agreement	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 245.1	\$ 245.1
Interest rate derivatives	--	3.7	--	3.7
Commodity derivatives	31.3	109.2	2.5	143.0
Total	<u>\$ 31.3</u>	<u>\$ 112.9</u>	<u>\$ 247.6</u>	<u>\$ 391.8</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of changes in the fair values of our recurring Level 3 financial assets and liabilities on a combined basis for the periods indicated:

	Location	For the Year Ended December 31,	
		2016	2015
Financial asset (liability) balance, net, January 1		\$ (246.7)	\$ (219.3)
Total gains (losses) included in:			
Net income (1)	Revenue	2.2	(0.9)
Net income	Other expense, net	(24.5)	(25.4)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	Commodity derivative instruments – changes in fair value of cash flow hedges	(0.5)	(19.2)
Settlements	Revenue	(0.5)	0.1
Transfers out of Level 3 (2)		1.8	18.0
Financial liability balance, net, December 31 (2)		<u>\$ (268.2)</u>	<u>\$ (246.7)</u>

(1) There were \$1.7 million of unrealized gains and \$0.9 million of unrealized losses included in these amounts for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(2) Transfers out of Level 3 into Level 2 were due to shorter remaining transaction maturities falling inside of the Level 2 range at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

The following tables provide quantitative information regarding our recurring Level 3 fair value measurements for commodity derivatives at the dates indicated:

	Fair Value At December 31, 2016		Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Range
	Financial Assets	Financial Liabilities			
Commodity derivatives – Crude oil	\$ 1.7	\$ 0.3	Discounted cash flow	Forward commodity prices	\$51.73-\$54.77/barrel
Total	<u>\$ 1.7</u>	<u>\$ 0.3</u>			

	Fair Value At December 31, 2015		Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Range
	Financial Assets	Financial Liabilities			
Commodity derivatives – Crude oil	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.2	Discounted cash flow	Forward commodity prices	\$35.63-\$43.84/barrel
Commodity derivatives – Propane	--	1.3	Discounted cash flow	Forward commodity prices	\$0.42-\$0.44/gallon
Total	<u>\$ 0.9</u>	<u>\$ 2.5</u>			

With respect to commodity derivatives, we believe forward commodity prices are the most significant unobservable inputs in determining our Level 3 recurring fair value measurements at December 31, 2016. In general, changes in the price of the underlying commodity increases or decreases the fair value of a commodity derivative depending on whether the derivative was purchased or sold. We generally expect changes in the fair value of our derivative instruments to be offset by corresponding changes in the fair value of our hedged exposures.

The recurring fair value measurement pertaining to the Liquidity Option Agreement is based on a number of Level 3 inputs. See Note 17 for a discussion of this liability.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

Long-lived assets (including intangible assets with finite useful lives and property, plant and equipment) are reviewed for impairment (i.e., subject to nonrecurring fair value measurements) when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Long-lived assets with carrying values that are not expected to be recovered through future cash flows are written-down to their estimated fair values.

The following table summarizes our non-cash asset impairment charges for long-lived assets by segment during each of the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
NGL Pipelines & Services	\$ 21.0	\$ 20.8	\$ 16.2
Crude Oil Pipelines & Services	2.3	33.5	2.9
Natural Gas Pipelines & Services	12.3	21.6	0.7
Petrochemical & Refined Products Services	9.6	28.2	9.1
Offshore Pipelines & Services	--	58.5	5.1
Total	<u>\$ 45.2</u>	<u>\$ 162.6</u>	<u>\$ 34.0</u>

As presented in the following tables, our estimated fair values were based on management's expectation of the market values for such assets based on their knowledge and experience in the industry (a Level 3 type measure involving significant unobservable inputs). In many cases, there are no active markets (Level 1) or other similar recent transactions (Level 2) to compare to. Our assumptions used in such analyses are based on the highest and best use of the asset and includes estimated probabilities where multiple cash flow outcomes are possible.

When probability weights are used, the weights are generally obtained from business management personnel having oversight responsibilities for the assets being tested. Key commercial assumptions (e.g., anticipated operating margins, throughput or processing volume growth rates, timing of cash flows, etc.) that represent Level 3 unobservable inputs and test results are reviewed and certified by members of senior management.

Our non-cash asset impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2016 primarily relate to the planned abandonment of plant and pipeline assets in Texas and New Mexico. The following table presents categories of long-lived assets, primarily property, plant and equipment, that were subject to non-recurring fair value measurements during the year ended December 31, 2016:

	Fair Value Measurements at the End of the Reporting Period Using				
	Carrying Value at December 31, 2016	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Non-Cash Impairment Loss
Long-lived assets disposed of other than by sale	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 29.9
Long-lived assets held and used	8.0	8.0	--	--	2.2
Long-lived assets disposed of by sale	--	--	--	--	13.1
Total					<u>\$ 45.2</u>

Total asset impairment and related charges for 2016 were \$53.5 million, which consisted of \$45.2 million of impairment charges attributable to long-lived assets (as described above), \$1.2 million of impairment charges attributable to the write-down of spare parts classified as current assets, and \$7.1 million of related charges for equipment destroyed by fire at our Pascagoula gas plant (see Note 5).

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our non-cash asset impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2015 are a component of operating costs and expenses and primarily reflect the \$54.8 million charge we recorded in connection with the sale of our Offshore Business (see Note 5) and the abandonment of certain natural gas and crude oil pipeline assets in Texas. The following table presents categories of long-lived assets, primarily property, plant and equipment, that were subject to non-recurring fair value measurements during the year ended December 31, 2015:

	Fair Value Measurements at the End of the Reporting Period Using				
	Carrying Value at December 31, 2015	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Non-Cash Impairment Loss
Long-lived assets disposed of other than by sale	\$ 0.4	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 0.4	\$ 81.4
Long-lived assets held for sale	18.0	--	--	18.0	14.2
Long-lived assets disposed of by sale	--	--	--	--	67.0
Total					<u>\$ 162.6</u>

Our non-cash asset impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2014 are a component of operating costs and expenses and primarily relate to the abandonment of certain natural gas processing equipment in Louisiana, natural gas pipeline segments in the Gulf of Mexico, refined products terminal and pipeline assets in Arkansas, and NGL storage caverns in Oklahoma and Texas. The following table presents categories of long-lived assets that were subject to non-recurring fair value measurements during the year ended December 31, 2014:

	Fair Value Measurements at the End of the Reporting Period Using				
	Carrying Value at December 31, 2014	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Non-Cash Impairment Loss
Long-lived assets disposed of other than by sale	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 26.7
Long-lived assets held for sale	1.5	--	--	1.5	3.6
Long-lived assets disposed of by sale	--	--	--	--	3.7
Total					<u>\$ 34.0</u>

Other Fair Value Information

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash balances), accounts receivable, commercial paper notes and accounts payable approximate their fair values based on their short-term nature. The estimated total fair value of our fixed-rate debt obligations was \$21.95 billion and \$19.51 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The aggregate carrying value of these debt obligations was \$20.85 billion and \$20.87 billion at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. These values are based on quoted market prices for such debt or debt of similar terms and maturities (Level 2), our credit standing and the credit standing of our counterparties. Changes in market rates of interest affect the fair value of our fixed-rate debt. The amounts reported for fixed-rate debt obligations exclude those amounts hedged using fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps. See "Interest Rate Hedging Activities" within this Note 14 for additional information. The carrying values of our variable-rate long-term debt obligations approximate their fair values since the associated interest rates are market-based. We do not have any long-term investments in debt or equity securities recorded at fair value.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 15. Related Party Transactions

The following table summarizes our related party transactions for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Revenues – related parties:			
Unconsolidated affiliates	\$ 56.7	\$ 72.3	\$ 71.5
Costs and expenses – related parties:			
EPCO and its privately held affiliates	\$ 963.2	\$ 949.3	\$ 939.9
Unconsolidated affiliates	253.9	245.3	183.0
Total	\$ 1,217.1	\$ 1,194.6	\$ 1,122.9

The following table summarizes our related party accounts receivable and accounts payable balances at the dates indicated:

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Accounts receivable - related parties:		
Unconsolidated affiliates	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.2
Accounts payable - related parties:		
EPCO and its privately held affiliates	\$ 88.9	\$ 75.6
Unconsolidated affiliates	16.2	8.5
Total	\$ 105.1	\$ 84.1

We believe that the terms and provisions of our related party agreements are fair to us; however, such agreements and transactions may not be as favorable to us as we could have obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

Relationship with EPCO and Affiliates

We have an extensive and ongoing relationship with EPCO and its privately held affiliates (including Enterprise GP, our general partner), which are not a part of our consolidated group of companies.

At December 31, 2016, EPCO and its privately held affiliates (including Dan Duncan LLC and certain Duncan family trusts) beneficially owned the following limited partner interests in us:

Total Number of Units	Percentage of Total Units Outstanding
685,481,428	32%

Of the total number of units held by EPCO and its privately held affiliates, 118,000,000 have been pledged as security under the credit facilities of a privately held affiliate at December 31, 2016. These credit facilities contain customary and other events of default, including defaults by us and other affiliates of EPCO. An event of default, followed by a foreclosure on the pledged collateral, could ultimately result in a change in ownership of these units and affect the market price of our common units.

We and Enterprise GP are both separate legal entities apart from each other and apart from EPCO and its other affiliates, with assets and liabilities that are also separate from those of EPCO and its other affiliates. EPCO and its privately held affiliates depend on the cash distributions they receive from us and other investments to fund their other activities and to meet their debt obligations. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, we paid EPCO and its privately held affiliates cash distributions totaling \$1.07 billion, \$948.3 million and \$877.0 million, respectively. Distributions paid during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 excluded 35,380,000 and 45,120,000 Designated Units, respectively (see Note 9).

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

From time-to-time, EPCO and its privately held affiliates elect to purchase additional common units under our DRIP and our ATM program. In January 2016 and March 2015, privately held affiliates of EPCO purchased 3,830,256 and 3,225,057 common units, respectively, from us under our ATM program, generating gross proceeds of \$100 million during each year. In February 2016, privately held affiliates of EPCO reinvested \$100 million through our DRIP, resulting in the issuance of an additional 4,481,504 of our common units. For each of the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, DRIP purchases by privately held affiliates of EPCO totaled \$100 million. See Note 9 for additional information regarding our ATM program and DRIP.

We lease office space from affiliates of EPCO. The rental rates in these lease agreements approximate market rates.

EPCO ASA. We have no employees. All of our operating functions and general and administrative support services are provided by employees of EPCO pursuant to the ASA or by other service providers. We and our general partner are parties to the ASA. The significant terms of the ASA are as follows:

- EPCO will provide selling, general and administrative services and management and operating services as may be necessary to manage and operate our businesses, properties and assets (all in accordance with prudent industry practices). EPCO will employ or otherwise retain the services of such personnel.
- We are required to reimburse EPCO for its services in an amount equal to the sum of all costs and expenses incurred by EPCO which are directly or indirectly related to our business or activities (including expenses reasonably allocated to us by EPCO). In addition, we have agreed to pay all sales, use, excise, value added or similar taxes, if any, that may be applicable from time to time with respect to the services provided to us by EPCO.
- EPCO will allow us to participate as a named insured in its overall insurance program, with the associated premiums and other costs being allocated to us. See Note 18 for additional information regarding our insurance programs.

Our operating costs and expenses include amounts paid to EPCO for the costs it incurs to operate our facilities, including the compensation of its employees. We reimburse EPCO for actual direct and indirect expenses it incurs related to the operation of our assets. Likewise, our general and administrative costs include amounts paid to EPCO for administrative services, including the compensation of its employees. In general, our reimbursement to EPCO for administrative services is either (i) on an actual basis for direct expenses it may incur on our behalf (e.g., the purchase of office supplies) or (ii) based on an allocation of such charges between the various parties to the ASA based on the estimated use of such services by each party (e.g., the allocation of legal or accounting salaries based on estimates of time spent on each entity's business and affairs).

The following table presents our related party costs and expenses attributable to the ASA with EPCO for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Operating costs and expenses	\$ 840.7	\$ 826.4	\$ 801.6
General and administrative expenses	105.3	105.2	121.7
Total costs and expenses	\$ 946.0	\$ 931.6	\$ 923.3

Since the vast majority of such expenses are charged to us on an actual basis (i.e., no mark-up is charged or subsidy is received), we believe that such expenses are representative of what the amounts would have been on a standalone basis. With respect to allocated costs, we believe that the proportional direct allocation method employed by EPCO is reasonable and reflective of the estimated level of costs we would have incurred on a standalone basis.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Relationships with Unconsolidated Affiliates

Many of our unconsolidated affiliates perform supporting or complementary roles to our other business operations. The following information summarizes significant related party transactions with our current unconsolidated affiliates:

- For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, we paid Seaway \$161.2 million, \$175.8 million and \$130.8 million, respectively, for pipeline transportation and storage services in connection with our crude oil marketing activities. Revenues from Seaway were \$36.3 million, \$47.7 million and \$29.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
- We pay Promix for the transportation, storage and fractionation of NGLs. In addition, we sell natural gas to Promix for its plant fuel requirements. Revenues from Promix were \$7.0 million, \$8.8 million and \$11.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Expenses with Promix were \$27.1 million, \$24.9 million and \$25.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
- For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, we paid Texas Express \$22.8 million, \$6.7 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, for pipeline transportation services.
- For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, we paid Eagle Ford Crude Oil Pipeline \$36.2 million, \$39.4 million and \$25.8 million, respectively, for crude oil transportation.
- We perform management services for certain of our unconsolidated affiliates. We charged such affiliates \$10.7 million, \$19.1 million and \$24.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease in such amounts during 2016 is related to the sale of our Offshore Business.

Note 16. Provision for Income Taxes

Publicly traded partnerships like ours are treated as corporations unless they have 90% or more in “qualifying income” (as that term is defined in the Internal Revenue Code). We satisfied this requirement in each of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 and, as a result, are not subject to federal income tax. However, our partners are individually responsible for paying federal income tax on their share of our taxable income. Net earnings for financial reporting purposes may differ significantly from taxable income reportable to our unitholders as a result of differences between the tax basis and financial reporting basis of certain assets and liabilities and other factors. We do not have access to information regarding each partner’s individual tax basis in our limited partner interests.

Provision for income taxes primarily reflects our state tax obligations under the Revised Texas Franchise Tax (the “Texas Margin Tax”). Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for temporary differences between the assets and liabilities of our tax paying entities for financial reporting and tax purposes.

Our federal, state and foreign income tax provision (benefit) is summarized below:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Current:			
Federal	\$ (0.5)	\$ 0.9	\$ 2.2
State	16.7	15.5	13.4
Foreign	0.6	1.7	1.4
Total current	<u>16.8</u>	<u>18.1</u>	<u>17.0</u>
Deferred:			
Federal	1.1	(1.4)	2.2
State	5.2	(19.2)	3.5
Foreign	0.3	--	0.4
Total deferred	<u>6.6</u>	<u>(20.6)</u>	<u>6.1</u>
Total provision for (benefit from) income taxes	<u>\$ 23.4</u>	<u>\$ (2.5)</u>	<u>\$ 23.1</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A reconciliation of the provision for (benefit from) income taxes with amounts determined by applying the statutory U.S. federal income tax rate to income before income taxes is as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Pre-Tax Net Book Income ("NBI")	\$ 2,576.4	\$ 2,555.9	\$ 2,856.6
Texas Margin Tax (1)	\$ 22.1	\$ (3.7)	\$ 17.5
State income taxes (net of federal benefit)	0.2	0.7	0.2
Federal income taxes computed by applying the federal statutory rate to NBI of corporate entities	0.8	1.1	1.5
Other permanent differences	0.3	(0.6)	3.9
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	<u>\$ 23.4</u>	<u>\$ (2.5)</u>	<u>\$ 23.1</u>
Effective income tax rate	<u>0.9%</u>	<u>(0.1)%</u>	<u>0.8%</u>

(1) Although the Texas Margin Tax is not considered a state income tax, it has the characteristics of an income tax since it is determined by applying a tax rate to a base that considers our Texas-sourced revenues and expenses. During 2015, certain legislative changes were enacted to the Texas Margin Tax, which reduced the tax rate for business entities that operate within the state.

The following table presents the significant components of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at the dates indicated:

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryovers (1)	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.2
Accruals	1.6	1.6
Total deferred tax assets	<u>1.8</u>	<u>1.8</u>
Less: Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property, plant and equipment	50.5	44.9
Equity investment in partnerships	3.7	2.7
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>54.2</u>	<u>47.6</u>
Total net deferred tax liabilities	<u>\$ 52.4</u>	<u>\$ 45.8</u>
Current portion of total net deferred tax assets	<u>\$ 0.3</u>	<u>\$ 0.3</u>
Long-term portion of total net deferred tax liabilities	<u>\$ 52.7</u>	<u>\$ 46.1</u>

(1) These losses expire in various years between 2017 and 2033 and are subject to limitations on their utilization.

Accounting guidance provides that a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits. We did not rely on any uncertain tax positions in recording our income tax-related amounts during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 or 2014.

Note 17. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

As part of our normal business activities, we may be named as defendants in legal proceedings, including those arising from regulatory and environmental matters. Although we are insured against various risks to the extent we believe it is prudent, there is no assurance that the nature and amount of such insurance will be adequate, in every case, to fully indemnify us against losses arising from future legal proceedings. We will vigorously defend the partnership in litigation matters.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Management has regular quarterly litigation reviews, including updates from legal counsel, to assess the possible need for accounting recognition and disclosure of these contingencies. We accrue an undiscounted liability for those contingencies where the loss is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If a range of probable loss amounts can be reasonably estimated and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, then the minimum amount in the range is accrued.

We do not record a contingent liability when the likelihood of loss is probable but the amount cannot be reasonably estimated or when the likelihood of loss is believed to be only reasonably possible or remote. For contingencies where an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible and the impact would be material to our consolidated financial statements, we disclose the nature of the contingency and, where feasible, an estimate of the possible loss or range of loss. Based on a consideration of all relevant known facts and circumstances, we do not believe that the ultimate outcome of any currently pending litigation directed against us will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements either individually at the claim level or in the aggregate.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, our accruals for litigation contingencies were \$0.3 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, and were recorded in our Consolidated Balance Sheets as a component of "Other current liabilities." Our evaluation of litigation contingencies is based on the facts and circumstances of each case and predicting the outcome of these matters involves uncertainties. In the event the assumptions we use to evaluate these matters change in future periods or new information becomes available, we may be required to record additional accruals. In an effort to mitigate expenses associated with litigation, we may settle legal proceedings out of court.

ETP Matter. In connection with a proposed pipeline project, we and Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. ("ETP") signed a non-binding letter of intent in April 2011 that disclaimed any partnership or joint venture related to such project absent executed definitive documents and board approvals of the respective companies. Definitive agreements were never executed and board approval was never obtained for the potential pipeline project. In August 2011, the proposed pipeline project was cancelled due to a lack of customer support.

In September 2011, ETP filed suit against us and a third party in connection with the cancelled project alleging, among other things, that we and ETP had formed a "partnership." The case was tried in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas, 298th Judicial District. While we firmly believe, and argued during our defense, that no agreement was ever executed forming a legal joint venture or partnership between the parties, the jury found that the actions of the two companies, nevertheless, constituted a legal partnership. As a result, the jury found that ETP was wrongfully excluded from a subsequent pipeline project involving a third party, and awarded ETP \$319.4 million in actual damages on March 4, 2014. On July 29, 2014, the court entered judgment against us in an aggregate amount of \$535.8 million, which includes (i) \$319.4 million as the amount of actual damages awarded by the jury, (ii) an additional \$150.0 million in disgorgement for the alleged benefit we received due to a breach of fiduciary duties by us against ETP and (iii) prejudgment interest in the amount of \$66.4 million. The court also awarded post-judgment interest on such aggregate amount, to accrue at a rate of 5%, compounded annually.

We do not believe that the verdict or the judgment entered against us is supported by the evidence or the law. We filed our Brief of the Appellant in the Court of Appeals for the Fifth District of Dallas, Texas on March 30, 2015 and ETP filed its Brief of Appellees on June 29, 2015. We filed our Reply Brief of Appellant on September 18, 2015. Oral argument was conducted on April 20, 2016, and the case has now been submitted to the Court of Appeals for its consideration. We intend to vigorously oppose the judgment through the appeals process. As of December 31, 2016, we have not recorded a provision for this matter as management believes payment of damages in this case is not probable.

PDH Litigation. In July 2013, we executed a contract with Foster Wheeler USA Corporation ("Foster Wheeler") pursuant to which Foster Wheeler was to serve as the general contractor responsible for the engineering, procurement, construction and installation of our propane dehydrogenation ("PDH") facility. In November 2014, Foster Wheeler was acquired by an affiliate of AMEC plc to form Amec Foster Wheeler plc, and Foster Wheeler is now known as Amec Foster Wheeler USA Corporation ("AFW"). In December 2015, Enterprise and AFW entered into a transition services agreement under which AFW was partially terminated from the PDH project. In December 2015, Enterprise engaged a second contractor, Optimized Process Designs LLC, to complete the construction and installation of the PDH facility.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On September 2, 2016, we terminated AFW for cause and filed a lawsuit in the 151st Judicial Civil District Court of Harris County, Texas against AFW and its parent company, Amec Foster Wheeler plc, asserting claims for breach of contract, breach of warranty, fraudulent inducement, string-along fraud, gross negligence, professional negligence, negligent misrepresentation and attorneys' fees. We intend to diligently prosecute these claims and seek all direct, consequential, and exemplary damages to which we may be entitled.

Redelivery Commitments

We store natural gas, crude oil, NGLs and certain petrochemical products owned by third parties under various agreements. Under the terms of these agreements, we are generally required to redeliver volumes to the owner on demand. At December 31, 2016, we had approximately 10.3 trillion British thermal units ("TBtus") of natural gas, 20.0 MMBbls of crude oil, and 45.9 MMBbls of NGL and petrochemical products in our custody that were owned by third parties. We maintain insurance coverage related to such volumes that we believe is consistent with our exposure. See Note 18 for information regarding insurance matters.

Commitments Under Equity Compensation Plans of EPCO

In accordance with our agreements with EPCO, we reimburse EPCO for our share of its compensation expense associated with certain employees who perform management, administrative and operating functions for us. See Notes 13 and 15 for additional information regarding our accounting for equity-based awards and related party information, respectively.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our various contractual obligations at December 31, 2016. A description of each type of contractual obligation follows:

Contractual Obligations	Payment or Settlement due by Period						
	Total	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Thereafter
Scheduled maturities of debt obligations	\$ 23,901.6	\$ 2,577.2	\$ 1,100.0	\$ 1,500.0	\$ 1,500.0	\$ 575.0	\$ 16,649.4
Estimated cash interest payments	\$ 20,370.2	\$ 1,073.3	\$ 1,060.0	\$ 999.5	\$ 941.4	\$ 883.6	\$ 15,412.4
Operating lease obligations	\$ 438.0	\$ 57.1	\$ 51.0	\$ 45.8	\$ 42.3	\$ 35.9	\$ 205.9
Purchase obligations:							
Product purchase commitments:							
Estimated payment obligations:							
Natural gas	\$ 3,467.5	\$ 912.5	\$ 849.3	\$ 695.8	\$ 551.7	\$ 458.2	\$ --
NGLs	\$ 140.9	\$ 68.5	\$ 50.8	\$ 21.6	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Crude oil	\$ 1,412.7	\$ 421.7	\$ 377.1	\$ 325.6	\$ 155.4	\$ 28.0	\$ 104.9
Petrochemicals & refined products	\$ 514.3	\$ 202.5	\$ 202.6	\$ 106.2	\$ 3.0	\$ --	\$ --
Other	\$ 46.0	\$ 9.5	\$ 9.4	\$ 9.4	\$ 7.9	\$ 4.6	\$ 5.2
Underlying major volume commitments:							
Natural gas (in TBtus)	992	261	245	200	156	130	--
NGLs (in MMBbbls)	13	6	5	2	--	--	--
Crude oil (in MMBbbls)	27	8	7	6	3	1	2
Petrochemicals & refined products (in MMBbbls)	18	7	7	4	--	--	--
Service payment commitments	\$ 585.2	\$ 180.6	\$ 107.8	\$ 84.8	\$ 58.0	\$ 44.9	\$ 109.1
Capital expenditure commitments	\$ 57.8	\$ 57.8	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --

Scheduled Maturities of Debt. We have long-term and short-term payment obligations under debt agreements. Amounts shown in the preceding table represent our scheduled future maturities of debt principal for the periods indicated. See Note 8 for additional information regarding our consolidated debt obligations.

Estimated Cash Interest Payments. Our estimated cash payments for interest are based on the principal amount of our consolidated debt obligations outstanding at December 31, 2016 and the contractually scheduled maturities of such balances. With respect to our variable-rate debt obligation, we applied the weighted-average interest rate paid during 2016 to determine the estimated cash payments. Our estimated cash payments for interest are significantly influenced by the long-term maturities of our \$1.47 billion in junior subordinated notes. Our estimated cash payments for interest assume that these subordinated notes are not repaid prior to their respective maturity dates. We applied the current fixed interest rate through the respective maturity date for each junior subordinated note to determine the estimated cash payments for interest. See Note 8 for information regarding fixed and weighted-average variable interest rates charged in 2016.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Operating Lease Obligations. We lease certain property, plant and equipment under noncancelable and cancelable operating leases. Amounts shown in the preceding table represent minimum cash lease payment obligations under our operating leases with terms in excess of one year.

Our significant lease agreements consist of (i) land held pursuant to right-of-way agreements and property leases, (ii) the lease of underground storage caverns for natural gas and NGLs, (iii) the lease of transportation equipment used in our operations, and (iv) leased office space with affiliates of EPCO. Currently, our significant lease agreements have terms that range from 5 to 30 years. The agreements for leased office space with affiliates of EPCO and underground NGL storage caverns we lease from a third party include renewal options that could extend these contracts for up to an additional 20 years. The remainder of our significant lease agreements do not provide for additional renewal terms.

Lease expense is charged to operating costs and expenses on a straight-line basis over the period of expected economic benefit. Contingent rental payments are expensed as incurred. We are generally required to perform routine maintenance on the underlying leased assets. In addition, certain leases give us the option to make leasehold improvements. Maintenance and repairs of leased assets resulting from our operations are charged to expense as incurred.

Consolidated costs and expenses include lease and rental expense amounts of \$110.1 million, \$104.3 million and \$94.2 million during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Purchase Obligations. We define purchase obligations as agreements with terms in excess of one year to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding (i.e., unconditional) on us that specify all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transactions. We classify our unconditional purchase obligations into the following categories:

- We have long-term product purchase obligations for natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemicals and refined products with third party suppliers. The prices that we are obligated to pay under these contracts approximate market prices at the time we take delivery of the volumes. The preceding table shows our volume commitments and estimated payment obligations under these contracts for the periods presented. Our estimated future payment obligations are based on the contractual price in each agreement at December 31, 2016 applied to all future volume commitments. Actual future payment obligations may vary depending on prices at the time of delivery.
- We have long-term commitments to pay service providers. Our contractual service payment commitments primarily represent our obligations under firm pipeline transportation contracts. Payment obligations vary by contract, but generally represent a price per unit of volume multiplied by a firm transportation volume commitment.
- We have short-term payment obligations relating to our capital spending program, including our share of the capital spending of our unconsolidated affiliates. These commitments represent unconditional payment obligations for services to be rendered or products to be delivered in connection with capital projects.

Other Commitments

In connection with the agreements to acquire EFS Midstream (see Note 12), we are obligated to spend up to an aggregate of \$270 million on specified midstream gathering assets for PXD and Reliance, if requested by these producers, over a ten-year period. If constructed, these new assets would be owned by us and be a component of the EFS Midstream asset network. As of December 31, 2016, we have spent approximately \$133 million of the \$270 million commitment.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Other Long-Term Liabilities

The following table summarizes the components of “Other long-term liabilities” as presented on our Consolidated Balance Sheets at the dates indicated:

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Noncurrent portion of AROs (see Note 5)	\$ 76.5	\$ 52.9
Deferred revenues – non-current portion (see Note 3)	137.0	87.8
Liquidity Option Agreement	269.6	245.1
Derivative liabilities	2.7	6.1
Centennial guarantees	5.3	6.1
Other	12.8	13.5
Total	<u>\$ 503.9</u>	<u>\$ 411.5</u>

Liquidity Option Agreement

We entered into a put option agreement (the “Liquidity Option Agreement” or “Liquidity Option”) with OTA and M&B in connection with the Oiltanking acquisition (see Note 12). Under the Liquidity Option Agreement, we granted M&B the option to sell to us 100% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of OTA at any time within a 90-day period commencing on February 1, 2020. If the Liquidity Option is exercised, we would indirectly acquire any Enterprise common units then owned by OTA and assume all future income tax obligations of OTA associated with (i) owning common units encumbered by the entity-level taxes of a U.S. corporation and (ii) OTA’s deferred tax liabilities. To the extent that the sum of OTA’s deferred tax liabilities exceeds the then current book value of the Liquidity Option liability at the exercise date, we will recognize expense for the difference.

The aggregate consideration to be paid by us for OTA’s capital stock would equal 100% of the then-current fair market value of the Enterprise common units owned by OTA at the exercise date. The consideration paid may be in the form of newly issued Enterprise common units, cash or any mix thereof, as determined solely by us. We have the ability to issue the requisite number of common units needed to satisfy any potential obligation under the Liquidity Option.

The Liquidity Option may be exercised prior to February 2020 if a Trigger Event (as defined in the underlying agreements) occurs. The exercise period for a Trigger Event is 135 days following the notice of such event. Trigger Events include, among other scenarios, any Enterprise Tax Event (as defined in the underlying agreements), which includes certain events in which OTA would recognize a taxable gain on the Enterprise common units that it owns.

The carrying value of the Liquidity Option Agreement, which is a component of “Other long-term liabilities” on our Consolidated Balance Sheet, was \$269.6 million and \$245.1 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The fair value of the Liquidity Option, at any measurement date, represents the present value of estimated federal and state income tax payments that we believe a market participant would incur on the future taxable income of OTA. We expect that OTA’s taxable income would, in turn, be based on an allocation of our partnership’s taxable income to the common units held by OTA and reflect any tax planning we believe could be employed. Our valuation estimate for the Liquidity Option at December 31, 2016 is based on several inputs that are not observable in the market (i.e., Level 3 inputs) such as the following:

- OTA remains in existence (i.e., is not dissolved and its assets sold) between one and 30 years following exercise of the Liquidity Option, depending on the liquidity preference of its owner. An equal probability that OTA will be dissolved was assigned to each year in the 30-year forecast period;
- OTA assumes approximately \$2.2 billion of associated long-term debt (30-year maturity) immediately after the Liquidity Option is exercised. For purposes of the valuation at December 31, 2016, we used a market rate commensurate with level of debt and tenure of approximately 4.63%. If the assumption of debt was excluded from the valuation model at December 31, 2016 (and all other inputs remained the same), the estimated fair value of the Liquidity Option would have increased by \$215.8 million and resulted in the recognition of an equal amount of expense at the time of change;
- Forecasted annual growth rates of Enterprise’s taxable earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ranging from 2.2% to 14.7%;

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- OTA's ownership interest in Enterprise common units is assumed to be diluted over time in connection with Enterprise's issuance of equity for general company reasons. For purposes of the valuation at December 31, 2016, we used ownership interests ranging from 1.9% to 2.6%;
- OTA pays an aggregate federal and state income tax rate of 38% on its taxable income. If the aggregate tax rate had been 5% lower (i.e., 33%) at December 31, 2016 (and all other inputs remained the same), the estimated fair value of the Liquidity Option would have decreased by \$35.5 million and resulted in the recognition of an equal amount of benefit in earnings at the time of change; and
- A discount rate of 7.6% based on our weighted-average cost of capital at December 31, 2016.

Furthermore, our valuation estimate incorporates probability-weighted scenarios reflecting the likelihood that M&B may elect to divest a portion of the Enterprise common units held by OTA prior to exercise of the option. At December 31, 2016, based on these scenarios, we expect that OTA would own approximately 84% of the 54,807,352 Enterprise common units it received in Step 1 when the option period begins in February 2020. If our valuation estimate had assumed that OTA owned all of the Enterprise common units it received in Step 1 at the time of exercise (and all other inputs remained the same), the estimated fair value of the Liquidity Option liability at December 31, 2016 would have increased by \$50.9 million.

Changes in the fair value of the Liquidity Option are recognized in earnings as a component of other income (expense) on our Statements of Consolidated Operations. Results for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 include \$24.5 million and \$25.4 million, respectively, of aggregate non-cash expense attributable to accretion and changes in management estimates regarding inputs to the valuation model.

Centennial Guarantees

At December 31, 2016, Centennial's debt obligations consisted of \$58.6 million borrowed under a master shelf loan agreement. Borrowings under the master shelf agreement mature in May 2024 and are collateralized by substantially all of Centennial's assets and severally guaranteed 50% by us and 50% by our joint venture partner in Centennial. If Centennial were to default on its debt obligations, we and our joint venture partner would each be required to make an approximate \$29.3 million payment to Centennial's lenders in connection with the guarantee agreements (based on Centennial's debt principal outstanding at December 31, 2016). We recognized a liability of \$4.3 million for our share of the Centennial debt guaranty at December 31, 2016.

In lieu of Centennial procuring insurance to satisfy third party claims arising from a catastrophic event, we and Centennial's other joint venture partner have entered a limited cash call agreement. We are obligated to contribute up to a maximum of \$50.0 million in the event of a catastrophic event. At December 31, 2016, we have a recorded liability of \$1.9 million representing the estimated fair value of our cash call guaranty. Our cash contributions to Centennial under the agreement may be covered by our other insurance policies depending on the nature of the catastrophic event.

Note 18. Significant Risks and Uncertainties

Nature of Operations

We operate predominantly in the midstream energy industry, which includes gathering, transporting, processing, fractionating and storing natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, petrochemical and refined products. As such, changes in the prices of hydrocarbon products and in the relative price levels among hydrocarbon products could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Changes in prices may impact demand for hydrocarbon products, which in turn may impact production, demand and the volumes of products for which we provide services. In addition, decreases in demand may be caused by other factors, including prevailing economic conditions, reduced demand by consumers for the end products made with hydrocarbon products, increased competition, adverse weather conditions and government regulations affecting prices and production levels.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The natural gas, NGLs and crude oil currently transported, gathered or processed at our facilities originate primarily from existing domestic resource basins, which naturally deplete over time. To offset this natural decline, our facilities need access to production from newly discovered properties. Many economic and business factors beyond our control can adversely affect the decision by producers to explore for and develop new reserves. These factors could include relatively low crude oil and natural gas prices, cost and availability of equipment and labor, regulatory changes, capital budget limitations, the lack of available capital or the probability of success in finding hydrocarbons. A decrease in exploration and development activities in the regions where our facilities and other energy logistics assets are located could result in a decrease in volumes handled by our assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Even if crude oil and natural gas reserves exist in the areas served by our assets, we may not be chosen by producers in these areas to gather, transport, process, fractionate, store or otherwise handle the hydrocarbons extracted. We compete with other companies, including producers of crude oil and natural gas, for any such production on the basis of many factors, including but not limited to geographic proximity to the production, costs of connection, available capacity, rates and access to markets.

Credit Risk

We may incur credit risk to the extent counterparties do not fulfill their obligations to us in connection with our marketing of natural gas, NGLs, petrochemicals, refined products and crude oil and long-term contracts with minimum volume commitments or fixed demand charges. Risks of nonpayment and nonperformance by customers are a major consideration in our businesses, and our credit procedures and policies may not be adequate to sufficiently eliminate customer credit risk. Further, adverse economic conditions in our industry, such as those experienced throughout 2015 and 2016, increase the risk of nonpayment and nonperformance by customers, particularly customers that have sub-investment grade credit ratings or small-scale companies. We manage our exposure to credit risk through credit analysis, credit approvals, credit limits and monitoring procedures, and for certain transactions may utilize letters of credit, prepayments, net out agreements and guarantees. However, these procedures and policies do not fully eliminate customer credit risk.

Our primary market areas are located in the Gulf Coast, Southwest, Rocky Mountain, Northeast and Midwest regions of the U.S. We have a concentration of trade receivable balances due from major integrated oil companies, independent oil companies and other pipelines and wholesalers. These concentrations of market areas may affect our overall credit risk in that the customers may be similarly affected by changes in economic, regulatory or other factors.

In those situations where we are exposed to credit risk in our derivative instrument transactions, we analyze the counterparty's financial condition prior to entering into an agreement, establish credit and/or margin limits and monitor the appropriateness of these limits on an ongoing basis. Generally, we do not require collateral for such transactions nor do we currently anticipate nonperformance by our material counterparties.

Insurance Matters

We participate as a named insured in EPCO's insurance program, which provides us with property damage, business interruption and other insurance coverage, the scope and amounts of which we believe are customary and prudent for the nature and extent of our operations. While we believe EPCO maintains adequate insurance coverage on our behalf, insurance may not fully cover every type of damage, interruption or other loss that might occur. If we were to incur a significant loss for which we were not fully insured, it could have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In addition, there may be timing differences between amounts we accrue related to property damage expense, amounts we are required to pay in connection with a loss, and amounts we subsequently receive from insurance carriers as reimbursements. Any event that materially interrupts the revenues generated by our consolidated operations, or other losses that require us to make material expenditures not reimbursed by insurance, could reduce our ability to pay distributions to our unitholders and, accordingly, adversely affect the market price of our common units.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Involuntary conversions result from the loss of an asset due to some unforeseen event (e.g., destruction due to a fire). Some of these events are covered by insurance, thus resulting in a property damage insurance recovery. Amounts we receive from insurance carriers are net of any deductibles related to the covered event. We record a receivable from insurance to the extent we recognize a loss from an involuntary conversion event and the likelihood of our recovering such loss is deemed probable. To the extent that any of our insurance claim receivables are later judged not probable of recovery (e.g., due to new information), such amounts are expensed. We recognize gains on involuntary conversions when the amount received from insurance exceeds the net book value of the retired assets.

In addition, we do not recognize gains related to insurance recoveries until all contingencies related to such proceeds have been resolved, that is, a non-refundable cash payment is received from the insurance carrier or we have a binding settlement agreement with the carrier that clearly states that a non-refundable payment will be made. To the extent that an asset is rebuilt, the associated expenditures are capitalized, as appropriate, on our Consolidated Balance Sheets and presented as "Capital expenditures" on our Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

Under our current insurance program, the standalone deductible for property damage claims is \$55 million. We also have business interruption protection; however, such claims must involve physical damage and have a combined loss value in excess of \$55 million and the period of interruption must exceed 60 days.

Note 19. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

The following table provides information regarding the net effect of changes in our operating accounts and cash payments for interest and income taxes for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Decrease (increase) in:			
Accounts receivable – trade	\$ (679.0)	\$ 1,279.3	\$ 1,685.4
Accounts receivable – related parties	0.4	1.3	3.8
Inventories	(871.8)	(72.7)	(105.6)
Prepaid and other current assets	(49.3)	(59.1)	(74.6)
Other assets	(2.0)	(5.8)	18.7
Increase (decrease) in:			
Accounts payable – trade	(21.5)	(52.9)	(141.0)
Accounts payable – related parties	21.0	(34.8)	(31.6)
Accrued product payables	1,193.3	(1,342.4)	(1,647.8)
Accrued interest	(11.4)	16.5	31.3
Other current liabilities	189.9	(67.1)	141.3
Other liabilities	49.5	14.4	11.9
Net effect of changes in operating accounts	<u>\$ (180.9)</u>	<u>\$ (323.3)</u>	<u>\$ (108.2)</u>
Cash payments for interest, net of \$168.2, \$149.1 and \$77.9 capitalized in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively	<u>\$ 947.9</u>	<u>\$ 911.6</u>	<u>\$ 832.1</u>
Cash payments for federal and state income taxes	<u>\$ 18.7</u>	<u>\$ 17.5</u>	<u>\$ 16.1</u>

We incurred liabilities for construction in progress that had not been paid at December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 of \$124.3 million, \$472.8 million and \$372.8 million, respectively. Such amounts are not included under the caption "Capital expenditures" on our Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

On certain of our capital projects, third parties are obligated to reimburse us for all or a portion of project expenditures. The majority of such arrangements are associated with projects related to pipeline construction activities and production well tie-ins. These cash receipts are presented as "Contributions in aid of construction costs" within the investing activities section of our Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We received \$95.0 million of nonrefundable insurance proceeds during the year ended December 31, 2014 attributable to property damage claims we filed in connection with a February 2011 NGL release and fire at the West Storage location of our Mont Belvieu, Texas underground storage facility. Operating income for the year ended December 31, 2014 includes \$95.0 million of gains related to these insurance recoveries.

The following table presents our cash proceeds from asset sales and insurance recoveries for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Sale of Offshore Business (see Note 5)	\$ --	\$ 1,527.7	\$ --
Insurance recoveries attributable to West Storage claims	--	--	95.0
Cash proceeds from other asset sales	46.5	80.9	50.3
Total	<u>\$ 46.5</u>	<u>\$ 1,608.6</u>	<u>\$ 145.3</u>

The following table presents net gains (losses) attributable to asset sales and insurance recoveries for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Sale of Offshore Business (see Note 5)	\$ --	\$ (12.3)	\$ --
Gains attributable to West Storage insurance recoveries	--	--	95.0
Net gains (losses) attributable to other asset sales	2.5	(3.3)	7.1
Total	<u>\$ 2.5</u>	<u>\$ (15.6)</u>	<u>\$ 102.1</u>

See Note 12 for information regarding non-cash consideration we issued in connection with the Oiltanking acquisition.

See Note 14 for information regarding asset impairment and related charges as presented on our Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

Note 20. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

The following table presents selected quarterly financial data for the periods indicated:

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016:				
Revenues	\$ 5,005.3	\$ 5,617.8	\$ 5,920.4	\$ 6,478.8
Operating income	915.6	836.9	905.0	923.2
Net income	670.2	570.0	643.1	669.7
Net income attributable to limited partners	661.2	558.5	634.6	658.8
Earnings per unit:				
Basic	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.31
Diluted	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.31
For the Year Ended December 31, 2015:				
Revenues	\$ 7,472.5	\$ 7,092.5	\$ 6,307.9	\$ 6,155.0
Operating income	896.0	800.3	909.4	934.5
Net income	650.6	556.6	657.7	693.5
Net income attributable to limited partners	636.1	551.0	649.3	684.8
Earnings per unit:				
Basic	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.34
Diluted	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.34

The sum of our quarterly earnings per unit amounts may not equal our full year amounts due to slight rounding differences.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 21. Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

EPO conducts all of our business. Currently, we have no independent operations and no material assets outside those of EPO.

EPO has issued publicly traded debt securities. As the parent company of EPO, Enterprise Products Partners L.P. guarantees substantially all of the debt obligations of EPO. If EPO were to default on any of its guaranteed debt, Enterprise Products Partners L.P. would be responsible for full and unconditional repayment of that obligation. See Note 8 for additional information regarding our consolidated debt obligations.

There are no significant restrictions on the ability of EPO to receive funds from Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2016

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
ASSETS							
Current assets:							
Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 366.2	\$ 58.9	\$ (7.5)	\$ 417.6	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 417.6
Accounts receivable – trade, net	1,499.4	1,830.3	(0.2)	3,329.5	--	--	3,329.5
Accounts receivable – related parties	131.5	961.4	(1,090.7)	2.2	--	(1.1)	1.1
Inventories	1,357.5	413.5	(0.5)	1,770.5	--	--	1,770.5
Derivative assets	464.8	76.6	--	541.4	--	--	541.4
Prepaid and other current assets	290.7	191.1	(13.7)	468.1	--	--	468.1
Total current assets	4,110.1	3,531.8	(1,112.6)	6,529.3	--	(1.1)	6,528.2
Property, plant and equipment, net	4,796.5	28,495.7	0.3	33,292.5	--	--	33,292.5
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	39,995.5	4,227.9	(41,546.1)	2,677.3	22,317.1	(22,317.1)	2,677.3
Intangible assets, net	700.2	3,178.2	(14.3)	3,864.1	--	--	3,864.1
Goodwill	459.5	5,285.7	--	5,745.2	--	--	5,745.2
Other assets	222.6	41.0	(177.5)	86.1	0.6	--	86.7
Total assets	<u>\$ 50,284.4</u>	<u>\$ 44,760.3</u>	<u>\$ (42,850.2)</u>	<u>\$ 52,194.5</u>	<u>\$ 22,317.7</u>	<u>\$ (22,318.2)</u>	<u>\$ 52,194.0</u>
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY							
Current liabilities:							
Current maturities of debt	\$ 2,576.7	\$ 0.1	\$ --	\$ 2,576.8	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 2,576.8
Accounts payable – trade	133.1	272.1	(7.5)	397.7	--	--	397.7
Accounts payable – related parties	1,071.5	139.6	(1,106.0)	105.1	1.1	(1.1)	105.1
Accrued product payables	1,944.5	1,670.3	(1.1)	3,613.7	--	--	3,613.7
Accrued interest	340.7	0.1	--	340.8	--	--	340.8
Derivative liabilities	590.3	147.4	--	737.7	--	--	737.7
Other current liabilities	173.5	316.5	(12.0)	478.0	--	0.7	478.7
Total current liabilities	6,830.3	2,546.1	(1,126.6)	8,249.8	1.1	(0.4)	8,250.5
Long-term debt	21,105.7	15.2	--	21,120.9	--	--	21,120.9
Deferred tax liabilities	5.0	45.1	(1.1)	49.0	--	3.7	52.7
Other long-term liabilities	13.5	400.6	(179.8)	234.3	269.6	--	503.9
Commitments and contingencies							
Equity:							
Partners' and other owners' equity	22,329.9	41,675.3	(41,713.4)	22,291.8	22,047.0	(22,291.8)	22,047.0
Noncontrolling interests	--	78.0	170.7	248.7	--	(29.7)	219.0
Total equity	<u>22,329.9</u>	<u>41,753.3</u>	<u>(41,542.7)</u>	<u>22,540.5</u>	<u>22,047.0</u>	<u>(22,321.5)</u>	<u>22,266.0</u>
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 50,284.4</u>	<u>\$ 44,760.3</u>	<u>\$ (42,850.2)</u>	<u>\$ 52,194.5</u>	<u>\$ 22,317.7</u>	<u>\$ (22,318.2)</u>	<u>\$ 52,194.0</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2015

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
ASSETS							
Current assets:							
Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 14.4	\$ 71.1	\$ (50.6)	\$ 34.9	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 34.9
Accounts receivable – trade, net	811.3	1,755.8	2.8	2,569.9	--	--	2,569.9
Accounts receivable – related parties	59.0	795.4	(853.0)	1.4	--	(0.2)	1.2
Inventories	786.9	251.4	(0.2)	1,038.1	--	--	1,038.1
Derivative assets	150.4	108.2	--	258.6	--	--	258.6
Prepaid and other current assets	153.6	249.1	(7.1)	395.6	--	--	395.6
Total current assets	1,975.6	3,231.0	(908.1)	4,298.5	--	(0.2)	4,298.3
Property, plant and equipment, net	3,859.8	28,173.5	1.4	32,034.7	--	--	32,034.7
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	38,655.0	4,067.3	(40,093.8)	2,628.5	20,540.2	(20,540.2)	2,628.5
Intangible assets, net	721.2	3,330.7	(14.7)	4,037.2	--	--	4,037.2
Goodwill	459.5	5,285.7	--	5,745.2	--	--	5,745.2
Other assets	145.1	47.9	(135.2)	57.8	0.5	--	58.3
Total assets	<u>\$ 45,816.2</u>	<u>\$ 44,136.1</u>	<u>\$ (41,150.4)</u>	<u>\$ 48,801.9</u>	<u>\$ 20,540.7</u>	<u>\$ (20,540.4)</u>	<u>\$ 48,802.2</u>
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY							
Current liabilities:							
Current maturities of debt	\$ 1,863.8	\$ 0.1	\$ --	\$ 1,863.9	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 1,863.9
Accounts payable – trade	375.3	535.1	(50.6)	859.8	0.3	--	860.1
Accounts payable – related parties	885.3	62.3	(863.5)	84.1	0.2	(0.2)	84.1
Accrued product payables	997.7	1,489.3	(2.6)	2,484.4	--	--	2,484.4
Accrued liability related to EFS Midstream acquisition	--	993.2	--	993.2	--	--	993.2
Accrued interest	352.0	0.1	--	352.1	--	--	352.1
Derivative liabilities	75.1	65.5	--	140.6	--	--	140.6
Other current liabilities	103.6	291.6	(7.0)	388.2	--	--	388.2
Total current liabilities	4,652.8	3,437.2	(923.7)	7,166.3	0.5	(0.2)	7,166.6
Long-term debt	20,661.6	15.3	--	20,676.9	--	--	20,676.9
Deferred tax liabilities	3.4	40.8	(0.8)	43.4	--	2.7	46.1
Other long-term liabilities	14.5	286.9	(135.0)	166.4	245.1	--	411.5
Commitments and contingencies							
Equity:							
Partners' and other owners' equity	20,483.9	40,297.2	(40,266.8)	20,514.3	20,295.1	(20,514.3)	20,295.1
Noncontrolling interests	--	58.7	175.9	234.6	--	(28.6)	206.0
Total equity	<u>20,483.9</u>	<u>40,355.9</u>	<u>(40,090.9)</u>	<u>20,748.9</u>	<u>20,295.1</u>	<u>(20,542.9)</u>	<u>20,501.1</u>
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 45,816.2</u>	<u>\$ 44,136.1</u>	<u>\$ (41,150.4)</u>	<u>\$ 48,801.9</u>	<u>\$ 20,540.7</u>	<u>\$ (20,540.4)</u>	<u>\$ 48,802.2</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
Revenues	\$ 28,958.7	\$ 15,296.8	\$ (21,233.2)	\$ 23,022.3	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 23,022.3
Costs and expenses:							
Operating costs and expenses	28,108.2	12,768.9	(21,233.6)	19,643.5	--	--	19,643.5
General and administrative costs	22.5	135.3	--	157.8	2.3	--	160.1
Total costs and expenses	28,130.7	12,904.2	(21,233.6)	19,801.3	2.3	--	19,803.6
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	2,686.1	521.7	(2,845.8)	362.0	2,539.9	(2,539.9)	362.0
Operating income	3,514.1	2,914.3	(2,845.4)	3,583.0	2,537.6	(2,539.9)	3,580.7
Other income (expense):							
Interest expense	(973.1)	(17.3)	7.8	(982.6)	--	--	(982.6)
Other, net	8.3	2.3	(7.8)	2.8	(24.5)	--	(21.7)
Total other expense, net	(964.8)	(15.0)	--	(979.8)	(24.5)	--	(1,004.3)
Income before income taxes	2,549.3	2,899.3	(2,845.4)	2,603.2	2,513.1	(2,539.9)	2,576.4
Provision for income taxes	(13.1)	(8.2)	--	(21.3)	--	(2.1)	(23.4)
Net income	2,536.2	2,891.1	(2,845.4)	2,581.9	2,513.1	(2,542.0)	2,553.0
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	--	(7.4)	(37.8)	(45.2)	--	5.3	(39.9)
Net income attributable to entity	<u>\$ 2,536.2</u>	<u>\$ 2,883.7</u>	<u>\$ (2,883.2)</u>	<u>\$ 2,536.7</u>	<u>\$ 2,513.1</u>	<u>\$ (2,536.7)</u>	<u>\$ 2,513.1</u>

Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations
For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
Revenues	\$ 20,104.8	\$ 19,087.0	\$ (12,163.9)	\$ 27,027.9	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 27,027.9
Costs and expenses:							
Operating costs and expenses	19,283.7	16,549.3	(12,164.3)	23,668.7	--	--	23,668.7
General and administrative costs	38.2	152.3	--	190.5	2.1	--	192.6
Total costs and expenses	19,321.9	16,701.6	(12,164.3)	23,859.2	2.1	--	23,861.3
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	2,718.4	417.5	(2,762.3)	373.6	2,548.7	(2,548.7)	373.6
Operating income	3,501.3	2,802.9	(2,761.9)	3,542.3	2,546.6	(2,548.7)	3,540.2
Other income (expense):							
Interest expense	(952.9)	(12.0)	3.1	(961.8)	--	--	(961.8)
Other, net	5.2	0.8	(3.1)	2.9	(25.4)	--	(22.5)
Total other expense, net	(947.7)	(11.2)	--	(958.9)	(25.4)	--	(984.3)
Income before income taxes	2,553.6	2,791.7	(2,761.9)	2,583.4	2,521.2	(2,548.7)	2,555.9
Provision for income taxes	(8.7)	12.7	--	4.0	--	(1.5)	2.5
Net income	2,544.9	2,804.4	(2,761.9)	2,587.4	2,521.2	(2,550.2)	2,558.4
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	--	0.9	(42.9)	(42.0)	--	4.8	(37.2)
Net income attributable to entity	<u>\$ 2,544.9</u>	<u>\$ 2,805.3</u>	<u>\$ (2,804.8)</u>	<u>\$ 2,545.4</u>	<u>\$ 2,521.2</u>	<u>\$ (2,545.4)</u>	<u>\$ 2,521.2</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations
For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
Revenues	\$ 32,468.5	\$ 32,488.2	\$ (17,005.5)	\$ 47,951.2	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 47,951.2
Costs and expenses:							
Operating costs and expenses	31,579.2	29,647.6	(17,006.3)	44,220.5	--	--	44,220.5
General and administrative costs	39.1	173.2	--	212.3	2.2	--	214.5
Total costs and expenses	31,618.3	29,820.8	(17,006.3)	44,432.8	2.2	--	44,435.0
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	2,865.2	354.3	(2,960.0)	259.5	2,789.6	(2,789.6)	259.5
Operating income	3,715.4	3,021.7	(2,959.2)	3,777.9	2,787.4	(2,789.6)	3,775.7
Other income (expense):							
Interest expense	(921.3)	(2.5)	2.8	(921.0)	--	--	(921.0)
Other, net	3.4	1.3	(2.8)	1.9	--	--	1.9
Total other expense, net	(917.9)	(1.2)	--	(919.1)	--	--	(919.1)
Income before income taxes	2,797.5	3,020.5	(2,959.2)	2,858.8	2,787.4	(2,789.6)	2,856.6
Provision for income taxes	(11.5)	(9.8)	0.2	(21.1)	--	(2.0)	(23.1)
Net income	2,786.0	3,010.7	(2,959.0)	2,837.7	2,787.4	(2,791.6)	2,833.5
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	--	0.4	(51.5)	(51.1)	--	5.0	(46.1)
Net income attributable to entity	<u>\$ 2,786.0</u>	<u>\$ 3,011.1</u>	<u>\$ (3,010.5)</u>	<u>\$ 2,786.6</u>	<u>\$ 2,787.4</u>	<u>\$ (2,786.6)</u>	<u>\$ 2,787.4</u>

**ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016**

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
Comprehensive income	\$ 2,544.3	\$ 2,822.1	\$ (2,845.3)	\$ 2,521.1	\$ 2,452.2	\$ (2,481.1)	\$ 2,492.2
Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	--	(7.4)	(37.8)	(45.2)	--	5.3	(39.9)
Comprehensive income attributable to entity	<u>\$ 2,544.3</u>	<u>\$ 2,814.7</u>	<u>\$ (2,883.1)</u>	<u>\$ 2,475.9</u>	<u>\$ 2,452.2</u>	<u>\$ (2,475.8)</u>	<u>\$ 2,452.3</u>

**Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income
For the Year Ended December 31, 2015**

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
Comprehensive income	\$ 2,578.6	\$ 2,793.1	\$ (2,761.9)	\$ 2,609.8	\$ 2,543.6	\$ (2,572.6)	\$ 2,580.8
Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	--	0.9	(42.9)	(42.0)	--	4.8	(37.2)
Comprehensive income attributable to entity	<u>\$ 2,578.6</u>	<u>\$ 2,794.0</u>	<u>\$ (2,804.8)</u>	<u>\$ 2,567.8</u>	<u>\$ 2,543.6</u>	<u>\$ (2,567.8)</u>	<u>\$ 2,543.6</u>

**Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income
For the Year Ended December 31, 2014**

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
Comprehensive income	\$ 2,856.4	\$ 3,057.6	\$ (2,958.9)	\$ 2,955.1	\$ 2,904.8	\$ (2,909.0)	\$ 2,950.9
Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	--	0.4	(51.5)	(51.1)	--	5.0	(46.1)
Comprehensive income attributable to entity	<u>\$ 2,856.4</u>	<u>\$ 3,058.0</u>	<u>\$ (3,010.4)</u>	<u>\$ 2,904.0</u>	<u>\$ 2,904.8</u>	<u>\$ (2,904.0)</u>	<u>\$ 2,904.8</u>

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
Operating activities:							
Net income	\$ 2,536.2	\$ 2,891.1	\$ (2,845.4)	\$ 2,581.9	\$ 2,513.1	\$ (2,542.0)	\$ 2,553.0
<i>Reconciliation of net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:</i>							
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	185.4	1,367.0	(0.4)	1,552.0	--	--	1,552.0
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	(2,686.1)	(521.7)	2,845.8	(362.0)	(2,539.9)	2,539.9	(362.0)
Distributions received on earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	1,127.3	265.9	(1,012.7)	380.5	3,331.2	(3,331.2)	380.5
Net effect of changes in operating accounts and other operating activities	2,448.6	(2,568.5)	43.1	(76.8)	18.9	1.2	(56.7)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	<u>3,611.4</u>	<u>1,433.8</u>	<u>(969.6)</u>	<u>4,075.6</u>	<u>3,323.3</u>	<u>(3,332.1)</u>	<u>4,066.8</u>
Investing activities:							
Capital expenditures, net of contributions in aid of construction costs	(1,327.4)	(1,656.7)	--	(2,984.1)	--	--	(2,984.1)
Cash used for business combinations, net of cash received	--	(1,000.0)	--	(1,000.0)	--	--	(1,000.0)
Proceeds from asset sales and insurance recoveries	28.8	17.7	--	46.5	--	--	46.5
Other investing activities	(2,640.3)	(63.4)	2,296.9	(406.8)	(2,530.9)	2,530.9	(406.8)
Cash used in investing activities	<u>(3,938.9)</u>	<u>(2,702.4)</u>	<u>2,296.9</u>	<u>(4,344.4)</u>	<u>(2,530.9)</u>	<u>2,530.9</u>	<u>(4,344.4)</u>
Financing activities:							
Borrowings under debt agreements	62,813.9	41.8	(41.8)	62,813.9	--	--	62,813.9
Repayments of debt	(61,672.5)	(0.1)	--	(61,672.6)	--	--	(61,672.6)
Cash distributions paid to partners	(3,331.2)	(1,089.6)	1,089.6	(3,331.2)	(3,300.5)	3,331.2	(3,300.5)
Cash payments made in connection with DERs	--	--	--	--	(11.7)	--	(11.7)
Cash distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	--	(8.5)	(39.8)	(48.3)	--	0.9	(47.4)
Cash contributions from noncontrolling interests	--	20.4	--	20.4	--	--	20.4
Net cash proceeds from issuance of common units	--	--	--	--	2,542.8	--	2,542.8
Cash contributions from owners	2,530.9	2,292.2	(2,292.2)	2,530.9	--	(2,530.9)	--
Other financing activities	(0.2)	--	--	(0.2)	(23.0)	--	(23.2)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>340.9</u>	<u>1,256.2</u>	<u>(1,284.2)</u>	<u>312.9</u>	<u>(792.4)</u>	<u>801.2</u>	<u>321.7</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	13.4	(12.4)	43.1	44.1	--	--	44.1
Cash and cash equivalents, January 1	--	69.6	(50.6)	19.0	--	--	19.0
Cash and cash equivalents, December 31	\$ 13.4	\$ 57.2	\$ (7.5)	\$ 63.1	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 63.1

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
For the Year Ended December 31, 2015

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
Operating activities:							
Net income	\$ 2,544.9	\$ 2,804.4	\$ (2,761.9)	\$ 2,587.4	\$ 2,521.2	\$ (2,550.2)	\$ 2,558.4
<i>Reconciliation of net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:</i>							
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	144.9	1,371.5	(0.4)	1,516.0	--	--	1,516.0
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	(2,718.4)	(417.5)	2,762.3	(373.6)	(2,548.7)	2,548.7	(373.6)
Distributions received on earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	1,989.6	307.7	(1,835.2)	462.1	3,000.2	(3,000.2)	462.1
Net effect of changes in operating accounts and other operating activities	882.8	(1,031.0)	(35.9)	(184.1)	22.1	1.5	(160.5)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	<u>2,843.8</u>	<u>3,035.1</u>	<u>(1,871.1)</u>	<u>4,007.8</u>	<u>2,994.8</u>	<u>(3,000.2)</u>	<u>4,002.4</u>
Investing activities:							
Capital expenditures, net of contributions in aid of construction costs	(1,180.0)	(2,631.6)	--	(3,811.6)	--	--	(3,811.6)
Cash used for business combinations, net of cash received	(1,069.9)	13.4	--	(1,056.5)	--	--	(1,056.5)
Proceeds from asset sales and insurance recoveries	1,531.3	77.3	--	1,608.6	--	--	1,608.6
Other investing activities	(1,513.4)	(1,248.2)	2,579.3	(182.3)	(1,179.8)	1,179.8	(182.3)
Cash used in investing activities	<u>(2,232.0)</u>	<u>(3,789.1)</u>	<u>2,579.3</u>	<u>(3,441.8)</u>	<u>(1,179.8)</u>	<u>1,179.8</u>	<u>(3,441.8)</u>
Financing activities:							
Borrowings under debt agreements	21,081.1	133.9	(133.9)	21,081.1	--	--	21,081.1
Repayments of debt	(19,867.2)	--	--	(19,867.2)	--	--	(19,867.2)
Cash distributions paid to partners	(3,000.2)	(1,882.4)	1,882.4	(3,000.2)	(2,943.7)	3,000.2	(2,943.7)
Cash payments made in connection with DERs	--	--	--	--	(7.7)	--	(7.7)
Cash distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	--	(0.8)	(47.2)	(48.0)	--	--	(48.0)
Cash contributions from noncontrolling interests	--	54.4	(0.4)	54.0	--	--	54.0
Net cash proceeds from issuance of common units	--	--	--	--	1,188.6	--	1,188.6
Cash contributions from owners	1,179.8	2,445.0	(2,445.0)	1,179.8	--	(1,179.8)	--
Other financing activities	(24.0)	3.1	--	(20.9)	(52.2)	--	(73.1)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>(630.5)</u>	<u>753.2</u>	<u>(744.1)</u>	<u>(621.4)</u>	<u>(1,815.0)</u>	<u>1,820.4</u>	<u>(616.0)</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(18.7)	(0.8)	(35.9)	(55.4)	--	--	(55.4)
Cash and cash equivalents, January 1	18.7	70.4	(14.7)	74.4	--	--	74.4
Cash and cash equivalents, December 31	\$ --	\$ 69.6	\$ (50.6)	\$ 19.0	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 19.0

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

	EPO and Subsidiaries			Consolidated EPO and Subsidiaries	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (Guarantor)	Eliminations and Adjustments	Consolidated Total
	Subsidiary Issuer (EPO)	Other Subsidiaries (Non- guarantor)	EPO and Subsidiaries Eliminations and Adjustments				
Operating activities:							
Net income	\$ 2,786.0	\$ 3,010.7	\$ (2,959.0)	\$ 2,837.7	\$ 2,787.4	\$ (2,791.6)	\$ 2,833.5
<i>Reconciliation of net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:</i>							
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	153.0	1,208.0	(0.5)	1,360.5	--	--	1,360.5
Equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates	(2,865.2)	(354.3)	2,960.0	(259.5)	(2,789.6)	2,789.6	(259.5)
Distributions received on earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	4,539.9	327.1	(4,491.9)	375.1	2,702.9	(2,702.9)	375.1
Net effect of changes in operating accounts and other operating activities	(627.0)	479.4	5.7	(141.9)	(7.5)	2.0	(147.4)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	<u>3,986.7</u>	<u>4,670.9</u>	<u>(4,485.7)</u>	<u>4,171.9</u>	<u>2,693.2</u>	<u>(2,702.9)</u>	<u>4,162.2</u>
Investing activities:							
Capital expenditures, net of contributions in aid of construction costs	(647.9)	(2,216.1)	--	(2,864.0)	--	--	(2,864.0)
Cash used for business combinations, net of cash received	(2,437.5)	20.7	--	(2,416.8)	--	--	(2,416.8)
Proceeds from asset sales and insurance recoveries	4.3	141.0	--	145.3	--	--	145.3
Other investing activities	(2,603.4)	(660.0)	2,601.0	(662.4)	(384.6)	384.6	(662.4)
Cash used in investing activities	<u>(5,684.5)</u>	<u>(2,714.4)</u>	<u>2,601.0</u>	<u>(5,797.9)</u>	<u>(384.6)</u>	<u>384.6</u>	<u>(5,797.9)</u>
Financing activities:							
Borrowings under debt agreements	18,361.1	--	--	18,361.1	--	--	18,361.1
Repayments of debt	(14,341.1)	--	--	(14,341.1)	--	--	(14,341.1)
Cash distributions paid to partners	(2,702.9)	(4,537.8)	4,537.8	(2,702.9)	(2,638.1)	2,702.9	(2,638.1)
Cash payments made in connection with DERs	--	--	--	--	(3.7)	--	(3.7)
Cash distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	--	(2.7)	(45.9)	(48.6)	--	--	(48.6)
Cash contributions from noncontrolling interests	--	--	4.0	4.0	--	--	4.0
Net cash proceeds from issuance of common units	--	--	--	--	388.8	--	388.8
Cash contributions from owners	384.6	2,604.9	(2,604.9)	384.6	--	(384.6)	--
Other financing activities	(13.6)	--	--	(13.6)	(55.6)	--	(69.2)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>1,688.1</u>	<u>(1,935.6)</u>	<u>1,891.0</u>	<u>1,643.5</u>	<u>(2,308.6)</u>	<u>2,318.3</u>	<u>1,653.2</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(9.7)	20.9	6.3	17.5	--	--	17.5
Cash and cash equivalents, January 1	28.4	49.5	(21.0)	56.9	--	--	56.9
Cash and cash equivalents, December 31	\$ 18.7	\$ 70.4	\$ (14.7)	\$ 74.4	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 74.4

**Phantom Unit Grant with DERs
under the
2008 Enterprise Products Long-Term Incentive Plan
(Third Amendment and Restatement)**

Date of Grant: _____

Name of Grantee: _____

Number of Phantom Units Granted: _____

Phantom Unit Grant Number: _____

Enterprise Products Company (the “Company”) is pleased to inform you that you have been granted under the 2008 Enterprise Products Long-Term Incentive Plan (Third Amendment and Restatement) (the “Plan”) the number of Phantom Units set forth above. A Phantom Unit is a contractual right to receive, on or following its vesting, a Common Unit of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (the “Partnership”). Each Phantom Unit granted to you also has a tandem Distribution Equivalent Right (“DER”) that entitles you to receive, on or as soon as reasonably practical following each Distribution Date (as defined below) on which the DER remains credited to you, an amount of cash equal to the cash distribution paid on a Common Unit on that Distribution Date. The terms of this grant are as follows:

1. Vesting/Forfeiture of Phantom Units and DERs. Subject to the further provisions of this agreement (this “Award Agreement”), (i) the Phantom Units hereby granted to you shall become vested on the Scheduled Vesting Dates in the percentages as set forth below or (ii) if you incur a Qualifying Termination (as defined below) prior to a Scheduled Vesting Date, all unvested Phantom Units then credited to you shall become 100% vested on the date of your Qualifying Termination.

SCHEDULED VESTING DATES	CUMULATIVE VESTED PERCENTAGE
[_____], 20__	25%
[_____], 20__	50%
[_____], 20__	75%
[_____], 20__	100%

Notwithstanding the above, if prior to a Scheduled Vesting Date you cease to be an Employee, Director and/or Consultant (as applicable) for any reason other than your Qualifying Termination, all unvested Phantom Units and tandem DERs then credited to you automatically shall terminate (without payment) on the date of your termination of service; provided, however, notwithstanding the foregoing, if your

termination of service date is on or after a Record Date (as defined below) and before the next Distribution Date applicable to such Record Date, your DERs shall continue to be credited to you until the first date following that next Distribution Date, when they shall automatically terminate.

If a Phantom Unit becomes vested, its tandem DER automatically shall terminate concurrently with the payment of that vested Phantom Unit, except when the vested Phantom Unit is settled after the Record Date and before the next Distribution Date, in which case the DER in tandem with such vested Phantom Unit shall continue to be credited to you until the first date following the next Distribution Date, when it shall automatically terminate.

2. Payment of Vested Phantom Units. (a) If a Phantom Unit becomes vested, then, subject to the further provisions of this Section 2, you will be paid one Common Unit in the Qualified Month (as defined below) that is coincident with or next following the date of the vesting event.

(b) If, however, the vesting event is your Qualifying Termination pursuant to Section 5(j)(ii), then, notwithstanding paragraph (a), payment will be made in the first Qualified Month coincident with or next following the date your Required Release (as defined below) becomes effective; provided, however, if your Release Period spans two calendar years, payment will be made as follows: (i) if your Required Release becomes effective before January 1 of the second calendar year, payment will be made in the first Qualified Month in the second calendar year, and (ii) if your Required Release becomes effective in the second calendar year, payment will be made in the Qualified Month coincident with or next following the Required Release's effective date.

(c) If you are a "specified employee" for purposes of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code") and payment hereunder upon your Qualifying Termination would subject you to the additional tax under Section 409A if the payment were made prior to the first date that is more than six months after your Qualifying Termination, such payment shall, instead, be paid to you in a lump sum (without interest) on the first business day that is more than six months after your Qualifying Termination, or on such earlier date, if any, as may be permitted by Section 409A without incurring such additional tax.

(d) Notwithstanding anything in this Section 2 to the contrary, in all events payment shall be made before the end of the 90-day period following the Scheduled Vesting Date or the date of the Qualifying Termination, whichever is applicable.

3. Payment of DERs. On or as soon as reasonably practical (and not later than 30 days) following each Distribution Date, you will receive, with respect to each DER that remains credited to you on such Distribution Date, a cash payment from the Company in an amount equal to the cash distribution paid with respect to a Common Unit on that Distribution Date.

4. No Transfers of Awards. None of the Phantom Units or tandem DERs hereby granted to you (or any interest therein) may be transferred, pledged, or encumbered by you in any manner, except by will or the laws of descent and distribution. If, in the event of your divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of your marriage, your spouse or former spouse is awarded ownership of, a division of any community property interest in, or any other interest in any of the Phantom Units or DERs granted to you hereunder, then, notwithstanding anything in Section 1 above to the contrary, effective concurrently with such award or division, such "awarded" or "divided" Phantom Units and DERs automatically shall be forfeited and cancelled without payment to any person.

5. Definitions. Capitalized terms used in this Award Agreement shall have their respective meanings as provided in the Plan, with the exception that the capitalized terms set forth below shall have the following meanings:

(a) "Affiliated Group" means and includes (individually, collectively or in any combination) (i) the Partnership, (ii) the Company, (iii) EPCO Holdings, Inc., (iv) Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, (v) Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc., (vi) Enterprise Products Operating LLC, (vii) Dan Duncan LLC, (viii) the respective subsidiaries, parent entities or affiliates of any of the foregoing entities, (ix) any other entity (A) which is controlled, directly or indirectly, individually, collectively or in any combination, by the Company or any of the foregoing entities or (B) in which the Company or any of the foregoing entities has a direct or indirect ownership interest, (x) any other entity (A) which is controlled, directly or indirectly, by The Estate of Dan L. Duncan, Deceased, Dan L. Duncan's descendants or any trusts for any of their respective benefit, individually, collectively or in any combination, or (B) in which any of them has a direct or indirect ownership interest, and (xi) any predecessors, subsidiaries, related entities, officers, directors, shareholders, parent entities, agents, attorneys, employees, successors, or assigns of any of the foregoing.

(b) "Applicable Fiscal Year" means and includes (i) each fiscal year of the Company ending on, or within one year following, the Change of Control and (ii) the last full fiscal year of the Company ending immediately prior to the Change of Control, if the annual bonus for such fiscal year has not been paid to you prior to such Change of Control.

(c) "Cause" means the occurrence of any of the following events:

(i) the commission by you of a material act of willful misconduct, including, but not limited to, the willful violation of any material law, rule, regulation of a governmental entity or cease and desist order applicable to you or any Affiliated Group member (other than a law, rule or regulation relating to a minor traffic violation or a similar offense, as determined by the Committee, in its discretion), or an act which constitutes a breach by you of a fiduciary duty owed to any Affiliated Group member; or

(ii) the commission by you of an act of dishonesty relating to the performance of your duties, your habitual unexcused absences from work, your willful failure to perform your duties in any material respect (other than any such failure resulting from your incapacity due to your physical or mental illness or disability), or your gross negligence in the performance or non-performance of your duties resulting in material damage or injury to any Affiliated Group member, its reputation or goodwill; provided, however, that in the event of your willful failure to perform your duties in any material respect, you shall be provided with written notice of such failure and be provided with a reasonable opportunity after such notice, in no event more than 30 days, to cure such failure to perform your duties; or

(iii) any conviction of you for, or plea by you of other than not guilty to, any misdemeanor involving dishonesty, fraud or breach of trust (other than for a minor traffic violation or a similar offense, as determined by the Committee in its discretion) or any felony, whether or not in the line of duty.

(d) "Change of Control" means the Duncan Interests shall cease, directly or indirectly, to control the General Partner (including for purposes of clarification, and without limitation, by control that may be deemed to exist based on (i) the facts that cause the Duncan Interests' deemed control of the General Partner to exist as of the date of this Award Agreement (which existing control you hereby acknowledge and agree to by acceptance of this grant) or (ii) the Duncan Interests' direct or indirect power to exercise a controlling influence over either the management or policies of the General Partner (as control and power are construed and used under rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC, including any presumptions used thereunder relating to control).

(e) "Distribution Date" means, with respect to a DER, a date on which a cash distribution is paid on a Common Unit and such date occurs while the DER remains credited to you hereunder.

(f) "Distribution Month" means a calendar month in which a Distribution Date occurs.

(g) "Duncan Interests" means, individually, collectively, or in any combination, The Estate of Dan L. Duncan, Deceased, Dan L. Duncan's descendants, the heirs and/or legatees and/or distributees of Dan L. Duncan's estate, and/or trusts (including, without limitation, one or more voting trusts) established for the benefit of Dan L. Duncan's descendants, heirs and/or legatees and/or distributees.

(h) "Good Reason" means any nonconsensual (i) material reduction in your authority, duties or responsibilities as in effect immediately prior to the Change of Control, (ii) reduction of more than 20% in (A) the rate of your annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to the Change of Control or (B) the amount of the annual bonus paid to you after the Change of Control with respect to an Applicable Fiscal Year when compared to the amount of the annual bonus paid to you by the Affiliated Group members (on a collective basis) prior to the Change of Control with respect to the last full fiscal year of the Company ending prior to the Change of Control; provided, however, that if you were employed by the Affiliated Group members, collectively, for less than the full period of such last fiscal year, the bonus paid to you for such partial year shall be annualized for this purpose; provided, further, that if the annual bonus for any Applicable Fiscal Year is not paid to you within 90 days of the end of such Applicable Fiscal Year, then such failure shall be deemed a more than 20% reduction for purposes of determining Good Reason and deemed to have occurred within one year after the Change of Control, or (iii) change in your primary office location of more than 50 miles from its location on the date immediately prior to the Change of Control.

(i) "Qualified Month" means a calendar month during which the Partnership either (a) pays a cash distribution to holders of its Common Units or (b) is required to make adjustments to capital accounts upon the issuance of additional Common Units.

(j) "Qualifying Termination" means:

(i) you cease to be an Employee, Consultant or Director due to (1) your death or (2) your employment being terminated by an Affiliated Group member pursuant to its extended disability leave policy 12 months after you are determined to be disabled under its long-term disability plan; provided that your disability qualifies as a "disability" under Section 409A of the Code and you continue to be so disabled at the end of the extended 12-month disability leave period; or

(ii) you (1) cease to be an Employee, Consultant or Director due to your retirement on or after (A) reaching age 62 and having 10 or more years of credited service as an Employee, Consultant and/or Director, or (B) reaching age 55 and the sum of your age and years of credited service as an Employee, Consultant and/or Director equals or exceeds 85, (2) have given the Company at least six months prior written notice of your retirement date, unless and to the extent such notice period is waived in whole or in part by the Company, in its sole discretion, (3) execute, within the Release Period, the Retirement and Release Agreement in the form required by the Company and such Retirement and Release Agreement becomes effective, and (4) comply, at the time of your retirement, with any applicable retirement policies then in effect; or

(iii) you cease to be an Employee, Consultant or Director due to either (1) the termination of your employment by an Affiliated Group member on or within one year after a Change of Control for any reason other than for Cause or (2) the termination

of your employment by you for Good Reason within 120 days following the date on which you have actual notice of the event that gives rise to your termination for Good Reason, provided that such Good Reason event occurs on or within one year after the Change of Control.

If the Phantom Unit is subject to Section 409A of the Code, the Qualifying Termination must be a "separation from service" for purposes of Section 409A.

(k) "Record Date" means the date of record on which the Partnership determines the holder of a Common Unit for purposes of determining entitlement to receive the cash distribution that has been declared, but not yet paid, with respect to that Common Unit.

(l) "Release Period" means the 21-day or 45-day period, whichever is applicable, to your Required Release, as provided by 29 CFR §162.5.22(e).

(m) "Required Release" means your release of certain claims as provided in the Retirement and Release Agreement, which is required for your retirement to be a Qualifying Termination pursuant to Section 5(j)(i).

6. No Right to Continued Service. Nothing in this Award Agreement or in the Plan shall confer any right on you to continue as an Employee, Director or Consultant. A change in your status between Employee, Director and/or Consultant shall not be a termination of your service for purposes of this Award Agreement.

7. Tax Withholding. Notwithstanding anything in this Award Agreement to the contrary, to the extent that the grant, vesting or settlement of a Phantom Unit results in the receipt of compensation by you with respect to which an Affiliated Group member has a tax withholding obligation pursuant to any applicable law, then the Affiliated Group member shall withhold (or cause to be withheld) from such grant, vesting or settlement such number of Common Units as the Affiliated Group member determines is required to meet its tax withholding obligations under such applicable law unless you have made arrangements in advance to satisfy such tax withholding obligations in cash (a "Cash Withholding Election"); provided, however, that a Cash Withholding Election with respect to a particular tax withholding shall not be available to you if, at the time of such tax withholding, you are subject to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Notwithstanding anything in this Award Agreement to the contrary, to the extent that the grant, vesting or settlement of a DER, or any cash "distribution" payment made with respect to a DER, results in the receipt of compensation by you with respect to which an Affiliated Group member has a tax withholding obligation pursuant to any applicable law, then the Affiliated Group member shall withhold (or cause to be withheld) from such grant, vesting, settlement or "distribution" payment (or any other compensation owed or credited to you) such amount of money as the Affiliated Group member determines is required to meet its tax withholding obligations under such applicable law. Neither the Company nor any Affiliated Group member shall be liable to you for any tax, penalty, interest or other expense you may incur as a result of the Company's or Affiliated Group member's failure to comply with any such applicable law.

8. Non-delivery of Common Units. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Award Agreement to the contrary, no Affiliated Group member shall be obligated to deliver hereunder any Common Units if counsel to the Company, the Partnership or the General Partner determines such delivery would violate (i) any law or regulation of any governmental authority, (ii) any agreement between the Company, the General Partner or the Partnership and any national securities exchange or market upon which the Common Units are listed, or (iii) any policy of any Affiliated Group member.

9. Plan Controls. The Phantom Units and DERs hereby granted are subject to the terms of the Plan, which is hereby incorporated by reference, including, without limitation, the ability of the Committee, in its discretion, to amend this Award Agreement without your consent, as provided in the Plan. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Award Agreement and the Plan, the Plan shall

be the controlling document, with the sole exception that you shall not be considered an “at will” employee where the applicable state law governing your employment with the Affiliated Group member does not recognize the concept of employment “at will”. The Plan, as in effect on the Date of Grant, is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

10. Clawback. Notwithstanding any provision in this Award Agreement or the Plan to the contrary, by accepting this Award you agree that, to the extent required by any applicable law, including, without limitation, the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, SEC rule or applicable securities exchange listing standards (collectively, the “Law”), the Phantom Units and all amounts paid or payable pursuant to or with respect to the Phantom Units, including all Common Units and DER amounts, shall be subject to forfeiture, recovery, recoupment and/or cancellation to the extent necessary to comply with such Law, and you agree to fully cooperate with the Company in complying with such Law. This Section 10 shall survive the expiration or termination of this Award Agreement for such period as is necessary to comply with the Law and effectuate any forfeiture, recovery, recoupment or cancellation.

11. Section 409A. Payments under this Award Agreement are intended to be exempt, to the maximum extent possible, from Section 409A of the Code, and, to the extent subject to Section 409A, to comply with Section 409A. The Company is authorized to construe and administer this Award Agreement in accordance with this intent.

12. Governing Law. This Award Agreement will be construed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware without regard to conflict of law principles.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS COMPANY

By: _____
Senior Vice President, Human Resources

FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
OF
EPD PUBCO UNIT I L.P.

Dated as of
November 3, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE I DEFINITIONS

1.01	Certain Definitions	1
1.02	Other Definitions	6

ARTICLE II ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

2.01	Formation	6
2.02	Name	6
2.03	Registered Office; Registered Agent; Other Offices	6
2.04	Purposes	6
2.05	Certificate; Foreign Qualification	7
2.06	Term	7
2.07	Merger or Consolidation	7

ARTICLE III PARTNERS; DISPOSITIONS OF INTERESTS

3.01	Partners	7
3.02	Representations and Warranties	7
3.03	Restrictions on the Disposition of an Interest	8
3.04	Additional Partners	9
3.05	Interests in a Partner	10
3.06	Spouses of Partners	10
3.07	Vesting of Limited Partners	10
3.08	Services Provided by the Partners	10
3.09	Investment Company Act Matters	10

ARTICLE IV CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS

4.01	Initial and Additional Capital Contributions	11
4.02	Return of Contributions	11
4.03	Advances by General Partner	11
4.04	Capital Accounts	11

ARTICLE V ALLOCATIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

5.01	Allocations	12
5.02	Income Tax Allocations	14
5.03	Distributions of Cashflow from EPD Units	15
5.04	Distributions of Proceeds from Sales of EPD Units	15

5.05	Restrictions on Distributions of EPD Units	16
------	--	----

**ARTICLE VI
MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION**

6.01	Management of Partnership Affairs	16
6.02	Duties and Obligations of General Partner	17
6.03	Release and Indemnification	17
6.04	Power of Attorney	18

**ARTICLE VII
RIGHTS OF OTHER PARTNERS**

7.01	Information	19
7.02	Limitations	19
7.03	Limited Liability	20

**ARTICLE VIII
TAXES**

8.01	Tax Returns	20
8.02	Tax Elections	20
8.03	Tax Matters Partner	20

**ARTICLE IX
BOOKS, RECORDS, REPORTS, AND BANK ACCOUNTS**

9.01	Maintenance of Books	21
9.02	Financial Statements	21
9.03	Bank Accounts	21

**ARTICLE X
WITHDRAWAL, BANKRUPTCY, REMOVAL, ETC.**

10.01	Withdrawal, Bankruptcy, Etc. of General Partner	21
10.02	Conversion of Interest	22

**ARTICLE XI
DISSOLUTION, LIQUIDATION, AND TERMINATION**

11.01	Dissolution	22
11.02	Liquidation and Termination	23
11.03	Cancellation of Certificate	24

**ARTICLE XII
GENERAL PROVISIONS**

12.01	Offset	24
-------	--------	----

12.02	Notices	24
12.03	Entire Agreement; Supersedure	25
12.04	Effect of Waiver or Consent	25
12.05	Amendment or Modification	25
12.06	Binding Effect; Joinder of Additional Parties	25
12.07	Construction	25
12.08	Further Assurances	26
12.09	Indemnification	26
12.10	Waiver of Certain Rights	26
12.11	Counterparts	26
12.12	Dispute Resolution	26
12.13	No Effect on Employment Relationship	29
12.14	Legal Representation	29

**FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
OF
EPD PUBCO UNIT I L.P.**

This First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (this "Agreement") of EPD PubCo Unit I L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Partnership"), is made and entered into effective as of November 3, 2016 by and among the Partners (as defined below).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Partnership's Agreement of Limited Partnership was executed effective as of February 22, 2016 (the "Original Partnership Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the Partners deem it advisable and in the best interests of the Partnership to amend and restate the Original Partnership Agreement in its entirety as set forth herein;

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION OF the mutual covenants, rights, and obligations set forth herein, the benefits to be derived therefrom, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which each Partner acknowledges and confesses, the Partners hereby amend and restate the Original Partnership Agreement in its entirety and agree as follows:

**ARTICLE I
DEFINITIONS**

1.01 Certain Definitions. As used in this Agreement, the following terms have the following respective meanings:

"Act" means the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

"Adjusted Capital Account" means, with respect to any Partner, the balance in such Partner's Capital Account after giving effect to the following adjustments:

- (a) Credit to such Capital Account of any amounts that such Partner is obligated or deemed obligated to contribute pursuant to the penultimate sentences of Sections 1.704-2(g)(1) and 1.704-2(i)(5) of the Regulations; and
- (b) Debit to such Capital Account the items described in Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(5) and 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(6) of the Regulations.

The foregoing definition of Adjusted Capital Account is intended to comply with the provisions of Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d) of the Regulations and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.

“Adjustment Date” means as soon as practicable following the receipt of proceeds by the Partnership from the disposition of EPD Units, but no later than the fifth Business Day following the receipt of any proceeds by the Partnership from the disposition of EPD Units.

“Affiliate” means with respect to any Person any other Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls or is controlled by, or is under common control with, the Person specified. For the purpose of this definition, “control” shall mean the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a Person, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise.

“Agreement” has the meaning given it in the introductory paragraph hereof.

“Assigned EPD Units” means those 2,723,052 EPD Units of which Beneficial Ownership (subject to the retention of the Retained Distribution) was assigned to the Partnership by the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to the Assignment (as such number may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Assignment” means the irrevocable assignment under the Contribution Agreement pursuant to which Beneficial Ownership of the Assigned EPD Units has been assigned by the Class A Limited Partner to the Partnership.

“Bankrupt Partner” means any Partner (whether a General Partner or a Limited Partner) with respect to which an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) or (5) of the Act (or any equivalent successor provision) shall have occurred, subject to the lapsing of any period of time therein specified.

“Beneficial Ownership” means, with respect to the Assigned EPD Units, dominion and control (within the meaning of Treasury Regulation Section 1.704-1(e)(2)) representing all the rights and obligations held by the Class A Limited Partner with respect to the Assigned EPD Units prior to the Assignment as well as such residual rights exercised on behalf of the Assigned EPD Units after the Assignment, subject to the retention of the Retained Distribution.

“Business Day” means any day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or day on which commercial banks in the State of Texas are authorized or required to be closed for business.

“Capital Account” means the account maintained for each Partner pursuant to Section 4.04.

“Capital Contribution” means any contribution by a Partner to the capital of the Partnership.

“Certificate” means the Certificate of Limited Partnership of the Partnership referred to in Section 2.05, as it may be amended or restated from time to time.

“Change of Control” means Duncan shall (i) cease to own, directly or indirectly, at least a majority of the equity interests in the General Partner or the general partner of EPD, or (ii) shall cease to have the ability to elect, directly or indirectly, at least a majority of the directors of the general partner of EPD.

“Class A Capital Base” means:

- (i) the Contributed Unit Fair Market Value multiplied by the number of Assigned EPD Units,
- (ii) decreased on each Adjustment Date by all distributions made to the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to Section 5.04 since the previous Adjustment Date (or in the case of the first Adjustment Date, since the Closing Date).

“Class A Limited Partner” means EPCO Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its successors and assigns.

“Class A Preference Return” means:

(a) as it relates to each regular quarterly distribution with respect to EPD Units, an aggregate dollar amount equal to the product of (i) \$0.39, multiplied by (ii) the total number of EPD Units to which such distribution relates (as such calculation may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units); and

(b) as it relates to any distribution with respect to EPD Units other than as described in clause (a) above, an aggregate dollar amount equal to the product of (i) the aggregate dollar amount of such distribution received by the Partnership, multiplied by (ii) a fraction, the numerator of which shall be \$0.39 and the denominator of which shall be equal to the per unit dollar amount of the most recent quarterly distribution paid with respect to EPD Units (as such calculation may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Class A Preference Return Amount” means the aggregate dollar amount of the unpaid Class A Preference Return.

“Class B Limited Partner” means any Person executing (by power of attorney or otherwise) this Agreement as of the date hereof as a Class B Limited Partner or hereafter admitted to the Partnership as a Class B Limited Partner as herein provided, but shall not include any Person who has ceased to be a Class B Limited Partner in the Partnership.

“Class B Percentage Interest” means with respect to each Class B Limited Partner the quotient (expressed as a percentage) of (i) such Class B Limited Partner’s Sharing Points, divided by (ii) the Sharing Points of all Class B Limited Partners. For purposes of calculating the Class B Percentage Interest, Sharing Points attributable to interests in the Partnership that are forfeited pursuant to Section 3.07 shall be ignored.

“Closing Date” means February 22, 2016, the date on which the Class A Limited Partner contributed Beneficial Ownership of the Assigned EPD Units to the Partnership pursuant to the Contribution Agreement.

“Code” means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

“Contributed Unit Fair Market Value” means \$23.41 (the closing sales price per EPD Unit on the New York Stock Exchange on February 22, 2016) (as such dollar amount may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Contribution Agreement” means that agreement by and between EPCO Holdings, Inc. and EPD PubCo Unit I L.P., dated as of February 22, 2016.

“Disability” means the event whereby a Limited Partner becomes entitled to receive long-term disability benefits under the long-term disability plan of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates.

“Dispose,” “Disposing,” or “Disposition” means a sale, assignment, transfer, exchange, mortgage, pledge, grant of a security interest, or other disposition or encumbrance, or the acts thereof, other than by divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of a Partner’s marriage.

“Duncan” means, collectively, individually or in any combination, the descendants, heirs and/or legatees of Dan L Duncan and/or distributees of Dan L Duncan’s estate, and/or trusts (including voting trusts) established for the benefit of his descendants, such legatees and/or distributees and/or their respective descendants, heirs, legatees and distributees.

“EPCO” means Enterprise Products Company, a Texas corporation.

“EPD” means Enterprise Products Partners L.P., a Delaware limited partnership.

“EPD Units” means (1) the Assigned EPD Units for so long as the Contribution Agreement is effective, and (2) thereafter, the partnership units representing limited partner interests in EPD.

“General Interest Rate” means a varying per annum rate equal at any given time to the lesser of (a) the interest rate publicly quoted by J.P. Morgan Chase from time to time as its prime commercial or similar reference interest rate, and (b) the maximum rate permitted by applicable law.

“General Partner” means EPCO, or any Person hereafter admitted to the Partnership as a general partner as herein provided, but shall not include any Person who has ceased to be a general partner in the Partnership.

“Investment Company Act” means the Investment Company Act of 1940, and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

“Limited Partner” means the Class A Limited Partner or any Class B Limited Partner.

“Net Income” and “Net Loss” mean, respectively, subject to Section 4.04, an amount equal to the Partnership’s taxable income or loss taking the Assignment into account determined in accordance with Code Section 703(a) (for this purpose, all items of income, gain, loss, or deduction required to be stated separately pursuant to Code Section 703(a)(1) shall be included in taxable income or loss), with the following adjustments:

(a) Any income of the Partnership that is exempt from federal income tax and not otherwise taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss pursuant to this definition of Net Income and Net Loss shall be added to such taxable income or loss;

(b) Any expenditures of the Partnership described in Code Section 705(a)(2)(B) or treated as Code Section 705(a)(2)(B) expenditures pursuant to Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(i) of the Regulations, and not otherwise taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss pursuant to this definition of Net Income and Net Loss, shall be subtracted from such taxable income or loss;

(c) In the event the value of any Partnership property is adjusted pursuant to Section 4.04 (i) such adjustment shall be taken into account as gain or loss from the disposition of such Partnership property for purposes of computing Net Income or Net Loss, (ii) if such property is subject to depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization, any further deductions for such depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization attributable to such property shall be determined taking into account such adjustment, and (ii) in determining the amount of any income, gain or loss attributable to the taxable disposition of such property such adjustment (and the related adjustments for depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization) shall be taken into account;

(d) To the extent an adjustment to the adjusted tax basis of any Partnership Property pursuant to Code Section 734(b) is required, pursuant to Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m)(4) of the Regulations, to be taken into account in determining Capital Accounts as a result of a Distribution other than in liquidation of a Partner’s interest in the Partnership, the amount of such adjustment shall be treated as an item of gain (if the adjustment increases the basis of the asset) or loss (if the adjustment decreases such basis) from the disposition of such Partnership Property and shall be taken into account for purposes of computing Net Income or Net Loss; and

(e) Any items that are allocated pursuant to Section 5.01(b) shall not be taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss.

“Partner” means the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner or any Class B Limited Partner.

“Partnership” has the meaning given it in the introductory paragraph.

“Person” has the meaning given it in the Act.

“Qualifying Termination” means the termination of a Class B Limited Partner’s employment with the General Partner and its Affiliates due to (i) death, (ii) receiving long-term

disability benefits under the long-term disability plan of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates or (iii) retirement with the approval of the General Partner (which may be given or withheld in its sole discretion) on or after reaching age 65, provided that such retirement occurs on or after February 22, 2018.

“Regulations” means the regulations promulgated under Section 704 of the Code.

“Required Interest” means one or more Class B Limited Partners having among them more than 50% of the Class B Percentage Interests of all Limited Partners in its or their capacities as such.

“Retained Distribution” has the meaning set forth in the Contribution Agreement.

“Sharing Points” means, with respect to each Class B Limited Partner, the number of Sharing Points granted by the General Partner to such Class B Limited Partner (which number is set forth on the Power of Attorney executed by the Class B Limited Partner and delivered to the General Partner), as the same may be amended from time to time pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

“Vesting Date” means the earliest of (i) the fourth anniversary of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement, (ii) a Change of Control or (iii) dissolution of the Partnership.

1.02 Other Definitions. Other terms defined herein have the meanings so given them.

ARTICLE II

ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

2.01 Formation. The Partnership has been previously formed as a Delaware limited partnership for the purposes hereinafter set forth under and pursuant to the provisions of the Act.

2.02 Name. The name of the Partnership is “EPD PubCo Unit I L.P.” and all Partnership business shall be conducted in such name or such other name or names that comply with applicable law as the General Partner may designate from time to time.

2.03 Registered Office; Registered Agent; Other Offices. The registered office of the Partnership in the State of Delaware shall be at such place as the General Partner may designate from time to time. The registered agent for service of process on the Partnership in the State of Delaware or any other jurisdiction shall be such Person or Persons as the General Partner may designate from time to time. The Partnership may have such other offices as the General Partner may designate from time to time.

2.04 Purposes. The purposes of the Partnership are to acquire, own, sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of EPD Units, and to enter into, make and perform all contracts and other undertakings and to engage in any other business, activity or transaction that now or hereafter may be necessary, incidental, proper, advisable, or convenient, as determined by the General Partner, to accomplish the foregoing purposes.

2.05 Certificate; Foreign Qualification. The General Partner has previously executed and caused to be filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware a Certificate of Limited Partnership, effective as of February 18, 2016, containing information required by the Act and such other information as the General Partner deemed appropriate. Prior to conducting business in any jurisdiction other than Delaware, the General Partner shall cause the Partnership to comply, to the extent such matters are reasonably within the control of the General Partner, with all requirements necessary to qualify the Partnership as a foreign limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in such jurisdiction. Upon the request of the General Partner, each Partner shall execute, acknowledge, swear to, and deliver all certificates and other instruments conforming with this Agreement that are necessary or appropriate as determined by the General Partner to qualify, continue, and terminate the Partnership as a limited partnership under the laws of the State of Delaware and to qualify, continue, and terminate the Partnership as a foreign limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in all other jurisdictions in which the Partnership may conduct business, and to this end the General Partner may use the power of attorney described in Section 6.04.

2.06 Term. The term of this Partnership shall continue in existence until the close of Partnership business on the earliest to occur of (i) the fiftieth anniversary of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement, and (ii) such earlier time as this Agreement may specify.

2.07 Merger or Consolidation. The Partnership may merge or consolidate with or into another business entity, or enter into an agreement to do so, with the consent of the General Partner and a Required Interest.

ARTICLE III **PARTNERS; DISPOSITIONS OF INTERESTS**

3.01 Partners. The General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and the Class B Limited Partners of the Partnership are the Persons executing (by power of attorney or otherwise) this Agreement as of the date hereof as the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and the Class B Limited Partners, respectively, each of which was admitted to the Partnership as the General Partner, Class A Limited Partner or a Class B Limited Partner, as the case may be, effective as of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement.

3.02 Representations and Warranties. Each Partner hereby represents and warrants to the Partnership and each other Partner that (a) if such Partner is a corporation, it is duly organized, validly existing, and in good standing under the laws of the jurisdiction of its incorporation and is duly qualified and in good standing as a foreign corporation in the jurisdiction of its principal place of business (if not incorporated therein), (b) if such Partner is a trust, estate or other entity, it is duly formed, validly existing, and (if applicable) in good standing under the laws of the jurisdiction of its formation, and if required by law is duly qualified to do business and (if applicable) in good standing in the jurisdiction of its principal place of business (if not formed therein), (c) such Partner has full corporate, trust, or other applicable right, power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder and all necessary actions by the board of directors, trustees, beneficiaries, or other Persons necessary for the due authorization, execution, delivery, and performance of this

Agreement by such Partner have been duly taken, and such authorization, execution, delivery, and performance do not conflict with any other agreement or arrangement to which such Partner is a party or by which it is bound, and (d) such Partner is acquiring its interest in the Partnership for investment purposes and not with a view to distribution thereof.

3.03 Restrictions on the Disposition of an Interest. (a) No Class B Limited Partner may Dispose of all or part of its interest in the Partnership without the prior written consent (which may be given or withheld in its sole discretion) of the General Partner, and then only after Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e) have been complied with, except that a Class B Limited Partner may Dispose of all of its interest upon the death of such Class B Limited Partner or upon becoming a Bankrupt Partner, but in each case only after compliance with Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e). Neither the General Partner nor the Class A Limited Partner may Dispose of all or a part of its interest in the Partnership to a Person who is not an Affiliate of Duncan without the prior written consent of a Required Interest, and then only after Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e) have been complied with.

(b) Subject to the provisions of Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e), a permitted transferee of all or a part of a Partner's interest in the Partnership shall be admitted to the Partnership as a General Partner or a Limited Partner (as applicable) with, in the case of Class B Limited Partners, such Sharing Points (no greater than the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition immediately prior thereto) as the Partner effecting such Disposition and such permitted transferee may agree.

(c) The Partnership shall not recognize for any purpose any purported Disposition of an interest in the Partnership or distributions therefrom unless and until the provisions of this Section 3.03 shall have been satisfied and there shall have been delivered to the General Partner a document (i) executed by both the Partner effecting such Disposition and the Person to which such interest or interest in distributions are to be Disposed, (ii) including the written acceptance by any Person to be admitted to the Partnership of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, such Person's notice address, and an agreement by such Person to perform and discharge timely all of the obligations and liabilities in respect of the interest being obtained, (iii) setting forth, in the case of the Class B Limited Partners, the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition and the Person to which such interest is Disposed after such Disposition (which together shall total the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition prior thereto), (iv) containing a representation and warranty that such Disposition complied with all applicable laws and regulations (including securities laws) and a representation and warranty by such Person that the representations and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such Person. Each such Disposition and, if applicable, admission shall be effective as of the first day of the calendar month immediately succeeding the month in which the General Partner shall receive such notification of Disposition and the other requirements of this Section 3.03 shall have been met unless the General Partner and the Partner affecting such Disposition agree to a different effective date; *provided, however*, that if there shall be only one General Partner and such Disposition or admission and, as a result of such Disposition such General Partner would cease to be a General Partner, such permitted transferee shall be deemed admitted as a General Partner immediately prior to such cessation.

(d) Notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, the right of any Partner to Dispose of an interest in the Partnership or distributions therefrom or of any Person to be admitted to the Partnership in connection therewith shall not exist or be exercised (i) unless and until the Partnership shall have received a favorable opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel or of other legal counsel acceptable to the General Partner to the effect that such Disposition or admission is not required to be registered under the Securities Act of 1933 or any other applicable securities laws, and such Disposition or admission would not cause the Partnership to become an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, and (ii) unless such Disposition or admission would not result in the Partnership being treated as an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or as a publicly traded partnership as defined in Section 7704 of the Code. The General Partner, however, may waive the requirements of Section 3.03(d)(i).

(e) All costs (including, without limitation, the legal fees incurred in connection with the obtaining of the legal opinions referred to in Section 3.03(d)) incurred by the Partnership in connection with any Disposition or admission of a Person to the Partnership pursuant to this Section 3.03 shall be borne and paid by the Partner effecting such Disposition within 10 days after the receipt by such Person of the Partnership's invoice for the amount due.

(f) In the event of a Disposition of an interest in the Partnership pursuant to the death of a Limited Partner that would, in the opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel, result in the Partnership becoming an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, the General Partner shall have the right to purchase such interest from the estate (or beneficiaries) of such deceased Partner for a price equal to the amount that the deceased Partner's estate (or beneficiaries) would receive if all of the EPD Units held by the Partnership were sold at a price equal to the closing sale price per EPD Unit as reported by the New York Stock Exchange (or such other applicable trading market) on the day prior to the exercise of such right by the General Partner and the proceeds from such sale were distributed to the Partners in accordance with the provisions of Section 5.04. The determination by the General Partner of the foregoing purchase price of such deceased Partner's interest in the Partnership shall be conclusive and binding on the deceased Partner's estate and beneficiaries.

(g) Any attempted Disposition by a Person of an interest or right, or any part thereof, in or in respect of the Partnership other than in accordance with this Section 3.03 shall be, and is hereby declared, null and void ab initio.

3.04 Additional Partners. Subject to the provisions of Section 12.05 and 3.03, additional Persons may be admitted to the Partnership as General Partners or Limited Partners, only to the extent that, and on such terms and conditions as, the General Partner shall consent at the time of such admission or issuance. Such admission or issuance shall, in the case of a Class B Limited Partners, specify the Sharing Points applicable thereto. Any such admission must comply with the provisions of Section 3.03(d), and shall not be effective until such new Partner shall have executed and delivered to the General Partner a document including such new Partner's notice address, acceptance of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, an agreement to perform and discharge timely all of its obligations and liabilities hereunder, and a representation and warranty that the representations and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such new Partner.

3.05 Interests in a Partner. No Partner that is not a natural person shall cause or permit an interest, direct or indirect, in itself to be Disposed of such that, on account of such Disposition, the Partnership would become an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes.

3.06 Spouses of Partners. A spouse of a Partner does not become a Partner as a result of such marital relationship or by reason of a divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of marriage. If, in the event of a divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of marriage of a Partner, a former spouse of a Partner is awarded ownership of, or an interest in, all or part of a Partner's interest in the Partnership (the "Awarded Interest"), the Awarded Interest shall automatically and immediately be forfeited and cancelled without payment on such date.

3.07 Vesting of Limited Partners. One hundred percent (100%) of each Class B Limited Partner's interest in the Partnership shall vest on the Vesting Date, but only if (i) on such date such Class B Limited Partner continues to be an active, full-time employee of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates or (ii) prior to the Vesting Date a Qualifying Termination has occurred with respect to such Class B Limited Partner. At such time as a Class B Limited Partner ceases, for any reason other than a Qualifying Termination, to be an active, full-time employee of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates prior to the Vesting Date (as determined by the General Partner in its sole discretion, without regard as to how his status is treated by the General Partner or any of its Affiliates for any of its other compensation or benefit plans or programs), his unvested interest in the Partnership shall be forfeited. The Capital Account attributable to any Class B Limited Partner's interest in the Partnership that is forfeited pursuant to Section 3.06, this Section 3.07 or otherwise hereunder shall be allocated to the remaining Class B Limited Partners in accordance with their respective Class B Percentage Interests.

3.08 Services Provided by the Partners. The interests in the Partnership held by the Partners are for the benefit of certain employees in connection with services rendered or to be rendered by the Partners. EPCO shall be an express third party beneficiary of the services provided by the Partners.

3.09 Investment Company Act Matters. The Partnership is intended to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Investment Company Act. In addition to the rights, powers and authority of the General Partner set forth in Section 3.03, if any event would, in the opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel, result in the Partnership becoming an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, then (notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary) the General Partner shall have the right, power and authority (exercisable in its sole discretion) to take any and all actions (on behalf of itself and/or the Partnership) as the General Partner may deem necessary, appropriate or advisable to avoid such registration requirement and/or to reduce or mitigate the effects thereof, including without limitation taking unilateral action (without the consent of any Limited Partner) to (i) amend this Agreement in accordance with Section 12.05 and/or (ii) cause the Partnership to be dissolved in accordance with Section 11.01.

ARTICLE IV
CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS

4.01 Initial and Additional Capital Contributions. In connection with the formation of the Partnership, the General Partner contributed \$1,000 to the Partnership and on the Closing Date, the Class A Limited Partner contributed to the Partnership the Assigned EPD Units. No Class B Limited Partner is obligated to make a contribution to the Partnership. Subject to the provisions of applicable law or except as otherwise provided for herein, no Partner shall be liable for or obligated to make an additional Capital Contribution to the Partnership, whether for the purpose of enabling the Partnership to meet its obligations under Section 6.03 or for any other purpose. The initial Capital Account of the General Partner is \$1,000, the initial Capital Account of the Class A Limited Partner as of the Closing Date is equal to the Class A Capital Base on the Closing Date, and the initial Capital Account of each Class B Limited Partner is zero.

4.02 Return of Contributions. No Partner shall be entitled to the return of any part of its Capital Contributions or to be paid interest in respect of either its Capital Account or any Capital Contribution made by it. No unrepaid Capital Contribution shall be deemed or considered to be a liability of the Partnership or of any Partner. No Partner shall be required to contribute, advance or lend any cash or property to the Partnership to enable the Partnership to return any Partner's Capital Contributions to the Partnership. To the extent, however, any Partner (by mistake, overpayment or otherwise) advances funds to the Partnership in excess of the Capital Contributions called for under Section 4.01, such excess amounts shall not be Capital Contributions and (other than advances made by the General Partner pursuant to Section 4.03 below) shall be promptly returned by the Partnership to the Partner so advancing such funds.

4.03 Advances by General Partner. At any time that the Partnership shall not have sufficient cash to pay its obligations, the General Partner may, but shall not be obligated to, advance such funds for or on behalf of the Partnership. Each such advance shall constitute a loan from the General Partner to the Partnership and shall bear interest from the date of the advance until the date of repayment at the General Interest Rate. Any advances made by the General Partner pursuant to this Section 4.03 shall not be considered to be Capital Contributions. All advances shall be repaid out of the next available funds of the Partnership, including Capital Contributions received.

4.04 Capital Accounts. A Capital Account shall be established and maintained for each Partner. Each Partner's Capital Account (a) shall be increased by (i) the amount of money contributed by that Partner to the Partnership, (ii) the fair market value of property, if any, contributed by that Partner to the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by such contributed property that the Partnership is considered to assume or take subject to under Section 752 of the Code), and (iii) allocations to that Partner of Partnership income and gain (or items thereof), including income and gain exempt from tax and income and gain described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g), but excluding income and gain described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i), and (b) shall be decreased by (i) the amount of money distributed to that Partner by the Partnership, (ii) the fair market value of property distributed to that Partner by the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by such distributed property that such Partner is considered to assume or take subject to under Section 752 of the Code), (iii) allocations to that Partner of expenditures of the Partnership described in Section 705(a)(2)(B) of the Code, and (iv)

allocations of Partnership loss and deduction (or items thereof), including loss and deduction described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g), but excluding items described in clause (b)(iii) above and loss or deduction described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i). The Partners' Capital Accounts also shall be maintained and adjusted as permitted by the provisions of Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(f) and as required by the other provisions of Regulation Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv) and 1.704-1(b)(4), including adjustments to reflect the allocations to the Partners of depreciation, amortization, and gain or loss as computed for book purposes rather than the allocation of the corresponding items as computed for tax purposes, as required by Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g). A Partner that has more than one interest in the Partnership shall have a single Capital Account that reflects all such interests, regardless of the class of interests owned by such Partner and regardless of the time or manner in which such interests were acquired; *provided*, that Partners that are Affiliates but nevertheless separate legal entities shall have separate Capital Accounts. Upon the transfer of all or part of an interest in the Partnership, the Capital Account of the transferor that is attributable to the transferred interest in the Partnership shall carry over to the transferee Partner in accordance with the provisions of Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(l).

ARTICLE V
ALLOCATIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

5.01 Allocations.

(a) Net Income and Net Loss. For purposes of maintaining the Capital Accounts, Net Income or Net Loss (and all items included in the computation thereof) shall be allocated among the Partners as follows:

(i) Net Income:

(A) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Limited Partner's Adjusted Capital Account equals the Class A Capital Base; and

(B) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

(ii) Net Loss:

(A) First, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests until the Adjusted Capital Accounts of the Class B Limited Partners are reduced to zero; and

(B) Thereafter, to the Class A Limited Partner.

(b) Special Allocations. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 5.01, the following special allocations shall be made for such taxable period:

(i) Partnership Minimum Gain Chargeback. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 5.01, if there is a net decrease in Partnership Minimum Gain during any Partnership taxable period, each Partner shall be allocated items of

Partnership income and gain for such period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods) in the manner and amounts provided in Regulation Sections 1.704-2(f)(6), 1.704-2(g)(2) and 1.704-2(j)(2)(i), or any successor provision. For purposes of this Section 5.01(b), each Partner's Adjusted Capital Account balance shall be determined, and the allocation of income or gain required hereunder shall be effected, prior to the application of any other allocations pursuant to this Section 5.01(b) with respect to such taxable period (other than an allocation pursuant to Sections 5.01(b)(vi) and 5.01(b)(vii)). This Section 5.01(b)(i) is intended to comply with the Partnership Minimum Gain chargeback requirement in Regulation Section 1.704-2(f) and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.

- (ii) Chargeback of Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain. Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Section 5.01 (other than Section 5.01(b)(i)), except as provided in Regulation Section 1.704-2(i)(4), if there is a net decrease in Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain during any Partnership taxable period, any Partner with a share of Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain at the beginning of such taxable period shall be allocated items of Partnership income and gain for such period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods) in the manner and amounts provided in Regulation Sections 1.704-2(i)(4) and 1.704-2(j)(2)(ii), or any successor provisions. For purposes of this Section 5.01(b), each Partner's Adjusted Capital Account balance shall be determined, and the allocation of income or gain required hereunder shall be effected, prior to the application of any other allocations pursuant to this Section 5.01(b), other than Section 5.01(b)(i) and other than an allocation pursuant to Sections 5.01(b)(vi) and 5.01(b)(vii), with respect to such taxable period. This Section 5.01(b)(ii) is intended to comply with the chargeback of items of income and gain requirement in Regulation Section 1.704-2(i)(4) and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.
- (iii) Qualified Income Offset. In the event any Partner unexpectedly receives any adjustments, allocations or distributions described in Regulation Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(5), or 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(6), items of Partnership income and gain shall be specially allocated to such Partner in an amount and manner sufficient to eliminate, to the extent required by the Regulations, the deficit balance, if any, in its Adjusted Capital Account created by such adjustments, allocations or distributions as quickly as possible unless such deficit balance is otherwise eliminated pursuant to Section 5.01(b)(i) or (ii).
- (iv) Gross Income Allocations. In the event any Partner has a deficit balance in its Capital Account at the end of any Partnership taxable period in excess of the sum of (A) the amount such Partner is required to restore pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement and (B) the amount such Partner is deemed obligated to restore pursuant to Regulation Sections 1.704-2(g) and 1.704-2(i)(5), such Partner shall be specially allocated items of Partnership gross income and gain in the amount of such excess as quickly as possible; *provided*, that an allocation pursuant to this Section 5.01(b)(iv) shall be made only if and to the extent that such Partner would have a deficit balance in its Capital Account as adjusted after all other allocations provided for in this Section 5.01 have been tentatively made as if this Section 5.01(b)(iv) were not in this Agreement.

- (v) Nonrecourse Deductions. Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable period shall be allocated to the Partners in accordance with their respective Percentage Interests. If the General Partner determines that the Partnership's Nonrecourse Deductions should be allocated in a different ratio to satisfy the safe harbor requirements of the Regulations promulgated under Section 704(b) of the Code, the General Partner is authorized, upon notice to the other Partners, to revise the prescribed ratio to the numerically closest ratio that does satisfy such requirements.
- (vi) Partner Nonrecourse Deductions. Partner Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable period shall be allocated 100% to the Partner that bears the Economic Risk of Loss with respect to the Partner Nonrecourse Debt to which such Partner Nonrecourse Deductions are attributable in accordance with Regulation Section 1.704-2(i). If more than one Partner bears the Economic Risk of Loss with respect to a Partner Nonrecourse Debt, such Partner Nonrecourse Deductions attributable thereto shall be allocated between or among such Partners in accordance with the ratios in which they share such Economic Risk of Loss.
- (vii) Nonrecourse Liabilities. For purposes of Regulation Section 1.752-3(a)(3), the Partners agree that Nonrecourse Liabilities of the Partnership in excess of the sum of (A) the amount of Partnership Minimum Gain and (B) the total amount of Nonrecourse Built-in Gain shall be allocated among the Partners in accordance with their respective Percentage Interests.
- (viii) Code Section 754 Adjustments. To the extent an adjustment to the adjusted tax basis of any Partnership asset pursuant to Section 734(b) or 743(b) of the Code is required, pursuant to Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m), to be taken into account in determining Capital Accounts, the amount of such adjustment to the Capital Accounts shall be treated as an item of gain (if the adjustment increases the basis of the asset) or loss (if the adjustment decreases such basis), and such item of gain or loss shall be specially allocated to the Partners in a manner consistent with the manner in which their Capital Accounts are required to be adjusted pursuant to such Section of the Regulations.

(c) Allocations Caused by Transfer of Interest. All items of income, gain, loss, deduction, and credit allocable to any interest in the Partnership that may have been transferred shall be allocated between the transferor and the transferee based upon that portion of the calendar year during which each was recognized as owning such interest, without regard to the results of Partnership operations during any particular portion of such calendar year and without regard to distributions made to the transferor and the transferee during such calendar year; *provided, however*, that such allocation shall be made in accordance with a method permissible under Section 706 of the Code and the regulations thereunder.

5.02 Income Tax Allocations.

(a) Except as provided in this Section 5.02, each item of income, gain, loss and deduction of the Partnership for federal income tax purposes shall be allocated among the

Partners in the same manner as such items are allocated for purposes of maintaining Capital Account under Section 5.01.

(b) For federal and state income tax purposes, income, gain, loss, and deduction with respect to property contributed to the Partnership by a Partner or revalued pursuant to Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(f) shall be allocated among the Partners in a manner that takes into account the variation between the adjusted tax basis of such property and its book value, as required by Section 704(c) of the Code and Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i), using any allocation method permitted by Regulation Section 1.704-3.

(c) The Partnership will follow the proposed Treasury Regulations that were issued on May 24, 2005, regarding the issuance of partnership equity for services (including Prop. Treas. Reg. Sections 1.83-3, 1.83-6, 1.704-1, 1.706-3, 1.721-1 and 1.761-1), as such regulations may be subsequently amended, upon the issuance of equity membership interests or options issued for services rendered or to be rendered, until final Treasury Regulations regarding these matters are issued. In furtherance of the foregoing, the definition of Capital Account and the allocations of Net Income and Net Loss of the Partnership shall be made in a manner that is consistent with the proposed Treasury Regulations and the proposed Revenue Procedure described in IRS Notice 2005-43, or provisions similar thereto, are adopted as final (or temporary) rules (the “New Rules”), and the General Partner is authorized to make such amendments to this Agreement (including provision for any safe harbor election authorized by the New Rules) as the General Partner may determine to be necessary or advisable.

5.03 Distributions of Cash Flow from EPD Units. Promptly following the receipt of any distributions of cash flow with respect to EPD Units (other than any Retained Distribution), the General Partner shall cause to be distributed to the Partners such receipts (and any income from the temporary investment thereof) in the manner set forth below, *provided*, that the General Partner may withhold and not distribute such portion of any such receipts that the General Partner has determined in its sole but good faith discretion should be withheld to pay expenses of the Partnership. Distribution to the Partners pursuant to this Section 5.03 shall be made as follows:

(a) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Limited Partner’s Class A Preference Return Amount has been reduced to zero; and

(b) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

In the event any Retained Distribution is received by the Partnership, the Partners acknowledge that such Retained Distribution is the property of the Class A Limited Partner, and the Partnership shall promptly pay any such Retained Distribution over to the Class A Limited Partner. Any payment of a Retained Distribution to the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to this paragraph shall not be treated as a “distribution” for purposes of this Agreement.

5.04 Distributions of Proceeds from Sales of EPD Units. Promptly following the receipt of any proceeds from the sale of any EPD Units by the Partnership, the General Partner shall cause to be distributed to the Partners such receipts in the manner set forth below, *provided*

that the General Partner may withhold and not distribute such portion of any such receipts that the General Partner has determined in its sole but good faith discretion should be withheld to pay expenses of the Partnership. Distribution to the Partners pursuant to this Section 5.04 shall be made as follows:

- (a) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Capital Base is reduced to zero; and
- (b) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

5.05 Restrictions on Distributions of EPD Units. The Partners and the Partnership hereby agree that they shall not cause the Partnership to offer for sale, sell, pledge or otherwise transfer, distribute or dispose of the EPD Units held by the Partnership prior to the Vesting Date, other than as approved by the General Partner (in its sole discretion) in connection with a Change of Control.

ARTICLE VI MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION

6.01 Management of Partnership Affairs. Except for situations in which the approval of the Limited Partners is expressly required by this Agreement or by non-waivable provisions of applicable law, the General Partner shall have full, complete, and exclusive authority to manage and control the business, affairs, and properties of the Partnership, to make all decisions regarding the same, and to perform any and all other acts or activities customary or incident to the management of the Partnership's business. The General Partner shall receive no compensation for its services as such. Subject to the other express provisions hereof, the General Partner shall make or take all decisions and actions for the Partnership not otherwise provided for herein, including, without limitation, the following:

- (a) acquiring, holding, managing, selling, Disposing of, and otherwise dealing with and investing in (i) the Partnership's EPD Units, or (ii) temporary investments of Partnership capital in U.S. government securities, certificates of deposit with maturities of less than one year, commercial paper (rated or unrated), and other highly liquid securities;
- (b) entering into, making, and performing all contracts, agreements, and other undertakings binding the Partnership, as may be necessary, appropriate, or advisable in furtherance of the purposes of the Partnership and making all decisions and waivers thereunder;
- (c) opening and maintaining bank and investment accounts and drawing checks and other orders for the payment of monies;
- (d) maintaining the assets of the Partnership in compliance with applicable securities laws and protecting and preserving the Partnership's title thereto;
- (e) collecting all sums due the Partnership;

(f) to the extent that funds of the Partnership are available therefor, paying as they become due all debts and obligations of the Partnership;

(g) causing securities owned by the Partnership to be registered in the Partnership's name or in the name of a nominee or to be held in street name, as the General Partner may elect;

(h) selecting, removing, and changing the authority and responsibility of lawyers, accountants, brokers, and other advisors and consultants;

(i) obtaining insurance for the Partnership to the extent the General Partner deems appropriate; and

(j) determining distributions of Partnership cash as provided in Sections 5.03 and 5.04.

6.02 Duties and Obligations of General Partner. The General Partner shall endeavor to conduct the affairs of the Partnership in the best interests of the Partnership and the mutual best interests of the Partners, including, without limitation, the safekeeping and use of all Partnership funds and assets and the use thereof for the benefit of the Partnership. The General Partner at all times shall act in good faith in all activities relating to the conduct of the business of the Partnership. The General Partner shall devote such time as it deems necessary to conduct the business and affairs of the Partnership in an appropriate manner.

6.03 Release and Indemnification. **TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, THE PARTNERSHIP AND EACH OTHER PARTNER ON BEHALF OF ITSELF AND ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS HEREBY RELEASES, ACQUITS, AND FOREVER DISCHARGES THE GENERAL PARTNER AND THE CLASS A LIMITED PARTNER, THEIR PARTNERS OR SHAREHOLDERS, AND THEIR DIRECTORS, OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES, PARTNERS, REPRESENTATIVES, AND AGENTS AND EACH OTHER PERSON, IF ANY, CONTROLLING OR EMPLOYING SUCH PERSONS OR ENTITIES (COLLECTIVELY, THE "INDEMNITEES") FROM ALL CLAIMS, DEMANDS, OR CAUSES OF ACTION OF ANY CHARACTER THAT SUCH PARTY MAY HAVE, WHETHER KNOWN OR UNKNOWN, AGAINST ANY INDEMNITEE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PARTNERSHIP AND/OR THE BUSINESS CONDUCTED BY THE PARTNERSHIP; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT SUCH RELEASE SHALL NOT APPLY TO ACTIONS CONSTITUTING WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OR BAD FAITH. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS EACH INDEMNITEE FROM AND AGAINST ALL LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES, EXPENSES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, COSTS OF SUIT AND ATTORNEYS' FEES) SUCH INDEMNITEE MAY INCUR IN CONNECTION WITH THE GENERAL PARTNER'S PERFORMING ITS OBLIGATIONS HEREUNDER (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES AND EXPENSES ARISING FROM, OR ALLEGED TO ARISE FROM, THE INDEMNITEE'S ACTIVE OR PASSIVE, SOLE OR CONCURRENT, NEGLIGENCE OR GROSS NEGLIGENCE), AND THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL ADVANCE EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE DEFENSE**

OF ANY ACTION RELATED THERETO; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT SUCH INDEMNITY SHALL NOT APPLY TO ACTIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN FINALLY, WITHOUT FURTHER RIGHT TO APPEAL, JUDICIALLY DETERMINED TO CONSTITUTE WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OR BAD FAITH. IF THE INDEMNIFICATION PROVIDED FOR ABOVE IS NOT PERMITTED OR ENFORCEABLE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW OR IS OTHERWISE UNAVAILABLE OR INSUFFICIENT TO HOLD HARMLESS THE INDEMNITEES AS CONTEMPLATED ABOVE, THEN THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL CONTRIBUTE TO THE AMOUNT PAID OR PAYABLE BY THE INDEMNITEES AS A RESULT OF SUCH LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES AND EXPENSES REFERRED TO ABOVE IN SUCH PROPORTION AS IS APPROPRIATE TO REFLECT THE RELATIVE BENEFITS CONTEMPLATED TO BE RECEIVED BY THE PARTNERSHIP AND THE INDEMNITEES, RESPECTIVELY, FROM THE ACTIONS GIVING RISE TO SUCH LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES OR EXPENSES.

6.04 Power of Attorney.

(a) Each Limited Partner hereby constitutes and appoints the General Partner and, if a liquidator (other than the General Partner) shall have been selected pursuant to Section 11.02, the liquidator, severally (and any successor to either thereof by merger, transfer, assignment, election or otherwise) and each of their authorized officers and attorneys-in-fact, as the case may be, with full power of substitution, as his true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact, with full power and authority in his name, place and stead, to:

- (i) execute, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file and record in the appropriate public offices (A) all certificates, documents and other instruments (including this Agreement and the Certificate of Limited Partnership and all amendments or restatements hereof or thereof) that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to form, qualify or continue the existence or qualification of the Partnership as a limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in the State of Delaware and in all other jurisdictions in which the Partnership may conduct business or own property; (B) all certificates, documents and other instruments that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to reflect, in accordance with its terms, any amendment, change, modification or restatement of this Agreement; (C) all certificates, documents and other instruments (including conveyances and a certificate of cancellation) that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to reflect the dissolution and liquidation of the Partnership pursuant to the terms of this Agreement; and (D) all certificates, documents and other instruments relating to the admission, withdrawal, removal or substitution of any Partner; and
- (ii) execute, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file and record all ballots, consents, approvals, waivers, certificates, documents and other instruments necessary or appropriate, in the discretion of the General Partner or the liquidator, to make, evidence, give, confirm or ratify any vote, consent, approval, agreement or other action that is made or given by the Partners hereunder or is consistent with the terms of this Agreement or is

necessary or appropriate, in the discretion of the General Partner or the liquidator, to effectuate the terms or intent of this Agreement; *provided*, that when required by any provision of this Agreement that establishes a percentage of the Limited Partners required to take any action, the General Partner and the liquidator may exercise the power of attorney made in this Section 6.04 only after the necessary vote, consent or approval of the Limited Partners.

This Section 6.04 shall be construed as authorizing the General Partner to amend this Agreement in any manner subject to any provision of this Agreement that establishes a percentage of the Limited Partners required to take any action.

(b) The foregoing power of attorney is hereby declared to be irrevocable and a power coupled with an interest, and it shall survive and, to the maximum extent permitted by law, not be affected by the subsequent death, incompetency, disability, incapacity, dissolution, bankruptcy or termination of any Limited Partner and the transfer of all or any portion of such Limited Partner's Percentage Interest and shall extend to such Limited Partner's heirs, successors, assigns and personal representatives. Each such Limited Partner hereby agrees to be bound by any representation made by the General Partner or the liquidator acting in good faith pursuant to such power of attorney; and each such Limited Partner, to the maximum extent permitted by law, hereby waives any and all defenses that may be available to contest, negate or disaffirm the action of the General Partner or the liquidator taken in good faith under such power of attorney. Each Limited Partner shall execute and deliver to the General Partner or the liquidator, within 15 days after receipt of the request therefor, such further designation, powers of attorney and other instruments as the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary to effectuate this Agreement and the purposes of the Partnership.

ARTICLE VII

RIGHTS OF OTHER PARTNERS

7.01 Information. In addition to the other rights specifically set forth herein, each Partner shall have access to all information to which such Partner is entitled to have access pursuant to Section 17-305 of the Act under the circumstances and subject to the conditions therein stated. Without limiting the provisions of Section 17-305(b) of the Act, the Partners agree that if the General Partner from time to time enters into on behalf of the Partnership or the General Partner contractual obligations regarding the confidentiality of information received with respect to the Partnership's business or assets, it shall not be reasonable for any other Partner or assignee or representative thereof to examine or copy such information unless such Partner agrees to comply with the terms of such contractual obligations including without limitation executing a counterpart of any applicable confidentiality agreements.

7.02 Limitations. No Limited Partner shall have the authority or power in its capacity as such to act for or on behalf of the Partnership or any other Partner, to do any act that would be binding on the Partnership or any other Partner, or to incur any expenditures on behalf of or with respect to the Partnership. No Limited Partner shall have the right or power to withdraw from the Partnership.

7.03 Limited Liability. No Limited Partner shall be liable for the losses, debts, liabilities, contracts, or other obligations of the Partnership except to the extent required by law or otherwise set forth herein.

ARTICLE VIII

TAXES

8.01 Tax Returns. The General Partner shall cause to be prepared and filed all necessary federal and state income tax returns for the Partnership, including making the elections described in Section 8.02. Each Partner shall furnish to the General Partner all pertinent information in its possession relating to Partnership operations that is necessary to enable such income tax returns to be prepared and filed.

8.02 Tax Elections. The following elections shall be made on the appropriate returns of the Partnership:

- (a) to adopt the calendar year as the Partnership's fiscal year;
- (b) unless the accrual method is required under the applicable sections of the Code, to adopt the cash method of accounting and to keep the Partnership's books and records on the income-tax method;
- (c) if there shall be a distribution of Partnership property as described in Section 734 of the Code or if there shall be a transfer of a Partnership interest as described in Section 743 of the Code, upon written request of any Partner, to elect, pursuant to Section 754 of the Code, to adjust the basis of Partnership properties;
- (d) to elect to amortize the organizational expenses of the Partnership ratably over a period of 60 months as permitted by Section 709(b) of the Code; and
- (e) any other election the General Partner may deem appropriate and in the best interests of the Partners.

No election shall be made by the Partnership or any Partner to be treated as an association taxable as a corporation or to be excluded from the application of the provisions of Subchapter K of Chapter 1 of Subtitle A of the Code or any similar provisions of applicable state laws.

8.03 Tax Matters Partner. The General Partner shall be the "tax matters partner" of the Partnership pursuant to Section 6231(a)(7) of the Code. The General Partner shall take such action as may be necessary to cause each other Partner to become a "notice partner" within the meaning of Section 6223 of the Code. The General Partner shall inform each other Partner of all significant matters that may come to its attention in its capacity as tax matters partner by giving notice thereof within ten Business Days after becoming aware thereof and, within such time, shall forward to each other Partner copies of all significant written communications it may receive in such capacity. The General Partner shall not take any action contemplated by Sections 6222 through 6232 of the Code without the consent of a Required Interest. This provision is not intended to authorize the General Partner to take any action left to the determination of an individual Partner under Sections 6222 through 6232 of the Code.

ARTICLE IX
BOOKS, RECORDS, REPORTS, AND BANK ACCOUNTS

9.01 Maintenance of Books. The books of account for the Partnership shall be maintained on a cash basis in accordance with the terms of this Agreement except that the Capital Accounts of the Partners shall be maintained in accordance with Section 4.04. The calendar year shall be the accounting year of the Partnership.

9.02 Financial Statements. Within 120 days after the end of each fiscal year during the term of the Partnership, the General Partner shall cause each other Partner to be furnished with an unaudited balance sheet, an income statement, and a statement of changes in Partners' capital of the Partnership for, or as of the end of, such period. All financial statements shall be prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally employed for cash-basis records consistently applied (except as therein noted).

9.03 Bank Accounts. The General Partner shall establish and maintain one or more separate accounts for Partnership funds in the Partnership name at such financial institutions as it may designate. The General Partner may not commingle the Partnership's funds with other funds of any Partner.

ARTICLE X
WITHDRAWAL, BANKRUPTCY, REMOVAL, ETC.

10.01 Withdrawal, Bankruptcy, Etc. of General Partner.

(a) The General Partner covenants and agrees that it will not withdraw from the Partnership as the general partner within the meaning of Section 17-602 of the Act. If the General Partner shall so withdraw from the Partnership in violation of such covenant and agreement, such withdrawal shall be effective only upon 90 days' prior notice to all other Partners.

(b) The General Partner shall not cease to be a general partner on the occurrence of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act, but shall cease to be a general partner 90 days thereafter. The General Partner shall notify each other Partner that an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act has occurred (without regard to the lapse of any time periods therein) with respect to it within five Business Days after such occurrence.

(c) Following any notice pursuant to Section 10.01(a) that the General Partner shall be withdrawing, or following the occurrence of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act with respect to the General Partner (without regard to the lapse of any time periods therein), and unless there shall be one other General Partner remaining, the greater of the Class A Limited Partner plus a Required Interest of the Class B Limited Partners or a majority in interest as defined in Internal Revenue Service Procedure 94-46 (or any successor thereof) by written consent may select a new General Partner, which shall be admitted to the Partnership as a general partner effective immediately prior to the existing General Partner's ceasing to be a general partner with such general partner interest as the Limited Partners making such selection may specify, but only if such new General Partner shall

have made such Capital Contribution as such Limited Partners may specify and shall have executed and delivered to the Partnership a document including such new General Partner's notice address, acceptance of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, an agreement to perform and discharge timely all of its obligations and liabilities hereunder, and a representation and warranty that the representation and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such new General Partner. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 10.01(c), the right to select such new General Partner shall not exist or be exercised unless the Partnership shall have received the favorable opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel or of other legal counsel acceptable to the Limited Partners making such selection to the effect that such selection and admission will not result in (i) the loss of limited liability of any Limited Partner (except to the extent a Limited Partner has consented to become the General Partner) or (ii) in the Partnership being treated as an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 10.01(c), no such new General Partner shall be admitted (and the existing General Partner shall continue as such) if the event that permitted the selection of a new General Partner shall have been an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(5) of the Act that with the passage of time would cause the existing General Partner to become a Bankrupt Partner but, due to the failure of such situation to continue, such General Partner does not become a Bankrupt Partner.

10.02 Conversion of Interest. Immediately upon the General Partner's ceasing to be General Partner following the admission of a new General Partner pursuant to Section 10.01(c), the former General Partner's interest in the Partnership as a General Partner shall be converted into the interest of a Limited Partner in the Partnership having the same economic rights as specified for the General Partner herein immediately prior to its ceasing to be a General Partner, and such General Partner shall automatically and without further action be admitted to the Partnership as a Limited Partner.

ARTICLE XI

DISSOLUTION, LIQUIDATION, AND TERMINATION

11.01 Dissolution. The Partnership shall be dissolved and its affairs shall be wound up upon the first to occur of any of the following:

- (a) the written consent of the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest;
- (b) the written consent of the General Partner acting pursuant to Section 3.09;
- (c) unless otherwise agreed to by the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest, a date selected by the General Partner (in its sole discretion) that is within 30 days following the occurrence of the Vesting Date;
- (d) the end of the term of the Partnership as set forth in Section 2.06;
- (e) the General Partner's ceasing to be the General Partner as described in Section 10.01(b) with no new General Partner having been selected and admitted as provided in Section 10.01(c); or

(f) any other event causing dissolution as described in Section 17-801 of the Act (other than an event described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act, except as provided in Sections 10.01(b) and 11.01(d));

it being understood that if an “event of withdrawal of a general partner” (as defined in Section 17-101(3) of the Act) shall occur with respect to the General Partner and at least one other General Partner shall have been or is about to be admitted pursuant to Section 3.03(b), 10.01(c), or 10.02, the Partnership shall not dissolve but shall continue and the remaining General Partner shall, and hereby agrees to, carry on the business of the Partnership.

11.02 Liquidation and Termination. Upon dissolution of the Partnership, unless it is continued as provided in Section 11.01, the General Partner shall act as liquidator or may appoint one or more other Persons as liquidator; *provided, however*, that if the Partnership shall be dissolved on account of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of Act with respect to the General Partner, the liquidator shall be one or more Persons selected in writing by the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest. The liquidator shall proceed diligently to wind up the affairs of the Partnership and make final distributions as provided herein, and shall file any amendments to the Certificate as may be required by applicable law. The costs of liquidation shall be borne as a Partnership expense. Until final distribution, the liquidator shall continue to manage the Partnership assets with all of the power and authority of the General Partner. The steps to be accomplished by the liquidator are as follows:

(a) as promptly as possible after dissolution and again after final liquidation, the liquidator shall cause a proper accounting to be made by a recognized firm of certified public accountants of the Partnership’s assets, liabilities, and operations through the last day of the calendar month in which the dissolution shall have occurred or the final liquidation shall be completed, as applicable;

(b) the liquidator shall pay all of the debts and liabilities of the Partnership (including, without limitation, all expenses incurred in liquidation and any advances made by the General Partner pursuant to Section 4.03) or otherwise make adequate provision therefor (including, without limitation, the establishment of a cash escrow fund for contingent liabilities in such amount and for such term as the liquidator may reasonably determine); and

(c) all remaining assets of the Partnership shall be distributed to the Partners as follows:

- (i) the fair market value of the property shall be determined and the capital accounts of the Partners shall be adjusted to reflect the manner in which the unrealized income, gain, loss, and deduction inherent in such property (that has not been reflected in the capital accounts previously) would be allocated among the Partners if there were a taxable disposition of such property for the fair market value of such property on the Vesting Date; and
- (ii) the Partnership property shall be distributed among the Partners in accordance with the positive capital account balances of the Partners, as determined after taking into account all capital account adjustments for the taxable year of the Partnership

during which the liquidation of the Partnership occurs (other than those made by reason of this clause); and such distributions shall be made by the end of the taxable year of the Partnership during which the liquidation of the Partnership occurs (or, if later, within 90 days after the date of such liquidation). While the General Partner has the right to sell EPD Units as noted in Section 5.04, and subject to the restrictions set forth in Section 5.05, it is the intent of the General Partner upon liquidation and termination of the Partnership to distribute EPD Units to the Partners rather than sell the EPD Units and distribute the cash proceeds of such sale to the Partners.

For purposes of this Section 11.02(c), the “fair market value” of each EPD Unit held by the Partnership on the Vesting Date shall be equal to the average of the closing sale prices per EPD Unit for the 20 trading days ending on the Vesting Date (or, if no closing sale price is reported, the average of the bid and asked prices) as reported in the composite transactions for the principal United States securities exchange on which the EPD Units are traded or if the EPD Units are not listed on a national or regional stock exchange, as reported by The NASDAQ National Market. All distributions in kind to the Partners shall be made subject to the liability of each distributee for costs, expenses, and liabilities theretofore incurred or for which the Partnership shall have committed prior to the date of termination and such costs, expenses, and liabilities shall be allocated to such distributee pursuant to this Section 11.02. The distribution of property to a Partner in accordance with the provisions of this Section 11.02 shall constitute a complete return to the Partner of its Capital Contributions and a complete distribution to the Partner of its interest in the Partnership and all the Partnership’s property and shall constitute a compromise to which all Partners have consented within the meaning of Section 17-502(b) of the Act.

11.03 Cancellation of Certificate. Upon completion of the distribution of Partnership assets as provided herein, the Partnership shall be terminated, and the General Partner (or, if there shall be no General Partner, the Limited Partners) shall cause the cancellation of the Certificate and any other filings made pursuant to Section 2.05 and shall take such other actions as may be necessary to terminate the Partnership.

ARTICLE XII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

12.01 Offset. In the event that any sum is payable to any Partner pursuant to this Agreement, any amounts owed by such Partner to the Partnership shall be deducted from said sum before payment to said Partner.

12.02 Notices. All notices or requests or consents provided for or permitted to be given pursuant to this Agreement must be in writing and must be given (a) by depositing same in the United States mail, addressed to the Person to be notified, postpaid, and registered or certified with return receipt requested or (b) by delivering such notice by courier or in person to such party. Notices given or served pursuant hereto shall be effective two Business Days after such deposit, or upon receipt if delivered in person to the person to be notified. All notices to be sent to a Partner shall be sent to or made at the address given on the Power of Attorney executed by the Partner and delivered to the General Partner on the date of the Original Partnership Agreement or in the instrument described in Section 3.03(c), 3.04, or 10.01(c), or such other

address as such Partner may specify by notice to the General Partner. Any notice to the Partnership shall be given to the General Partner.

12.03 Entire Agreement; Supersedure. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the Partners relating to the matters contained herein and supersedes all prior contracts or agreements, whether oral or written, among the parties hereto with respect to such matters.

12.04 Effect of Waiver or Consent. No waiver or consent, express or implied, by any Person with respect to any breach or default by any other Person of its obligations hereunder shall be deemed or construed to be a consent or waiver with respect to any other breach or default by such other Person of the same or any other obligations of such other Person hereunder. Failure on the part of any Person to complain of any act or omission of any other Person, or to declare any other Person in default, irrespective of how long such failure continues, shall not constitute a waiver by such Person of its rights hereunder until the applicable limitation period has run.

12.05 Amendment or Modification. This Agreement may be amended or modified from time to time only by a written instrument executed by the General Partner; *provided, however,* that (a) the vesting and distribution provisions of this Agreement may be amended or modified only by a written instrument executed by the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest, and (b) no amendment or modification reducing a Partner's Sharing Points (other than to reflect changes otherwise provided hereby) or increasing its duties or adversely affecting its limited liability shall be effective without such Partner's consent.

12.06 Binding Effect; Joinder of Additional Parties. Subject to the restrictions on Dispositions set forth herein, this Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the Partners, as well as the respective heirs, legal representatives, successors, and assigns of such Partners.

12.07 Construction. THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE, EXCLUDING ANY CONFLICTS-OF-LAW RULE OR PRINCIPLE THAT MIGHT REFER THE GOVERNANCE OR CONSTRUCTION OF THIS AGREEMENT TO THE LAWS OF ANOTHER JURISDICTION. The headings in this Agreement are inserted for convenience and identification only and are not intended to describe, interpret, define, or limit the scope, extent, or intent of this Agreement or any provision hereof. Whenever the context requires, the gender of all words used in this Agreement shall include the masculine, feminine, and neuter. All references to Articles and Sections refer to articles and sections of this Agreement. All sums and amounts payable or to be payable pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement shall be payable in coin or currency of the United States of America that, at the time of payment, is legal tender for the payment of public and private debts in the United States of America. If any provision of this Agreement or the application thereof to any Person or circumstance shall be held invalid or unenforceable to any extent, the remainder of this Agreement and the application of such provision to other Persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby and shall be enforced to the greatest extent permitted by law.

12.08 Further Assurances. In connection with this Agreement, as well as all transactions contemplated by this Agreement, each Partner agrees to execute and deliver such additional documents and instruments and to perform such additional acts as may be necessary or appropriate to effectuate, carry out, and perform all of the terms, provisions, and conditions of this Agreement and all such transactions.

12.09 Indemnification. To the fullest extent permitted by law, each Partner shall indemnify the Partnership and each other Partner and hold them harmless from and against all losses, costs, liabilities, damages, and expenses (including, without limitation, costs of suit and attorney's fees) they may incur on account of any breach by such indemnifying Partner of this Agreement.

12.10 Waiver of Certain Rights. Each Partner irrevocably waives any right it might have to maintain any action for dissolution of the Partnership or to maintain any action for partition of the property of the Partnership.

12.11 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts with the same effect as if all signatory parties had signed the same document. All counterparts shall be construed together and shall constitute one and the same instrument.

12.12 Dispute Resolution. (a) If the General Partner and one or more Limited Partners are unable to resolve any controversy, dispute, claim or other matter in question arising out of, or relating to, this Agreement, any provision hereof, the alleged breach hereof, or in any way relating to the subject matter of this Agreement, or the relationship between the parties created by this Agreement, including questions concerning the scope and applicability of this Section 12.12, whether sounding in contract, tort or otherwise, at law or in equity, under state or federal law, whether provided by statute or common law, for damages or any other relief (any such controversy, dispute, claim or other matter in question, a "Dispute"), on or before the 30th day following the receipt by the General Partner or such Limited Partners of written notice of such Dispute from the other party, which notice describes in reasonable detail the nature of the Dispute and the facts and circumstances relating thereto, the General Partner or such Limited Partners may, by delivery of written notice to the other party, require that a representative of the General Partner and of such Limited Partners meet at a mutually agreeable time and place in an attempt to resolve such Dispute. Such meeting shall take place on or before the 15th day following the date of the notice requiring such meeting, and if the Dispute has not been resolved within 15 days following such meeting, the General Partner or such Limited Partners may cause such Dispute to be resolved by binding arbitration in Houston, Texas, by submitting such Dispute for arbitration within 30 days following the expiration of such 15-day period. This agreement to arbitrate shall be specifically enforceable against the parties.

(b) It is the intention of the parties that the arbitration shall be governed by and conducted pursuant to the Federal Arbitration Act, as such Act is modified by this Section 12.12. If it is determined the Federal Arbitration Act is not applicable to this Agreement (e.g., this Agreement does not evidence a transaction involving interstate commerce), this agreement to arbitrate shall nevertheless be enforceable pursuant to applicable State law. While the arbitrators may refer to the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association (the "Rules") for guidance with respect to procedural matters, the arbitration proceeding shall not be

administered by the American Arbitration Association but instead shall be self-administered by the parties until the arbitrators are selected and then the proceeding shall be administered by the arbitrators.

(c) The validity, construction, and interpretation of this agreement to arbitrate, and all procedural aspects of the arbitration conducted pursuant to this agreement to arbitrate, including but not limited to, the determination of the issues that are subject to arbitration (i.e., arbitrability), the scope of the arbitrable issues, allegations of "fraud in the inducement" to enter into this Agreement or this arbitration provision, allegations of waiver, laches, delay or other defenses to arbitrability, and the rules governing the conduct of the arbitration (including the time for filing an answer, the time for the filing of counterclaims, the times for amending the pleadings, the specificity of the pleadings, the extent and scope of discovery, the issuance of subpoenas, the times for the designation of experts, whether the arbitration is to be stayed pending resolution of related litigation involving third parties not bound by this arbitration agreement, the receipt of evidence, and the like), shall be decided by the arbitrators.

(d) The rules of arbitration of the Federal Arbitration Act, as modified by this Agreement, shall govern procedural aspects of the arbitration; to the extent the Federal Arbitration Act as modified by this Agreement does not address a procedural issue, the arbitrators may refer for guidance to the Commercial Arbitration Rules then in effect with the American Arbitration Association. The arbitrators may refer for guidance to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Civil Evidence, and the federal law with respect to the discovery process, applicable legal privileges, and admissible evidence. In deciding the substance of the parties' Dispute, the arbitrators shall refer to the substantive laws of the State of Delaware for guidance (excluding Delaware's conflict-of-law rules or principles that might call for the application of the law of another jurisdiction); *provided, however*, IT IS EXPRESSLY AGREED THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION IN THIS SECTION 12.12 TO THE CONTRARY, THE ARBITRATORS SHALL HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO AUTHORITY TO AWARD CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (SUCH AS LOSS OF PROFIT), TREBLE, EXEMPLARY OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES OF ANY TYPE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGES MAY BE AVAILABLE UNDER DELAWARE LAW, THE LAW OF ANY OTHER STATE, OR FEDERAL LAW, OR UNDER THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT, OR UNDER THE COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION RULES OF THE AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION. The arbitrators shall have the authority to assess the costs and expenses of the arbitration proceeding (including the arbitrators' fees and expenses) against either or both parties. However, each party shall bear its own attorneys fees and the arbitrators shall have no authority to award attorneys fees.

(e) When a Dispute has been submitted for arbitration, within 30 days of such submission, the General Partner will choose an arbitrator, and such Limited Partners will choose an arbitrator. The two arbitrators shall select a third arbitrator, failing agreement on which within 90 days of the original notice, the General Partner and such Limited Partners (or either of them) shall apply to any United States District Judge for the Southern District of Texas, who shall appoint the third arbitrator. While the third arbitrator shall be neutral, the two party-appointed arbitrators are not required to be neutral and it shall not be grounds for removal of either of the two party-appointed arbitrators or for vacating the arbitrators' award that either of

such arbitrators has past or present minimal relationships with the party that appointed such arbitrator. Evident partiality on the part of an arbitrator exists only where the circumstances are such that a reasonable person would have to conclude there in fact existed actual bias and a mere appearance or impression of bias will not constitute evident partiality or otherwise disqualify an arbitrator. Minimal or trivial past or present relationships between the neutral arbitrator and the party selecting such arbitrator or any of the other arbitrators, or the failure to disclose such minimal or trivial past or present relationships, will not by themselves constitute evident partiality or otherwise disqualify any arbitrator. Upon selection of the third arbitrator, each of the three arbitrators shall agree in writing to abide faithfully by the terms of this agreement to arbitrate. The three arbitrators shall make all of their decisions by majority vote. If one of the party-appointed arbitrators refuses to participate in the proceedings or refuses to vote, the decision of the other two arbitrators shall be binding. If an arbitrator dies or becomes physically incapacitated and is unable to fulfill his or her duties as an arbitrator, the arbitration proceeding shall continue with a substitute arbitrator selected as follows: if the incapacitated arbitrator is a party-appointed arbitrator, the party shall promptly select a new arbitrator, and if the incapacitated arbitrator is the neutral arbitrator, the two-party appointed arbitrators shall select a substitute neutral arbitrator, failing agreement on which the General Partner and such Limited Partners (or either of them) shall apply to any United States District Judge for the Southern District of Texas, who shall appoint the substitute neutral arbitrator.

(f) The final hearing shall be conducted within 120 days of the selection of the third arbitrator. The final hearing shall not exceed ten working days, with each party to be granted one-half of the allocated time to present its case to the arbitrators. There shall be a transcript of the hearing before the arbitrators. The arbitrators shall render their ultimate decision within 20 days of the completion of the final hearing completely resolving all of the Disputes between the parties that are the subject of the arbitration proceeding. The arbitrators' ultimate decision after final hearing shall be in writing, but shall be as brief as possible, and the arbitrators shall assign their reasons for their ultimate decision. In the case the arbitrators award any monetary damages in favor of either party, the arbitrators shall certify in their award that they have not included any treble, exemplary or punitive damages.

(g) The arbitrators' award shall, as between the parties to this Agreement and those in privity with them, be final and entitled to all of the protections and benefits of a final judgment, e.g., res judicata (claim preclusion) and collateral estoppel (issue preclusion), as to all Disputes, including compulsory counterclaims, that were or could have been presented to the arbitrators. The arbitrators' award shall not be reviewable by or appealable to any court, except to the extent permitted by the Federal Arbitration Act.

(h) It is the intent of the parties that the arbitration proceeding shall be conducted expeditiously, without initial recourse to the courts and without interlocutory appeals of the arbitrators' decisions to the courts. However, if a party refuses to honor its obligations under this agreement to arbitrate, the other party may obtain appropriate relief compelling arbitration in any court having jurisdiction over the parties; the order compelling arbitration shall require that the arbitration proceedings take place in Houston, Texas, as specified above. The parties may apply to any court for orders requiring witnesses to obey subpoenas issued by the arbitrators. Moreover, any and all of the arbitrators' orders and decisions may be enforced if necessary by

any court. The arbitrators' award may be confirmed in, and judgment upon the award entered by, any federal or State court having jurisdiction over the parties.

(i) To the fullest extent permitted by law, this arbitration proceeding and the arbitrators award shall be maintained in confidence by the parties. However, a violation of this covenant shall not affect the enforceability of this arbitration agreement or of the arbitrators' award.

(j) A party's breach of this Agreement shall not affect this agreement to arbitrate. Moreover, the parties' obligations under this arbitration provision are enforceable even after this Agreement has terminated. The invalidity or unenforceability of any provision of this arbitration agreement shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the parties' obligation to submit their Disputes to binding arbitration or the other provisions of this agreement to arbitrate.

12.13 No Effect on Employment Relationship. Nothing in this Agreement shall confer upon any employee of the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof any right to continued employment nor shall it interfere in any way with the right of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates to terminate the employment of any employee at any time.

12.14 Legal Representation. This Agreement and related documents have been prepared by Vinson & Elkins LLP and Andrews Kurth LLP, as counsel for the General Partner, and not as counsel for any other Partner or the Partnership. Each party other than the General Partner has been advised to seek independent counsel in connection with this Agreement and the related documents.

[Signature Pages to Follow.]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Partners have executed this Agreement as of the date first set forth above.

GENERAL PARTNER:

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS COMPANY

By: /s/ Richard H. Bachmann
Richard H. Bachmann
President and Chief Executive Officer

CLASS A LIMITED PARTNER:

EPCO HOLDINGS, INC.

By: /s/ Richard H. Bachmann
Richard H. Bachmann
President and Chief Executive Officer

CLASS B LIMITED PARTNERS:

All Class B Limited Partners initially admitted as Class B Limited Partners of the Partnership, pursuant to Powers of Attorney executed in favor of, and granted and delivered to the General Partner

By: ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS COMPANY
(As attorney-in-fact for the Class B Limited Partners pursuant to powers of attorney)

By: /s/ Richard H. Bachmann
Richard H. Bachmann
President and Chief Executive Officer

FORM OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

For Executing Agreement of Limited Partnership of EPD PubCo Unit I L.P.

Know all by these presents, that the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Enterprise Products Company, a Texas corporation, and its authorized representatives the undersigned's true and lawful attorney-in-fact to:

- (1) execute for and on behalf of the undersigned as a Class B limited partner thereunder that certain Agreement of Limited Partnership of EPD PubCo Unit I L.P. (the "Partnership Agreement"); and
- (2) take any other action of any type whatsoever in connection with the foregoing that, in the opinion of each such attorney-in-fact, may be of benefit to, in the best interest of, or legally required of the undersigned, it being understood that the documents executed by the attorney-in-fact on behalf of the undersigned pursuant to this Power of Attorney shall be in such form and shall contain such terms and conditions as the attorney-in-fact may approve in the attorney-in-fact's discretion.

The undersigned hereby grants to each attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or proper to be done in the exercise of any of the rights and powers herein granted, as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, with full power of substitution or revocation, hereby ratifying and confirming all that the attorney-in-fact, or the attorney-in-facts substitute or substitutes, shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue of this Power of Attorney and the rights and powers herein granted.

The undersigned acknowledges and agrees by execution of this Power of Attorney that the undersigned's initial Sharing Points (as defined in the Partnership Agreement) under the Partnership Agreement equal _____, which represents ____% of the total initial Sharing Points granted by the General Partner pursuant to the Partnership Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has caused this Power of Attorney to be executed as of the date written below.

Signature

Type or Print Name

Date

FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
OF
EPD PUBCO UNIT II L.P.

Dated as of
November 3, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE I DEFINITIONS

1.01	Certain Definitions	1
1.02	Other Definitions	6

ARTICLE II ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

2.01	Formation	6
2.02	Name	6
2.03	Registered Office; Registered Agent; Other Offices	6
2.04	Purposes	6
2.05	Certificate; Foreign Qualification	7
2.06	Term	7
2.07	Merger or Consolidation	7

ARTICLE III PARTNERS; DISPOSITIONS OF INTERESTS

3.01	Partners	7
3.02	Representations and Warranties	7
3.03	Restrictions on the Disposition of an Interest	8
3.04	Additional Partners	9
3.05	Interests in a Partner	10
3.06	Spouses of Partners	10
3.07	Vesting of Limited Partners	10
3.08	Services Provided by the Partners	10
3.09	Investment Company Act Matters	10

ARTICLE IV CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS

4.01	Initial and Additional Capital Contributions	11
4.02	Return of Contributions	11
4.03	Advances by General Partner	11
4.04	Capital Accounts	11

ARTICLE V ALLOCATIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

5.01	Allocations	12
5.02	Income Tax Allocations	14
5.03	Distributions of Cashflow from EPD Units	15
5.04	Distributions of Proceeds from Sales of EPD Units	15

5.05	Restrictions on Distributions of EPD Units	16
------	--	----

**ARTICLE VI
MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION**

6.01	Management of Partnership Affairs	16
6.02	Duties and Obligations of General Partner	17
6.03	Release and Indemnification	17
6.04	Power of Attorney	18

**ARTICLE VII
RIGHTS OF OTHER PARTNERS**

7.01	Information	19
7.02	Limitations	19
7.03	Limited Liability	20

**ARTICLE VIII
TAXES**

8.01	Tax Returns	20
8.02	Tax Elections	20
8.03	Tax Matters Partner	20

**ARTICLE IX
BOOKS, RECORDS, REPORTS, AND BANK ACCOUNTS**

9.01	Maintenance of Books	21
9.02	Financial Statements	21
9.03	Bank Accounts	21

**ARTICLE X
WITHDRAWAL, BANKRUPTCY, REMOVAL, ETC.**

10.01	Withdrawal, Bankruptcy, Etc. of General Partner	21
10.02	Conversion of Interest	22

**ARTICLE XI
DISSOLUTION, LIQUIDATION, AND TERMINATION**

11.01	Dissolution	22
11.02	Liquidation and Termination	23
11.03	Cancellation of Certificate	24

**ARTICLE XII
GENERAL PROVISIONS**

12.01	Offset	24
-------	--------	----

12.02	Notices	24
12.03	Entire Agreement; Supersedure	25
12.04	Effect of Waiver or Consent	25
12.05	Amendment or Modification	25
12.06	Binding Effect; Joinder of Additional Parties	25
12.07	Construction	25
12.08	Further Assurances	26
12.09	Indemnification	26
12.10	Waiver of Certain Rights	26
12.11	Counterparts	26
12.12	Dispute Resolution	26
12.13	No Effect on Employment Relationship	29
12.14	Legal Representation	29

**FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
OF
EPD PUBCO UNIT II L.P.**

This First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (this “Agreement”) of EPD PubCo Unit II L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the “Partnership”), is made and entered into effective as of November 3, 2016 by and among the Partners (as defined below).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Partnership’s Agreement of Limited Partnership was executed effective as of February 22, 2016 (the “Original Partnership Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, the Partners deem it advisable and in the best interests of the Partnership to amend and restate the Original Partnership Agreement in its entirety as set forth herein;

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION OF the mutual covenants, rights, and obligations set forth herein, the benefits to be derived therefrom, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which each Partner acknowledges and confesses, the Partners hereby amend and restate the Original Partnership Agreement in its entirety and agree as follows:

ARTICLE I
DEFINITIONS

1.01 Certain Definitions. As used in this Agreement, the following terms have the following respective meanings:

“Act” means the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

“Adjusted Capital Account” means, with respect to any Partner, the balance in such Partner’s Capital Account after giving effect to the following adjustments:

- (a) Credit to such Capital Account of any amounts that such Partner is obligated or deemed obligated to contribute pursuant to the penultimate sentences of Sections 1.704-2(g)(1) and 1.704-2(i)(5) of the Regulations; and
- (b) Debit to such Capital Account the items described in Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(5) and 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(6) of the Regulations.

The foregoing definition of Adjusted Capital Account is intended to comply with the provisions of Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d) of the Regulations and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.

“Adjustment Date” means as soon as practicable following the receipt of proceeds by the Partnership from the disposition of EPD Units, but no later than the fifth Business Day following the receipt of any proceeds by the Partnership from the disposition of EPD Units.

“Affiliate” means with respect to any Person any other Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls or is controlled by, or is under common control with, the Person specified. For the purpose of this definition, “control” shall mean the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a Person, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise.

“Agreement” has the meaning given it in the introductory paragraph hereof.

“Assigned EPD Units” means those 2,834,198 EPD Units of which Beneficial Ownership (subject to the retention of the Retained Distribution) was assigned to the Partnership by the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to the Assignment (as such number may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Assignment” means the irrevocable assignment under the Contribution Agreement pursuant to which Beneficial Ownership of the Assigned EPD Units has been assigned by the Class A Limited Partner to the Partnership.

“Bankrupt Partner” means any Partner (whether a General Partner or a Limited Partner) with respect to which an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) or (5) of the Act (or any equivalent successor provision) shall have occurred, subject to the lapsing of any period of time therein specified.

“Beneficial Ownership” means, with respect to the Assigned EPD Units, dominion and control (within the meaning of Treasury Regulation Section 1.704-1(e)(2)) representing all the rights and obligations held by the Class A Limited Partner with respect to the Assigned EPD Units prior to the Assignment as well as such residual rights exercised on behalf of the Assigned EPD Units after the Assignment, subject to the retention of the Retained Distribution.

“Business Day” means any day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or day on which commercial banks in the State of Texas are authorized or required to be closed for business.

“Capital Account” means the account maintained for each Partner pursuant to Section 4.04.

“Capital Contribution” means any contribution by a Partner to the capital of the Partnership.

“Certificate” means the Certificate of Limited Partnership of the Partnership referred to in Section 2.05, as it may be amended or restated from time to time.

“Change of Control” means Duncan shall (i) cease to own, directly or indirectly, at least a majority of the equity interests in the General Partner or the general partner of EPD, or (ii) shall cease to have the ability to elect, directly or indirectly, at least a majority of the directors of the general partner of EPD.

“Class A Capital Base” means:

- (i) the Contributed Unit Fair Market Value multiplied by the number of Assigned EPD Units,
- (ii) decreased on each Adjustment Date by all distributions made to the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to Section 5.04 since the previous Adjustment Date (or in the case of the first Adjustment Date, since the Closing Date).

“Class A Limited Partner” means EPCO Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its successors and assigns.

“Class A Preference Return” means:

(a) as it relates to each regular quarterly distribution with respect to EPD Units, an aggregate dollar amount equal to the product of (i) \$0.39, multiplied by (ii) the total number of EPD Units to which such distribution relates (as such calculation may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units); and

(b) as it relates to any distribution with respect to EPD Units other than as described in clause (a) above, an aggregate dollar amount equal to the product of (i) the aggregate dollar amount of such distribution received by the Partnership, multiplied by (ii) a fraction, the numerator of which shall be \$0.39 and the denominator of which shall be equal to the per unit dollar amount of the most recent quarterly distribution paid with respect to EPD Units (as such calculation may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Class A Preference Return Amount” means the aggregate dollar amount of the unpaid Class A Preference Return.

“Class B Limited Partner” means any Person executing (by power of attorney or otherwise) this Agreement as of the date hereof as a Class B Limited Partner or hereafter admitted to the Partnership as a Class B Limited Partner as herein provided, but shall not include any Person who has ceased to be a Class B Limited Partner in the Partnership.

“Class B Percentage Interest” means with respect to each Class B Limited Partner the quotient (expressed as a percentage) of (i) such Class B Limited Partner’s Sharing Points, divided by (ii) the Sharing Points of all Class B Limited Partners. For purposes of calculating the Class B Percentage Interest, Sharing Points attributable to interests in the Partnership that are forfeited pursuant to Section 3.07 shall be ignored.

“Closing Date” means February 22, 2016, the date on which the Class A Limited Partner contributed Beneficial Ownership of the Assigned EPD Units to the Partnership pursuant to the Contribution Agreement.

“Code” means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

“Contributed Unit Fair Market Value” means \$23.41 (the closing sales price per EPD Unit on the New York Stock Exchange on February 22, 2016) (as such dollar amount may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Contribution Agreement” means that agreement by and between EPCO Holdings, Inc. and EPD PubCo Unit II L.P., dated as of February 22, 2016.

“Disability” means the event whereby a Limited Partner becomes entitled to receive long-term disability benefits under the long-term disability plan of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates.

“Dispose,” “Disposing,” or “Disposition” means a sale, assignment, transfer, exchange, mortgage, pledge, grant of a security interest, or other disposition or encumbrance, or the acts thereof, other than by divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of a Partner’s marriage.

“Duncan” means, collectively, individually or in any combination, the descendants, heirs and/or legatees of Dan L Duncan and/or distributees of Dan L Duncan’s estate, and/or trusts (including voting trusts) established for the benefit of his descendants, such legatees and/or distributees and/or their respective descendants, heirs, legatees and distributees.

“EPCO” means Enterprise Products Company, a Texas corporation.

“EPD” means Enterprise Products Partners L.P., a Delaware limited partnership.

“EPD Units” means (1) the Assigned EPD Units for so long as the Contribution Agreement is effective, and (2) thereafter, the partnership units representing limited partner interests in EPD.

“General Interest Rate” means a varying per annum rate equal at any given time to the lesser of (a) the interest rate publicly quoted by J.P. Morgan Chase from time to time as its prime commercial or similar reference interest rate, and (b) the maximum rate permitted by applicable law.

“General Partner” means EPCO, or any Person hereafter admitted to the Partnership as a general partner as herein provided, but shall not include any Person who has ceased to be a general partner in the Partnership.

“Investment Company Act” means the Investment Company Act of 1940, and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

“Limited Partner” means the Class A Limited Partner or any Class B Limited Partner.

“Net Income” and “Net Loss” mean, respectively, subject to Section 4.04, an amount equal to the Partnership’s taxable income or loss taking the Assignment into account determined in accordance with Code Section 703(a) (for this purpose, all items of income, gain, loss, or deduction required to be stated separately pursuant to Code Section 703(a)(1) shall be included in taxable income or loss), with the following adjustments:

(a) Any income of the Partnership that is exempt from federal income tax and not otherwise taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss pursuant to this definition of Net Income and Net Loss shall be added to such taxable income or loss;

(b) Any expenditures of the Partnership described in Code Section 705(a)(2)(B) or treated as Code Section 705(a)(2)(B) expenditures pursuant to Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(i) of the Regulations, and not otherwise taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss pursuant to this definition of Net Income and Net Loss, shall be subtracted from such taxable income or loss;

(c) In the event the value of any Partnership property is adjusted pursuant to Section 4.04 (i) such adjustment shall be taken into account as gain or loss from the disposition of such Partnership property for purposes of computing Net Income or Net Loss, (ii) if such property is subject to depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization, any further deductions for such depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization attributable to such property shall be determined taking into account such adjustment, and (ii) in determining the amount of any income, gain or loss attributable to the taxable disposition of such property such adjustment (and the related adjustments for depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization) shall be taken into account;

(d) To the extent an adjustment to the adjusted tax basis of any Partnership Property pursuant to Code Section 734(b) is required, pursuant to Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m)(4) of the Regulations, to be taken into account in determining Capital Accounts as a result of a Distribution other than in liquidation of a Partner’s interest in the Partnership, the amount of such adjustment shall be treated as an item of gain (if the adjustment increases the basis of the asset) or loss (if the adjustment decreases such basis) from the disposition of such Partnership Property and shall be taken into account for purposes of computing Net Income or Net Loss; and

(e) Any items that are allocated pursuant to Section 5.01(b) shall not be taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss.

“Partner” means the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner or any Class B Limited Partner.

“Partnership” has the meaning given it in the introductory paragraph.

“Person” has the meaning given it in the Act.

“Qualifying Termination” means the termination of a Class B Limited Partner’s employment with the General Partner and its Affiliates due to (i) death, (ii) receiving long-term

disability benefits under the long-term disability plan of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates or (iii) retirement with the approval of the General Partner (which may be given or withheld in its sole discretion) on or after reaching age 65, provided that such retirement occurs on or after August 22, 2018.

“Regulations” means the regulations promulgated under Section 704 of the Code.

“Required Interest” means one or more Class B Limited Partners having among them more than 50% of the Class B Percentage Interests of all Limited Partners in its or their capacities as such.

“Retained Distribution” has the meaning set forth in the Contribution Agreement.

“Sharing Points” means, with respect to each Class B Limited Partner, the number of Sharing Points granted by the General Partner to such Class B Limited Partner (which number is set forth on the Power of Attorney executed by the Class B Limited Partner and delivered to the General Partner), as the same may be amended from time to time pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

“Vesting Date” means the earliest of (i) the fifth anniversary of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement, (ii) a Change of Control or (iii) dissolution of the Partnership.

1.02 Other Definitions. Other terms defined herein have the meanings so given them.

ARTICLE II **ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS**

2.01 Formation. The Partnership has been previously formed as a Delaware limited partnership for the purposes hereinafter set forth under and pursuant to the provisions of the Act.

2.02 Name. The name of the Partnership is “EPD PubCo Unit II L.P.” and all Partnership business shall be conducted in such name or such other name or names that comply with applicable law as the General Partner may designate from time to time.

2.03 Registered Office; Registered Agent; Other Offices. The registered office of the Partnership in the State of Delaware shall be at such place as the General Partner may designate from time to time. The registered agent for service of process on the Partnership in the State of Delaware or any other jurisdiction shall be such Person or Persons as the General Partner may designate from time to time. The Partnership may have such other offices as the General Partner may designate from time to time.

2.04 Purposes. The purposes of the Partnership are to acquire, own, sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of EPD Units, and to enter into, make and perform all contracts and other undertakings and to engage in any other business, activity or transaction that now or hereafter may be necessary, incidental, proper, advisable, or convenient, as determined by the General Partner, to accomplish the foregoing purposes.

2.05 Certificate; Foreign Qualification. The General Partner has previously executed and caused to be filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware a Certificate of Limited Partnership, effective as of February 18, 2016, containing information required by the Act and such other information as the General Partner deemed appropriate. Prior to conducting business in any jurisdiction other than Delaware, the General Partner shall cause the Partnership to comply, to the extent such matters are reasonably within the control of the General Partner, with all requirements necessary to qualify the Partnership as a foreign limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in such jurisdiction. Upon the request of the General Partner, each Partner shall execute, acknowledge, swear to, and deliver all certificates and other instruments conforming with this Agreement that are necessary or appropriate as determined by the General Partner to qualify, continue, and terminate the Partnership as a limited partnership under the laws of the State of Delaware and to qualify, continue, and terminate the Partnership as a foreign limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in all other jurisdictions in which the Partnership may conduct business, and to this end the General Partner may use the power of attorney described in Section 6.04.

2.06 Term. The term of this Partnership shall continue in existence until the close of Partnership business on the earliest to occur of (i) the fiftieth anniversary of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement, and (ii) such earlier time as this Agreement may specify.

2.07 Merger or Consolidation. The Partnership may merge or consolidate with or into another business entity, or enter into an agreement to do so, with the consent of the General Partner and a Required Interest.

ARTICLE III **PARTNERS; DISPOSITIONS OF INTERESTS**

3.01 Partners. The General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and the Class B Limited Partners of the Partnership are the Persons executing (by power of attorney or otherwise) this Agreement as of the date hereof as the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and the Class B Limited Partners, respectively, each of which was admitted to the Partnership as the General Partner, Class A Limited Partner or a Class B Limited Partner, as the case may be, effective as of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement.

3.02 Representations and Warranties. Each Partner hereby represents and warrants to the Partnership and each other Partner that (a) if such Partner is a corporation, it is duly organized, validly existing, and in good standing under the laws of the jurisdiction of its incorporation and is duly qualified and in good standing as a foreign corporation in the jurisdiction of its principal place of business (if not incorporated therein), (b) if such Partner is a trust, estate or other entity, it is duly formed, validly existing, and (if applicable) in good standing under the laws of the jurisdiction of its formation, and if required by law is duly qualified to do business and (if applicable) in good standing in the jurisdiction of its principal place of business (if not formed therein), (c) such Partner has full corporate, trust, or other applicable right, power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder and all necessary actions by the board of directors, trustees, beneficiaries, or other Persons necessary for the due authorization, execution, delivery, and performance of this

Agreement by such Partner have been duly taken, and such authorization, execution, delivery, and performance do not conflict with any other agreement or arrangement to which such Partner is a party or by which it is bound, and (d) such Partner is acquiring its interest in the Partnership for investment purposes and not with a view to distribution thereof.

3.03 Restrictions on the Disposition of an Interest. (a) No Class B Limited Partner may Dispose of all or part of its interest in the Partnership without the prior written consent (which may be given or withheld in its sole discretion) of the General Partner, and then only after Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e) have been complied with, except that a Class B Limited Partner may Dispose of all of its interest upon the death of such Class B Limited Partner or upon becoming a Bankrupt Partner, but in each case only after compliance with Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e). Neither the General Partner nor the Class A Limited Partner may Dispose of all or a part of its interest in the Partnership to a Person who is not an Affiliate of Duncan without the prior written consent of a Required Interest, and then only after Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e) have been complied with.

(b) Subject to the provisions of Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e), a permitted transferee of all or a part of a Partner's interest in the Partnership shall be admitted to the Partnership as a General Partner or a Limited Partner (as applicable) with, in the case of Class B Limited Partners, such Sharing Points (no greater than the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition immediately prior thereto) as the Partner effecting such Disposition and such permitted transferee may agree.

(c) The Partnership shall not recognize for any purpose any purported Disposition of an interest in the Partnership or distributions therefrom unless and until the provisions of this Section 3.03 shall have been satisfied and there shall have been delivered to the General Partner a document (i) executed by both the Partner effecting such Disposition and the Person to which such interest or interest in distributions are to be Disposed, (ii) including the written acceptance by any Person to be admitted to the Partnership of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, such Person's notice address, and an agreement by such Person to perform and discharge timely all of the obligations and liabilities in respect of the interest being obtained, (iii) setting forth, in the case of the Class B Limited Partners, the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition and the Person to which such interest is Disposed after such Disposition (which together shall total the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition prior thereto), (iv) containing a representation and warranty that such Disposition complied with all applicable laws and regulations (including securities laws) and a representation and warranty by such Person that the representations and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such Person. Each such Disposition and, if applicable, admission shall be effective as of the first day of the calendar month immediately succeeding the month in which the General Partner shall receive such notification of Disposition and the other requirements of this Section 3.03 shall have been met unless the General Partner and the Partner affecting such Disposition agree to a different effective date; *provided, however*, that if there shall be only one General Partner and such Disposition or admission and, as a result of such Disposition such General Partner would cease to be a General Partner, such permitted transferee shall be deemed admitted as a General Partner immediately prior to such cessation.

(d) Notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, the right of any Partner to Dispose of an interest in the Partnership or distributions therefrom or of any Person to be admitted to the Partnership in connection therewith shall not exist or be exercised (i) unless and until the Partnership shall have received a favorable opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel or of other legal counsel acceptable to the General Partner to the effect that such Disposition or admission is not required to be registered under the Securities Act of 1933 or any other applicable securities laws, and such Disposition or admission would not cause the Partnership to become an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, and (ii) unless such Disposition or admission would not result in the Partnership being treated as an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or as a publicly traded partnership as defined in Section 7704 of the Code. The General Partner, however, may waive the requirements of Section 3.03(d)(i).

(e) All costs (including, without limitation, the legal fees incurred in connection with the obtaining of the legal opinions referred to in Section 3.03(d)) incurred by the Partnership in connection with any Disposition or admission of a Person to the Partnership pursuant to this Section 3.03 shall be borne and paid by the Partner effecting such Disposition within 10 days after the receipt by such Person of the Partnership's invoice for the amount due.

(f) In the event of a Disposition of an interest in the Partnership pursuant to the death of a Limited Partner that would, in the opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel, result in the Partnership becoming an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, the General Partner shall have the right to purchase such interest from the estate (or beneficiaries) of such deceased Partner for a price equal to the amount that the deceased Partner's estate (or beneficiaries) would receive if all of the EPD Units held by the Partnership were sold at a price equal to the closing sale price per EPD Unit as reported by the New York Stock Exchange (or such other applicable trading market) on the day prior to the exercise of such right by the General Partner and the proceeds from such sale were distributed to the Partners in accordance with the provisions of Section 5.04. The determination by the General Partner of the foregoing purchase price of such deceased Partner's interest in the Partnership shall be conclusive and binding on the deceased Partner's estate and beneficiaries.

(g) Any attempted Disposition by a Person of an interest or right, or any part thereof, in or in respect of the Partnership other than in accordance with this Section 3.03 shall be, and is hereby declared, null and void ab initio.

3.04 Additional Partners. Subject to the provisions of Section 12.05 and 3.03, additional Persons may be admitted to the Partnership as General Partners or Limited Partners, only to the extent that, and on such terms and conditions as, the General Partner shall consent at the time of such admission or issuance. Such admission or issuance shall, in the case of a Class B Limited Partners, specify the Sharing Points applicable thereto. Any such admission must comply with the provisions of Section 3.03(d), and shall not be effective until such new Partner shall have executed and delivered to the General Partner a document including such new Partner's notice address, acceptance of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, an agreement to perform and discharge timely all of its obligations and liabilities hereunder, and a representation and warranty that the representations and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such new Partner.

3.05 Interests in a Partner. No Partner that is not a natural person shall cause or permit an interest, direct or indirect, in itself to be Disposed of such that, on account of such Disposition, the Partnership would become an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes.

3.06 Spouses of Partners. A spouse of a Partner does not become a Partner as a result of such marital relationship or by reason of a divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of marriage. If, in the event of a divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of marriage of a Partner, a former spouse of a Partner is awarded ownership of, or an interest in, all or part of a Partner's interest in the Partnership (the "Awarded Interest"), the Awarded Interest shall automatically and immediately be forfeited and cancelled without payment on such date.

3.07 Vesting of Limited Partners. One hundred percent (100%) of each Class B Limited Partner's interest in the Partnership shall vest on the Vesting Date, but only if (i) on such date such Class B Limited Partner continues to be an active, full-time employee of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates or (ii) prior to the Vesting Date a Qualifying Termination has occurred with respect to such Class B Limited Partner. At such time as a Class B Limited Partner ceases, for any reason other than a Qualifying Termination, to be an active, full-time employee of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates prior to the Vesting Date (as determined by the General Partner in its sole discretion, without regard as to how his status is treated by the General Partner or any of its Affiliates for any of its other compensation or benefit plans or programs), his unvested interest in the Partnership shall be forfeited. The Capital Account attributable to any Class B Limited Partner's interest in the Partnership that is forfeited pursuant to Section 3.06, this Section 3.07 or otherwise hereunder shall be allocated to the remaining Class B Limited Partners in accordance with their respective Class B Percentage Interests.

3.08 Services Provided by the Partners. The interests in the Partnership held by the Partners are for the benefit of certain employees in connection with services rendered or to be rendered by the Partners. EPCO shall be an express third party beneficiary of the services provided by the Partners.

3.09 Investment Company Act Matters. The Partnership is intended to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Investment Company Act. In addition to the rights, powers and authority of the General Partner set forth in Section 3.03, if any event would, in the opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel, result in the Partnership becoming an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, then (notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary) the General Partner shall have the right, power and authority (exercisable in its sole discretion) to take any and all actions (on behalf of itself and/or the Partnership) as the General Partner may deem necessary, appropriate or advisable to avoid such registration requirement and/or to reduce or mitigate the effects thereof, including without limitation taking unilateral action (without the consent of any Limited Partner) to (i) amend this Agreement in accordance with Section 12.05 and/or (ii) cause the Partnership to be dissolved in accordance with Section 11.01.

ARTICLE IV
CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS

4.01 Initial and Additional Capital Contributions. In connection with the formation of the Partnership, the General Partner contributed \$1,000 to the Partnership and on the Closing Date, the Class A Limited Partner contributed to the Partnership the Assigned EPD Units. No Class B Limited Partner is obligated to make a contribution to the Partnership. Subject to the provisions of applicable law or except as otherwise provided for herein, no Partner shall be liable for or obligated to make an additional Capital Contribution to the Partnership, whether for the purpose of enabling the Partnership to meet its obligations under Section 6.03 or for any other purpose. The initial Capital Account of the General Partner is \$1,000, the initial Capital Account of the Class A Limited Partner as of the Closing Date is equal to the Class A Capital Base on the Closing Date, and the initial Capital Account of each Class B Limited Partner is zero.

4.02 Return of Contributions. No Partner shall be entitled to the return of any part of its Capital Contributions or to be paid interest in respect of either its Capital Account or any Capital Contribution made by it. No unrepaid Capital Contribution shall be deemed or considered to be a liability of the Partnership or of any Partner. No Partner shall be required to contribute, advance or lend any cash or property to the Partnership to enable the Partnership to return any Partner's Capital Contributions to the Partnership. To the extent, however, any Partner (by mistake, overpayment or otherwise) advances funds to the Partnership in excess of the Capital Contributions called for under Section 4.01, such excess amounts shall not be Capital Contributions and (other than advances made by the General Partner pursuant to Section 4.03 below) shall be promptly returned by the Partnership to the Partner so advancing such funds.

4.03 Advances by General Partner. At any time that the Partnership shall not have sufficient cash to pay its obligations, the General Partner may, but shall not be obligated to, advance such funds for or on behalf of the Partnership. Each such advance shall constitute a loan from the General Partner to the Partnership and shall bear interest from the date of the advance until the date of repayment at the General Interest Rate. Any advances made by the General Partner pursuant to this Section 4.03 shall not be considered to be Capital Contributions. All advances shall be repaid out of the next available funds of the Partnership, including Capital Contributions received.

4.04 Capital Accounts. A Capital Account shall be established and maintained for each Partner. Each Partner's Capital Account (a) shall be increased by (i) the amount of money contributed by that Partner to the Partnership, (ii) the fair market value of property, if any, contributed by that Partner to the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by such contributed property that the Partnership is considered to assume or take subject to under Section 752 of the Code), and (iii) allocations to that Partner of Partnership income and gain (or items thereof), including income and gain exempt from tax and income and gain described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g), but excluding income and gain described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i), and (b) shall be decreased by (i) the amount of money distributed to that Partner by the Partnership, (ii) the fair market value of property distributed to that Partner by the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by such distributed property that such Partner is considered to assume or take subject to under Section 752 of the Code), (iii) allocations to that Partner of expenditures of the Partnership described in Section 705(a)(2)(B) of the Code, and (iv)

allocations of Partnership loss and deduction (or items thereof), including loss and deduction described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g), but excluding items described in clause (b)(iii) above and loss or deduction described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i). The Partners' Capital Accounts also shall be maintained and adjusted as permitted by the provisions of Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(f) and as required by the other provisions of Regulation Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv) and 1.704-1(b)(4), including adjustments to reflect the allocations to the Partners of depreciation, amortization, and gain or loss as computed for book purposes rather than the allocation of the corresponding items as computed for tax purposes, as required by Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g). A Partner that has more than one interest in the Partnership shall have a single Capital Account that reflects all such interests, regardless of the class of interests owned by such Partner and regardless of the time or manner in which such interests were acquired; *provided*, that Partners that are Affiliates but nevertheless separate legal entities shall have separate Capital Accounts. Upon the transfer of all or part of an interest in the Partnership, the Capital Account of the transferor that is attributable to the transferred interest in the Partnership shall carry over to the transferee Partner in accordance with the provisions of Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(l).

ARTICLE V
ALLOCATIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

5.01 Allocations.

(a) Net Income and Net Loss. For purposes of maintaining the Capital Accounts, Net Income or Net Loss (and all items included in the computation thereof) shall be allocated among the Partners as follows:

(i) Net Income:

(A) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Limited Partner's Adjusted Capital Account equals the Class A Capital Base; and

(B) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

(ii) Net Loss:

(A) First, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests until the Adjusted Capital Accounts of the Class B Limited Partners are reduced to zero; and

(B) Thereafter, to the Class A Limited Partner.

(b) Special Allocations. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 5.01, the following special allocations shall be made for such taxable period:

(i) Partnership Minimum Gain Chargeback. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 5.01, if there is a net decrease in Partnership Minimum Gain during any Partnership taxable period, each Partner shall be allocated items of

Partnership income and gain for such period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods) in the manner and amounts provided in Regulation Sections 1.704-2(f)(6), 1.704-2(g)(2) and 1.704-2(j)(2)(i), or any successor provision. For purposes of this Section 5.01(b), each Partner's Adjusted Capital Account balance shall be determined, and the allocation of income or gain required hereunder shall be effected, prior to the application of any other allocations pursuant to this Section 5.01(b) with respect to such taxable period (other than an allocation pursuant to Sections 5.01(b)(vi) and 5.01(b)(vii)). This Section 5.01(b)(i) is intended to comply with the Partnership Minimum Gain chargeback requirement in Regulation Section 1.704-2(f) and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.

- (ii) Chargeback of Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain. Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Section 5.01 (other than Section 5.01(b)(i)), except as provided in Regulation Section 1.704-2(i)(4), if there is a net decrease in Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain during any Partnership taxable period, any Partner with a share of Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain at the beginning of such taxable period shall be allocated items of Partnership income and gain for such period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods) in the manner and amounts provided in Regulation Sections 1.704-2(i)(4) and 1.704-2(j)(2)(ii), or any successor provisions. For purposes of this Section 5.01(b), each Partner's Adjusted Capital Account balance shall be determined, and the allocation of income or gain required hereunder shall be effected, prior to the application of any other allocations pursuant to this Section 5.01(b), other than Section 5.01(b)(i) and other than an allocation pursuant to Sections 5.01(b)(vi) and 5.01(b)(vii), with respect to such taxable period. This Section 5.01(b)(ii) is intended to comply with the chargeback of items of income and gain requirement in Regulation Section 1.704-2(i)(4) and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.
- (iii) Qualified Income Offset. In the event any Partner unexpectedly receives any adjustments, allocations or distributions described in Regulation Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(5), or 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(6), items of Partnership income and gain shall be specially allocated to such Partner in an amount and manner sufficient to eliminate, to the extent required by the Regulations, the deficit balance, if any, in its Adjusted Capital Account created by such adjustments, allocations or distributions as quickly as possible unless such deficit balance is otherwise eliminated pursuant to Section 5.01(b)(i) or (ii).
- (iv) Gross Income Allocations. In the event any Partner has a deficit balance in its Capital Account at the end of any Partnership taxable period in excess of the sum of (A) the amount such Partner is required to restore pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement and (B) the amount such Partner is deemed obligated to restore pursuant to Regulation Sections 1.704-2(g) and 1.704-2(i)(5), such Partner shall be specially allocated items of Partnership gross income and gain in the amount of such excess as quickly as possible; *provided*, that an allocation pursuant to this Section 5.01(b)(iv) shall be made only if and to the extent that such Partner would have a deficit balance in its Capital Account as adjusted after all other allocations provided for in this Section 5.01 have been tentatively made as if this Section 5.01(b)(iv) were not in this Agreement.

- (v) Nonrecourse Deductions. Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable period shall be allocated to the Partners in accordance with their respective Percentage Interests. If the General Partner determines that the Partnership's Nonrecourse Deductions should be allocated in a different ratio to satisfy the safe harbor requirements of the Regulations promulgated under Section 704(b) of the Code, the General Partner is authorized, upon notice to the other Partners, to revise the prescribed ratio to the numerically closest ratio that does satisfy such requirements.
- (vi) Partner Nonrecourse Deductions. Partner Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable period shall be allocated 100% to the Partner that bears the Economic Risk of Loss with respect to the Partner Nonrecourse Debt to which such Partner Nonrecourse Deductions are attributable in accordance with Regulation Section 1.704-2(i). If more than one Partner bears the Economic Risk of Loss with respect to a Partner Nonrecourse Debt, such Partner Nonrecourse Deductions attributable thereto shall be allocated between or among such Partners in accordance with the ratios in which they share such Economic Risk of Loss.
- (vii) Nonrecourse Liabilities. For purposes of Regulation Section 1.752-3(a)(3), the Partners agree that Nonrecourse Liabilities of the Partnership in excess of the sum of (A) the amount of Partnership Minimum Gain and (B) the total amount of Nonrecourse Built-in Gain shall be allocated among the Partners in accordance with their respective Percentage Interests.
- (viii) Code Section 754 Adjustments. To the extent an adjustment to the adjusted tax basis of any Partnership asset pursuant to Section 734(b) or 743(b) of the Code is required, pursuant to Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m), to be taken into account in determining Capital Accounts, the amount of such adjustment to the Capital Accounts shall be treated as an item of gain (if the adjustment increases the basis of the asset) or loss (if the adjustment decreases such basis), and such item of gain or loss shall be specially allocated to the Partners in a manner consistent with the manner in which their Capital Accounts are required to be adjusted pursuant to such Section of the Regulations.

(c) Allocations Caused by Transfer of Interest. All items of income, gain, loss, deduction, and credit allocable to any interest in the Partnership that may have been transferred shall be allocated between the transferor and the transferee based upon that portion of the calendar year during which each was recognized as owning such interest, without regard to the results of Partnership operations during any particular portion of such calendar year and without regard to distributions made to the transferor and the transferee during such calendar year; *provided, however*, that such allocation shall be made in accordance with a method permissible under Section 706 of the Code and the regulations thereunder.

5.02 Income Tax Allocations.

(a) Except as provided in this Section 5.02, each item of income, gain, loss and deduction of the Partnership for federal income tax purposes shall be allocated among the

Partners in the same manner as such items are allocated for purposes of maintaining Capital Account under Section 5.01.

(b) For federal and state income tax purposes, income, gain, loss, and deduction with respect to property contributed to the Partnership by a Partner or revalued pursuant to Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(f) shall be allocated among the Partners in a manner that takes into account the variation between the adjusted tax basis of such property and its book value, as required by Section 704(c) of the Code and Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i), using any allocation method permitted by Regulation Section 1.704-3.

(c) The Partnership will follow the proposed Treasury Regulations that were issued on May 24, 2005, regarding the issuance of partnership equity for services (including Prop. Treas. Reg. Sections 1.83-3, 1.83-6, 1.704-1, 1.706-3, 1.721-1 and 1.761-1), as such regulations may be subsequently amended, upon the issuance of equity membership interests or options issued for services rendered or to be rendered, until final Treasury Regulations regarding these matters are issued. In furtherance of the foregoing, the definition of Capital Account and the allocations of Net Income and Net Loss of the Partnership shall be made in a manner that is consistent with the proposed Treasury Regulations and the proposed Revenue Procedure described in IRS Notice 2005-43, or provisions similar thereto, are adopted as final (or temporary) rules (the “New Rules”), and the General Partner is authorized to make such amendments to this Agreement (including provision for any safe harbor election authorized by the New Rules) as the General Partner may determine to be necessary or advisable.

5.03 Distributions of Cash Flow from EPD Units. Promptly following the receipt of any distributions of cash flow with respect to EPD Units (other than any Retained Distribution), the General Partner shall cause to be distributed to the Partners such receipts (and any income from the temporary investment thereof) in the manner set forth below, *provided*, that the General Partner may withhold and not distribute such portion of any such receipts that the General Partner has determined in its sole but good faith discretion should be withheld to pay expenses of the Partnership. Distribution to the Partners pursuant to this Section 5.03 shall be made as follows:

(a) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Limited Partner’s Class A Preference Return Amount has been reduced to zero; and

(b) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

In the event any Retained Distribution is received by the Partnership, the Partners acknowledge that such Retained Distribution is the property of the Class A Limited Partner, and the Partnership shall promptly pay any such Retained Distribution over to the Class A Limited Partner. Any payment of a Retained Distribution to the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to this paragraph shall not be treated as a “distribution” for purposes of this Agreement.

5.04 Distributions of Proceeds from Sales of EPD Units. Promptly following the receipt of any proceeds from the sale of any EPD Units by the Partnership, the General Partner shall cause to be distributed to the Partners such receipts in the manner set forth below, *provided*

that the General Partner may withhold and not distribute such portion of any such receipts that the General Partner has determined in its sole but good faith discretion should be withheld to pay expenses of the Partnership. Distribution to the Partners pursuant to this Section 5.04 shall be made as follows:

- (a) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Capital Base is reduced to zero; and
- (b) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

5.05 Restrictions on Distributions of EPD Units. The Partners and the Partnership hereby agree that they shall not cause the Partnership to offer for sale, sell, pledge or otherwise transfer, distribute or dispose of the EPD Units held by the Partnership prior to the Vesting Date, other than as approved by the General Partner (in its sole discretion) in connection with a Change of Control.

ARTICLE VI MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION

6.01 Management of Partnership Affairs. Except for situations in which the approval of the Limited Partners is expressly required by this Agreement or by non-waivable provisions of applicable law, the General Partner shall have full, complete, and exclusive authority to manage and control the business, affairs, and properties of the Partnership, to make all decisions regarding the same, and to perform any and all other acts or activities customary or incident to the management of the Partnership's business. The General Partner shall receive no compensation for its services as such. Subject to the other express provisions hereof, the General Partner shall make or take all decisions and actions for the Partnership not otherwise provided for herein, including, without limitation, the following:

- (a) acquiring, holding, managing, selling, Disposing of, and otherwise dealing with and investing in (i) the Partnership's EPD Units, or (ii) temporary investments of Partnership capital in U.S. government securities, certificates of deposit with maturities of less than one year, commercial paper (rated or unrated), and other highly liquid securities;
- (b) entering into, making, and performing all contracts, agreements, and other undertakings binding the Partnership, as may be necessary, appropriate, or advisable in furtherance of the purposes of the Partnership and making all decisions and waivers thereunder;
- (c) opening and maintaining bank and investment accounts and drawing checks and other orders for the payment of monies;
- (d) maintaining the assets of the Partnership in compliance with applicable securities laws and protecting and preserving the Partnership's title thereto;
- (e) collecting all sums due the Partnership;

(f) to the extent that funds of the Partnership are available therefor, paying as they become due all debts and obligations of the Partnership;

(g) causing securities owned by the Partnership to be registered in the Partnership's name or in the name of a nominee or to be held in street name, as the General Partner may elect;

(h) selecting, removing, and changing the authority and responsibility of lawyers, accountants, brokers, and other advisors and consultants;

(i) obtaining insurance for the Partnership to the extent the General Partner deems appropriate; and

(j) determining distributions of Partnership cash as provided in Sections 5.03 and 5.04.

6.02 Duties and Obligations of General Partner. The General Partner shall endeavor to conduct the affairs of the Partnership in the best interests of the Partnership and the mutual best interests of the Partners, including, without limitation, the safekeeping and use of all Partnership funds and assets and the use thereof for the benefit of the Partnership. The General Partner at all times shall act in good faith in all activities relating to the conduct of the business of the Partnership. The General Partner shall devote such time as it deems necessary to conduct the business and affairs of the Partnership in an appropriate manner.

6.03 Release and Indemnification. **TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, THE PARTNERSHIP AND EACH OTHER PARTNER ON BEHALF OF ITSELF AND ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS HEREBY RELEASES, ACQUITS, AND FOREVER DISCHARGES THE GENERAL PARTNER AND THE CLASS A LIMITED PARTNER, THEIR PARTNERS OR SHAREHOLDERS, AND THEIR DIRECTORS, OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES, PARTNERS, REPRESENTATIVES, AND AGENTS AND EACH OTHER PERSON, IF ANY, CONTROLLING OR EMPLOYING SUCH PERSONS OR ENTITIES (COLLECTIVELY, THE "INDEMNITEES") FROM ALL CLAIMS, DEMANDS, OR CAUSES OF ACTION OF ANY CHARACTER THAT SUCH PARTY MAY HAVE, WHETHER KNOWN OR UNKNOWN, AGAINST ANY INDEMNITEE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PARTNERSHIP AND/OR THE BUSINESS CONDUCTED BY THE PARTNERSHIP; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT SUCH RELEASE SHALL NOT APPLY TO ACTIONS CONSTITUTING WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OR BAD FAITH. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS EACH INDEMNITEE FROM AND AGAINST ALL LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES, EXPENSES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, COSTS OF SUIT AND ATTORNEYS' FEES) SUCH INDEMNITEE MAY INCUR IN CONNECTION WITH THE GENERAL PARTNER'S PERFORMING ITS OBLIGATIONS HEREUNDER (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES AND EXPENSES ARISING FROM, OR ALLEGED TO ARISE FROM, THE INDEMNITEE'S ACTIVE OR PASSIVE, SOLE OR CONCURRENT, NEGLIGENCE OR GROSS NEGLIGENCE), AND THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL ADVANCE EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE DEFENSE**

OF ANY ACTION RELATED THERETO; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT SUCH INDEMNITY SHALL NOT APPLY TO ACTIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN FINALLY, WITHOUT FURTHER RIGHT TO APPEAL, JUDICIALLY DETERMINED TO CONSTITUTE WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OR BAD FAITH. IF THE INDEMNIFICATION PROVIDED FOR ABOVE IS NOT PERMITTED OR ENFORCEABLE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW OR IS OTHERWISE UNAVAILABLE OR INSUFFICIENT TO HOLD HARMLESS THE INDEMNITEES AS CONTEMPLATED ABOVE, THEN THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL CONTRIBUTE TO THE AMOUNT PAID OR PAYABLE BY THE INDEMNITEES AS A RESULT OF SUCH LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES AND EXPENSES REFERRED TO ABOVE IN SUCH PROPORTION AS IS APPROPRIATE TO REFLECT THE RELATIVE BENEFITS CONTEMPLATED TO BE RECEIVED BY THE PARTNERSHIP AND THE INDEMNITEES, RESPECTIVELY, FROM THE ACTIONS GIVING RISE TO SUCH LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES OR EXPENSES.

6.04 Power of Attorney.

(a) Each Limited Partner hereby constitutes and appoints the General Partner and, if a liquidator (other than the General Partner) shall have been selected pursuant to Section 11.02, the liquidator, severally (and any successor to either thereof by merger, transfer, assignment, election or otherwise) and each of their authorized officers and attorneys-in-fact, as the case may be, with full power of substitution, as his true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact, with full power and authority in his name, place and stead, to:

- (i) execute, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file and record in the appropriate public offices (A) all certificates, documents and other instruments (including this Agreement and the Certificate of Limited Partnership and all amendments or restatements hereof or thereof) that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to form, qualify or continue the existence or qualification of the Partnership as a limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in the State of Delaware and in all other jurisdictions in which the Partnership may conduct business or own property; (B) all certificates, documents and other instruments that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to reflect, in accordance with its terms, any amendment, change, modification or restatement of this Agreement; (C) all certificates, documents and other instruments (including conveyances and a certificate of cancellation) that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to reflect the dissolution and liquidation of the Partnership pursuant to the terms of this Agreement; and (D) all certificates, documents and other instruments relating to the admission, withdrawal, removal or substitution of any Partner; and
- (ii) execute, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file and record all ballots, consents, approvals, waivers, certificates, documents and other instruments necessary or appropriate, in the discretion of the General Partner or the liquidator, to make, evidence, give, confirm or ratify any vote, consent, approval, agreement or other action that is made or given by the Partners hereunder or is consistent with the terms of this Agreement or is

necessary or appropriate, in the discretion of the General Partner or the liquidator, to effectuate the terms or intent of this Agreement; *provided*, that when required by any provision of this Agreement that establishes a percentage of the Limited Partners required to take any action, the General Partner and the liquidator may exercise the power of attorney made in this Section 6.04 only after the necessary vote, consent or approval of the Limited Partners.

This Section 6.04 shall be construed as authorizing the General Partner to amend this Agreement in any manner subject to any provision of this Agreement that establishes a percentage of the Limited Partners required to take any action.

(b) The foregoing power of attorney is hereby declared to be irrevocable and a power coupled with an interest, and it shall survive and, to the maximum extent permitted by law, not be affected by the subsequent death, incompetency, disability, incapacity, dissolution, bankruptcy or termination of any Limited Partner and the transfer of all or any portion of such Limited Partner's Percentage Interest and shall extend to such Limited Partner's heirs, successors, assigns and personal representatives. Each such Limited Partner hereby agrees to be bound by any representation made by the General Partner or the liquidator acting in good faith pursuant to such power of attorney; and each such Limited Partner, to the maximum extent permitted by law, hereby waives any and all defenses that may be available to contest, negate or disaffirm the action of the General Partner or the liquidator taken in good faith under such power of attorney. Each Limited Partner shall execute and deliver to the General Partner or the liquidator, within 15 days after receipt of the request therefor, such further designation, powers of attorney and other instruments as the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary to effectuate this Agreement and the purposes of the Partnership.

ARTICLE VII

RIGHTS OF OTHER PARTNERS

7.01 Information. In addition to the other rights specifically set forth herein, each Partner shall have access to all information to which such Partner is entitled to have access pursuant to Section 17-305 of the Act under the circumstances and subject to the conditions therein stated. Without limiting the provisions of Section 17-305(b) of the Act, the Partners agree that if the General Partner from time to time enters into on behalf of the Partnership or the General Partner contractual obligations regarding the confidentiality of information received with respect to the Partnership's business or assets, it shall not be reasonable for any other Partner or assignee or representative thereof to examine or copy such information unless such Partner agrees to comply with the terms of such contractual obligations including without limitation executing a counterpart of any applicable confidentiality agreements.

7.02 Limitations. No Limited Partner shall have the authority or power in its capacity as such to act for or on behalf of the Partnership or any other Partner, to do any act that would be binding on the Partnership or any other Partner, or to incur any expenditures on behalf of or with respect to the Partnership. No Limited Partner shall have the right or power to withdraw from the Partnership.

7.03 Limited Liability. No Limited Partner shall be liable for the losses, debts, liabilities, contracts, or other obligations of the Partnership except to the extent required by law or otherwise set forth herein.

ARTICLE VIII

TAXES

8.01 Tax Returns. The General Partner shall cause to be prepared and filed all necessary federal and state income tax returns for the Partnership, including making the elections described in Section 8.02. Each Partner shall furnish to the General Partner all pertinent information in its possession relating to Partnership operations that is necessary to enable such income tax returns to be prepared and filed.

8.02 Tax Elections. The following elections shall be made on the appropriate returns of the Partnership:

- (a) to adopt the calendar year as the Partnership's fiscal year;
- (b) unless the accrual method is required under the applicable sections of the Code, to adopt the cash method of accounting and to keep the Partnership's books and records on the income-tax method;
- (c) if there shall be a distribution of Partnership property as described in Section 734 of the Code or if there shall be a transfer of a Partnership interest as described in Section 743 of the Code, upon written request of any Partner, to elect, pursuant to Section 754 of the Code, to adjust the basis of Partnership properties;
- (d) to elect to amortize the organizational expenses of the Partnership ratably over a period of 60 months as permitted by Section 709(b) of the Code; and
- (e) any other election the General Partner may deem appropriate and in the best interests of the Partners.

No election shall be made by the Partnership or any Partner to be treated as an association taxable as a corporation or to be excluded from the application of the provisions of Subchapter K of Chapter 1 of Subtitle A of the Code or any similar provisions of applicable state laws.

8.03 Tax Matters Partner. The General Partner shall be the "tax matters partner" of the Partnership pursuant to Section 6231(a)(7) of the Code. The General Partner shall take such action as may be necessary to cause each other Partner to become a "notice partner" within the meaning of Section 6223 of the Code. The General Partner shall inform each other Partner of all significant matters that may come to its attention in its capacity as tax matters partner by giving notice thereof within ten Business Days after becoming aware thereof and, within such time, shall forward to each other Partner copies of all significant written communications it may receive in such capacity. The General Partner shall not take any action contemplated by Sections 6222 through 6232 of the Code without the consent of a Required Interest. This provision is not intended to authorize the General Partner to take any action left to the determination of an individual Partner under Sections 6222 through 6232 of the Code.

ARTICLE IX
BOOKS, RECORDS, REPORTS, AND BANK ACCOUNTS

9.01 Maintenance of Books. The books of account for the Partnership shall be maintained on a cash basis in accordance with the terms of this Agreement except that the Capital Accounts of the Partners shall be maintained in accordance with Section 4.04. The calendar year shall be the accounting year of the Partnership.

9.02 Financial Statements. Within 120 days after the end of each fiscal year during the term of the Partnership, the General Partner shall cause each other Partner to be furnished with an unaudited balance sheet, an income statement, and a statement of changes in Partners' capital of the Partnership for, or as of the end of, such period. All financial statements shall be prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally employed for cash-basis records consistently applied (except as therein noted).

9.03 Bank Accounts. The General Partner shall establish and maintain one or more separate accounts for Partnership funds in the Partnership name at such financial institutions as it may designate. The General Partner may not commingle the Partnership's funds with other funds of any Partner.

ARTICLE X
WITHDRAWAL, BANKRUPTCY, REMOVAL, ETC.

10.01 Withdrawal, Bankruptcy, Etc. of General Partner.

(a) The General Partner covenants and agrees that it will not withdraw from the Partnership as the general partner within the meaning of Section 17-602 of the Act. If the General Partner shall so withdraw from the Partnership in violation of such covenant and agreement, such withdrawal shall be effective only upon 90 days' prior notice to all other Partners.

(b) The General Partner shall not cease to be a general partner on the occurrence of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act, but shall cease to be a general partner 90 days thereafter. The General Partner shall notify each other Partner that an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act has occurred (without regard to the lapse of any time periods therein) with respect to it within five Business Days after such occurrence.

(c) Following any notice pursuant to Section 10.01(a) that the General Partner shall be withdrawing, or following the occurrence of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act with respect to the General Partner (without regard to the lapse of any time periods therein), and unless there shall be one other General Partner remaining, the greater of the Class A Limited Partner plus a Required Interest of the Class B Limited Partners or a majority in interest as defined in Internal Revenue Service Procedure 94-46 (or any successor thereof) by written consent may select a new General Partner, which shall be admitted to the Partnership as a general partner effective immediately prior to the existing General Partner's ceasing to be a general partner with such general partner interest as the Limited Partners making such selection may specify, but only if such new General Partner shall

have made such Capital Contribution as such Limited Partners may specify and shall have executed and delivered to the Partnership a document including such new General Partner's notice address, acceptance of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, an agreement to perform and discharge timely all of its obligations and liabilities hereunder, and a representation and warranty that the representation and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such new General Partner. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 10.01(c), the right to select such new General Partner shall not exist or be exercised unless the Partnership shall have received the favorable opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel or of other legal counsel acceptable to the Limited Partners making such selection to the effect that such selection and admission will not result in (i) the loss of limited liability of any Limited Partner (except to the extent a Limited Partner has consented to become the General Partner) or (ii) in the Partnership being treated as an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 10.01(c), no such new General Partner shall be admitted (and the existing General Partner shall continue as such) if the event that permitted the selection of a new General Partner shall have been an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(5) of the Act that with the passage of time would cause the existing General Partner to become a Bankrupt Partner but, due to the failure of such situation to continue, such General Partner does not become a Bankrupt Partner.

10.02 Conversion of Interest. Immediately upon the General Partner's ceasing to be General Partner following the admission of a new General Partner pursuant to Section 10.01(c), the former General Partner's interest in the Partnership as a General Partner shall be converted into the interest of a Limited Partner in the Partnership having the same economic rights as specified for the General Partner herein immediately prior to its ceasing to be a General Partner, and such General Partner shall automatically and without further action be admitted to the Partnership as a Limited Partner.

ARTICLE XI

DISSOLUTION, LIQUIDATION, AND TERMINATION

11.01 Dissolution. The Partnership shall be dissolved and its affairs shall be wound up upon the first to occur of any of the following:

- (a) the written consent of the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest;
- (b) the written consent of the General Partner acting pursuant to Section 3.09;
- (c) unless otherwise agreed to by the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest, a date selected by the General Partner (in its sole discretion) that is within 30 days following the occurrence of the Vesting Date;
- (d) the end of the term of the Partnership as set forth in Section 2.06;
- (e) the General Partner's ceasing to be the General Partner as described in Section 10.01(b) with no new General Partner having been selected and admitted as provided in Section 10.01(c); or

(f) any other event causing dissolution as described in Section 17-801 of the Act (other than an event described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act, except as provided in Sections 10.01(b) and 11.01(d));

it being understood that if an “event of withdrawal of a general partner” (as defined in Section 17-101(3) of the Act) shall occur with respect to the General Partner and at least one other General Partner shall have been or is about to be admitted pursuant to Section 3.03(b), 10.01(c), or 10.02, the Partnership shall not dissolve but shall continue and the remaining General Partner shall, and hereby agrees to, carry on the business of the Partnership.

11.02 Liquidation and Termination. Upon dissolution of the Partnership, unless it is continued as provided in Section 11.01, the General Partner shall act as liquidator or may appoint one or more other Persons as liquidator; *provided, however*, that if the Partnership shall be dissolved on account of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of Act with respect to the General Partner, the liquidator shall be one or more Persons selected in writing by the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest. The liquidator shall proceed diligently to wind up the affairs of the Partnership and make final distributions as provided herein, and shall file any amendments to the Certificate as may be required by applicable law. The costs of liquidation shall be borne as a Partnership expense. Until final distribution, the liquidator shall continue to manage the Partnership assets with all of the power and authority of the General Partner. The steps to be accomplished by the liquidator are as follows:

(a) as promptly as possible after dissolution and again after final liquidation, the liquidator shall cause a proper accounting to be made by a recognized firm of certified public accountants of the Partnership’s assets, liabilities, and operations through the last day of the calendar month in which the dissolution shall have occurred or the final liquidation shall be completed, as applicable;

(b) the liquidator shall pay all of the debts and liabilities of the Partnership (including, without limitation, all expenses incurred in liquidation and any advances made by the General Partner pursuant to Section 4.03) or otherwise make adequate provision therefor (including, without limitation, the establishment of a cash escrow fund for contingent liabilities in such amount and for such term as the liquidator may reasonably determine); and

(c) all remaining assets of the Partnership shall be distributed to the Partners as follows:

- (i) the fair market value of the property shall be determined and the capital accounts of the Partners shall be adjusted to reflect the manner in which the unrealized income, gain, loss, and deduction inherent in such property (that has not been reflected in the capital accounts previously) would be allocated among the Partners if there were a taxable disposition of such property for the fair market value of such property on the Vesting Date; and
- (ii) the Partnership property shall be distributed among the Partners in accordance with the positive capital account balances of the Partners, as determined after taking into account all capital account adjustments for the taxable year of the Partnership

during which the liquidation of the Partnership occurs (other than those made by reason of this clause); and such distributions shall be made by the end of the taxable year of the Partnership during which the liquidation of the Partnership occurs (or, if later, within 90 days after the date of such liquidation). While the General Partner has the right to sell EPD Units as noted in Section 5.04, and subject to the restrictions set forth in Section 5.05, it is the intent of the General Partner upon liquidation and termination of the Partnership to distribute EPD Units to the Partners rather than sell the EPD Units and distribute the cash proceeds of such sale to the Partners.

For purposes of this Section 11.02(c), the “fair market value” of each EPD Unit held by the Partnership on the Vesting Date shall be equal to the average of the closing sale prices per EPD Unit for the 20 trading days ending on the Vesting Date (or, if no closing sale price is reported, the average of the bid and asked prices) as reported in the composite transactions for the principal United States securities exchange on which the EPD Units are traded or if the EPD Units are not listed on a national or regional stock exchange, as reported by The NASDAQ National Market. All distributions in kind to the Partners shall be made subject to the liability of each distributee for costs, expenses, and liabilities theretofore incurred or for which the Partnership shall have committed prior to the date of termination and such costs, expenses, and liabilities shall be allocated to such distributee pursuant to this Section 11.02. The distribution of property to a Partner in accordance with the provisions of this Section 11.02 shall constitute a complete return to the Partner of its Capital Contributions and a complete distribution to the Partner of its interest in the Partnership and all the Partnership’s property and shall constitute a compromise to which all Partners have consented within the meaning of Section 17-502(b) of the Act.

11.03 Cancellation of Certificate. Upon completion of the distribution of Partnership assets as provided herein, the Partnership shall be terminated, and the General Partner (or, if there shall be no General Partner, the Limited Partners) shall cause the cancellation of the Certificate and any other filings made pursuant to Section 2.05 and shall take such other actions as may be necessary to terminate the Partnership.

ARTICLE XII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

12.01 Offset. In the event that any sum is payable to any Partner pursuant to this Agreement, any amounts owed by such Partner to the Partnership shall be deducted from said sum before payment to said Partner.

12.02 Notices. All notices or requests or consents provided for or permitted to be given pursuant to this Agreement must be in writing and must be given (a) by depositing same in the United States mail, addressed to the Person to be notified, postpaid, and registered or certified with return receipt requested or (b) by delivering such notice by courier or in person to such party. Notices given or served pursuant hereto shall be effective two Business Days after such deposit, or upon receipt if delivered in person to the person to be notified. All notices to be sent to a Partner shall be sent to or made at the address given on the Power of Attorney executed by the Partner and delivered to the General Partner on the date of the Original Partnership Agreement or in the instrument described in Section 3.03(c), 3.04, or 10.01(c), or such other

address as such Partner may specify by notice to the General Partner. Any notice to the Partnership shall be given to the General Partner.

12.03 Entire Agreement; Supersedure. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the Partners relating to the matters contained herein and supersedes all prior contracts or agreements, whether oral or written, among the parties hereto with respect to such matters.

12.04 Effect of Waiver or Consent. No waiver or consent, express or implied, by any Person with respect to any breach or default by any other Person of its obligations hereunder shall be deemed or construed to be a consent or waiver with respect to any other breach or default by such other Person of the same or any other obligations of such other Person hereunder. Failure on the part of any Person to complain of any act or omission of any other Person, or to declare any other Person in default, irrespective of how long such failure continues, shall not constitute a waiver by such Person of its rights hereunder until the applicable limitation period has run.

12.05 Amendment or Modification. This Agreement may be amended or modified from time to time only by a written instrument executed by the General Partner; *provided, however,* that (a) the vesting and distribution provisions of this Agreement may be amended or modified only by a written instrument executed by the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest, and (b) no amendment or modification reducing a Partner's Sharing Points (other than to reflect changes otherwise provided hereby) or increasing its duties or adversely affecting its limited liability shall be effective without such Partner's consent.

12.06 Binding Effect; Joinder of Additional Parties. Subject to the restrictions on Dispositions set forth herein, this Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the Partners, as well as the respective heirs, legal representatives, successors, and assigns of such Partners.

12.07 Construction. THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE, EXCLUDING ANY CONFLICTS-OF-LAW RULE OR PRINCIPLE THAT MIGHT REFER THE GOVERNANCE OR CONSTRUCTION OF THIS AGREEMENT TO THE LAWS OF ANOTHER JURISDICTION. The headings in this Agreement are inserted for convenience and identification only and are not intended to describe, interpret, define, or limit the scope, extent, or intent of this Agreement or any provision hereof. Whenever the context requires, the gender of all words used in this Agreement shall include the masculine, feminine, and neuter. All references to Articles and Sections refer to articles and sections of this Agreement. All sums and amounts payable or to be payable pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement shall be payable in coin or currency of the United States of America that, at the time of payment, is legal tender for the payment of public and private debts in the United States of America. If any provision of this Agreement or the application thereof to any Person or circumstance shall be held invalid or unenforceable to any extent, the remainder of this Agreement and the application of such provision to other Persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby and shall be enforced to the greatest extent permitted by law.

12.08 Further Assurances. In connection with this Agreement, as well as all transactions contemplated by this Agreement, each Partner agrees to execute and deliver such additional documents and instruments and to perform such additional acts as may be necessary or appropriate to effectuate, carry out, and perform all of the terms, provisions, and conditions of this Agreement and all such transactions.

12.09 Indemnification. To the fullest extent permitted by law, each Partner shall indemnify the Partnership and each other Partner and hold them harmless from and against all losses, costs, liabilities, damages, and expenses (including, without limitation, costs of suit and attorney's fees) they may incur on account of any breach by such indemnifying Partner of this Agreement.

12.10 Waiver of Certain Rights. Each Partner irrevocably waives any right it might have to maintain any action for dissolution of the Partnership or to maintain any action for partition of the property of the Partnership.

12.11 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts with the same effect as if all signatory parties had signed the same document. All counterparts shall be construed together and shall constitute one and the same instrument.

12.12 Dispute Resolution. (a) If the General Partner and one or more Limited Partners are unable to resolve any controversy, dispute, claim or other matter in question arising out of, or relating to, this Agreement, any provision hereof, the alleged breach hereof, or in any way relating to the subject matter of this Agreement, or the relationship between the parties created by this Agreement, including questions concerning the scope and applicability of this Section 12.12, whether sounding in contract, tort or otherwise, at law or in equity, under state or federal law, whether provided by statute or common law, for damages or any other relief (any such controversy, dispute, claim or other matter in question, a "Dispute"), on or before the 30th day following the receipt by the General Partner or such Limited Partners of written notice of such Dispute from the other party, which notice describes in reasonable detail the nature of the Dispute and the facts and circumstances relating thereto, the General Partner or such Limited Partners may, by delivery of written notice to the other party, require that a representative of the General Partner and of such Limited Partners meet at a mutually agreeable time and place in an attempt to resolve such Dispute. Such meeting shall take place on or before the 15th day following the date of the notice requiring such meeting, and if the Dispute has not been resolved within 15 days following such meeting, the General Partner or such Limited Partners may cause such Dispute to be resolved by binding arbitration in Houston, Texas, by submitting such Dispute for arbitration within 30 days following the expiration of such 15-day period. This agreement to arbitrate shall be specifically enforceable against the parties.

(b) It is the intention of the parties that the arbitration shall be governed by and conducted pursuant to the Federal Arbitration Act, as such Act is modified by this Section 12.12. If it is determined the Federal Arbitration Act is not applicable to this Agreement (e.g., this Agreement does not evidence a transaction involving interstate commerce), this agreement to arbitrate shall nevertheless be enforceable pursuant to applicable State law. While the arbitrators may refer to the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association (the "Rules") for guidance with respect to procedural matters, the arbitration proceeding shall not be

administered by the American Arbitration Association but instead shall be self-administered by the parties until the arbitrators are selected and then the proceeding shall be administered by the arbitrators.

(c) The validity, construction, and interpretation of this agreement to arbitrate, and all procedural aspects of the arbitration conducted pursuant to this agreement to arbitrate, including but not limited to, the determination of the issues that are subject to arbitration (i.e., arbitrability), the scope of the arbitrable issues, allegations of “fraud in the inducement” to enter into this Agreement or this arbitration provision, allegations of waiver, laches, delay or other defenses to arbitrability, and the rules governing the conduct of the arbitration (including the time for filing an answer, the time for the filing of counterclaims, the times for amending the pleadings, the specificity of the pleadings, the extent and scope of discovery, the issuance of subpoenas, the times for the designation of experts, whether the arbitration is to be stayed pending resolution of related litigation involving third parties not bound by this arbitration agreement, the receipt of evidence, and the like), shall be decided by the arbitrators.

(d) The rules of arbitration of the Federal Arbitration Act, as modified by this Agreement, shall govern procedural aspects of the arbitration; to the extent the Federal Arbitration Act as modified by this Agreement does not address a procedural issue, the arbitrators may refer for guidance to the Commercial Arbitration Rules then in effect with the American Arbitration Association. The arbitrators may refer for guidance to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Civil Evidence, and the federal law with respect to the discovery process, applicable legal privileges, and admissible evidence. In deciding the substance of the parties’ Dispute, the arbitrators shall refer to the substantive laws of the State of Delaware for guidance (excluding Delaware’s conflict-of-law rules or principles that might call for the application of the law of another jurisdiction); *provided, however*, IT IS EXPRESSLY AGREED THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION IN THIS SECTION 12.12 TO THE CONTRARY, THE ARBITRATORS SHALL HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO AUTHORITY TO AWARD CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (SUCH AS LOSS OF PROFIT), TREBLE, EXEMPLARY OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES OF ANY TYPE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGES MAY BE AVAILABLE UNDER DELAWARE LAW, THE LAW OF ANY OTHER STATE, OR FEDERAL LAW, OR UNDER THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT, OR UNDER THE COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION RULES OF THE AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION. The arbitrators shall have the authority to assess the costs and expenses of the arbitration proceeding (including the arbitrators’ fees and expenses) against either or both parties. However, each party shall bear its own attorneys fees and the arbitrators shall have no authority to award attorneys fees.

(e) When a Dispute has been submitted for arbitration, within 30 days of such submission, the General Partner will choose an arbitrator, and such Limited Partners will choose an arbitrator. The two arbitrators shall select a third arbitrator, failing agreement on which within 90 days of the original notice, the General Partner and such Limited Partners (or either of them) shall apply to any United States District Judge for the Southern District of Texas, who shall appoint the third arbitrator. While the third arbitrator shall be neutral, the two party-appointed arbitrators are not required to be neutral and it shall not be grounds for removal of either of the two party-appointed arbitrators or for vacating the arbitrators’ award that either of

such arbitrators has past or present minimal relationships with the party that appointed such arbitrator. Evident partiality on the part of an arbitrator exists only where the circumstances are such that a reasonable person would have to conclude there in fact existed actual bias and a mere appearance or impression of bias will not constitute evident partiality or otherwise disqualify an arbitrator. Minimal or trivial past or present relationships between the neutral arbitrator and the party selecting such arbitrator or any of the other arbitrators, or the failure to disclose such minimal or trivial past or present relationships, will not by themselves constitute evident partiality or otherwise disqualify any arbitrator. Upon selection of the third arbitrator, each of the three arbitrators shall agree in writing to abide faithfully by the terms of this agreement to arbitrate. The three arbitrators shall make all of their decisions by majority vote. If one of the party-appointed arbitrators refuses to participate in the proceedings or refuses to vote, the decision of the other two arbitrators shall be binding. If an arbitrator dies or becomes physically incapacitated and is unable to fulfill his or her duties as an arbitrator, the arbitration proceeding shall continue with a substitute arbitrator selected as follows: if the incapacitated arbitrator is a party-appointed arbitrator, the party shall promptly select a new arbitrator, and if the incapacitated arbitrator is the neutral arbitrator, the two-party appointed arbitrators shall select a substitute neutral arbitrator, failing agreement on which the General Partner and such Limited Partners (or either of them) shall apply to any United States District Judge for the Southern District of Texas, who shall appoint the substitute neutral arbitrator.

(f) The final hearing shall be conducted within 120 days of the selection of the third arbitrator. The final hearing shall not exceed ten working days, with each party to be granted one-half of the allocated time to present its case to the arbitrators. There shall be a transcript of the hearing before the arbitrators. The arbitrators shall render their ultimate decision within 20 days of the completion of the final hearing completely resolving all of the Disputes between the parties that are the subject of the arbitration proceeding. The arbitrators' ultimate decision after final hearing shall be in writing, but shall be as brief as possible, and the arbitrators shall assign their reasons for their ultimate decision. In the case the arbitrators award any monetary damages in favor of either party, the arbitrators shall certify in their award that they have not included any treble, exemplary or punitive damages.

(g) The arbitrators' award shall, as between the parties to this Agreement and those in privity with them, be final and entitled to all of the protections and benefits of a final judgment, e.g., res judicata (claim preclusion) and collateral estoppel (issue preclusion), as to all Disputes, including compulsory counterclaims, that were or could have been presented to the arbitrators. The arbitrators' award shall not be reviewable by or appealable to any court, except to the extent permitted by the Federal Arbitration Act.

(h) It is the intent of the parties that the arbitration proceeding shall be conducted expeditiously, without initial recourse to the courts and without interlocutory appeals of the arbitrators' decisions to the courts. However, if a party refuses to honor its obligations under this agreement to arbitrate, the other party may obtain appropriate relief compelling arbitration in any court having jurisdiction over the parties; the order compelling arbitration shall require that the arbitration proceedings take place in Houston, Texas, as specified above. The parties may apply to any court for orders requiring witnesses to obey subpoenas issued by the arbitrators. Moreover, any and all of the arbitrators' orders and decisions may be enforced if necessary by

any court. The arbitrators' award may be confirmed in, and judgment upon the award entered by, any federal or State court having jurisdiction over the parties.

(i) To the fullest extent permitted by law, this arbitration proceeding and the arbitrators award shall be maintained in confidence by the parties. However, a violation of this covenant shall not affect the enforceability of this arbitration agreement or of the arbitrators' award.

(j) A party's breach of this Agreement shall not affect this agreement to arbitrate. Moreover, the parties' obligations under this arbitration provision are enforceable even after this Agreement has terminated. The invalidity or unenforceability of any provision of this arbitration agreement shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the parties' obligation to submit their Disputes to binding arbitration or the other provisions of this agreement to arbitrate.

12.13 No Effect on Employment Relationship. Nothing in this Agreement shall confer upon any employee of the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof any right to continued employment nor shall it interfere in any way with the right of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates to terminate the employment of any employee at any time.

12.14 Legal Representation. This Agreement and related documents have been prepared by Vinson & Elkins LLP and Andrews Kurth LLP, as counsel for the General Partner, and not as counsel for any other Partner or the Partnership. Each party other than the General Partner has been advised to seek independent counsel in connection with this Agreement and the related documents.

[Signature Pages to Follow.]

FORM OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

For Executing Agreement of Limited Partnership of EPD PubCo Unit II L.P.

Know all by these presents, that the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Enterprise Products Company, a Texas corporation, and its authorized representatives the undersigned's true and lawful attorney-in-fact to:

- (1) execute for and on behalf of the undersigned as a Class B limited partner thereunder that certain Agreement of Limited Partnership of EPD PubCo Unit II L.P. (the "Partnership Agreement"); and
- (2) take any other action of any type whatsoever in connection with the foregoing that, in the opinion of each such attorney-in-fact, may be of benefit to, in the best interest of, or legally required of the undersigned, it being understood that the documents executed by the attorney-in-fact on behalf of the undersigned pursuant to this Power of Attorney shall be in such form and shall contain such terms and conditions as the attorney-in-fact may approve in the attorney-in-fact's discretion.

The undersigned hereby grants to each attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or proper to be done in the exercise of any of the rights and powers herein granted, as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, with full power of substitution or revocation, hereby ratifying and confirming all that the attorney-in-fact, or the attorney-in-facts substitute or substitutes, shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue of this Power of Attorney and the rights and powers herein granted.

The undersigned acknowledges and agrees by execution of this Power of Attorney that the undersigned's initial Sharing Points (as defined in the Partnership Agreement) under the Partnership Agreement equal _____, which represents ____% of the total initial Sharing Points granted by the General Partner pursuant to the Partnership Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has caused this Power of Attorney to be executed as of the date written below.

Signature

Type or Print Name

Date

FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
OF
EPD PRIVCO UNIT I L.P.

Dated as of
November 3, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE I DEFINITIONS

1.01	Certain Definitions	1
1.02	Other Definitions	6

ARTICLE II ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

2.01	Formation	6
2.02	Name	6
2.03	Registered Office; Registered Agent; Other Offices	6
2.04	Purposes	6
2.05	Certificate; Foreign Qualification	7
2.06	Term	7
2.07	Merger or Consolidation	7

ARTICLE III PARTNERS; DISPOSITIONS OF INTERESTS

3.01	Partners	7
3.02	Representations and Warranties	7
3.03	Restrictions on the Disposition of an Interest	8
3.04	Additional Partners	9
3.05	Interests in a Partner	10
3.06	Spouses of Partners	10
3.07	Vesting of Limited Partners	10
3.08	Services Provided by the Partners	10
3.09	Investment Company Act Matters	10

ARTICLE IV CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS

4.01	Initial and Additional Capital Contributions	11
4.02	Return of Contributions	11
4.03	Advances by General Partner	11
4.04	Capital Accounts	11

ARTICLE V ALLOCATIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

5.01	Allocations	12
5.02	Income Tax Allocations	14
5.03	Distributions of Cashflow from EPD Units	15
5.04	Distributions of Proceeds from Sales of EPD Units	15

5.05	Restrictions on Distributions of EPD Units	16
------	--	----

**ARTICLE VI
MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION**

6.01	Management of Partnership Affairs	16
6.02	Duties and Obligations of General Partner	17
6.03	Release and Indemnification	17
6.04	Power of Attorney	18

**ARTICLE VII
RIGHTS OF OTHER PARTNERS**

7.01	Information	19
7.02	Limitations	19
7.03	Limited Liability	20

**ARTICLE VIII
TAXES**

8.01	Tax Returns	20
8.02	Tax Elections	20
8.03	Tax Matters Partner	20

**ARTICLE IX
BOOKS, RECORDS, REPORTS, AND BANK ACCOUNTS**

9.01	Maintenance of Books	21
9.02	Financial Statements	21
9.03	Bank Accounts	21

**ARTICLE X
WITHDRAWAL, BANKRUPTCY, REMOVAL, ETC.**

10.01	Withdrawal, Bankruptcy, Etc. of General Partner	21
10.02	Conversion of Interest	22

**ARTICLE XI
DISSOLUTION, LIQUIDATION, AND TERMINATION**

11.01	Dissolution	22
11.02	Liquidation and Termination	23
11.03	Cancellation of Certificate	24

**ARTICLE XII
GENERAL PROVISIONS**

12.01	Offset	24
-------	--------	----

12.02	Notices	24
12.03	Entire Agreement; Supersedure	25
12.04	Effect of Waiver or Consent	25
12.05	Amendment or Modification	25
12.06	Binding Effect; Joinder of Additional Parties	25
12.07	Construction	25
12.08	Further Assurances	26
12.09	Indemnification	26
12.10	Waiver of Certain Rights	26
12.11	Counterparts	26
12.12	Dispute Resolution	26
12.13	No Effect on Employment Relationship	29
12.14	Legal Representation	29

**FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
OF
EPD PRIVCO UNIT I L.P.**

This First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (this “Agreement”) of EPD PrivCo Unit I L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the “Partnership”), is made and entered into effective as of November 3, 2016 by and among the Partners (as defined below).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Partnership’s Agreement of Limited Partnership was executed effective as of February 22, 2016 (the “Original Partnership Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, the Partners deem it advisable and in the best interests of the Partnership to amend and restate the Original Partnership Agreement in its entirety as set forth herein;

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION OF the mutual covenants, rights, and obligations set forth herein, the benefits to be derived therefrom, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which each Partner acknowledges and confesses, the Partners hereby amend and restate the Original Partnership Agreement in its entirety and agree as follows:

ARTICLE I
DEFINITIONS

1.01 Certain Definitions. As used in this Agreement, the following terms have the following respective meanings:

“Act” means the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

“Adjusted Capital Account” means, with respect to any Partner, the balance in such Partner’s Capital Account after giving effect to the following adjustments:

- (a) Credit to such Capital Account of any amounts that such Partner is obligated or deemed obligated to contribute pursuant to the penultimate sentences of Sections 1.704-2(g)(1) and 1.704-2(i)(5) of the Regulations; and
- (b) Debit to such Capital Account the items described in Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(5) and 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(6) of the Regulations.

The foregoing definition of Adjusted Capital Account is intended to comply with the provisions of Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d) of the Regulations and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.

“Adjustment Date” means as soon as practicable following the receipt of proceeds by the Partnership from the disposition of EPD Units, but no later than the fifth Business Day following the receipt of any proceeds by the Partnership from the disposition of EPD Units.

“Affiliate” means with respect to any Person any other Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls or is controlled by, or is under common control with, the Person specified. For the purpose of this definition, “control” shall mean the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a Person, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise.

“Agreement” has the meaning given it in the introductory paragraph hereof.

“Assigned EPD Units” means those 1,111,438 EPD Units of which Beneficial Ownership (subject to the retention of the Retained Distribution) was assigned to the Partnership by the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to the Assignment (as such number may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Assignment” means the irrevocable assignment under the Contribution Agreement pursuant to which Beneficial Ownership of the Assigned EPD Units has been assigned by the Class A Limited Partner to the Partnership.

“Bankrupt Partner” means any Partner (whether a General Partner or a Limited Partner) with respect to which an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) or (5) of the Act (or any equivalent successor provision) shall have occurred, subject to the lapsing of any period of time therein specified.

“Beneficial Ownership” means, with respect to the Assigned EPD Units, dominion and control (within the meaning of Treasury Regulation Section 1.704-1(e)(2)) representing all the rights and obligations held by the Class A Limited Partner with respect to the Assigned EPD Units prior to the Assignment as well as such residual rights exercised on behalf of the Assigned EPD Units after the Assignment, subject to the retention of the Retained Distribution.

“Business Day” means any day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or day on which commercial banks in the State of Texas are authorized or required to be closed for business.

“Capital Account” means the account maintained for each Partner pursuant to Section 4.04.

“Capital Contribution” means any contribution by a Partner to the capital of the Partnership.

“Certificate” means the Certificate of Limited Partnership of the Partnership referred to in Section 2.05, as it may be amended or restated from time to time.

“Change of Control” means Duncan shall (i) cease to own, directly or indirectly, at least a majority of the equity interests in the General Partner or the general partner of EPD, or (ii) shall cease to have the ability to elect, directly or indirectly, at least a majority of the directors of the general partner of EPD.

“Class A Capital Base” means:

- (i) the Contributed Unit Fair Market Value multiplied by the number of Assigned EPD Units,
- (ii) decreased on each Adjustment Date by all distributions made to the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to Section 5.04 since the previous Adjustment Date (or in the case of the first Adjustment Date, since the Closing Date).

“Class A Limited Partner” means EPCO Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its successors and assigns.

“Class A Preference Return” means:

(a) as it relates to each regular quarterly distribution with respect to EPD Units, an aggregate dollar amount equal to the product of (i) \$0.39, multiplied by (ii) the total number of EPD Units to which such distribution relates (as such calculation may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units); and

(b) as it relates to any distribution with respect to EPD Units other than as described in clause (a) above, an aggregate dollar amount equal to the product of (i) the aggregate dollar amount of such distribution received by the Partnership, multiplied by (ii) a fraction, the numerator of which shall be \$0.39 and the denominator of which shall be equal to the per unit dollar amount of the most recent quarterly distribution paid with respect to EPD Units (as such calculation may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Class A Preference Return Amount” means the aggregate dollar amount of the unpaid Class A Preference Return.

“Class B Limited Partner” means any Person executing (by power of attorney or otherwise) this Agreement as of the date hereof as a Class B Limited Partner or hereafter admitted to the Partnership as a Class B Limited Partner as herein provided, but shall not include any Person who has ceased to be a Class B Limited Partner in the Partnership.

“Class B Percentage Interest” means with respect to each Class B Limited Partner the quotient (expressed as a percentage) of (i) such Class B Limited Partner’s Sharing Points, divided by (ii) the Sharing Points of all Class B Limited Partners. For purposes of calculating the Class B Percentage Interest, Sharing Points attributable to interests in the Partnership that are forfeited pursuant to Section 3.07 shall be ignored.

“Closing Date” means February 22, 2016, the date on which the Class A Limited Partner contributed Beneficial Ownership of the Assigned EPD Units to the Partnership pursuant to the Contribution Agreement.

“Code” means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

“Contributed Unit Fair Market Value” means \$23.41 (the closing sales price per EPD Unit on the New York Stock Exchange on February 22, 2016) (as such dollar amount may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Contribution Agreement” means that agreement by and between EPCO Holdings, Inc. and EPD PrivCo Unit I L.P., dated as of February 22, 2016.

“Disability” means the event whereby a Limited Partner becomes entitled to receive long-term disability benefits under the long-term disability plan of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates.

“Dispose,” “Disposing,” or “Disposition” means a sale, assignment, transfer, exchange, mortgage, pledge, grant of a security interest, or other disposition or encumbrance, or the acts thereof, other than by divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of a Partner’s marriage.

“Duncan” means, collectively, individually or in any combination, the descendants, heirs and/or legatees of Dan L Duncan and/or distributees of Dan L Duncan’s estate, and/or trusts (including voting trusts) established for the benefit of his descendants, such legatees and/or distributees and/or their respective descendants, heirs, legatees and distributees.

“EPCO” means Enterprise Products Company, a Texas corporation.

“EPD” means Enterprise Products Partners L.P., a Delaware limited partnership.

“EPD Units” means (1) the Assigned EPD Units for so long as the Contribution Agreement is effective, and (2) thereafter, the partnership units representing limited partner interests in EPD.

“General Interest Rate” means a varying per annum rate equal at any given time to the lesser of (a) the interest rate publicly quoted by J.P. Morgan Chase from time to time as its prime commercial or similar reference interest rate, and (b) the maximum rate permitted by applicable law.

“General Partner” means EPCO, or any Person hereafter admitted to the Partnership as a general partner as herein provided, but shall not include any Person who has ceased to be a general partner in the Partnership.

“Investment Company Act” means the Investment Company Act of 1940, and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

“Limited Partner” means the Class A Limited Partner or any Class B Limited Partner.

“Net Income” and “Net Loss” mean, respectively, subject to Section 4.04, an amount equal to the Partnership’s taxable income or loss taking the Assignment into account determined in accordance with Code Section 703(a) (for this purpose, all items of income, gain, loss, or deduction required to be stated separately pursuant to Code Section 703(a)(1) shall be included in taxable income or loss), with the following adjustments:

(a) Any income of the Partnership that is exempt from federal income tax and not otherwise taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss pursuant to this definition of Net Income and Net Loss shall be added to such taxable income or loss;

(b) Any expenditures of the Partnership described in Code Section 705(a)(2)(B) or treated as Code Section 705(a)(2)(B) expenditures pursuant to Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(i) of the Regulations, and not otherwise taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss pursuant to this definition of Net Income and Net Loss, shall be subtracted from such taxable income or loss;

(c) In the event the value of any Partnership property is adjusted pursuant to Section 4.04 (i) such adjustment shall be taken into account as gain or loss from the disposition of such Partnership property for purposes of computing Net Income or Net Loss, (ii) if such property is subject to depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization, any further deductions for such depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization attributable to such property shall be determined taking into account such adjustment, and (ii) in determining the amount of any income, gain or loss attributable to the taxable disposition of such property such adjustment (and the related adjustments for depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization) shall be taken into account;

(d) To the extent an adjustment to the adjusted tax basis of any Partnership Property pursuant to Code Section 734(b) is required, pursuant to Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m)(4) of the Regulations, to be taken into account in determining Capital Accounts as a result of a Distribution other than in liquidation of a Partner’s interest in the Partnership, the amount of such adjustment shall be treated as an item of gain (if the adjustment increases the basis of the asset) or loss (if the adjustment decreases such basis) from the disposition of such Partnership Property and shall be taken into account for purposes of computing Net Income or Net Loss; and

(e) Any items that are allocated pursuant to Section 5.01(b) shall not be taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss.

“Partner” means the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner or any Class B Limited Partner.

“Partnership” has the meaning given it in the introductory paragraph.

“Person” has the meaning given it in the Act.

“Qualifying Termination” means the termination of a Class B Limited Partner’s employment with the General Partner and its Affiliates due to (i) death, (ii) receiving long-term

disability benefits under the long-term disability plan of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates or (iii) retirement with the approval of the General Partner (which may be given or withheld in its sole discretion) on or after reaching age 65, provided that such retirement occurs on or after August 22, 2018.

“Regulations” means the regulations promulgated under Section 704 of the Code.

“Required Interest” means one or more Class B Limited Partners having among them more than 50% of the Class B Percentage Interests of all Limited Partners in its or their capacities as such.

“Retained Distribution” has the meaning set forth in the Contribution Agreement.

“Sharing Points” means, with respect to each Class B Limited Partner, the number of Sharing Points granted by the General Partner to such Class B Limited Partner (which number is set forth on the Power of Attorney executed by the Class B Limited Partner and delivered to the General Partner), as the same may be amended from time to time pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

“Vesting Date” means the earliest of (i) the fifth anniversary of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement, (ii) a Change of Control or (iii) dissolution of the Partnership.

1.02 Other Definitions. Other terms defined herein have the meanings so given them.

ARTICLE II **ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS**

2.01 Formation. The Partnership has been previously formed as a Delaware limited partnership for the purposes hereinafter set forth under and pursuant to the provisions of the Act.

2.02 Name. The name of the Partnership is “EPD PrivCo Unit I L.P.” and all Partnership business shall be conducted in such name or such other name or names that comply with applicable law as the General Partner may designate from time to time.

2.03 Registered Office; Registered Agent; Other Offices. The registered office of the Partnership in the State of Delaware shall be at such place as the General Partner may designate from time to time. The registered agent for service of process on the Partnership in the State of Delaware or any other jurisdiction shall be such Person or Persons as the General Partner may designate from time to time. The Partnership may have such other offices as the General Partner may designate from time to time.

2.04 Purposes. The purposes of the Partnership are to acquire, own, sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of EPD Units, and to enter into, make and perform all contracts and other undertakings and to engage in any other business, activity or transaction that now or hereafter may be necessary, incidental, proper, advisable, or convenient, as determined by the General Partner, to accomplish the foregoing purposes.

2.05 Certificate; Foreign Qualification. The General Partner has previously executed and caused to be filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware a Certificate of Limited Partnership, effective as of February 18, 2016, containing information required by the Act and such other information as the General Partner deemed appropriate. Prior to conducting business in any jurisdiction other than Delaware, the General Partner shall cause the Partnership to comply, to the extent such matters are reasonably within the control of the General Partner, with all requirements necessary to qualify the Partnership as a foreign limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in such jurisdiction. Upon the request of the General Partner, each Partner shall execute, acknowledge, swear to, and deliver all certificates and other instruments conforming with this Agreement that are necessary or appropriate as determined by the General Partner to qualify, continue, and terminate the Partnership as a limited partnership under the laws of the State of Delaware and to qualify, continue, and terminate the Partnership as a foreign limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in all other jurisdictions in which the Partnership may conduct business, and to this end the General Partner may use the power of attorney described in Section 6.04.

2.06 Term. The term of this Partnership shall continue in existence until the close of Partnership business on the earliest to occur of (i) the fiftieth anniversary of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement, and (ii) such earlier time as this Agreement may specify.

2.07 Merger or Consolidation. The Partnership may merge or consolidate with or into another business entity, or enter into an agreement to do so, with the consent of the General Partner and a Required Interest.

ARTICLE III **PARTNERS; DISPOSITIONS OF INTERESTS**

3.01 Partners. The General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and the Class B Limited Partners of the Partnership are the Persons executing (by power of attorney or otherwise) this Agreement as of the date hereof as the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and the Class B Limited Partners, respectively, each of which was admitted to the Partnership as the General Partner, Class A Limited Partner or a Class B Limited Partner, as the case may be, effective as of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement.

3.02 Representations and Warranties. Each Partner hereby represents and warrants to the Partnership and each other Partner that (a) if such Partner is a corporation, it is duly organized, validly existing, and in good standing under the laws of the jurisdiction of its incorporation and is duly qualified and in good standing as a foreign corporation in the jurisdiction of its principal place of business (if not incorporated therein), (b) if such Partner is a trust, estate or other entity, it is duly formed, validly existing, and (if applicable) in good standing under the laws of the jurisdiction of its formation, and if required by law is duly qualified to do business and (if applicable) in good standing in the jurisdiction of its principal place of business (if not formed therein), (c) such Partner has full corporate, trust, or other applicable right, power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder and all necessary actions by the board of directors, trustees, beneficiaries, or other Persons necessary for the due authorization, execution, delivery, and performance of this

Agreement by such Partner have been duly taken, and such authorization, execution, delivery, and performance do not conflict with any other agreement or arrangement to which such Partner is a party or by which it is bound, and (d) such Partner is acquiring its interest in the Partnership for investment purposes and not with a view to distribution thereof.

3.03 Restrictions on the Disposition of an Interest. (a) No Class B Limited Partner may Dispose of all or part of its interest in the Partnership without the prior written consent (which may be given or withheld in its sole discretion) of the General Partner, and then only after Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e) have been complied with, except that a Class B Limited Partner may Dispose of all of its interest upon the death of such Class B Limited Partner or upon becoming a Bankrupt Partner, but in each case only after compliance with Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e). Neither the General Partner nor the Class A Limited Partner may Dispose of all or a part of its interest in the Partnership to a Person who is not an Affiliate of Duncan without the prior written consent of a Required Interest, and then only after Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e) have been complied with.

(b) Subject to the provisions of Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e), a permitted transferee of all or a part of a Partner's interest in the Partnership shall be admitted to the Partnership as a General Partner or a Limited Partner (as applicable) with, in the case of Class B Limited Partners, such Sharing Points (no greater than the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition immediately prior thereto) as the Partner effecting such Disposition and such permitted transferee may agree.

(c) The Partnership shall not recognize for any purpose any purported Disposition of an interest in the Partnership or distributions therefrom unless and until the provisions of this Section 3.03 shall have been satisfied and there shall have been delivered to the General Partner a document (i) executed by both the Partner effecting such Disposition and the Person to which such interest or interest in distributions are to be Disposed, (ii) including the written acceptance by any Person to be admitted to the Partnership of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, such Person's notice address, and an agreement by such Person to perform and discharge timely all of the obligations and liabilities in respect of the interest being obtained, (iii) setting forth, in the case of the Class B Limited Partners, the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition and the Person to which such interest is Disposed after such Disposition (which together shall total the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition prior thereto), (iv) containing a representation and warranty that such Disposition complied with all applicable laws and regulations (including securities laws) and a representation and warranty by such Person that the representations and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such Person. Each such Disposition and, if applicable, admission shall be effective as of the first day of the calendar month immediately succeeding the month in which the General Partner shall receive such notification of Disposition and the other requirements of this Section 3.03 shall have been met unless the General Partner and the Partner affecting such Disposition agree to a different effective date; *provided, however*, that if there shall be only one General Partner and such Disposition or admission and, as a result of such Disposition such General Partner would cease to be a General Partner, such permitted transferee shall be deemed admitted as a General Partner immediately prior to such cessation.

(d) Notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, the right of any Partner to Dispose of an interest in the Partnership or distributions therefrom or of any Person to be admitted to the Partnership in connection therewith shall not exist or be exercised (i) unless and until the Partnership shall have received a favorable opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel or of other legal counsel acceptable to the General Partner to the effect that such Disposition or admission is not required to be registered under the Securities Act of 1933 or any other applicable securities laws, and such Disposition or admission would not cause the Partnership to become an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, and (ii) unless such Disposition or admission would not result in the Partnership being treated as an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or as a publicly traded partnership as defined in Section 7704 of the Code. The General Partner, however, may waive the requirements of Section 3.03(d)(i).

(e) All costs (including, without limitation, the legal fees incurred in connection with the obtaining of the legal opinions referred to in Section 3.03(d)) incurred by the Partnership in connection with any Disposition or admission of a Person to the Partnership pursuant to this Section 3.03 shall be borne and paid by the Partner effecting such Disposition within 10 days after the receipt by such Person of the Partnership's invoice for the amount due.

(f) In the event of a Disposition of an interest in the Partnership pursuant to the death of a Limited Partner that would, in the opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel, result in the Partnership becoming an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, the General Partner shall have the right to purchase such interest from the estate (or beneficiaries) of such deceased Partner for a price equal to the amount that the deceased Partner's estate (or beneficiaries) would receive if all of the EPD Units held by the Partnership were sold at a price equal to the closing sale price per EPD Unit as reported by the New York Stock Exchange (or such other applicable trading market) on the day prior to the exercise of such right by the General Partner and the proceeds from such sale were distributed to the Partners in accordance with the provisions of Section 5.04. The determination by the General Partner of the foregoing purchase price of such deceased Partner's interest in the Partnership shall be conclusive and binding on the deceased Partner's estate and beneficiaries.

(g) Any attempted Disposition by a Person of an interest or right, or any part thereof, in or in respect of the Partnership other than in accordance with this Section 3.03 shall be, and is hereby declared, null and void ab initio.

3.04 Additional Partners. Subject to the provisions of Section 12.05 and 3.03, additional Persons may be admitted to the Partnership as General Partners or Limited Partners, only to the extent that, and on such terms and conditions as, the General Partner shall consent at the time of such admission or issuance. Such admission or issuance shall, in the case of a Class B Limited Partners, specify the Sharing Points applicable thereto. Any such admission must comply with the provisions of Section 3.03(d), and shall not be effective until such new Partner shall have executed and delivered to the General Partner a document including such new Partner's notice address, acceptance of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, an agreement to perform and discharge timely all of its obligations and liabilities hereunder, and a representation and warranty that the representations and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such new Partner.

3.05 Interests in a Partner. No Partner that is not a natural person shall cause or permit an interest, direct or indirect, in itself to be Disposed of such that, on account of such Disposition, the Partnership would become an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes.

3.06 Spouses of Partners. A spouse of a Partner does not become a Partner as a result of such marital relationship or by reason of a divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of marriage. If, in the event of a divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of marriage of a Partner, a former spouse of a Partner is awarded ownership of, or an interest in, all or part of a Partner's interest in the Partnership (the "Awarded Interest"), the Awarded Interest shall automatically and immediately be forfeited and cancelled without payment on such date.

3.07 Vesting of Limited Partners. One hundred percent (100%) of each Class B Limited Partner's interest in the Partnership shall vest on the Vesting Date, but only if (i) on such date such Class B Limited Partner continues to be an active, full-time employee of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates or (ii) prior to the Vesting Date a Qualifying Termination has occurred with respect to such Class B Limited Partner. At such time as a Class B Limited Partner ceases, for any reason other than a Qualifying Termination, to be an active, full-time employee of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates prior to the Vesting Date (as determined by the General Partner in its sole discretion, without regard as to how his status is treated by the General Partner or any of its Affiliates for any of its other compensation or benefit plans or programs), his unvested interest in the Partnership shall be forfeited. The Capital Account attributable to any Class B Limited Partner's interest in the Partnership that is forfeited pursuant to Section 3.06, this Section 3.07 or otherwise hereunder shall be allocated to the remaining Class B Limited Partners in accordance with their respective Class B Percentage Interests.

3.08 Services Provided by the Partners. The interests in the Partnership held by the Partners are for the benefit of certain employees in connection with services rendered or to be rendered by the Partners. EPCO shall be an express third party beneficiary of the services provided by the Partners.

3.09 Investment Company Act Matters. The Partnership is intended to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Investment Company Act. In addition to the rights, powers and authority of the General Partner set forth in Section 3.03, if any event would, in the opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel, result in the Partnership becoming an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, then (notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary) the General Partner shall have the right, power and authority (exercisable in its sole discretion) to take any and all actions (on behalf of itself and/or the Partnership) as the General Partner may deem necessary, appropriate or advisable to avoid such registration requirement and/or to reduce or mitigate the effects thereof, including without limitation taking unilateral action (without the consent of any Limited Partner) to (i) amend this Agreement in accordance with Section 12.05 and/or (ii) cause the Partnership to be dissolved in accordance with Section 11.01.

ARTICLE IV
CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS

4.01 Initial and Additional Capital Contributions. In connection with the formation of the Partnership, the General Partner contributed \$1,000 to the Partnership and on the Closing Date, the Class A Limited Partner contributed to the Partnership the Assigned EPD Units. No Class B Limited Partner is obligated to make a contribution to the Partnership. Subject to the provisions of applicable law or except as otherwise provided for herein, no Partner shall be liable for or obligated to make an additional Capital Contribution to the Partnership, whether for the purpose of enabling the Partnership to meet its obligations under Section 6.03 or for any other purpose. The initial Capital Account of the General Partner is \$1,000, the initial Capital Account of the Class A Limited Partner as of the Closing Date is equal to the Class A Capital Base on the Closing Date, and the initial Capital Account of each Class B Limited Partner is zero.

4.02 Return of Contributions. No Partner shall be entitled to the return of any part of its Capital Contributions or to be paid interest in respect of either its Capital Account or any Capital Contribution made by it. No unrepaid Capital Contribution shall be deemed or considered to be a liability of the Partnership or of any Partner. No Partner shall be required to contribute, advance or lend any cash or property to the Partnership to enable the Partnership to return any Partner's Capital Contributions to the Partnership. To the extent, however, any Partner (by mistake, overpayment or otherwise) advances funds to the Partnership in excess of the Capital Contributions called for under Section 4.01, such excess amounts shall not be Capital Contributions and (other than advances made by the General Partner pursuant to Section 4.03 below) shall be promptly returned by the Partnership to the Partner so advancing such funds.

4.03 Advances by General Partner. At any time that the Partnership shall not have sufficient cash to pay its obligations, the General Partner may, but shall not be obligated to, advance such funds for or on behalf of the Partnership. Each such advance shall constitute a loan from the General Partner to the Partnership and shall bear interest from the date of the advance until the date of repayment at the General Interest Rate. Any advances made by the General Partner pursuant to this Section 4.03 shall not be considered to be Capital Contributions. All advances shall be repaid out of the next available funds of the Partnership, including Capital Contributions received.

4.04 Capital Accounts. A Capital Account shall be established and maintained for each Partner. Each Partner's Capital Account (a) shall be increased by (i) the amount of money contributed by that Partner to the Partnership, (ii) the fair market value of property, if any, contributed by that Partner to the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by such contributed property that the Partnership is considered to assume or take subject to under Section 752 of the Code), and (iii) allocations to that Partner of Partnership income and gain (or items thereof), including income and gain exempt from tax and income and gain described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g), but excluding income and gain described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i), and (b) shall be decreased by (i) the amount of money distributed to that Partner by the Partnership, (ii) the fair market value of property distributed to that Partner by the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by such distributed property that such Partner is considered to assume or take subject to under Section 752 of the Code), (iii) allocations to that Partner of expenditures of the Partnership described in Section 705(a)(2)(B) of the Code, and (iv)

allocations of Partnership loss and deduction (or items thereof), including loss and deduction described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g), but excluding items described in clause (b)(iii) above and loss or deduction described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i). The Partners' Capital Accounts also shall be maintained and adjusted as permitted by the provisions of Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(f) and as required by the other provisions of Regulation Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv) and 1.704-1(b)(4), including adjustments to reflect the allocations to the Partners of depreciation, amortization, and gain or loss as computed for book purposes rather than the allocation of the corresponding items as computed for tax purposes, as required by Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g). A Partner that has more than one interest in the Partnership shall have a single Capital Account that reflects all such interests, regardless of the class of interests owned by such Partner and regardless of the time or manner in which such interests were acquired; *provided*, that Partners that are Affiliates but nevertheless separate legal entities shall have separate Capital Accounts. Upon the transfer of all or part of an interest in the Partnership, the Capital Account of the transferor that is attributable to the transferred interest in the Partnership shall carry over to the transferee Partner in accordance with the provisions of Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(l).

ARTICLE V
ALLOCATIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

5.01 Allocations.

(a) Net Income and Net Loss. For purposes of maintaining the Capital Accounts, Net Income or Net Loss (and all items included in the computation thereof) shall be allocated among the Partners as follows:

(i) Net Income:

(A) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Limited Partner's Adjusted Capital Account equals the Class A Capital Base; and

(B) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

(ii) Net Loss:

(A) First, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests until the Adjusted Capital Accounts of the Class B Limited Partners are reduced to zero; and

(B) Thereafter, to the Class A Limited Partner.

(b) Special Allocations. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 5.01, the following special allocations shall be made for such taxable period:

(i) Partnership Minimum Gain Chargeback. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 5.01, if there is a net decrease in Partnership Minimum Gain during any Partnership taxable period, each Partner shall be allocated items of

Partnership income and gain for such period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods) in the manner and amounts provided in Regulation Sections 1.704-2(f)(6), 1.704-2(g)(2) and 1.704-2(j)(2)(i), or any successor provision. For purposes of this Section 5.01(b), each Partner's Adjusted Capital Account balance shall be determined, and the allocation of income or gain required hereunder shall be effected, prior to the application of any other allocations pursuant to this Section 5.01(b) with respect to such taxable period (other than an allocation pursuant to Sections 5.01(b)(vi) and 5.01(b)(vii)). This Section 5.01(b)(i) is intended to comply with the Partnership Minimum Gain chargeback requirement in Regulation Section 1.704-2(f) and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.

- (ii) Chargeback of Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain. Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Section 5.01 (other than Section 5.01(b)(i)), except as provided in Regulation Section 1.704-2(i)(4), if there is a net decrease in Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain during any Partnership taxable period, any Partner with a share of Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain at the beginning of such taxable period shall be allocated items of Partnership income and gain for such period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods) in the manner and amounts provided in Regulation Sections 1.704-2(i)(4) and 1.704-2(j)(2)(ii), or any successor provisions. For purposes of this Section 5.01(b), each Partner's Adjusted Capital Account balance shall be determined, and the allocation of income or gain required hereunder shall be effected, prior to the application of any other allocations pursuant to this Section 5.01(b), other than Section 5.01(b)(i) and other than an allocation pursuant to Sections 5.01(b)(vi) and 5.01(b)(vii), with respect to such taxable period. This Section 5.01(b)(ii) is intended to comply with the chargeback of items of income and gain requirement in Regulation Section 1.704-2(i)(4) and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.
- (iii) Qualified Income Offset. In the event any Partner unexpectedly receives any adjustments, allocations or distributions described in Regulation Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(5), or 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(6), items of Partnership income and gain shall be specially allocated to such Partner in an amount and manner sufficient to eliminate, to the extent required by the Regulations, the deficit balance, if any, in its Adjusted Capital Account created by such adjustments, allocations or distributions as quickly as possible unless such deficit balance is otherwise eliminated pursuant to Section 5.01(b)(i) or (ii).
- (iv) Gross Income Allocations. In the event any Partner has a deficit balance in its Capital Account at the end of any Partnership taxable period in excess of the sum of (A) the amount such Partner is required to restore pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement and (B) the amount such Partner is deemed obligated to restore pursuant to Regulation Sections 1.704-2(g) and 1.704-2(i)(5), such Partner shall be specially allocated items of Partnership gross income and gain in the amount of such excess as quickly as possible; *provided*, that an allocation pursuant to this Section 5.01(b)(iv) shall be made only if and to the extent that such Partner would have a deficit balance in its Capital Account as adjusted after all other allocations provided for in this Section 5.01 have been tentatively made as if this Section 5.01(b)(iv) were not in this Agreement.

- (v) Nonrecourse Deductions. Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable period shall be allocated to the Partners in accordance with their respective Percentage Interests. If the General Partner determines that the Partnership's Nonrecourse Deductions should be allocated in a different ratio to satisfy the safe harbor requirements of the Regulations promulgated under Section 704(b) of the Code, the General Partner is authorized, upon notice to the other Partners, to revise the prescribed ratio to the numerically closest ratio that does satisfy such requirements.
- (vi) Partner Nonrecourse Deductions. Partner Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable period shall be allocated 100% to the Partner that bears the Economic Risk of Loss with respect to the Partner Nonrecourse Debt to which such Partner Nonrecourse Deductions are attributable in accordance with Regulation Section 1.704-2(i). If more than one Partner bears the Economic Risk of Loss with respect to a Partner Nonrecourse Debt, such Partner Nonrecourse Deductions attributable thereto shall be allocated between or among such Partners in accordance with the ratios in which they share such Economic Risk of Loss.
- (vii) Nonrecourse Liabilities. For purposes of Regulation Section 1.752-3(a)(3), the Partners agree that Nonrecourse Liabilities of the Partnership in excess of the sum of (A) the amount of Partnership Minimum Gain and (B) the total amount of Nonrecourse Built-in Gain shall be allocated among the Partners in accordance with their respective Percentage Interests.
- (viii) Code Section 754 Adjustments. To the extent an adjustment to the adjusted tax basis of any Partnership asset pursuant to Section 734(b) or 743(b) of the Code is required, pursuant to Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m), to be taken into account in determining Capital Accounts, the amount of such adjustment to the Capital Accounts shall be treated as an item of gain (if the adjustment increases the basis of the asset) or loss (if the adjustment decreases such basis), and such item of gain or loss shall be specially allocated to the Partners in a manner consistent with the manner in which their Capital Accounts are required to be adjusted pursuant to such Section of the Regulations.

(c) Allocations Caused by Transfer of Interest. All items of income, gain, loss, deduction, and credit allocable to any interest in the Partnership that may have been transferred shall be allocated between the transferor and the transferee based upon that portion of the calendar year during which each was recognized as owning such interest, without regard to the results of Partnership operations during any particular portion of such calendar year and without regard to distributions made to the transferor and the transferee during such calendar year; *provided, however*, that such allocation shall be made in accordance with a method permissible under Section 706 of the Code and the regulations thereunder.

5.02 Income Tax Allocations.

(a) Except as provided in this Section 5.02, each item of income, gain, loss and deduction of the Partnership for federal income tax purposes shall be allocated among the

Partners in the same manner as such items are allocated for purposes of maintaining Capital Account under Section 5.01.

(b) For federal and state income tax purposes, income, gain, loss, and deduction with respect to property contributed to the Partnership by a Partner or revalued pursuant to Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(f) shall be allocated among the Partners in a manner that takes into account the variation between the adjusted tax basis of such property and its book value, as required by Section 704(c) of the Code and Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i), using any allocation method permitted by Regulation Section 1.704-3.

(c) The Partnership will follow the proposed Treasury Regulations that were issued on May 24, 2005, regarding the issuance of partnership equity for services (including Prop. Treas. Reg. Sections 1.83-3, 1.83-6, 1.704-1, 1.706-3, 1.721-1 and 1.761-1), as such regulations may be subsequently amended, upon the issuance of equity membership interests or options issued for services rendered or to be rendered, until final Treasury Regulations regarding these matters are issued. In furtherance of the foregoing, the definition of Capital Account and the allocations of Net Income and Net Loss of the Partnership shall be made in a manner that is consistent with the proposed Treasury Regulations and the proposed Revenue Procedure described in IRS Notice 2005-43, or provisions similar thereto, are adopted as final (or temporary) rules (the “New Rules”), and the General Partner is authorized to make such amendments to this Agreement (including provision for any safe harbor election authorized by the New Rules) as the General Partner may determine to be necessary or advisable.

5.03 Distributions of Cash Flow from EPD Units. Promptly following the receipt of any distributions of cash flow with respect to EPD Units (other than any Retained Distribution), the General Partner shall cause to be distributed to the Partners such receipts (and any income from the temporary investment thereof) in the manner set forth below, *provided*, that the General Partner may withhold and not distribute such portion of any such receipts that the General Partner has determined in its sole but good faith discretion should be withheld to pay expenses of the Partnership. Distribution to the Partners pursuant to this Section 5.03 shall be made as follows:

(a) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Limited Partner’s Class A Preference Return Amount has been reduced to zero; and

(b) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

In the event any Retained Distribution is received by the Partnership, the Partners acknowledge that such Retained Distribution is the property of the Class A Limited Partner, and the Partnership shall promptly pay any such Retained Distribution over to the Class A Limited Partner. Any payment of a Retained Distribution to the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to this paragraph shall not be treated as a “distribution” for purposes of this Agreement.

5.04 Distributions of Proceeds from Sales of EPD Units. Promptly following the receipt of any proceeds from the sale of any EPD Units by the Partnership, the General Partner shall cause to be distributed to the Partners such receipts in the manner set forth below, *provided*

that the General Partner may withhold and not distribute such portion of any such receipts that the General Partner has determined in its sole but good faith discretion should be withheld to pay expenses of the Partnership. Distribution to the Partners pursuant to this Section 5.04 shall be made as follows:

- (a) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Capital Base is reduced to zero; and
- (b) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

5.05 Restrictions on Distributions of EPD Units. The Partners and the Partnership hereby agree that they shall not cause the Partnership to offer for sale, sell, pledge or otherwise transfer, distribute or dispose of the EPD Units held by the Partnership prior to the Vesting Date, other than as approved by the General Partner (in its sole discretion) in connection with a Change of Control.

ARTICLE VI

MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION

6.01 Management of Partnership Affairs. Except for situations in which the approval of the Limited Partners is expressly required by this Agreement or by non-waivable provisions of applicable law, the General Partner shall have full, complete, and exclusive authority to manage and control the business, affairs, and properties of the Partnership, to make all decisions regarding the same, and to perform any and all other acts or activities customary or incident to the management of the Partnership's business. The General Partner shall receive no compensation for its services as such. Subject to the other express provisions hereof, the General Partner shall make or take all decisions and actions for the Partnership not otherwise provided for herein, including, without limitation, the following:

- (a) acquiring, holding, managing, selling, Disposing of, and otherwise dealing with and investing in (i) the Partnership's EPD Units, or (ii) temporary investments of Partnership capital in U.S. government securities, certificates of deposit with maturities of less than one year, commercial paper (rated or unrated), and other highly liquid securities;
- (b) entering into, making, and performing all contracts, agreements, and other undertakings binding the Partnership, as may be necessary, appropriate, or advisable in furtherance of the purposes of the Partnership and making all decisions and waivers thereunder;
- (c) opening and maintaining bank and investment accounts and drawing checks and other orders for the payment of monies;
- (d) maintaining the assets of the Partnership in compliance with applicable securities laws and protecting and preserving the Partnership's title thereto;
- (e) collecting all sums due the Partnership;

(f) to the extent that funds of the Partnership are available therefor, paying as they become due all debts and obligations of the Partnership;

(g) causing securities owned by the Partnership to be registered in the Partnership's name or in the name of a nominee or to be held in street name, as the General Partner may elect;

(h) selecting, removing, and changing the authority and responsibility of lawyers, accountants, brokers, and other advisors and consultants;

(i) obtaining insurance for the Partnership to the extent the General Partner deems appropriate; and

(j) determining distributions of Partnership cash as provided in Sections 5.03 and 5.04.

6.02 Duties and Obligations of General Partner. The General Partner shall endeavor to conduct the affairs of the Partnership in the best interests of the Partnership and the mutual best interests of the Partners, including, without limitation, the safekeeping and use of all Partnership funds and assets and the use thereof for the benefit of the Partnership. The General Partner at all times shall act in good faith in all activities relating to the conduct of the business of the Partnership. The General Partner shall devote such time as it deems necessary to conduct the business and affairs of the Partnership in an appropriate manner.

6.03 Release and Indemnification. **TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, THE PARTNERSHIP AND EACH OTHER PARTNER ON BEHALF OF ITSELF AND ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS HEREBY RELEASES, ACQUITS, AND FOREVER DISCHARGES THE GENERAL PARTNER AND THE CLASS A LIMITED PARTNER, THEIR PARTNERS OR SHAREHOLDERS, AND THEIR DIRECTORS, OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES, PARTNERS, REPRESENTATIVES, AND AGENTS AND EACH OTHER PERSON, IF ANY, CONTROLLING OR EMPLOYING SUCH PERSONS OR ENTITIES (COLLECTIVELY, THE "INDEMNITEES") FROM ALL CLAIMS, DEMANDS, OR CAUSES OF ACTION OF ANY CHARACTER THAT SUCH PARTY MAY HAVE, WHETHER KNOWN OR UNKNOWN, AGAINST ANY INDEMNITEE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PARTNERSHIP AND/OR THE BUSINESS CONDUCTED BY THE PARTNERSHIP; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT SUCH RELEASE SHALL NOT APPLY TO ACTIONS CONSTITUTING WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OR BAD FAITH. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS EACH INDEMNITEE FROM AND AGAINST ALL LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES, EXPENSES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, COSTS OF SUIT AND ATTORNEYS' FEES) SUCH INDEMNITEE MAY INCUR IN CONNECTION WITH THE GENERAL PARTNER'S PERFORMING ITS OBLIGATIONS HEREUNDER (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES AND EXPENSES ARISING FROM, OR ALLEGED TO ARISE FROM, THE INDEMNITEE'S ACTIVE OR PASSIVE, SOLE OR CONCURRENT, NEGLIGENCE OR GROSS NEGLIGENCE), AND THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL ADVANCE EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE DEFENSE**

OF ANY ACTION RELATED THERETO; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT SUCH INDEMNITY SHALL NOT APPLY TO ACTIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN FINALLY, WITHOUT FURTHER RIGHT TO APPEAL, JUDICIALLY DETERMINED TO CONSTITUTE WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OR BAD FAITH. IF THE INDEMNIFICATION PROVIDED FOR ABOVE IS NOT PERMITTED OR ENFORCEABLE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW OR IS OTHERWISE UNAVAILABLE OR INSUFFICIENT TO HOLD HARMLESS THE INDEMNITEES AS CONTEMPLATED ABOVE, THEN THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL CONTRIBUTE TO THE AMOUNT PAID OR PAYABLE BY THE INDEMNITEES AS A RESULT OF SUCH LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES AND EXPENSES REFERRED TO ABOVE IN SUCH PROPORTION AS IS APPROPRIATE TO REFLECT THE RELATIVE BENEFITS CONTEMPLATED TO BE RECEIVED BY THE PARTNERSHIP AND THE INDEMNITEES, RESPECTIVELY, FROM THE ACTIONS GIVING RISE TO SUCH LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES OR EXPENSES.

6.04 Power of Attorney.

(a) Each Limited Partner hereby constitutes and appoints the General Partner and, if a liquidator (other than the General Partner) shall have been selected pursuant to Section 11.02, the liquidator, severally (and any successor to either thereof by merger, transfer, assignment, election or otherwise) and each of their authorized officers and attorneys-in-fact, as the case may be, with full power of substitution, as his true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact, with full power and authority in his name, place and stead, to:

- (i) execute, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file and record in the appropriate public offices (A) all certificates, documents and other instruments (including this Agreement and the Certificate of Limited Partnership and all amendments or restatements hereof or thereof) that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to form, qualify or continue the existence or qualification of the Partnership as a limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in the State of Delaware and in all other jurisdictions in which the Partnership may conduct business or own property; (B) all certificates, documents and other instruments that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to reflect, in accordance with its terms, any amendment, change, modification or restatement of this Agreement; (C) all certificates, documents and other instruments (including conveyances and a certificate of cancellation) that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to reflect the dissolution and liquidation of the Partnership pursuant to the terms of this Agreement; and (D) all certificates, documents and other instruments relating to the admission, withdrawal, removal or substitution of any Partner; and
- (ii) execute, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file and record all ballots, consents, approvals, waivers, certificates, documents and other instruments necessary or appropriate, in the discretion of the General Partner or the liquidator, to make, evidence, give, confirm or ratify any vote, consent, approval, agreement or other action that is made or given by the Partners hereunder or is consistent with the terms of this Agreement or is

necessary or appropriate, in the discretion of the General Partner or the liquidator, to effectuate the terms or intent of this Agreement; *provided*, that when required by any provision of this Agreement that establishes a percentage of the Limited Partners required to take any action, the General Partner and the liquidator may exercise the power of attorney made in this Section 6.04 only after the necessary vote, consent or approval of the Limited Partners.

This Section 6.04 shall be construed as authorizing the General Partner to amend this Agreement in any manner subject to any provision of this Agreement that establishes a percentage of the Limited Partners required to take any action.

(b) The foregoing power of attorney is hereby declared to be irrevocable and a power coupled with an interest, and it shall survive and, to the maximum extent permitted by law, not be affected by the subsequent death, incompetency, disability, incapacity, dissolution, bankruptcy or termination of any Limited Partner and the transfer of all or any portion of such Limited Partner's Percentage Interest and shall extend to such Limited Partner's heirs, successors, assigns and personal representatives. Each such Limited Partner hereby agrees to be bound by any representation made by the General Partner or the liquidator acting in good faith pursuant to such power of attorney; and each such Limited Partner, to the maximum extent permitted by law, hereby waives any and all defenses that may be available to contest, negate or disaffirm the action of the General Partner or the liquidator taken in good faith under such power of attorney. Each Limited Partner shall execute and deliver to the General Partner or the liquidator, within 15 days after receipt of the request therefor, such further designation, powers of attorney and other instruments as the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary to effectuate this Agreement and the purposes of the Partnership.

ARTICLE VII

RIGHTS OF OTHER PARTNERS

7.01 Information. In addition to the other rights specifically set forth herein, each Partner shall have access to all information to which such Partner is entitled to have access pursuant to Section 17-305 of the Act under the circumstances and subject to the conditions therein stated. Without limiting the provisions of Section 17-305(b) of the Act, the Partners agree that if the General Partner from time to time enters into on behalf of the Partnership or the General Partner contractual obligations regarding the confidentiality of information received with respect to the Partnership's business or assets, it shall not be reasonable for any other Partner or assignee or representative thereof to examine or copy such information unless such Partner agrees to comply with the terms of such contractual obligations including without limitation executing a counterpart of any applicable confidentiality agreements.

7.02 Limitations. No Limited Partner shall have the authority or power in its capacity as such to act for or on behalf of the Partnership or any other Partner, to do any act that would be binding on the Partnership or any other Partner, or to incur any expenditures on behalf of or with respect to the Partnership. No Limited Partner shall have the right or power to withdraw from the Partnership.

7.03 Limited Liability. No Limited Partner shall be liable for the losses, debts, liabilities, contracts, or other obligations of the Partnership except to the extent required by law or otherwise set forth herein.

ARTICLE VIII

TAXES

8.01 Tax Returns. The General Partner shall cause to be prepared and filed all necessary federal and state income tax returns for the Partnership, including making the elections described in Section 8.02. Each Partner shall furnish to the General Partner all pertinent information in its possession relating to Partnership operations that is necessary to enable such income tax returns to be prepared and filed.

8.02 Tax Elections. The following elections shall be made on the appropriate returns of the Partnership:

- (a) to adopt the calendar year as the Partnership's fiscal year;
- (b) unless the accrual method is required under the applicable sections of the Code, to adopt the cash method of accounting and to keep the Partnership's books and records on the income-tax method;
- (c) if there shall be a distribution of Partnership property as described in Section 734 of the Code or if there shall be a transfer of a Partnership interest as described in Section 743 of the Code, upon written request of any Partner, to elect, pursuant to Section 754 of the Code, to adjust the basis of Partnership properties;
- (d) to elect to amortize the organizational expenses of the Partnership ratably over a period of 60 months as permitted by Section 709(b) of the Code; and
- (e) any other election the General Partner may deem appropriate and in the best interests of the Partners.

No election shall be made by the Partnership or any Partner to be treated as an association taxable as a corporation or to be excluded from the application of the provisions of Subchapter K of Chapter 1 of Subtitle A of the Code or any similar provisions of applicable state laws.

8.03 Tax Matters Partner. The General Partner shall be the "tax matters partner" of the Partnership pursuant to Section 6231(a)(7) of the Code. The General Partner shall take such action as may be necessary to cause each other Partner to become a "notice partner" within the meaning of Section 6223 of the Code. The General Partner shall inform each other Partner of all significant matters that may come to its attention in its capacity as tax matters partner by giving notice thereof within ten Business Days after becoming aware thereof and, within such time, shall forward to each other Partner copies of all significant written communications it may receive in such capacity. The General Partner shall not take any action contemplated by Sections 6222 through 6232 of the Code without the consent of a Required Interest. This provision is not intended to authorize the General Partner to take any action left to the determination of an individual Partner under Sections 6222 through 6232 of the Code.

ARTICLE IX
BOOKS, RECORDS, REPORTS, AND BANK ACCOUNTS

9.01 Maintenance of Books. The books of account for the Partnership shall be maintained on a cash basis in accordance with the terms of this Agreement except that the Capital Accounts of the Partners shall be maintained in accordance with Section 4.04. The calendar year shall be the accounting year of the Partnership.

9.02 Financial Statements. Within 120 days after the end of each fiscal year during the term of the Partnership, the General Partner shall cause each other Partner to be furnished with an unaudited balance sheet, an income statement, and a statement of changes in Partners' capital of the Partnership for, or as of the end of, such period. All financial statements shall be prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally employed for cash-basis records consistently applied (except as therein noted).

9.03 Bank Accounts. The General Partner shall establish and maintain one or more separate accounts for Partnership funds in the Partnership name at such financial institutions as it may designate. The General Partner may not commingle the Partnership's funds with other funds of any Partner.

ARTICLE X
WITHDRAWAL, BANKRUPTCY, REMOVAL, ETC.

10.01 Withdrawal, Bankruptcy, Etc. of General Partner.

(a) The General Partner covenants and agrees that it will not withdraw from the Partnership as the general partner within the meaning of Section 17-602 of the Act. If the General Partner shall so withdraw from the Partnership in violation of such covenant and agreement, such withdrawal shall be effective only upon 90 days' prior notice to all other Partners.

(b) The General Partner shall not cease to be a general partner on the occurrence of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act, but shall cease to be a general partner 90 days thereafter. The General Partner shall notify each other Partner that an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act has occurred (without regard to the lapse of any time periods therein) with respect to it within five Business Days after such occurrence.

(c) Following any notice pursuant to Section 10.01(a) that the General Partner shall be withdrawing, or following the occurrence of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act with respect to the General Partner (without regard to the lapse of any time periods therein), and unless there shall be one other General Partner remaining, the greater of the Class A Limited Partner plus a Required Interest of the Class B Limited Partners or a majority in interest as defined in Internal Revenue Service Procedure 94-46 (or any successor thereof) by written consent may select a new General Partner, which shall be admitted to the Partnership as a general partner effective immediately prior to the existing General Partner's ceasing to be a general partner with such general partner interest as the Limited Partners making such selection may specify, but only if such new General Partner shall

have made such Capital Contribution as such Limited Partners may specify and shall have executed and delivered to the Partnership a document including such new General Partner's notice address, acceptance of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, an agreement to perform and discharge timely all of its obligations and liabilities hereunder, and a representation and warranty that the representation and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such new General Partner. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 10.01(c), the right to select such new General Partner shall not exist or be exercised unless the Partnership shall have received the favorable opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel or of other legal counsel acceptable to the Limited Partners making such selection to the effect that such selection and admission will not result in (i) the loss of limited liability of any Limited Partner (except to the extent a Limited Partner has consented to become the General Partner) or (ii) in the Partnership being treated as an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 10.01(c), no such new General Partner shall be admitted (and the existing General Partner shall continue as such) if the event that permitted the selection of a new General Partner shall have been an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(5) of the Act that with the passage of time would cause the existing General Partner to become a Bankrupt Partner but, due to the failure of such situation to continue, such General Partner does not become a Bankrupt Partner.

10.02 Conversion of Interest. Immediately upon the General Partner's ceasing to be General Partner following the admission of a new General Partner pursuant to Section 10.01(c), the former General Partner's interest in the Partnership as a General Partner shall be converted into the interest of a Limited Partner in the Partnership having the same economic rights as specified for the General Partner herein immediately prior to its ceasing to be a General Partner, and such General Partner shall automatically and without further action be admitted to the Partnership as a Limited Partner.

ARTICLE XI

DISSOLUTION, LIQUIDATION, AND TERMINATION

11.01 Dissolution. The Partnership shall be dissolved and its affairs shall be wound up upon the first to occur of any of the following:

- (a) the written consent of the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest;
- (b) the written consent of the General Partner acting pursuant to Section 3.09;
- (c) unless otherwise agreed to by the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest, a date selected by the General Partner (in its sole discretion) that is within 30 days following the occurrence of the Vesting Date;
- (d) the end of the term of the Partnership as set forth in Section 2.06;
- (e) the General Partner's ceasing to be the General Partner as described in Section 10.01(b) with no new General Partner having been selected and admitted as provided in Section 10.01(c); or

(f) any other event causing dissolution as described in Section 17-801 of the Act (other than an event described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act, except as provided in Sections 10.01(b) and 11.01(d));

it being understood that if an “event of withdrawal of a general partner” (as defined in Section 17-101(3) of the Act) shall occur with respect to the General Partner and at least one other General Partner shall have been or is about to be admitted pursuant to Section 3.03(b), 10.01(c), or 10.02, the Partnership shall not dissolve but shall continue and the remaining General Partner shall, and hereby agrees to, carry on the business of the Partnership.

11.02 Liquidation and Termination. Upon dissolution of the Partnership, unless it is continued as provided in Section 11.01, the General Partner shall act as liquidator or may appoint one or more other Persons as liquidator; *provided, however*, that if the Partnership shall be dissolved on account of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of Act with respect to the General Partner, the liquidator shall be one or more Persons selected in writing by the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest. The liquidator shall proceed diligently to wind up the affairs of the Partnership and make final distributions as provided herein, and shall file any amendments to the Certificate as may be required by applicable law. The costs of liquidation shall be borne as a Partnership expense. Until final distribution, the liquidator shall continue to manage the Partnership assets with all of the power and authority of the General Partner. The steps to be accomplished by the liquidator are as follows:

(a) as promptly as possible after dissolution and again after final liquidation, the liquidator shall cause a proper accounting to be made by a recognized firm of certified public accountants of the Partnership’s assets, liabilities, and operations through the last day of the calendar month in which the dissolution shall have occurred or the final liquidation shall be completed, as applicable;

(b) the liquidator shall pay all of the debts and liabilities of the Partnership (including, without limitation, all expenses incurred in liquidation and any advances made by the General Partner pursuant to Section 4.03) or otherwise make adequate provision therefor (including, without limitation, the establishment of a cash escrow fund for contingent liabilities in such amount and for such term as the liquidator may reasonably determine); and

(c) all remaining assets of the Partnership shall be distributed to the Partners as follows:

- (i) the fair market value of the property shall be determined and the capital accounts of the Partners shall be adjusted to reflect the manner in which the unrealized income, gain, loss, and deduction inherent in such property (that has not been reflected in the capital accounts previously) would be allocated among the Partners if there were a taxable disposition of such property for the fair market value of such property on the Vesting Date; and
- (ii) the Partnership property shall be distributed among the Partners in accordance with the positive capital account balances of the Partners, as determined after taking into account all capital account adjustments for the taxable year of the Partnership

during which the liquidation of the Partnership occurs (other than those made by reason of this clause); and such distributions shall be made by the end of the taxable year of the Partnership during which the liquidation of the Partnership occurs (or, if later, within 90 days after the date of such liquidation). While the General Partner has the right to sell EPD Units as noted in Section 5.04, and subject to the restrictions set forth in Section 5.05, it is the intent of the General Partner upon liquidation and termination of the Partnership to distribute EPD Units to the Partners rather than sell the EPD Units and distribute the cash proceeds of such sale to the Partners.

For purposes of this Section 11.02(c), the “fair market value” of each EPD Unit held by the Partnership on the Vesting Date shall be equal to the average of the closing sale prices per EPD Unit for the 20 trading days ending on the Vesting Date (or, if no closing sale price is reported, the average of the bid and asked prices) as reported in the composite transactions for the principal United States securities exchange on which the EPD Units are traded or if the EPD Units are not listed on a national or regional stock exchange, as reported by The NASDAQ National Market. All distributions in kind to the Partners shall be made subject to the liability of each distributee for costs, expenses, and liabilities theretofore incurred or for which the Partnership shall have committed prior to the date of termination and such costs, expenses, and liabilities shall be allocated to such distributee pursuant to this Section 11.02. The distribution of property to a Partner in accordance with the provisions of this Section 11.02 shall constitute a complete return to the Partner of its Capital Contributions and a complete distribution to the Partner of its interest in the Partnership and all the Partnership’s property and shall constitute a compromise to which all Partners have consented within the meaning of Section 17-502(b) of the Act.

11.03 Cancellation of Certificate. Upon completion of the distribution of Partnership assets as provided herein, the Partnership shall be terminated, and the General Partner (or, if there shall be no General Partner, the Limited Partners) shall cause the cancellation of the Certificate and any other filings made pursuant to Section 2.05 and shall take such other actions as may be necessary to terminate the Partnership.

ARTICLE XII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

12.01 Offset. In the event that any sum is payable to any Partner pursuant to this Agreement, any amounts owed by such Partner to the Partnership shall be deducted from said sum before payment to said Partner.

12.02 Notices. All notices or requests or consents provided for or permitted to be given pursuant to this Agreement must be in writing and must be given (a) by depositing same in the United States mail, addressed to the Person to be notified, postpaid, and registered or certified with return receipt requested or (b) by delivering such notice by courier or in person to such party. Notices given or served pursuant hereto shall be effective two Business Days after such deposit, or upon receipt if delivered in person to the person to be notified. All notices to be sent to a Partner shall be sent to or made at the address given on the Power of Attorney executed by the Partner and delivered to the General Partner on the date of the Original Partnership Agreement or in the instrument described in Section 3.03(c), 3.04, or 10.01(c), or such other

address as such Partner may specify by notice to the General Partner. Any notice to the Partnership shall be given to the General Partner.

12.03 Entire Agreement; Supersedure. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the Partners relating to the matters contained herein and supersedes all prior contracts or agreements, whether oral or written, among the parties hereto with respect to such matters.

12.04 Effect of Waiver or Consent. No waiver or consent, express or implied, by any Person with respect to any breach or default by any other Person of its obligations hereunder shall be deemed or construed to be a consent or waiver with respect to any other breach or default by such other Person of the same or any other obligations of such other Person hereunder. Failure on the part of any Person to complain of any act or omission of any other Person, or to declare any other Person in default, irrespective of how long such failure continues, shall not constitute a waiver by such Person of its rights hereunder until the applicable limitation period has run.

12.05 Amendment or Modification. This Agreement may be amended or modified from time to time only by a written instrument executed by the General Partner; *provided, however,* that (a) the vesting and distribution provisions of this Agreement may be amended or modified only by a written instrument executed by the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest, and (b) no amendment or modification reducing a Partner's Sharing Points (other than to reflect changes otherwise provided hereby) or increasing its duties or adversely affecting its limited liability shall be effective without such Partner's consent.

12.06 Binding Effect; Joinder of Additional Parties. Subject to the restrictions on Dispositions set forth herein, this Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the Partners, as well as the respective heirs, legal representatives, successors, and assigns of such Partners.

12.07 Construction. THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE, EXCLUDING ANY CONFLICTS-OF-LAW RULE OR PRINCIPLE THAT MIGHT REFER THE GOVERNANCE OR CONSTRUCTION OF THIS AGREEMENT TO THE LAWS OF ANOTHER JURISDICTION. The headings in this Agreement are inserted for convenience and identification only and are not intended to describe, interpret, define, or limit the scope, extent, or intent of this Agreement or any provision hereof. Whenever the context requires, the gender of all words used in this Agreement shall include the masculine, feminine, and neuter. All references to Articles and Sections refer to articles and sections of this Agreement. All sums and amounts payable or to be payable pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement shall be payable in coin or currency of the United States of America that, at the time of payment, is legal tender for the payment of public and private debts in the United States of America. If any provision of this Agreement or the application thereof to any Person or circumstance shall be held invalid or unenforceable to any extent, the remainder of this Agreement and the application of such provision to other Persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby and shall be enforced to the greatest extent permitted by law.

12.08 Further Assurances. In connection with this Agreement, as well as all transactions contemplated by this Agreement, each Partner agrees to execute and deliver such additional documents and instruments and to perform such additional acts as may be necessary or appropriate to effectuate, carry out, and perform all of the terms, provisions, and conditions of this Agreement and all such transactions.

12.09 Indemnification. To the fullest extent permitted by law, each Partner shall indemnify the Partnership and each other Partner and hold them harmless from and against all losses, costs, liabilities, damages, and expenses (including, without limitation, costs of suit and attorney's fees) they may incur on account of any breach by such indemnifying Partner of this Agreement.

12.10 Waiver of Certain Rights. Each Partner irrevocably waives any right it might have to maintain any action for dissolution of the Partnership or to maintain any action for partition of the property of the Partnership.

12.11 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts with the same effect as if all signatory parties had signed the same document. All counterparts shall be construed together and shall constitute one and the same instrument.

12.12 Dispute Resolution. (a) If the General Partner and one or more Limited Partners are unable to resolve any controversy, dispute, claim or other matter in question arising out of, or relating to, this Agreement, any provision hereof, the alleged breach hereof, or in any way relating to the subject matter of this Agreement, or the relationship between the parties created by this Agreement, including questions concerning the scope and applicability of this Section 12.12, whether sounding in contract, tort or otherwise, at law or in equity, under state or federal law, whether provided by statute or common law, for damages or any other relief (any such controversy, dispute, claim or other matter in question, a "Dispute"), on or before the 30th day following the receipt by the General Partner or such Limited Partners of written notice of such Dispute from the other party, which notice describes in reasonable detail the nature of the Dispute and the facts and circumstances relating thereto, the General Partner or such Limited Partners may, by delivery of written notice to the other party, require that a representative of the General Partner and of such Limited Partners meet at a mutually agreeable time and place in an attempt to resolve such Dispute. Such meeting shall take place on or before the 15th day following the date of the notice requiring such meeting, and if the Dispute has not been resolved within 15 days following such meeting, the General Partner or such Limited Partners may cause such Dispute to be resolved by binding arbitration in Houston, Texas, by submitting such Dispute for arbitration within 30 days following the expiration of such 15-day period. This agreement to arbitrate shall be specifically enforceable against the parties.

(b) It is the intention of the parties that the arbitration shall be governed by and conducted pursuant to the Federal Arbitration Act, as such Act is modified by this Section 12.12. If it is determined the Federal Arbitration Act is not applicable to this Agreement (e.g., this Agreement does not evidence a transaction involving interstate commerce), this agreement to arbitrate shall nevertheless be enforceable pursuant to applicable State law. While the arbitrators may refer to the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association (the "Rules") for guidance with respect to procedural matters, the arbitration proceeding shall not be

administered by the American Arbitration Association but instead shall be self-administered by the parties until the arbitrators are selected and then the proceeding shall be administered by the arbitrators.

(c) The validity, construction, and interpretation of this agreement to arbitrate, and all procedural aspects of the arbitration conducted pursuant to this agreement to arbitrate, including but not limited to, the determination of the issues that are subject to arbitration (i.e., arbitrability), the scope of the arbitrable issues, allegations of “fraud in the inducement” to enter into this Agreement or this arbitration provision, allegations of waiver, laches, delay or other defenses to arbitrability, and the rules governing the conduct of the arbitration (including the time for filing an answer, the time for the filing of counterclaims, the times for amending the pleadings, the specificity of the pleadings, the extent and scope of discovery, the issuance of subpoenas, the times for the designation of experts, whether the arbitration is to be stayed pending resolution of related litigation involving third parties not bound by this arbitration agreement, the receipt of evidence, and the like), shall be decided by the arbitrators.

(d) The rules of arbitration of the Federal Arbitration Act, as modified by this Agreement, shall govern procedural aspects of the arbitration; to the extent the Federal Arbitration Act as modified by this Agreement does not address a procedural issue, the arbitrators may refer for guidance to the Commercial Arbitration Rules then in effect with the American Arbitration Association. The arbitrators may refer for guidance to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Civil Evidence, and the federal law with respect to the discovery process, applicable legal privileges, and admissible evidence. In deciding the substance of the parties’ Dispute, the arbitrators shall refer to the substantive laws of the State of Delaware for guidance (excluding Delaware’s conflict-of-law rules or principles that might call for the application of the law of another jurisdiction); *provided, however*, IT IS EXPRESSLY AGREED THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION IN THIS SECTION 12.12 TO THE CONTRARY, THE ARBITRATORS SHALL HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO AUTHORITY TO AWARD CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (SUCH AS LOSS OF PROFIT), TREBLE, EXEMPLARY OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES OF ANY TYPE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGES MAY BE AVAILABLE UNDER DELAWARE LAW, THE LAW OF ANY OTHER STATE, OR FEDERAL LAW, OR UNDER THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT, OR UNDER THE COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION RULES OF THE AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION. The arbitrators shall have the authority to assess the costs and expenses of the arbitration proceeding (including the arbitrators’ fees and expenses) against either or both parties. However, each party shall bear its own attorneys fees and the arbitrators shall have no authority to award attorneys fees.

(e) When a Dispute has been submitted for arbitration, within 30 days of such submission, the General Partner will choose an arbitrator, and such Limited Partners will choose an arbitrator. The two arbitrators shall select a third arbitrator, failing agreement on which within 90 days of the original notice, the General Partner and such Limited Partners (or either of them) shall apply to any United States District Judge for the Southern District of Texas, who shall appoint the third arbitrator. While the third arbitrator shall be neutral, the two party-appointed arbitrators are not required to be neutral and it shall not be grounds for removal of either of the two party-appointed arbitrators or for vacating the arbitrators’ award that either of

such arbitrators has past or present minimal relationships with the party that appointed such arbitrator. Evident partiality on the part of an arbitrator exists only where the circumstances are such that a reasonable person would have to conclude there in fact existed actual bias and a mere appearance or impression of bias will not constitute evident partiality or otherwise disqualify an arbitrator. Minimal or trivial past or present relationships between the neutral arbitrator and the party selecting such arbitrator or any of the other arbitrators, or the failure to disclose such minimal or trivial past or present relationships, will not by themselves constitute evident partiality or otherwise disqualify any arbitrator. Upon selection of the third arbitrator, each of the three arbitrators shall agree in writing to abide faithfully by the terms of this agreement to arbitrate. The three arbitrators shall make all of their decisions by majority vote. If one of the party-appointed arbitrators refuses to participate in the proceedings or refuses to vote, the decision of the other two arbitrators shall be binding. If an arbitrator dies or becomes physically incapacitated and is unable to fulfill his or her duties as an arbitrator, the arbitration proceeding shall continue with a substitute arbitrator selected as follows: if the incapacitated arbitrator is a party-appointed arbitrator, the party shall promptly select a new arbitrator, and if the incapacitated arbitrator is the neutral arbitrator, the two-party appointed arbitrators shall select a substitute neutral arbitrator, failing agreement on which the General Partner and such Limited Partners (or either of them) shall apply to any United States District Judge for the Southern District of Texas, who shall appoint the substitute neutral arbitrator.

(f) The final hearing shall be conducted within 120 days of the selection of the third arbitrator. The final hearing shall not exceed ten working days, with each party to be granted one-half of the allocated time to present its case to the arbitrators. There shall be a transcript of the hearing before the arbitrators. The arbitrators shall render their ultimate decision within 20 days of the completion of the final hearing completely resolving all of the Disputes between the parties that are the subject of the arbitration proceeding. The arbitrators' ultimate decision after final hearing shall be in writing, but shall be as brief as possible, and the arbitrators shall assign their reasons for their ultimate decision. In the case the arbitrators award any monetary damages in favor of either party, the arbitrators shall certify in their award that they have not included any treble, exemplary or punitive damages.

(g) The arbitrators' award shall, as between the parties to this Agreement and those in privity with them, be final and entitled to all of the protections and benefits of a final judgment, e.g., res judicata (claim preclusion) and collateral estoppel (issue preclusion), as to all Disputes, including compulsory counterclaims, that were or could have been presented to the arbitrators. The arbitrators' award shall not be reviewable by or appealable to any court, except to the extent permitted by the Federal Arbitration Act.

(h) It is the intent of the parties that the arbitration proceeding shall be conducted expeditiously, without initial recourse to the courts and without interlocutory appeals of the arbitrators' decisions to the courts. However, if a party refuses to honor its obligations under this agreement to arbitrate, the other party may obtain appropriate relief compelling arbitration in any court having jurisdiction over the parties; the order compelling arbitration shall require that the arbitration proceedings take place in Houston, Texas, as specified above. The parties may apply to any court for orders requiring witnesses to obey subpoenas issued by the arbitrators. Moreover, any and all of the arbitrators' orders and decisions may be enforced if necessary by

any court. The arbitrators' award may be confirmed in, and judgment upon the award entered by, any federal or State court having jurisdiction over the parties.

(i) To the fullest extent permitted by law, this arbitration proceeding and the arbitrators award shall be maintained in confidence by the parties. However, a violation of this covenant shall not affect the enforceability of this arbitration agreement or of the arbitrators' award.

(j) A party's breach of this Agreement shall not affect this agreement to arbitrate. Moreover, the parties' obligations under this arbitration provision are enforceable even after this Agreement has terminated. The invalidity or unenforceability of any provision of this arbitration agreement shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the parties' obligation to submit their Disputes to binding arbitration or the other provisions of this agreement to arbitrate.

12.13 No Effect on Employment Relationship. Nothing in this Agreement shall confer upon any employee of the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof any right to continued employment nor shall it interfere in any way with the right of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates to terminate the employment of any employee at any time.

12.14 Legal Representation. This Agreement and related documents have been prepared by Vinson & Elkins LLP and Andrews Kurth LLP, as counsel for the General Partner, and not as counsel for any other Partner or the Partnership. Each party other than the General Partner has been advised to seek independent counsel in connection with this Agreement and the related documents.

[Signature Pages to Follow.]

FORM OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

For Executing Agreement of Limited Partnership of EPD PrivCo Unit I L.P.

Know all by these presents, that the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Enterprise Products Company, a Texas corporation, and its authorized representatives the undersigned's true and lawful attorney-in-fact to:

- (1) execute for and on behalf of the undersigned as a Class B limited partner thereunder that certain Agreement of Limited Partnership of EPD PrivCo Unit I L.P. (the "Partnership Agreement"); and
- (2) take any other action of any type whatsoever in connection with the foregoing that, in the opinion of each such attorney-in-fact, may be of benefit to, in the best interest of, or legally required of the undersigned, it being understood that the documents executed by the attorney-in-fact on behalf of the undersigned pursuant to this Power of Attorney shall be in such form and shall contain such terms and conditions as the attorney-in-fact may approve in the attorney-in-fact's discretion.

The undersigned hereby grants to each attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or proper to be done in the exercise of any of the rights and powers herein granted, as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, with full power of substitution or revocation, hereby ratifying and confirming all that the attorney-in-fact, or the attorney-in-facts substitute or substitutes, shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue of this Power of Attorney and the rights and powers herein granted.

The undersigned acknowledges and agrees by execution of this Power of Attorney that the undersigned's initial Sharing Points (as defined in the Partnership Agreement) under the Partnership Agreement equal _____, which represents ____% of the total initial Sharing Points granted by the General Partner pursuant to the Partnership Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has caused this Power of Attorney to be executed as of the date written below.

Signature

Type or Print Name

Date

**FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
OF
EPD PUBCO UNIT III L.P.**

Dated as of
November 3, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE I DEFINITIONS

1.01	Certain Definitions	1
1.02	Other Definitions	6

ARTICLE II ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

2.01	Formation	6
2.02	Name	6
2.03	Registered Office; Registered Agent; Other Offices	6
2.04	Purposes	6
2.05	Certificate; Foreign Qualification	7
2.06	Term	7
2.07	Merger or Consolidation	7

ARTICLE III PARTNERS; DISPOSITIONS OF INTERESTS

3.01	Partners	7
3.02	Representations and Warranties	7
3.03	Restrictions on the Disposition of an Interest	8
3.04	Additional Partners	9
3.05	Interests in a Partner	10
3.06	Spouses of Partners	10
3.07	Vesting of Limited Partners	10
3.08	Services Provided by the Partners	10
3.09	Investment Company Act Matters	10

ARTICLE IV CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS

4.01	Initial and Additional Capital Contributions	11
4.02	Return of Contributions	11
4.03	Advances by General Partner	11
4.04	Capital Accounts	11

ARTICLE V ALLOCATIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

5.01	Allocations	12
5.02	Income Tax Allocations	14
5.03	Distributions of Cashflow from EPD Units	15
5.04	Distributions of Proceeds from Sales of EPD Units	15

5.05	Restrictions on Distributions of EPD Units	16
------	--	----

**ARTICLE VI
MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION**

6.01	Management of Partnership Affairs	16
6.02	Duties and Obligations of General Partner	17
6.03	Release and Indemnification	17
6.04	Power of Attorney	18

**ARTICLE VII
RIGHTS OF OTHER PARTNERS**

7.01	Information	19
7.02	Limitations	19
7.03	Limited Liability	20

**ARTICLE VIII
TAXES**

8.01	Tax Returns	20
8.02	Tax Elections	20
8.03	Tax Matters Partner	20

**ARTICLE IX
BOOKS, RECORDS, REPORTS, AND BANK ACCOUNTS**

9.01	Maintenance of Books	21
9.02	Financial Statements	21
9.03	Bank Accounts	21

**ARTICLE X
WITHDRAWAL, BANKRUPTCY, REMOVAL, ETC.**

10.01	Withdrawal, Bankruptcy, Etc. of General Partner	21
10.02	Conversion of Interest	22

**ARTICLE XI
DISSOLUTION, LIQUIDATION, AND TERMINATION**

11.01	Dissolution	22
11.02	Liquidation and Termination	23
11.03	Cancellation of Certificate	24

**ARTICLE XII
GENERAL PROVISIONS**

12.01	Offset	24
-------	--------	----

12.02	Notices	24
12.03	Entire Agreement; Supersedure	25
12.04	Effect of Waiver or Consent	25
12.05	Amendment or Modification	25
12.06	Binding Effect; Joinder of Additional Parties	25
12.07	Construction	25
12.08	Further Assurances	26
12.09	Indemnification	26
12.10	Waiver of Certain Rights	26
12.11	Counterparts	26
12.12	Dispute Resolution	26
12.13	No Effect on Employment Relationship	29
12.14	Legal Representation	29

**FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
OF
EPD PUBCO UNIT III L.P.**

This First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (this "Agreement") of EPD PubCo Unit III L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Partnership"), is made and entered into effective as of November 3, 2016 by and among the Partners (as defined below).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Partnership's Agreement of Limited Partnership was executed effective as of April 6, 2016 (the "Original Partnership Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the Partners deem it advisable and in the best interests of the Partnership to amend and restate the Original Partnership Agreement in its entirety as set forth herein;

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION OF the mutual covenants, rights, and obligations set forth herein, the benefits to be derived therefrom, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which each Partner acknowledges and confesses, the Partners hereby amend and restate the Original Partnership Agreement in its entirety and agree as follows:

ARTICLE I
DEFINITIONS

1.01 Certain Definitions. As used in this Agreement, the following terms have the following respective meanings:

"Act" means the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

"Adjusted Capital Account" means, with respect to any Partner, the balance in such Partner's Capital Account after giving effect to the following adjustments:

- (a) Credit to such Capital Account of any amounts that such Partner is obligated or deemed obligated to contribute pursuant to the penultimate sentences of Sections 1.704-2(g)(1) and 1.704-2(i)(5) of the Regulations; and
- (b) Debit to such Capital Account the items described in Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(5) and 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(6) of the Regulations.

The foregoing definition of Adjusted Capital Account is intended to comply with the provisions of Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d) of the Regulations and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.

“Adjustment Date” means as soon as practicable following the receipt of proceeds by the Partnership from the disposition of EPD Units, but no later than the fifth Business Day following the receipt of any proceeds by the Partnership from the disposition of EPD Units.

“Affiliate” means with respect to any Person any other Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls or is controlled by, or is under common control with, the Person specified. For the purpose of this definition, “control” shall mean the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a Person, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise.

“Agreement” has the meaning given it in the introductory paragraph hereof.

“Assigned EPD Units” means those 105,000 EPD Units of which Beneficial Ownership (subject to the retention of the Retained Distribution) was assigned to the Partnership by the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to the Assignment (as such number may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Assignment” means the irrevocable assignment under the Contribution Agreement pursuant to which Beneficial Ownership of the Assigned EPD Units has been assigned by the Class A Limited Partner to the Partnership.

“Bankrupt Partner” means any Partner (whether a General Partner or a Limited Partner) with respect to which an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) or (5) of the Act (or any equivalent successor provision) shall have occurred, subject to the lapsing of any period of time therein specified.

“Beneficial Ownership” means, with respect to the Assigned EPD Units, dominion and control (within the meaning of Treasury Regulation Section 1.704-1(e)(2)) representing all the rights and obligations held by the Class A Limited Partner with respect to the Assigned EPD Units prior to the Assignment as well as such residual rights exercised on behalf of the Assigned EPD Units after the Assignment, subject to the retention of the Retained Distribution.

“Business Day” means any day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or day on which commercial banks in the State of Texas are authorized or required to be closed for business.

“Capital Account” means the account maintained for each Partner pursuant to Section 4.04.

“Capital Contribution” means any contribution by a Partner to the capital of the Partnership.

“Certificate” means the Certificate of Limited Partnership of the Partnership referred to in Section 2.05, as it may be amended or restated from time to time.

“Change of Control” means Duncan shall (i) cease to own, directly or indirectly, at least a majority of the equity interests in the General Partner or the general partner of EPD, or (ii) shall cease to have the ability to elect, directly or indirectly, at least a majority of the directors of the general partner of EPD.

“Class A Capital Base” means:

- (i) the Contributed Unit Fair Market Value multiplied by the number of Assigned EPD Units,
- (ii) decreased on each Adjustment Date by all distributions made to the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to Section 5.04 since the previous Adjustment Date (or in the case of the first Adjustment Date, since the Closing Date).

“Class A Limited Partner” means EPCO Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its successors and assigns.

“Class A Preference Return” means:

(a) as it relates to each regular quarterly distribution with respect to EPD Units, an aggregate dollar amount equal to the product of (i) \$0.39, multiplied by (ii) the total number of EPD Units to which such distribution relates (as such calculation may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units); and

(b) as it relates to any distribution with respect to EPD Units other than as described in clause (a) above, an aggregate dollar amount equal to the product of (i) the aggregate dollar amount of such distribution received by the Partnership, multiplied by (ii) a fraction, the numerator of which shall be \$0.39 and the denominator of which shall be equal to the per unit dollar amount of the most recent quarterly distribution paid with respect to EPD Units (as such calculation may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Class A Preference Return Amount” means the aggregate dollar amount of the unpaid Class A Preference Return.

“Class B Limited Partner” means any Person executing (by power of attorney or otherwise) this Agreement as of the date hereof as a Class B Limited Partner or hereafter admitted to the Partnership as a Class B Limited Partner as herein provided, but shall not include any Person who has ceased to be a Class B Limited Partner in the Partnership.

“Class B Percentage Interest” means with respect to each Class B Limited Partner the quotient (expressed as a percentage) of (i) such Class B Limited Partner’s Sharing Points, divided by (ii) the Sharing Points of all Class B Limited Partners. For purposes of calculating the Class B Percentage Interest, Sharing Points attributable to interests in the Partnership that are forfeited pursuant to Section 3.07 shall be ignored.

“Closing Date” means April 6, 2016, the date on which the Class A Limited Partner contributed Beneficial Ownership of the Assigned EPD Units to the Partnership pursuant to the Contribution Agreement.

“Code” means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

“Contributed Unit Fair Market Value” means \$23.86 (the closing sales price per EPD Unit on the New York Stock Exchange on April 5, 2016) (as such dollar amount may be adjusted in the sole discretion of the General Partner in order to reflect any equity split, equity distribution or dividend, reverse split, combination, reclassification, recapitalization or other similar event affecting the EPD Units).

“Contribution Agreement” means that agreement by and between EPCO Holdings, Inc. and EPD PubCo Unit III L.P., dated as of April 6, 2016.

“Disability” means the event whereby a Limited Partner becomes entitled to receive long-term disability benefits under the long-term disability plan of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates.

“Dispose,” “Disposing,” or “Disposition” means a sale, assignment, transfer, exchange, mortgage, pledge, grant of a security interest, or other disposition or encumbrance, or the acts thereof, other than by divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of a Partner’s marriage.

“Duncan” means, collectively, individually or in any combination, the descendants, heirs and/or legatees of Dan L Duncan and/or distributees of Dan L Duncan’s estate, and/or trusts (including voting trusts) established for the benefit of his descendants, such legatees and/or distributees and/or their respective descendants, heirs, legatees and distributees.

“EPCO” means Enterprise Products Company, a Texas corporation.

“EPD” means Enterprise Products Partners L.P., a Delaware limited partnership.

“EPD Units” means (1) the Assigned EPD Units for so long as the Contribution Agreement is effective, and (2) thereafter, the partnership units representing limited partner interests in EPD.

“General Interest Rate” means a varying per annum rate equal at any given time to the lesser of (a) the interest rate publicly quoted by J.P. Morgan Chase from time to time as its prime commercial or similar reference interest rate, and (b) the maximum rate permitted by applicable law.

“General Partner” means EPCO, or any Person hereafter admitted to the Partnership as a general partner as herein provided, but shall not include any Person who has ceased to be a general partner in the Partnership.

“Investment Company Act” means the Investment Company Act of 1940, and any successor statute, as amended from time to time.

“Limited Partner” means the Class A Limited Partner or any Class B Limited Partner.

“Net Income” and “Net Loss” mean, respectively, subject to Section 4.04, an amount equal to the Partnership’s taxable income or loss taking the Assignment into account determined in accordance with Code Section 703(a) (for this purpose, all items of income, gain, loss, or deduction required to be stated separately pursuant to Code Section 703(a)(1) shall be included in taxable income or loss), with the following adjustments:

(a) Any income of the Partnership that is exempt from federal income tax and not otherwise taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss pursuant to this definition of Net Income and Net Loss shall be added to such taxable income or loss;

(b) Any expenditures of the Partnership described in Code Section 705(a)(2)(B) or treated as Code Section 705(a)(2)(B) expenditures pursuant to Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(i) of the Regulations, and not otherwise taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss pursuant to this definition of Net Income and Net Loss, shall be subtracted from such taxable income or loss;

(c) In the event the value of any Partnership property is adjusted pursuant to Section 4.04 (i) such adjustment shall be taken into account as gain or loss from the disposition of such Partnership property for purposes of computing Net Income or Net Loss, (ii) if such property is subject to depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization, any further deductions for such depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization attributable to such property shall be determined taking into account such adjustment, and (ii) in determining the amount of any income, gain or loss attributable to the taxable disposition of such property such adjustment (and the related adjustments for depreciation, cost recovery, depletion or amortization) shall be taken into account;

(d) To the extent an adjustment to the adjusted tax basis of any Partnership Property pursuant to Code Section 734(b) is required, pursuant to Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m)(4) of the Regulations, to be taken into account in determining Capital Accounts as a result of a Distribution other than in liquidation of a Partner’s interest in the Partnership, the amount of such adjustment shall be treated as an item of gain (if the adjustment increases the basis of the asset) or loss (if the adjustment decreases such basis) from the disposition of such Partnership Property and shall be taken into account for purposes of computing Net Income or Net Loss; and

(e) Any items that are allocated pursuant to Section 5.01(b) shall not be taken into account in computing Net Income or Net Loss.

“Partner” means the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner or any Class B Limited Partner.

“Partnership” has the meaning given it in the introductory paragraph.

“Person” has the meaning given it in the Act.

“Qualifying Termination” means the termination of a Class B Limited Partner’s employment with the General Partner and its Affiliates due to (i) death, (ii) receiving long-term

disability benefits under the long-term disability plan of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates or (iii) retirement with the approval of the General Partner (which may be given or withheld in its sole discretion) on or after reaching age 65, provided that such retirement occurs on or after April 6, 2018.

“Regulations” means the regulations promulgated under Section 704 of the Code.

“Required Interest” means one or more Class B Limited Partners having among them more than 50% of the Class B Percentage Interests of all Limited Partners in its or their capacities as such.

“Retained Distribution” has the meaning set forth in the Contribution Agreement.

“Sharing Points” means, with respect to each Class B Limited Partner, the number of Sharing Points granted by the General Partner to such Class B Limited Partner (which number is set forth on the Power of Attorney executed by the Class B Limited Partner and delivered to the General Partner), as the same may be amended from time to time pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

“Vesting Date” means the earliest of (i) the fourth anniversary of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement, (ii) a Change of Control or (iii) dissolution of the Partnership.

1.02 Other Definitions. Other terms defined herein have the meanings so given them.

ARTICLE II

ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS

2.01 Formation. The Partnership has been previously formed as a Delaware limited partnership for the purposes hereinafter set forth under and pursuant to the provisions of the Act.

2.02 Name. The name of the Partnership is “EPD PubCo Unit III L.P.” and all Partnership business shall be conducted in such name or such other name or names that comply with applicable law as the General Partner may designate from time to time.

2.03 Registered Office; Registered Agent; Other Offices. The registered office of the Partnership in the State of Delaware shall be at such place as the General Partner may designate from time to time. The registered agent for service of process on the Partnership in the State of Delaware or any other jurisdiction shall be such Person or Persons as the General Partner may designate from time to time. The Partnership may have such other offices as the General Partner may designate from time to time.

2.04 Purposes. The purposes of the Partnership are to acquire, own, sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of EPD Units, and to enter into, make and perform all contracts and other undertakings and to engage in any other business, activity or transaction that now or hereafter may be necessary, incidental, proper, advisable, or convenient, as determined by the General Partner, to accomplish the foregoing purposes.

2.05 Certificate; Foreign Qualification. The General Partner has previously executed and caused to be filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware a Certificate of Limited Partnership, effective as of April 6, 2016, containing information required by the Act and such other information as the General Partner deemed appropriate. Prior to conducting business in any jurisdiction other than Delaware, the General Partner shall cause the Partnership to comply, to the extent such matters are reasonably within the control of the General Partner, with all requirements necessary to qualify the Partnership as a foreign limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in such jurisdiction. Upon the request of the General Partner, each Partner shall execute, acknowledge, swear to, and deliver all certificates and other instruments conforming with this Agreement that are necessary or appropriate as determined by the General Partner to qualify, continue, and terminate the Partnership as a limited partnership under the laws of the State of Delaware and to qualify, continue, and terminate the Partnership as a foreign limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in all other jurisdictions in which the Partnership may conduct business, and to this end the General Partner may use the power of attorney described in Section 6.04.

2.06 Term. The term of this Partnership shall continue in existence until the close of Partnership business on the earliest to occur of (i) the fiftieth anniversary of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement, and (ii) such earlier time as this Agreement may specify.

2.07 Merger or Consolidation. The Partnership may merge or consolidate with or into another business entity, or enter into an agreement to do so, with the consent of the General Partner and a Required Interest.

ARTICLE III **PARTNERS; DISPOSITIONS OF INTERESTS**

3.01 Partners. The General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and the Class B Limited Partners of the Partnership are the Persons executing (by power of attorney or otherwise) this Agreement as of the date hereof as the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and the Class B Limited Partners, respectively, each of which was admitted to the Partnership as the General Partner, Class A Limited Partner or a Class B Limited Partner, as the case may be, effective as of the date of the Original Partnership Agreement.

3.02 Representations and Warranties. Each Partner hereby represents and warrants to the Partnership and each other Partner that (a) if such Partner is a corporation, it is duly organized, validly existing, and in good standing under the laws of the jurisdiction of its incorporation and is duly qualified and in good standing as a foreign corporation in the jurisdiction of its principal place of business (if not incorporated therein), (b) if such Partner is a trust, estate or other entity, it is duly formed, validly existing, and (if applicable) in good standing under the laws of the jurisdiction of its formation, and if required by law is duly qualified to do business and (if applicable) in good standing in the jurisdiction of its principal place of business (if not formed therein), (c) such Partner has full corporate, trust, or other applicable right, power and authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform its obligations hereunder and all necessary actions by the board of directors, trustees, beneficiaries, or other Persons necessary for the due authorization, execution, delivery, and performance of this

Agreement by such Partner have been duly taken, and such authorization, execution, delivery, and performance do not conflict with any other agreement or arrangement to which such Partner is a party or by which it is bound, and (d) such Partner is acquiring its interest in the Partnership for investment purposes and not with a view to distribution thereof.

3.03 Restrictions on the Disposition of an Interest. (a) No Class B Limited Partner may Dispose of all or part of its interest in the Partnership without the prior written consent (which may be given or withheld in its sole discretion) of the General Partner, and then only after Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e) have been complied with, except that a Class B Limited Partner may Dispose of all of its interest upon the death of such Class B Limited Partner or upon becoming a Bankrupt Partner, but in each case only after compliance with Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e). Neither the General Partner nor the Class A Limited Partner may Dispose of all or a part of its interest in the Partnership to a Person who is not an Affiliate of Duncan without the prior written consent of a Required Interest, and then only after Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e) have been complied with.

(b) Subject to the provisions of Sections 3.03(c), (d) and (e), a permitted transferee of all or a part of a Partner's interest in the Partnership shall be admitted to the Partnership as a General Partner or a Limited Partner (as applicable) with, in the case of Class B Limited Partners, such Sharing Points (no greater than the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition immediately prior thereto) as the Partner effecting such Disposition and such permitted transferee may agree.

(c) The Partnership shall not recognize for any purpose any purported Disposition of an interest in the Partnership or distributions therefrom unless and until the provisions of this Section 3.03 shall have been satisfied and there shall have been delivered to the General Partner a document (i) executed by both the Partner effecting such Disposition and the Person to which such interest or interest in distributions are to be Disposed, (ii) including the written acceptance by any Person to be admitted to the Partnership of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, such Person's notice address, and an agreement by such Person to perform and discharge timely all of the obligations and liabilities in respect of the interest being obtained, (iii) setting forth, in the case of the Class B Limited Partners, the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition and the Person to which such interest is Disposed after such Disposition (which together shall total the Sharing Points of the Class B Limited Partners effecting such Disposition prior thereto), (iv) containing a representation and warranty that such Disposition complied with all applicable laws and regulations (including securities laws) and a representation and warranty by such Person that the representations and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such Person. Each such Disposition and, if applicable, admission shall be effective as of the first day of the calendar month immediately succeeding the month in which the General Partner shall receive such notification of Disposition and the other requirements of this Section 3.03 shall have been met unless the General Partner and the Partner affecting such Disposition agree to a different effective date; *provided, however*, that if there shall be only one General Partner and such Disposition or admission and, as a result of such Disposition such General Partner would cease to be a General Partner, such permitted transferee shall be deemed admitted as a General Partner immediately prior to such cessation.

(d) Notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, the right of any Partner to Dispose of an interest in the Partnership or distributions therefrom or of any Person to be admitted to the Partnership in connection therewith shall not exist or be exercised (i) unless and until the Partnership shall have received a favorable opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel or of other legal counsel acceptable to the General Partner to the effect that such Disposition or admission is not required to be registered under the Securities Act of 1933 or any other applicable securities laws, and such Disposition or admission would not cause the Partnership to become an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, and (ii) unless such Disposition or admission would not result in the Partnership being treated as an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or as a publicly traded partnership as defined in Section 7704 of the Code. The General Partner, however, may waive the requirements of Section 3.03(d)(i).

(e) All costs (including, without limitation, the legal fees incurred in connection with the obtaining of the legal opinions referred to in Section 3.03(d)) incurred by the Partnership in connection with any Disposition or admission of a Person to the Partnership pursuant to this Section 3.03 shall be borne and paid by the Partner effecting such Disposition within 10 days after the receipt by such Person of the Partnership's invoice for the amount due.

(f) In the event of a Disposition of an interest in the Partnership pursuant to the death of a Limited Partner that would, in the opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel, result in the Partnership becoming an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, the General Partner shall have the right to purchase such interest from the estate (or beneficiaries) of such deceased Partner for a price equal to the amount that the deceased Partner's estate (or beneficiaries) would receive if all of the EPD Units held by the Partnership were sold at a price equal to the closing sale price per EPD Unit as reported by the New York Stock Exchange (or such other applicable trading market) on the day prior to the exercise of such right by the General Partner and the proceeds from such sale were distributed to the Partners in accordance with the provisions of Section 5.04. The determination by the General Partner of the foregoing purchase price of such deceased Partner's interest in the Partnership shall be conclusive and binding on the deceased Partner's estate and beneficiaries.

(g) Any attempted Disposition by a Person of an interest or right, or any part thereof, in or in respect of the Partnership other than in accordance with this Section 3.03 shall be, and is hereby declared, null and void ab initio.

3.04 Additional Partners. Subject to the provisions of Section 12.05 and 3.03, additional Persons may be admitted to the Partnership as General Partners or Limited Partners, only to the extent that, and on such terms and conditions as, the General Partner shall consent at the time of such admission or issuance. Such admission or issuance shall, in the case of a Class B Limited Partners, specify the Sharing Points applicable thereto. Any such admission must comply with the provisions of Section 3.03(d), and shall not be effective until such new Partner shall have executed and delivered to the General Partner a document including such new Partner's notice address, acceptance of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, an agreement to perform and discharge timely all of its obligations and liabilities hereunder, and a representation and warranty that the representations and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such new Partner.

3.05 Interests in a Partner. No Partner that is not a natural person shall cause or permit an interest, direct or indirect, in itself to be Disposed of such that, on account of such Disposition, the Partnership would become an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes.

3.06 Spouses of Partners. A spouse of a Partner does not become a Partner as a result of such marital relationship or by reason of a divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of marriage. If, in the event of a divorce, legal separation or other dissolution of marriage of a Partner, a former spouse of a Partner is awarded ownership of, or an interest in, all or part of a Partner's interest in the Partnership (the "Awarded Interest"), the Awarded Interest shall automatically and immediately be forfeited and cancelled without payment on such date.

3.07 Vesting of Limited Partners. One hundred percent (100%) of each Class B Limited Partner's interest in the Partnership shall vest on the Vesting Date, but only if (i) on such date such Class B Limited Partner continues to be an active, full-time employee of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates or (ii) prior to the Vesting Date a Qualifying Termination has occurred with respect to such Class B Limited Partner. At such time as a Class B Limited Partner ceases, for any reason other than a Qualifying Termination, to be an active, full-time employee of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates prior to the Vesting Date (as determined by the General Partner in its sole discretion, without regard as to how his status is treated by the General Partner or any of its Affiliates for any of its other compensation or benefit plans or programs), his unvested interest in the Partnership shall be forfeited. The Capital Account attributable to any Class B Limited Partner's interest in the Partnership that is forfeited pursuant to Section 3.06, this Section 3.07 or otherwise hereunder shall be allocated to the remaining Class B Limited Partners in accordance with their respective Class B Percentage Interests.

3.08 Services Provided by the Partners. The interests in the Partnership held by the Partners are for the benefit of certain employees in connection with services rendered or to be rendered by the Partners. EPCO shall be an express third party beneficiary of the services provided by the Partners.

3.09 Investment Company Act Matters. The Partnership is intended to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Investment Company Act. In addition to the rights, powers and authority of the General Partner set forth in Section 3.03, if any event would, in the opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel, result in the Partnership becoming an "investment company" required to register under the Investment Company Act, then (notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary) the General Partner shall have the right, power and authority (exercisable in its sole discretion) to take any and all actions (on behalf of itself and/or the Partnership) as the General Partner may deem necessary, appropriate or advisable to avoid such registration requirement and/or to reduce or mitigate the effects thereof, including without limitation taking unilateral action (without the consent of any Limited Partner) to (i) amend this Agreement in accordance with Section 12.05 and/or (ii) cause the Partnership to be dissolved in accordance with Section 11.01.

ARTICLE IV
CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS

4.01 Initial and Additional Capital Contributions. In connection with the formation of the Partnership, the General Partner contributed \$1,000 to the Partnership and on the Closing Date, the Class A Limited Partner contributed to the Partnership the Assigned EPD Units. No Class B Limited Partner is obligated to make a contribution to the Partnership. Subject to the provisions of applicable law or except as otherwise provided for herein, no Partner shall be liable for or obligated to make an additional Capital Contribution to the Partnership, whether for the purpose of enabling the Partnership to meet its obligations under Section 6.03 or for any other purpose. The initial Capital Account of the General Partner is \$1,000, the initial Capital Account of the Class A Limited Partner as of the Closing Date is equal to the Class A Capital Base on the Closing Date, and the initial Capital Account of each Class B Limited Partner is zero.

4.02 Return of Contributions. No Partner shall be entitled to the return of any part of its Capital Contributions or to be paid interest in respect of either its Capital Account or any Capital Contribution made by it. No unrepaid Capital Contribution shall be deemed or considered to be a liability of the Partnership or of any Partner. No Partner shall be required to contribute, advance or lend any cash or property to the Partnership to enable the Partnership to return any Partner's Capital Contributions to the Partnership. To the extent, however, any Partner (by mistake, overpayment or otherwise) advances funds to the Partnership in excess of the Capital Contributions called for under Section 4.01, such excess amounts shall not be Capital Contributions and (other than advances made by the General Partner pursuant to Section 4.03 below) shall be promptly returned by the Partnership to the Partner so advancing such funds.

4.03 Advances by General Partner. At any time that the Partnership shall not have sufficient cash to pay its obligations, the General Partner may, but shall not be obligated to, advance such funds for or on behalf of the Partnership. Each such advance shall constitute a loan from the General Partner to the Partnership and shall bear interest from the date of the advance until the date of repayment at the General Interest Rate. Any advances made by the General Partner pursuant to this Section 4.03 shall not be considered to be Capital Contributions. All advances shall be repaid out of the next available funds of the Partnership, including Capital Contributions received.

4.04 Capital Accounts. A Capital Account shall be established and maintained for each Partner. Each Partner's Capital Account (a) shall be increased by (i) the amount of money contributed by that Partner to the Partnership, (ii) the fair market value of property, if any, contributed by that Partner to the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by such contributed property that the Partnership is considered to assume or take subject to under Section 752 of the Code), and (iii) allocations to that Partner of Partnership income and gain (or items thereof), including income and gain exempt from tax and income and gain described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g), but excluding income and gain described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i), and (b) shall be decreased by (i) the amount of money distributed to that Partner by the Partnership, (ii) the fair market value of property distributed to that Partner by the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by such distributed property that such Partner is considered to assume or take subject to under Section 752 of the Code), (iii) allocations to that Partner of expenditures of the Partnership described in Section 705(a)(2)(B) of the Code, and (iv)

allocations of Partnership loss and deduction (or items thereof), including loss and deduction described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g), but excluding items described in clause (b)(iii) above and loss or deduction described in Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i). The Partners' Capital Accounts also shall be maintained and adjusted as permitted by the provisions of Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(f) and as required by the other provisions of Regulation Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv) and 1.704-1(b)(4), including adjustments to reflect the allocations to the Partners of depreciation, amortization, and gain or loss as computed for book purposes rather than the allocation of the corresponding items as computed for tax purposes, as required by Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(g). A Partner that has more than one interest in the Partnership shall have a single Capital Account that reflects all such interests, regardless of the class of interests owned by such Partner and regardless of the time or manner in which such interests were acquired; *provided*, that Partners that are Affiliates but nevertheless separate legal entities shall have separate Capital Accounts. Upon the transfer of all or part of an interest in the Partnership, the Capital Account of the transferor that is attributable to the transferred interest in the Partnership shall carry over to the transferee Partner in accordance with the provisions of Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(l).

ARTICLE V
ALLOCATIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

5.01 Allocations.

(a) Net Income and Net Loss. For purposes of maintaining the Capital Accounts, Net Income or Net Loss (and all items included in the computation thereof) shall be allocated among the Partners as follows:

(i) Net Income:

(A) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Limited Partner's Adjusted Capital Account equals the Class A Capital Base; and

(B) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

(ii) Net Loss:

(A) First, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests until the Adjusted Capital Accounts of the Class B Limited Partners are reduced to zero; and

(B) Thereafter, to the Class A Limited Partner.

(b) Special Allocations. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 5.01, the following special allocations shall be made for such taxable period:

(i) Partnership Minimum Gain Chargeback. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 5.01, if there is a net decrease in Partnership Minimum Gain during any Partnership taxable period, each Partner shall be allocated items of

Partnership income and gain for such period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods) in the manner and amounts provided in Regulation Sections 1.704-2(f)(6), 1.704-2(g)(2) and 1.704-2(j)(2)(i), or any successor provision. For purposes of this Section 5.01(b), each Partner's Adjusted Capital Account balance shall be determined, and the allocation of income or gain required hereunder shall be effected, prior to the application of any other allocations pursuant to this Section 5.01(b) with respect to such taxable period (other than an allocation pursuant to Sections 5.01(b)(vi) and 5.01(b)(vii)). This Section 5.01(b)(i) is intended to comply with the Partnership Minimum Gain chargeback requirement in Regulation Section 1.704-2(f) and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.

- (ii) Chargeback of Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain. Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Section 5.01 (other than Section 5.01(b)(i)), except as provided in Regulation Section 1.704-2(i)(4), if there is a net decrease in Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain during any Partnership taxable period, any Partner with a share of Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain at the beginning of such taxable period shall be allocated items of Partnership income and gain for such period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods) in the manner and amounts provided in Regulation Sections 1.704-2(i)(4) and 1.704-2(j)(2)(ii), or any successor provisions. For purposes of this Section 5.01(b), each Partner's Adjusted Capital Account balance shall be determined, and the allocation of income or gain required hereunder shall be effected, prior to the application of any other allocations pursuant to this Section 5.01(b), other than Section 5.01(b)(i) and other than an allocation pursuant to Sections 5.01(b)(vi) and 5.01(b)(vii), with respect to such taxable period. This Section 5.01(b)(ii) is intended to comply with the chargeback of items of income and gain requirement in Regulation Section 1.704-2(i)(4) and shall be interpreted consistently therewith.
- (iii) Qualified Income Offset. In the event any Partner unexpectedly receives any adjustments, allocations or distributions described in Regulation Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(5), or 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(6), items of Partnership income and gain shall be specially allocated to such Partner in an amount and manner sufficient to eliminate, to the extent required by the Regulations, the deficit balance, if any, in its Adjusted Capital Account created by such adjustments, allocations or distributions as quickly as possible unless such deficit balance is otherwise eliminated pursuant to Section 5.01(b)(i) or (ii).
- (iv) Gross Income Allocations. In the event any Partner has a deficit balance in its Capital Account at the end of any Partnership taxable period in excess of the sum of (A) the amount such Partner is required to restore pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement and (B) the amount such Partner is deemed obligated to restore pursuant to Regulation Sections 1.704-2(g) and 1.704-2(i)(5), such Partner shall be specially allocated items of Partnership gross income and gain in the amount of such excess as quickly as possible; *provided*, that an allocation pursuant to this Section 5.01(b)(iv) shall be made only if and to the extent that such Partner would have a deficit balance in its Capital Account as adjusted after all other allocations provided for in this Section 5.01 have been tentatively made as if this Section 5.01(b)(iv) were not in this Agreement.

- (v) Nonrecourse Deductions. Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable period shall be allocated to the Partners in accordance with their respective Percentage Interests. If the General Partner determines that the Partnership's Nonrecourse Deductions should be allocated in a different ratio to satisfy the safe harbor requirements of the Regulations promulgated under Section 704(b) of the Code, the General Partner is authorized, upon notice to the other Partners, to revise the prescribed ratio to the numerically closest ratio that does satisfy such requirements.
- (vi) Partner Nonrecourse Deductions. Partner Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable period shall be allocated 100% to the Partner that bears the Economic Risk of Loss with respect to the Partner Nonrecourse Debt to which such Partner Nonrecourse Deductions are attributable in accordance with Regulation Section 1.704-2(i). If more than one Partner bears the Economic Risk of Loss with respect to a Partner Nonrecourse Debt, such Partner Nonrecourse Deductions attributable thereto shall be allocated between or among such Partners in accordance with the ratios in which they share such Economic Risk of Loss.
- (vii) Nonrecourse Liabilities. For purposes of Regulation Section 1.752-3(a)(3), the Partners agree that Nonrecourse Liabilities of the Partnership in excess of the sum of (A) the amount of Partnership Minimum Gain and (B) the total amount of Nonrecourse Built-in Gain shall be allocated among the Partners in accordance with their respective Percentage Interests.
- (viii) Code Section 754 Adjustments. To the extent an adjustment to the adjusted tax basis of any Partnership asset pursuant to Section 734(b) or 743(b) of the Code is required, pursuant to Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m), to be taken into account in determining Capital Accounts, the amount of such adjustment to the Capital Accounts shall be treated as an item of gain (if the adjustment increases the basis of the asset) or loss (if the adjustment decreases such basis), and such item of gain or loss shall be specially allocated to the Partners in a manner consistent with the manner in which their Capital Accounts are required to be adjusted pursuant to such Section of the Regulations.

(c) Allocations Caused by Transfer of Interest. All items of income, gain, loss, deduction, and credit allocable to any interest in the Partnership that may have been transferred shall be allocated between the transferor and the transferee based upon that portion of the calendar year during which each was recognized as owning such interest, without regard to the results of Partnership operations during any particular portion of such calendar year and without regard to distributions made to the transferor and the transferee during such calendar year; *provided, however*, that such allocation shall be made in accordance with a method permissible under Section 706 of the Code and the regulations thereunder.

5.02 Income Tax Allocations.

(a) Except as provided in this Section 5.02, each item of income, gain, loss and deduction of the Partnership for federal income tax purposes shall be allocated among the

Partners in the same manner as such items are allocated for purposes of maintaining Capital Account under Section 5.01.

(b) For federal and state income tax purposes, income, gain, loss, and deduction with respect to property contributed to the Partnership by a Partner or revalued pursuant to Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(f) shall be allocated among the Partners in a manner that takes into account the variation between the adjusted tax basis of such property and its book value, as required by Section 704(c) of the Code and Regulation Section 1.704-1(b)(4)(i), using any allocation method permitted by Regulation Section 1.704-3.

(c) The Partnership will follow the proposed Treasury Regulations that were issued on May 24, 2005, regarding the issuance of partnership equity for services (including Prop. Treas. Reg. Sections 1.83-3, 1.83-6, 1.704-1, 1.706-3, 1.721-1 and 1.761-1), as such regulations may be subsequently amended, upon the issuance of equity membership interests or options issued for services rendered or to be rendered, until final Treasury Regulations regarding these matters are issued. In furtherance of the foregoing, the definition of Capital Account and the allocations of Net Income and Net Loss of the Partnership shall be made in a manner that is consistent with the proposed Treasury Regulations and the proposed Revenue Procedure described in IRS Notice 2005-43, or provisions similar thereto, are adopted as final (or temporary) rules (the “New Rules”), and the General Partner is authorized to make such amendments to this Agreement (including provision for any safe harbor election authorized by the New Rules) as the General Partner may determine to be necessary or advisable.

5.03 Distributions of Cash Flow from EPD Units. Promptly following the receipt of any distributions of cash flow with respect to EPD Units (other than any Retained Distribution), the General Partner shall cause to be distributed to the Partners such receipts (and any income from the temporary investment thereof) in the manner set forth below, *provided*, that the General Partner may withhold and not distribute such portion of any such receipts that the General Partner has determined in its sole but good faith discretion should be withheld to pay expenses of the Partnership. Distribution to the Partners pursuant to this Section 5.03 shall be made as follows:

(a) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Limited Partner’s Class A Preference Return Amount has been reduced to zero; and

(b) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

In the event any Retained Distribution is received by the Partnership, the Partners acknowledge that such Retained Distribution is the property of the Class A Limited Partner, and the Partnership shall promptly pay any such Retained Distribution over to the Class A Limited Partner. Any payment of a Retained Distribution to the Class A Limited Partner pursuant to this paragraph shall not be treated as a “distribution” for purposes of this Agreement.

5.04 Distributions of Proceeds from Sales of EPD Units. Promptly following the receipt of any proceeds from the sale of any EPD Units by the Partnership, the General Partner shall cause to be distributed to the Partners such receipts in the manner set forth below, *provided*

that the General Partner may withhold and not distribute such portion of any such receipts that the General Partner has determined in its sole but good faith discretion should be withheld to pay expenses of the Partnership. Distribution to the Partners pursuant to this Section 5.04 shall be made as follows:

- (a) First, to the Class A Limited Partner until the Class A Capital Base is reduced to zero; and
- (b) Thereafter, to the Class B Limited Partners in accordance with the Class B Percentage Interests.

5.05 Restrictions on Distributions of EPD Units. The Partners and the Partnership hereby agree that they shall not cause the Partnership to offer for sale, sell, pledge or otherwise transfer, distribute or dispose of the EPD Units held by the Partnership prior to the Vesting Date, other than as approved by the General Partner (in its sole discretion) in connection with a Change of Control.

ARTICLE VI

MANAGEMENT AND OPERATION

6.01 Management of Partnership Affairs. Except for situations in which the approval of the Limited Partners is expressly required by this Agreement or by non-waivable provisions of applicable law, the General Partner shall have full, complete, and exclusive authority to manage and control the business, affairs, and properties of the Partnership, to make all decisions regarding the same, and to perform any and all other acts or activities customary or incident to the management of the Partnership's business. The General Partner shall receive no compensation for its services as such. Subject to the other express provisions hereof, the General Partner shall make or take all decisions and actions for the Partnership not otherwise provided for herein, including, without limitation, the following:

- (a) acquiring, holding, managing, selling, Disposing of, and otherwise dealing with and investing in (i) the Partnership's EPD Units, or (ii) temporary investments of Partnership capital in U.S. government securities, certificates of deposit with maturities of less than one year, commercial paper (rated or unrated), and other highly liquid securities;
- (b) entering into, making, and performing all contracts, agreements, and other undertakings binding the Partnership, as may be necessary, appropriate, or advisable in furtherance of the purposes of the Partnership and making all decisions and waivers thereunder;
- (c) opening and maintaining bank and investment accounts and drawing checks and other orders for the payment of monies;
- (d) maintaining the assets of the Partnership in compliance with applicable securities laws and protecting and preserving the Partnership's title thereto;
- (e) collecting all sums due the Partnership;

(f) to the extent that funds of the Partnership are available therefor, paying as they become due all debts and obligations of the Partnership;

(g) causing securities owned by the Partnership to be registered in the Partnership's name or in the name of a nominee or to be held in street name, as the General Partner may elect;

(h) selecting, removing, and changing the authority and responsibility of lawyers, accountants, brokers, and other advisors and consultants;

(i) obtaining insurance for the Partnership to the extent the General Partner deems appropriate; and

(j) determining distributions of Partnership cash as provided in Sections 5.03 and 5.04.

6.02 Duties and Obligations of General Partner. The General Partner shall endeavor to conduct the affairs of the Partnership in the best interests of the Partnership and the mutual best interests of the Partners, including, without limitation, the safekeeping and use of all Partnership funds and assets and the use thereof for the benefit of the Partnership. The General Partner at all times shall act in good faith in all activities relating to the conduct of the business of the Partnership. The General Partner shall devote such time as it deems necessary to conduct the business and affairs of the Partnership in an appropriate manner.

6.03 Release and Indemnification. **TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, THE PARTNERSHIP AND EACH OTHER PARTNER ON BEHALF OF ITSELF AND ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS HEREBY RELEASES, ACQUITS, AND FOREVER DISCHARGES THE GENERAL PARTNER AND THE CLASS A LIMITED PARTNER, THEIR PARTNERS OR SHAREHOLDERS, AND THEIR DIRECTORS, OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES, PARTNERS, REPRESENTATIVES, AND AGENTS AND EACH OTHER PERSON, IF ANY, CONTROLLING OR EMPLOYING SUCH PERSONS OR ENTITIES (COLLECTIVELY, THE "INDEMNITEES") FROM ALL CLAIMS, DEMANDS, OR CAUSES OF ACTION OF ANY CHARACTER THAT SUCH PARTY MAY HAVE, WHETHER KNOWN OR UNKNOWN, AGAINST ANY INDEMNITEE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PARTNERSHIP AND/OR THE BUSINESS CONDUCTED BY THE PARTNERSHIP; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT SUCH RELEASE SHALL NOT APPLY TO ACTIONS CONSTITUTING WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OR BAD FAITH. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS EACH INDEMNITEE FROM AND AGAINST ALL LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES, EXPENSES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, COSTS OF SUIT AND ATTORNEYS' FEES) SUCH INDEMNITEE MAY INCUR IN CONNECTION WITH THE GENERAL PARTNER'S PERFORMING ITS OBLIGATIONS HEREUNDER (INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES AND EXPENSES ARISING FROM, OR ALLEGED TO ARISE FROM, THE INDEMNITEE'S ACTIVE OR PASSIVE, SOLE OR CONCURRENT, NEGLIGENCE OR GROSS NEGLIGENCE), AND THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL ADVANCE EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE DEFENSE**

OF ANY ACTION RELATED THERETO; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT SUCH INDEMNITY SHALL NOT APPLY TO ACTIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN FINALLY, WITHOUT FURTHER RIGHT TO APPEAL, JUDICIALLY DETERMINED TO CONSTITUTE WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OR BAD FAITH. IF THE INDEMNIFICATION PROVIDED FOR ABOVE IS NOT PERMITTED OR ENFORCEABLE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW OR IS OTHERWISE UNAVAILABLE OR INSUFFICIENT TO HOLD HARMLESS THE INDEMNITEES AS CONTEMPLATED ABOVE, THEN THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL CONTRIBUTE TO THE AMOUNT PAID OR PAYABLE BY THE INDEMNITEES AS A RESULT OF SUCH LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES AND EXPENSES REFERRED TO ABOVE IN SUCH PROPORTION AS IS APPROPRIATE TO REFLECT THE RELATIVE BENEFITS CONTEMPLATED TO BE RECEIVED BY THE PARTNERSHIP AND THE INDEMNITEES, RESPECTIVELY, FROM THE ACTIONS GIVING RISE TO SUCH LOSSES, COSTS, CLAIMS, LIABILITIES, DAMAGES OR EXPENSES.

6.04 Power of Attorney.

(a) Each Limited Partner hereby constitutes and appoints the General Partner and, if a liquidator (other than the General Partner) shall have been selected pursuant to Section 11.02, the liquidator, severally (and any successor to either thereof by merger, transfer, assignment, election or otherwise) and each of their authorized officers and attorneys-in-fact, as the case may be, with full power of substitution, as his true and lawful agent and attorney-in-fact, with full power and authority in his name, place and stead, to:

- (i) execute, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file and record in the appropriate public offices (A) all certificates, documents and other instruments (including this Agreement and the Certificate of Limited Partnership and all amendments or restatements hereof or thereof) that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to form, qualify or continue the existence or qualification of the Partnership as a limited partnership (or a partnership in which the Limited Partners have limited liability) in the State of Delaware and in all other jurisdictions in which the Partnership may conduct business or own property; (B) all certificates, documents and other instruments that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to reflect, in accordance with its terms, any amendment, change, modification or restatement of this Agreement; (C) all certificates, documents and other instruments (including conveyances and a certificate of cancellation) that the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary or appropriate to reflect the dissolution and liquidation of the Partnership pursuant to the terms of this Agreement; and (D) all certificates, documents and other instruments relating to the admission, withdrawal, removal or substitution of any Partner; and
- (ii) execute, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file and record all ballots, consents, approvals, waivers, certificates, documents and other instruments necessary or appropriate, in the discretion of the General Partner or the liquidator, to make, evidence, give, confirm or ratify any vote, consent, approval, agreement or other action that is made or given by the Partners hereunder or is consistent with the terms of this Agreement or is

necessary or appropriate, in the discretion of the General Partner or the liquidator, to effectuate the terms or intent of this Agreement; *provided*, that when required by any provision of this Agreement that establishes a percentage of the Limited Partners required to take any action, the General Partner and the liquidator may exercise the power of attorney made in this Section 6.04 only after the necessary vote, consent or approval of the Limited Partners.

This Section 6.04 shall be construed as authorizing the General Partner to amend this Agreement in any manner subject to any provision of this Agreement that establishes a percentage of the Limited Partners required to take any action.

(b) The foregoing power of attorney is hereby declared to be irrevocable and a power coupled with an interest, and it shall survive and, to the maximum extent permitted by law, not be affected by the subsequent death, incompetency, disability, incapacity, dissolution, bankruptcy or termination of any Limited Partner and the transfer of all or any portion of such Limited Partner's Percentage Interest and shall extend to such Limited Partner's heirs, successors, assigns and personal representatives. Each such Limited Partner hereby agrees to be bound by any representation made by the General Partner or the liquidator acting in good faith pursuant to such power of attorney; and each such Limited Partner, to the maximum extent permitted by law, hereby waives any and all defenses that may be available to contest, negate or disaffirm the action of the General Partner or the liquidator taken in good faith under such power of attorney. Each Limited Partner shall execute and deliver to the General Partner or the liquidator, within 15 days after receipt of the request therefor, such further designation, powers of attorney and other instruments as the General Partner or the liquidator deems necessary to effectuate this Agreement and the purposes of the Partnership.

ARTICLE VII

RIGHTS OF OTHER PARTNERS

7.01 Information. In addition to the other rights specifically set forth herein, each Partner shall have access to all information to which such Partner is entitled to have access pursuant to Section 17-305 of the Act under the circumstances and subject to the conditions therein stated. Without limiting the provisions of Section 17-305(b) of the Act, the Partners agree that if the General Partner from time to time enters into on behalf of the Partnership or the General Partner contractual obligations regarding the confidentiality of information received with respect to the Partnership's business or assets, it shall not be reasonable for any other Partner or assignee or representative thereof to examine or copy such information unless such Partner agrees to comply with the terms of such contractual obligations including without limitation executing a counterpart of any applicable confidentiality agreements.

7.02 Limitations. No Limited Partner shall have the authority or power in its capacity as such to act for or on behalf of the Partnership or any other Partner, to do any act that would be binding on the Partnership or any other Partner, or to incur any expenditures on behalf of or with respect to the Partnership. No Limited Partner shall have the right or power to withdraw from the Partnership.

7.03 Limited Liability. No Limited Partner shall be liable for the losses, debts, liabilities, contracts, or other obligations of the Partnership except to the extent required by law or otherwise set forth herein.

ARTICLE VIII

TAXES

8.01 Tax Returns. The General Partner shall cause to be prepared and filed all necessary federal and state income tax returns for the Partnership, including making the elections described in Section 8.02. Each Partner shall furnish to the General Partner all pertinent information in its possession relating to Partnership operations that is necessary to enable such income tax returns to be prepared and filed.

8.02 Tax Elections. The following elections shall be made on the appropriate returns of the Partnership:

- (a) to adopt the calendar year as the Partnership's fiscal year;
- (b) unless the accrual method is required under the applicable sections of the Code, to adopt the cash method of accounting and to keep the Partnership's books and records on the income-tax method;
- (c) if there shall be a distribution of Partnership property as described in Section 734 of the Code or if there shall be a transfer of a Partnership interest as described in Section 743 of the Code, upon written request of any Partner, to elect, pursuant to Section 754 of the Code, to adjust the basis of Partnership properties;
- (d) to elect to amortize the organizational expenses of the Partnership ratably over a period of 60 months as permitted by Section 709(b) of the Code; and
- (e) any other election the General Partner may deem appropriate and in the best interests of the Partners.

No election shall be made by the Partnership or any Partner to be treated as an association taxable as a corporation or to be excluded from the application of the provisions of Subchapter K of Chapter 1 of Subtitle A of the Code or any similar provisions of applicable state laws.

8.03 Tax Matters Partner. The General Partner shall be the "tax matters partner" of the Partnership pursuant to Section 6231(a)(7) of the Code. The General Partner shall take such action as may be necessary to cause each other Partner to become a "notice partner" within the meaning of Section 6223 of the Code. The General Partner shall inform each other Partner of all significant matters that may come to its attention in its capacity as tax matters partner by giving notice thereof within ten Business Days after becoming aware thereof and, within such time, shall forward to each other Partner copies of all significant written communications it may receive in such capacity. The General Partner shall not take any action contemplated by Sections 6222 through 6232 of the Code without the consent of a Required Interest. This provision is not intended to authorize the General Partner to take any action left to the determination of an individual Partner under Sections 6222 through 6232 of the Code.

ARTICLE IX
BOOKS, RECORDS, REPORTS, AND BANK ACCOUNTS

9.01 Maintenance of Books. The books of account for the Partnership shall be maintained on a cash basis in accordance with the terms of this Agreement except that the Capital Accounts of the Partners shall be maintained in accordance with Section 4.04. The calendar year shall be the accounting year of the Partnership.

9.02 Financial Statements. Within 120 days after the end of each fiscal year during the term of the Partnership, the General Partner shall cause each other Partner to be furnished with an unaudited balance sheet, an income statement, and a statement of changes in Partners' capital of the Partnership for, or as of the end of, such period. All financial statements shall be prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally employed for cash-basis records consistently applied (except as therein noted).

9.03 Bank Accounts. The General Partner shall establish and maintain one or more separate accounts for Partnership funds in the Partnership name at such financial institutions as it may designate. The General Partner may not commingle the Partnership's funds with other funds of any Partner.

ARTICLE X
WITHDRAWAL, BANKRUPTCY, REMOVAL, ETC.

10.01 Withdrawal, Bankruptcy, Etc. of General Partner.

(a) The General Partner covenants and agrees that it will not withdraw from the Partnership as the general partner within the meaning of Section 17-602 of the Act. If the General Partner shall so withdraw from the Partnership in violation of such covenant and agreement, such withdrawal shall be effective only upon 90 days' prior notice to all other Partners.

(b) The General Partner shall not cease to be a general partner on the occurrence of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act, but shall cease to be a general partner 90 days thereafter. The General Partner shall notify each other Partner that an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act has occurred (without regard to the lapse of any time periods therein) with respect to it within five Business Days after such occurrence.

(c) Following any notice pursuant to Section 10.01(a) that the General Partner shall be withdrawing, or following the occurrence of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act with respect to the General Partner (without regard to the lapse of any time periods therein), and unless there shall be one other General Partner remaining, the greater of the Class A Limited Partner plus a Required Interest of the Class B Limited Partners or a majority in interest as defined in Internal Revenue Service Procedure 94-46 (or any successor thereof) by written consent may select a new General Partner, which shall be admitted to the Partnership as a general partner effective immediately prior to the existing General Partner's ceasing to be a general partner with such general partner interest as the Limited Partners making such selection may specify, but only if such new General Partner shall

have made such Capital Contribution as such Limited Partners may specify and shall have executed and delivered to the Partnership a document including such new General Partner's notice address, acceptance of all the terms and provisions of this Agreement, an agreement to perform and discharge timely all of its obligations and liabilities hereunder, and a representation and warranty that the representation and warranties in Section 3.02 are true and correct with respect to such new General Partner. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 10.01(c), the right to select such new General Partner shall not exist or be exercised unless the Partnership shall have received the favorable opinion of the Partnership's legal counsel or of other legal counsel acceptable to the Limited Partners making such selection to the effect that such selection and admission will not result in (i) the loss of limited liability of any Limited Partner (except to the extent a Limited Partner has consented to become the General Partner) or (ii) in the Partnership being treated as an association taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Section 10.01(c), no such new General Partner shall be admitted (and the existing General Partner shall continue as such) if the event that permitted the selection of a new General Partner shall have been an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(5) of the Act that with the passage of time would cause the existing General Partner to become a Bankrupt Partner but, due to the failure of such situation to continue, such General Partner does not become a Bankrupt Partner.

10.02 Conversion of Interest. Immediately upon the General Partner's ceasing to be General Partner following the admission of a new General Partner pursuant to Section 10.01(c), the former General Partner's interest in the Partnership as a General Partner shall be converted into the interest of a Limited Partner in the Partnership having the same economic rights as specified for the General Partner herein immediately prior to its ceasing to be a General Partner, and such General Partner shall automatically and without further action be admitted to the Partnership as a Limited Partner.

ARTICLE XI

DISSOLUTION, LIQUIDATION, AND TERMINATION

11.01 Dissolution. The Partnership shall be dissolved and its affairs shall be wound up upon the first to occur of any of the following:

- (a) the written consent of the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest;
- (b) the written consent of the General Partner acting pursuant to Section 3.09;
- (c) unless otherwise agreed to by the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest, a date selected by the General Partner (in its sole discretion) that is within 30 days following the occurrence of the Vesting Date;
- (d) the end of the term of the Partnership as set forth in Section 2.06;
- (e) the General Partner's ceasing to be the General Partner as described in Section 10.01(b) with no new General Partner having been selected and admitted as provided in Section 10.01(c); or

(f) any other event causing dissolution as described in Section 17-801 of the Act (other than an event described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of the Act, except as provided in Sections 10.01(b) and 11.01(d));

it being understood that if an “event of withdrawal of a general partner” (as defined in Section 17-101(3) of the Act) shall occur with respect to the General Partner and at least one other General Partner shall have been or is about to be admitted pursuant to Section 3.03(b), 10.01(c), or 10.02, the Partnership shall not dissolve but shall continue and the remaining General Partner shall, and hereby agrees to, carry on the business of the Partnership.

11.02 Liquidation and Termination. Upon dissolution of the Partnership, unless it is continued as provided in Section 11.01, the General Partner shall act as liquidator or may appoint one or more other Persons as liquidator; *provided, however*, that if the Partnership shall be dissolved on account of an event of the type described in Section 17-402(a)(4) through (10) of Act with respect to the General Partner, the liquidator shall be one or more Persons selected in writing by the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest. The liquidator shall proceed diligently to wind up the affairs of the Partnership and make final distributions as provided herein, and shall file any amendments to the Certificate as may be required by applicable law. The costs of liquidation shall be borne as a Partnership expense. Until final distribution, the liquidator shall continue to manage the Partnership assets with all of the power and authority of the General Partner. The steps to be accomplished by the liquidator are as follows:

(a) as promptly as possible after dissolution and again after final liquidation, the liquidator shall cause a proper accounting to be made by a recognized firm of certified public accountants of the Partnership’s assets, liabilities, and operations through the last day of the calendar month in which the dissolution shall have occurred or the final liquidation shall be completed, as applicable;

(b) the liquidator shall pay all of the debts and liabilities of the Partnership (including, without limitation, all expenses incurred in liquidation and any advances made by the General Partner pursuant to Section 4.03) or otherwise make adequate provision therefor (including, without limitation, the establishment of a cash escrow fund for contingent liabilities in such amount and for such term as the liquidator may reasonably determine); and

(c) all remaining assets of the Partnership shall be distributed to the Partners as follows:

- (i) the fair market value of the property shall be determined and the capital accounts of the Partners shall be adjusted to reflect the manner in which the unrealized income, gain, loss, and deduction inherent in such property (that has not been reflected in the capital accounts previously) would be allocated among the Partners if there were a taxable disposition of such property for the fair market value of such property on the Vesting Date; and
- (ii) the Partnership property shall be distributed among the Partners in accordance with the positive capital account balances of the Partners, as determined after taking into account all capital account adjustments for the taxable year of the Partnership

during which the liquidation of the Partnership occurs (other than those made by reason of this clause); and such distributions shall be made by the end of the taxable year of the Partnership during which the liquidation of the Partnership occurs (or, if later, within 90 days after the date of such liquidation). While the General Partner has the right to sell EPD Units as noted in Section 5.04, and subject to the restrictions set forth in Section 5.05, it is the intent of the General Partner upon liquidation and termination of the Partnership to distribute EPD Units to the Partners rather than sell the EPD Units and distribute the cash proceeds of such sale to the Partners.

For purposes of this Section 11.02(c), the “fair market value” of each EPD Unit held by the Partnership on the Vesting Date shall be equal to the average of the closing sale prices per EPD Unit for the 20 trading days ending on the Vesting Date (or, if no closing sale price is reported, the average of the bid and asked prices) as reported in the composite transactions for the principal United States securities exchange on which the EPD Units are traded or if the EPD Units are not listed on a national or regional stock exchange, as reported by The NASDAQ National Market. All distributions in kind to the Partners shall be made subject to the liability of each distributee for costs, expenses, and liabilities theretofore incurred or for which the Partnership shall have committed prior to the date of termination and such costs, expenses, and liabilities shall be allocated to such distributee pursuant to this Section 11.02. The distribution of property to a Partner in accordance with the provisions of this Section 11.02 shall constitute a complete return to the Partner of its Capital Contributions and a complete distribution to the Partner of its interest in the Partnership and all the Partnership’s property and shall constitute a compromise to which all Partners have consented within the meaning of Section 17-502(b) of the Act.

11.03 Cancellation of Certificate. Upon completion of the distribution of Partnership assets as provided herein, the Partnership shall be terminated, and the General Partner (or, if there shall be no General Partner, the Limited Partners) shall cause the cancellation of the Certificate and any other filings made pursuant to Section 2.05 and shall take such other actions as may be necessary to terminate the Partnership.

ARTICLE XII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

12.01 Offset. In the event that any sum is payable to any Partner pursuant to this Agreement, any amounts owed by such Partner to the Partnership shall be deducted from said sum before payment to said Partner.

12.02 Notices. All notices or requests or consents provided for or permitted to be given pursuant to this Agreement must be in writing and must be given (a) by depositing same in the United States mail, addressed to the Person to be notified, postpaid, and registered or certified with return receipt requested or (b) by delivering such notice by courier or in person to such party. Notices given or served pursuant hereto shall be effective two Business Days after such deposit, or upon receipt if delivered in person to the person to be notified. All notices to be sent to a Partner shall be sent to or made at the address given on the Power of Attorney executed by the Partner and delivered to the General Partner on the date of the Original Partnership Agreement or in the instrument described in Section 3.03(c), 3.04, or 10.01(c), or such other

address as such Partner may specify by notice to the General Partner. Any notice to the Partnership shall be given to the General Partner.

12.03 Entire Agreement; Supersedure. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the Partners relating to the matters contained herein and supersedes all prior contracts or agreements, whether oral or written, among the parties hereto with respect to such matters.

12.04 Effect of Waiver or Consent. No waiver or consent, express or implied, by any Person with respect to any breach or default by any other Person of its obligations hereunder shall be deemed or construed to be a consent or waiver with respect to any other breach or default by such other Person of the same or any other obligations of such other Person hereunder. Failure on the part of any Person to complain of any act or omission of any other Person, or to declare any other Person in default, irrespective of how long such failure continues, shall not constitute a waiver by such Person of its rights hereunder until the applicable limitation period has run.

12.05 Amendment or Modification. This Agreement may be amended or modified from time to time only by a written instrument executed by the General Partner; *provided, however,* that (a) the vesting and distribution provisions of this Agreement may be amended or modified only by a written instrument executed by the General Partner, the Class A Limited Partner and a Required Interest, and (b) no amendment or modification reducing a Partner's Sharing Points (other than to reflect changes otherwise provided hereby) or increasing its duties or adversely affecting its limited liability shall be effective without such Partner's consent.

12.06 Binding Effect; Joinder of Additional Parties. Subject to the restrictions on Dispositions set forth herein, this Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the Partners, as well as the respective heirs, legal representatives, successors, and assigns of such Partners.

12.07 Construction. THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE, EXCLUDING ANY CONFLICTS-OF-LAW RULE OR PRINCIPLE THAT MIGHT REFER THE GOVERNANCE OR CONSTRUCTION OF THIS AGREEMENT TO THE LAWS OF ANOTHER JURISDICTION. The headings in this Agreement are inserted for convenience and identification only and are not intended to describe, interpret, define, or limit the scope, extent, or intent of this Agreement or any provision hereof. Whenever the context requires, the gender of all words used in this Agreement shall include the masculine, feminine, and neuter. All references to Articles and Sections refer to articles and sections of this Agreement. All sums and amounts payable or to be payable pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement shall be payable in coin or currency of the United States of America that, at the time of payment, is legal tender for the payment of public and private debts in the United States of America. If any provision of this Agreement or the application thereof to any Person or circumstance shall be held invalid or unenforceable to any extent, the remainder of this Agreement and the application of such provision to other Persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby and shall be enforced to the greatest extent permitted by law.

12.08 Further Assurances. In connection with this Agreement, as well as all transactions contemplated by this Agreement, each Partner agrees to execute and deliver such additional documents and instruments and to perform such additional acts as may be necessary or appropriate to effectuate, carry out, and perform all of the terms, provisions, and conditions of this Agreement and all such transactions.

12.09 Indemnification. To the fullest extent permitted by law, each Partner shall indemnify the Partnership and each other Partner and hold them harmless from and against all losses, costs, liabilities, damages, and expenses (including, without limitation, costs of suit and attorney's fees) they may incur on account of any breach by such indemnifying Partner of this Agreement.

12.10 Waiver of Certain Rights. Each Partner irrevocably waives any right it might have to maintain any action for dissolution of the Partnership or to maintain any action for partition of the property of the Partnership.

12.11 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts with the same effect as if all signatory parties had signed the same document. All counterparts shall be construed together and shall constitute one and the same instrument.

12.12 Dispute Resolution. (a) If the General Partner and one or more Limited Partners are unable to resolve any controversy, dispute, claim or other matter in question arising out of, or relating to, this Agreement, any provision hereof, the alleged breach hereof, or in any way relating to the subject matter of this Agreement, or the relationship between the parties created by this Agreement, including questions concerning the scope and applicability of this Section 12.12, whether sounding in contract, tort or otherwise, at law or in equity, under state or federal law, whether provided by statute or common law, for damages or any other relief (any such controversy, dispute, claim or other matter in question, a "Dispute"), on or before the 30th day following the receipt by the General Partner or such Limited Partners of written notice of such Dispute from the other party, which notice describes in reasonable detail the nature of the Dispute and the facts and circumstances relating thereto, the General Partner or such Limited Partners may, by delivery of written notice to the other party, require that a representative of the General Partner and of such Limited Partners meet at a mutually agreeable time and place in an attempt to resolve such Dispute. Such meeting shall take place on or before the 15th day following the date of the notice requiring such meeting, and if the Dispute has not been resolved within 15 days following such meeting, the General Partner or such Limited Partners may cause such Dispute to be resolved by binding arbitration in Houston, Texas, by submitting such Dispute for arbitration within 30 days following the expiration of such 15-day period. This agreement to arbitrate shall be specifically enforceable against the parties.

(b) It is the intention of the parties that the arbitration shall be governed by and conducted pursuant to the Federal Arbitration Act, as such Act is modified by this Section 12.12. If it is determined the Federal Arbitration Act is not applicable to this Agreement (e.g., this Agreement does not evidence a transaction involving interstate commerce), this agreement to arbitrate shall nevertheless be enforceable pursuant to applicable State law. While the arbitrators may refer to the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association (the "Rules") for guidance with respect to procedural matters, the arbitration proceeding shall not be

administered by the American Arbitration Association but instead shall be self-administered by the parties until the arbitrators are selected and then the proceeding shall be administered by the arbitrators.

(c) The validity, construction, and interpretation of this agreement to arbitrate, and all procedural aspects of the arbitration conducted pursuant to this agreement to arbitrate, including but not limited to, the determination of the issues that are subject to arbitration (i.e., arbitrability), the scope of the arbitrable issues, allegations of “fraud in the inducement” to enter into this Agreement or this arbitration provision, allegations of waiver, laches, delay or other defenses to arbitrability, and the rules governing the conduct of the arbitration (including the time for filing an answer, the time for the filing of counterclaims, the times for amending the pleadings, the specificity of the pleadings, the extent and scope of discovery, the issuance of subpoenas, the times for the designation of experts, whether the arbitration is to be stayed pending resolution of related litigation involving third parties not bound by this arbitration agreement, the receipt of evidence, and the like), shall be decided by the arbitrators.

(d) The rules of arbitration of the Federal Arbitration Act, as modified by this Agreement, shall govern procedural aspects of the arbitration; to the extent the Federal Arbitration Act as modified by this Agreement does not address a procedural issue, the arbitrators may refer for guidance to the Commercial Arbitration Rules then in effect with the American Arbitration Association. The arbitrators may refer for guidance to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Civil Evidence, and the federal law with respect to the discovery process, applicable legal privileges, and admissible evidence. In deciding the substance of the parties’ Dispute, the arbitrators shall refer to the substantive laws of the State of Delaware for guidance (excluding Delaware’s conflict-of-law rules or principles that might call for the application of the law of another jurisdiction); *provided, however*, IT IS EXPRESSLY AGREED THAT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION IN THIS SECTION 12.12 TO THE CONTRARY, THE ARBITRATORS SHALL HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO AUTHORITY TO AWARD CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (SUCH AS LOSS OF PROFIT), TREBLE, EXEMPLARY OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES OF ANY TYPE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGES MAY BE AVAILABLE UNDER DELAWARE LAW, THE LAW OF ANY OTHER STATE, OR FEDERAL LAW, OR UNDER THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT, OR UNDER THE COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION RULES OF THE AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION. The arbitrators shall have the authority to assess the costs and expenses of the arbitration proceeding (including the arbitrators’ fees and expenses) against either or both parties. However, each party shall bear its own attorneys fees and the arbitrators shall have no authority to award attorneys fees.

(e) When a Dispute has been submitted for arbitration, within 30 days of such submission, the General Partner will choose an arbitrator, and such Limited Partners will choose an arbitrator. The two arbitrators shall select a third arbitrator, failing agreement on which within 90 days of the original notice, the General Partner and such Limited Partners (or either of them) shall apply to any United States District Judge for the Southern District of Texas, who shall appoint the third arbitrator. While the third arbitrator shall be neutral, the two party-appointed arbitrators are not required to be neutral and it shall not be grounds for removal of either of the two party-appointed arbitrators or for vacating the arbitrators’ award that either of

such arbitrators has past or present minimal relationships with the party that appointed such arbitrator. Evident partiality on the part of an arbitrator exists only where the circumstances are such that a reasonable person would have to conclude there in fact existed actual bias and a mere appearance or impression of bias will not constitute evident partiality or otherwise disqualify an arbitrator. Minimal or trivial past or present relationships between the neutral arbitrator and the party selecting such arbitrator or any of the other arbitrators, or the failure to disclose such minimal or trivial past or present relationships, will not by themselves constitute evident partiality or otherwise disqualify any arbitrator. Upon selection of the third arbitrator, each of the three arbitrators shall agree in writing to abide faithfully by the terms of this agreement to arbitrate. The three arbitrators shall make all of their decisions by majority vote. If one of the party-appointed arbitrators refuses to participate in the proceedings or refuses to vote, the decision of the other two arbitrators shall be binding. If an arbitrator dies or becomes physically incapacitated and is unable to fulfill his or her duties as an arbitrator, the arbitration proceeding shall continue with a substitute arbitrator selected as follows: if the incapacitated arbitrator is a party-appointed arbitrator, the party shall promptly select a new arbitrator, and if the incapacitated arbitrator is the neutral arbitrator, the two-party appointed arbitrators shall select a substitute neutral arbitrator, failing agreement on which the General Partner and such Limited Partners (or either of them) shall apply to any United States District Judge for the Southern District of Texas, who shall appoint the substitute neutral arbitrator.

(f) The final hearing shall be conducted within 120 days of the selection of the third arbitrator. The final hearing shall not exceed ten working days, with each party to be granted one-half of the allocated time to present its case to the arbitrators. There shall be a transcript of the hearing before the arbitrators. The arbitrators shall render their ultimate decision within 20 days of the completion of the final hearing completely resolving all of the Disputes between the parties that are the subject of the arbitration proceeding. The arbitrators' ultimate decision after final hearing shall be in writing, but shall be as brief as possible, and the arbitrators shall assign their reasons for their ultimate decision. In the case the arbitrators award any monetary damages in favor of either party, the arbitrators shall certify in their award that they have not included any treble, exemplary or punitive damages.

(g) The arbitrators' award shall, as between the parties to this Agreement and those in privity with them, be final and entitled to all of the protections and benefits of a final judgment, e.g., res judicata (claim preclusion) and collateral estoppel (issue preclusion), as to all Disputes, including compulsory counterclaims, that were or could have been presented to the arbitrators. The arbitrators' award shall not be reviewable by or appealable to any court, except to the extent permitted by the Federal Arbitration Act.

(h) It is the intent of the parties that the arbitration proceeding shall be conducted expeditiously, without initial recourse to the courts and without interlocutory appeals of the arbitrators' decisions to the courts. However, if a party refuses to honor its obligations under this agreement to arbitrate, the other party may obtain appropriate relief compelling arbitration in any court having jurisdiction over the parties; the order compelling arbitration shall require that the arbitration proceedings take place in Houston, Texas, as specified above. The parties may apply to any court for orders requiring witnesses to obey subpoenas issued by the arbitrators. Moreover, any and all of the arbitrators' orders and decisions may be enforced if necessary by

any court. The arbitrators' award may be confirmed in, and judgment upon the award entered by, any federal or State court having jurisdiction over the parties.

(i) To the fullest extent permitted by law, this arbitration proceeding and the arbitrators award shall be maintained in confidence by the parties. However, a violation of this covenant shall not affect the enforceability of this arbitration agreement or of the arbitrators' award.

(j) A party's breach of this Agreement shall not affect this agreement to arbitrate. Moreover, the parties' obligations under this arbitration provision are enforceable even after this Agreement has terminated. The invalidity or unenforceability of any provision of this arbitration agreement shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the parties' obligation to submit their Disputes to binding arbitration or the other provisions of this agreement to arbitrate.

12.13 No Effect on Employment Relationship. Nothing in this Agreement shall confer upon any employee of the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof any right to continued employment nor shall it interfere in any way with the right of the General Partner or any of its Affiliates to terminate the employment of any employee at any time.

12.14 Legal Representation. This Agreement and related documents have been prepared by Vinson & Elkins LLP and Andrews Kurth LLP, as counsel for the General Partner, and not as counsel for any other Partner or the Partnership. Each party other than the General Partner has been advised to seek independent counsel in connection with this Agreement and the related documents.

[Signature Pages to Follow.]

FORM OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

For Executing Agreement of Limited Partnership of EPD PubCo Unit III L.P.

Know all by these presents, that the undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints Enterprise Products Company, a Texas corporation, and its authorized representatives the undersigned's true and lawful attorney-in-fact to:

- (1) execute for and on behalf of the undersigned as a Class B limited partner thereunder that certain Agreement of Limited Partnership of EPD PubCo Unit III L.P. (the "Partnership Agreement"); and
- (2) take any other action of any type whatsoever in connection with the foregoing that, in the opinion of each such attorney-in-fact, may be of benefit to, in the best interest of, or legally required of the undersigned, it being understood that the documents executed by the attorney-in-fact on behalf of the undersigned pursuant to this Power of Attorney shall be in such form and shall contain such terms and conditions as the attorney-in-fact may approve in the attorney-in-fact's discretion.

The undersigned hereby grants to each attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite, necessary or proper to be done in the exercise of any of the rights and powers herein granted, as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do if personally present, with full power of substitution or revocation, hereby ratifying and confirming all that the attorney-in-fact, or the attorney-in-facts substitute or substitutes, shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue of this Power of Attorney and the rights and powers herein granted.

The undersigned acknowledges and agrees by execution of this Power of Attorney that the undersigned's initial Sharing Points (as defined in the Partnership Agreement) under the Partnership Agreement equal _____, which represents ____% of the total initial Sharing Points granted by the General Partner pursuant to the Partnership Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has caused this Power of Attorney to be executed as of the date written below.

Signature

Type or Print Name

Date

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.
COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES
(Dollars in millions)

		For the Year Ended December 31,				
		2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Consolidated income		\$ 2,553.0	\$ 2,558.4	\$ 2,833.5	\$ 2,607.1	\$ 2,428.0
Add:	Provision for (benefit from) taxes	23.4	(2.5)	23.1	57.5	(17.2)
Less:	Equity in earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	(362.0)	(373.6)	(259.5)	(167.3)	(64.3)
Consolidated pre-tax income before equity in earnings from unconsolidated affiliates		2,214.4	2,182.3	2,597.1	2,497.3	2,346.5
Add:	Fixed charges	1,187.5	1,145.7	1,030.3	964.7	920.3
	Amortization of capitalized interest	27.4	26.2	25.1	22.8	20.3
	Distributed income of equity investees	380.5	462.1	375.1	251.6	116.7
Subtotal		3,809.8	3,816.3	4,027.6	3,736.4	3,403.8
Less:	Capitalized interest	(168.2)	(149.1)	(77.9)	(133.0)	(116.8)
	Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(39.9)	(37.2)	(46.1)	(10.2)	(8.1)
Total earnings		<u>\$ 3,601.7</u>	<u>\$ 3,630.0</u>	<u>\$ 3,903.6</u>	<u>\$ 3,593.2</u>	<u>\$ 3,278.9</u>
Fixed charges:						
	Interest expense	\$ 982.6	\$ 961.8	\$ 921.0	\$ 802.5	\$ 771.8
	Capitalized interest	168.2	149.1	77.9	133.0	116.8
	Interest portion of rental expense	36.7	34.8	31.4	29.2	31.7
	Total	<u>\$ 1,187.5</u>	<u>\$ 1,145.7</u>	<u>\$ 1,030.3</u>	<u>\$ 964.7</u>	<u>\$ 920.3</u>
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges		<u>3.0x</u>	<u>3.2x</u>	<u>3.8x</u>	<u>3.7x</u>	<u>3.6x</u>

These computations take into account our consolidated operations and the distributed income from our equity method investees. For purposes of these calculations, "earnings" is the amount resulting from adding and subtracting the following items:

Add the following, as applicable:

- consolidated pre-tax income from continuing operations before adjustment for income or loss from equity investees;
- fixed charges;
- amortization of capitalized interest;
- distributed income of equity investees; and
- our share of pre-tax losses of equity investees for which charges arising from guarantees are included in fixed charges.

From the subtotal of the added items, subtract the following, as applicable:

- interest capitalized;
- preference security dividend requirements of consolidated subsidiaries; and
- the noncontrolling interests in pre-tax income of subsidiaries that have not incurred fixed charges.

The term "fixed charges" means the sum of the following: interest expensed and capitalized; amortized premiums, discounts and capitalized expenses related to indebtedness; an estimate of the interest within rental expense; and preference security dividend requirements of consolidated subsidiaries.

LIST OF SUBSIDIARIES
Enterprise Products Partners L.P.
As of February 1, 2017

Name of Subsidiary	Jurisdiction of Formation	Effective Ownership
Acadian Gas Pipeline System	Delaware	TXO-Acadian Gas Pipeline, LLC – 50% MCN Acadian Gas Pipeline, LLC – 50%
Acadian Gas, LLC	Delaware	Duncan Energy Partners L.P. – 100%
Adamana Land Company, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Arizona Gas Storage, L.L.C.	Delaware	Enterprise Arizona Gas, L.L.C. – 60% Third Party – 40%
Baton Rouge Fractionators LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 32.25% Third Parties – 67.75%
Baton Rouge Pipeline LLC	Delaware	Baton Rouge Fractionators LLC – 100%
Baton Rouge Propylene Concentrator LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 30% Third Parties – 70%
Belle Rose NGL Pipeline, L.L.C.	Delaware	Enterprise NGL Pipelines, LLC – 41.67% Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 58.33%
Belvieu Environmental Fuels GP, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Belvieu Environmental Fuels LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 99% Belvieu Environmental Fuels GP, LLC – 1%
Cajun Pipeline Company, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Calcasieu Gas Gathering System	Texas	TXO-Acadian Gas Pipeline, LLC – 50% MCN Acadian Gas Pipeline, LLC – 50%
Canadian Enterprise Gas Products, Ltd.	Alberta, Canada	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Centennial Pipeline LLC	Delaware	Enterprise TE Products Pipeline Company, LLC – 50% Third Party – 50%
Chama Gas Services, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise New Mexico Ventures, LLC – 75% Third Party – 25%
Channelview Fleeting Services, L.L.C.	Texas	Enterprise Marine Services LLC – 100%
Chaparral Pipeline Company, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Midstream Companies LLC – 99.999% Enterprise NGL Pipelines II LLC – 0.001%
Chunchula Pipeline Company, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
CTCO of Texas, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Marine Services LLC – 100%
Cypress Gas Marketing, LLC	Delaware	Acadian Gas, LLC – 100%
Cypress Gas Pipeline, LLC	Delaware	Acadian Gas, LLC – 100%
Dean Pipeline Company, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Midstream Companies LLC – 99.999% Enterprise NGL Pipelines, LLC – 0.001%
Delaware Basin Gas Processing LLC	Delaware	Enterprise GC LLC – 50% Third Party – 50%
DEP Holdings, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
DEP Offshore Port System, LLC	Texas	Duncan Energy Partners L.P. – 100%
Dixie Pipeline Company LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Duncan Energy Partners L.P.	Delaware	Enterprise GTM Holdings L.P. – 99.299% DEP Holdings LLC – 0.700% Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc. – 0.001%
Eagle Ford Pipeline LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 50% Third Party – 50%
Eagle Ford Terminals Corpus Christi LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 50% Third Party – 50%
EFS Midstream LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Acquisition Holdings LLC – 100%
EF Terminals Corpus Christi LLC	Delaware	Eagle Ford Terminals Corpus Christi LLC – 100%
Energy Ventures, LLC	Colorado	Enterprise Crude Oil LLC – 100%

Name of Subsidiary	Jurisdiction of Formation	Effective Ownership
Enterprise Acquisition Holdings LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Appelt, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Houston Ship Channel, L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Arizona Gas, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Field Services, LLC – 100%
Enterprise Beaumont Marine West GP, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Terminating Services, L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Beaumont Marine West, L.P.	Delaware	Enterprise Terminating Services, L.P. – 99% Enterprise Beaumont Marine West GP, LLC – 1%
Enterprise Beaumont Marine West Specialty Products, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Beaumont Marine West, L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Big Thicket Pipeline System LLC	Texas	Enterprise GC LLC – 100%
Enterprise Crude GP LLC	Delaware	TCTM, L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Crude Oil LLC	Texas	TCTM, L.P. – 99.99% Enterprise Crude GP LLC – 0.01%
Enterprise Crude Pipeline LLC	Texas	TCTM, L.P. – 99.99% Enterprise Crude GP LLC – 0.01%
Enterprise Custom Marketing LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Crude Oil LLC – 100%
Enterprise EF78 LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Texas Operating LLC – 75% Third Party – 25%
Enterprise Field Services, LLC	Texas	Enterprise GTM Holdings L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Field Services (Offshore) LLC	Texas	Enterprise GTM Holdings L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Fractionation, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Gas Liquids LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Gas Processing, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Gathering II LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Gathering LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise GC LLC	Texas	Duncan Energy Partners L.P. – 100%
Enterprise GP LLC	Delaware	Enterprise TE Partners L.P. – 100%
Enterprise GTM Hattiesburg Storage, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise GTM Holdings L.P. – 100%
Enterprise GTM Holdings L.P.	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 99% Enterprise GTMGP, LLC – 1%
Enterprise GTMGP, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products GTM, LLC – 100%
Enterprise Houston Ship Channel GP, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Terminating Services, L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Houston Ship Channel, L.P.	Texas	Enterprise Terminating Services, L.P. – 99% Enterprise Houston Ship Channel GP, LLC – 1%
Enterprise Hydrocarbons L.P.	Delaware	Enterprise Products Texas Operating LLC – 99% Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 1%
Enterprise Intrastate LLC	Delaware	Duncan Energy Partners L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Jonah Gas Gathering Company LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Logistic Services LLC (DBA Enterprise Transportation Company)	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Lou-Tex NGL Pipeline L.P.	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 99% HSC Pipeline Partnership, LLC – 1%
Enterprise Lou-Tex Propylene Pipeline LLC	Texas	Duncan Energy Partners L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Louisiana Pipeline LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Marine Services LLC	Delaware	Enterprise TE Partners L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Midstream Companies LLC	Texas	Enterprise TE Partners L.P. – 99.999% Enterprise GP LLC – 0.001%
Enterprise Mont Belvieu Program Company	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Natural Gas Pipeline LLC	Delaware	Enterprise GTM Holdings L.P. – 100%
Enterprise New Mexico Ventures, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Field Services, LLC – 100%
Enterprise NGL Pipelines II LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Midstream Companies LLC – 100%
Enterprise NGL Pipelines, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%

Name of Subsidiary	Jurisdiction of Formation	Effective Ownership
Enterprise NGL Private Lines & Storage, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Offshore Port System, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Pathfinder, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise GTM Holdings L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Pelican Pipeline L.P.	Texas	Evangeline Gulf Coast Gas, LLC – 90% Evangeline Gas Corp. – 10%
Enterprise Plevna Marketing LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Crude Oil LLC – 100%
Enterprise Products BBCT LLC	Texas	Enterprise Crude Oil LLC – 99.99% Enterprise Crude GP LLC – 0.01%
Enterprise Products Marketing Company LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc.	Delaware	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. – 100%
Enterprise Products Operating LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Partners L.P. – 99.999% Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc. – 0.001%
Enterprise Products Pipeline Company LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Products Texas Operating LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 99% Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc. – 1%
Enterprise Propane Terminals and Storage, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Terminals & Storage, LLC – 100%
Enterprise Refined Products Company LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Refined Products Marketing Company LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Refined Products Company LLC – 100%
Enterprise Sage Marketing LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Crude Oil LLC – 100%
Enterprise Seaway L.P.	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 99.99% Enterprise Crude GP LLC – 0.01%
Enterprise TE Investments LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Pipeline Company LLC – 100%
Enterprise TE Partners L.P.	Delaware	Enterprise Products Pipeline Company LLC – 2% Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 98%
Enterprise TE Products Pipeline Company LLC	Texas	Enterprise TE Partners L.P. – 99.999% Enterprise GP LLC – 0.001%
Enterprise Terminating Services GP, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Enterprise Terminating Services, L.P.	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 97.999% Enterprise Terminating Services GP, LLC – 2% Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc. - .001%
Enterprise Terminalling LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 99% Enterprise Gas Liquids LLC – 1%
Enterprise Terminals & Storage, LLC	Delaware	Mapletree, LLC – 100%
Enterprise Texas Pipeline LLC	Texas	Duncan Energy Partners L.P. – 100%
Enterprise White River Hub, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Evangeline Gas Corp.	Delaware	Evangeline Gulf Coast Gas, LLC – 100%
Evangeline Gulf Coast Gas, LLC	Delaware	Acadian Gas, LLC – 100%
Front Range Pipeline LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 33.33% Third Parties – 66.67%
Groves RGP Pipeline LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 99% Enterprise Products Texas Operating LLC – 1%
HSC Pipeline Partnership, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 99% Enterprise Products OLPGP, Inc. – 1%
JMRS Transport Services, Inc.	Delaware	Enterprise Logistic Services LLC – 100%
K/D/S Promix, L.L.C.	Delaware	Enterprise Fractionation, LLC – 50% Third Parties – 50%
La Porte Pipeline Company, L.P.	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 79.24% La Porte Pipeline GP, LLC – 1.0% Third Party – 19.76%

Name of Subsidiary	Jurisdiction of Formation	Effective Ownership
La Porte Pipeline GP, L.L.C.	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 80.04% Third Party – 19.96%
Mapletree, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
MCN Acadian Gas Pipeline, LLC	Delaware	Acadian Gas, LLC – 100%
MCN Pelican Interstate Gas, LLC	Delaware	Acadian Gas, LLC – 100%
Mid-America Pipeline Company, LLC	Delaware	Mapletree, LLC – 100%
Mont Belvieu Caverns, LLC	Delaware	Duncan Energy Partners L.P. – 100%
Neches Pipeline System	Delaware	TXO-Acadian Gas Pipeline, LLC – 50% MCN Acadian Gas Pipeline, LLC – 50%
Norco-Taft Pipeline, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise NGL Private Lines & Storage, LLC – 100%
Olefins Terminal LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Panola Pipeline Company, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Midstream Companies LLC – 55% Third Parties – 45%
Pontchartrain Natural Gas System	Texas	TXO-Acadian Gas Pipeline, LLC – 50% MCN Acadian Gas Pipeline, LLC – 50%
Port Neches GP LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Port Neches Pipeline LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 99% Port Neches GP LLC – 1%
QP-LS, LLC	Wyoming	Enterprise Products BBCT LLC – 100%
Quanh Pipeline Company, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Midstream Companies LLC – 99.999% Enterprise NGL Pipelines II LLC – 0.001%
Red River Crude Oil LLC	Texas	Enterprise Crude Oil LLC – 100%
Red River Crude Pipeline LLC	Texas	Enterprise Crude Pipeline LLC – 100%
Rio Grande Pipeline Company	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 70% Third Party – 30%
Sabine Propylene Pipeline LLC	Texas	Duncan Energy Partners L.P. – 100%
Seaway Crude Pipeline Company LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Seaway L.P. – 50% Third Parties – 50%
Seaway Marine LLC	Delaware	Seaway Crude Pipeline Company LLC – 100%
Seminole Pipeline Company LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Skelly-Belvieu Pipeline Company, L.L.C.	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 50% Third Party – 50%
Sorrento Pipeline Company, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
South Texas NGL Pipelines, LLC	Delaware	Duncan Energy Partners L.P. – 100%
TCTM, L.P.	Delaware	Enterprise TE Partners L.P. – 99.999% Enterprise GP LLC – 0.001%
TECO Gas Gathering LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
TECO Gas Processing LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 100%
Tejas-Magnolia Energy, LLC	Delaware	Pontchartrain Natural Gas System – 96.6% MCN Pelican Interstate Gas, LLC – 3.4%
TEPPCO O/S Port System, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Crude GP LLC – 100%
Texas Express Gathering LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 45% Third Parties – 55%
Texas Express Pipeline LLC	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 35% Third Parties – 65%
Transport 4, L.L.C.	Delaware	Enterprise TE Products Pipeline Company LLC – 25% Third Parties – 75%
Tri-States NGL Pipeline, L.L.C.	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 50% Enterprise NGL Pipelines, LLC – 33.3% Third Party – 16.67%
TXO-Acadian Gas Pipeline, LLC	Delaware	Acadian Gas, LLC – 100%
Venice Energy Services Company, L.L.C.	Delaware	Enterprise Gas Processing LLC – 13.1% Third Parties – 86.99%

Name of Subsidiary	Jurisdiction of Formation	Effective Ownership
White River Hub, LLC	Delaware	Enterprise White River Hub, LLC – 50% Third Party – 50%
Wilcox Pipeline Company, LLC	Texas	Enterprise Midstream Companies LLC – 99.999% Enterprise NGL Pipelines II LLC – 0.001%
Wilprise Pipeline Company, L.L.C.	Delaware	Enterprise Products Operating LLC – 74.7% Third Party – 25.3%

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in (i) Registration Statement Nos. 333-36856, 333-115633, 333-150680, 333-176718, 333-191515, and 333-191516 of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. on Form S-8; (ii) Registration Statement No. 333-211317 of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and Enterprise Products Operating LLC on Form S-3; and (iii) Registration Statements Nos. 333-211318 and 333-210869 of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. on Form S-3 of our reports dated February 24, 2017, relating to the consolidated financial statements of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and subsidiaries and the effectiveness of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. for the year ended December 31, 2016.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
February 24, 2017

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, A. James Teague, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Enterprise Products Partners L.P;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 24, 2017

/s/ A. James Teague

Name: A. James Teague
Title: Chief Executive Officer of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, the
General Partner of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, W. Randall Fowler, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 24, 2017

/s/ W. Randall Fowler

Name: W. Randall Fowler
Title: President of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, the General Partner
of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, Bryan F. Bulawa, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 24, 2017

/s/ Bryan F. Bulawa

Name: Bryan F. Bulawa
Title: Chief Financial Officer of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, the
General Partner of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

**CERTIFICATION OF A. JAMES TEAGUE, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
OF ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS HOLDINGS LLC, THE GENERAL PARTNER OF
ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.**

In connection with this annual report of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (the "Registrant") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, A. James Teague, Chief Executive Officer of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, the General Partner of the Registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

Date: February 24, 2017

/s/ A. James Teague

Name: A. James Teague
Title: Chief Executive Officer of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, the
General Partner of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

**CERTIFICATION OF W. RANDALL FOWLER, PRESIDENT
OF ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS HOLDINGS LLC, THE GENERAL PARTNER OF
ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.**

In connection with this annual report of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (the "Registrant") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, W. Randall Fowler, President of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, the General Partner of the Registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

Date: February 24, 2017

/s/ W. Randall Fowler

Name: W. Randall Fowler
Title: President of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, the General Partner
of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

**CERTIFICATION OF BRYAN F. BULAWA, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
OF ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS HOLDINGS LLC, THE GENERAL PARTNER OF
ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.**

In connection with this annual report of Enterprise Products Partners L.P. (the "Registrant") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Bryan F. Bulawa, Chief Financial Officer of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, the General Partner of the Registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

Date: February 24, 2017

/s/ Bryan F. Bulawa

Name: Bryan F. Bulawa
Title: Chief Financial Officer of Enterprise Products Holdings LLC, the
General Partner of Enterprise Products Partners L.P.